

OwnershipTracker: A Visual Analytics Approach to Uncovering Historical Book Ownership Patterns

Y. Xing , M. Ji, C. Dondi  and A. Abdul-Rahman 




Fig. 1: The interconnected view of OwnershipTracker: (a) control panel includes options to lock the current view, search & select books/owners, and display book/owner details; (b) Spiderweb-Like Diagram as the main exploratory view; (c) linked Node-Link Graph highlights related components when interacting with (b); (d) focused subview of the spiderweb, displays elements related to a focused book.

Abstract—Ownership relationships of early printed books from the 15th-century reveal complex patterns of distribution and possession, offering valuable insights for historical research. This paper presents *OwnershipTracker*, a visual analytics application developed to explore and trace these relationships using data from the Material Evidence in Incunabula (MEI) database. *OwnershipTracker* integrates bibliographic records, copy-specific data, and book provenance and ownership details, enabling users to uncover intricate ownership sequences over time. The application combines several visualization techniques, including network graphs to map connections between owners, timelines for temporal analysis, chord diagrams to quantify transfer patterns, and a distinctive, collaboratively designed spiderweb-like diagram highlighting converging and dispersing ownership transfers through specific owners. Developed iteratively with input from historical book researchers, the application underwent multiple refinements to align with domain research requirements. Summative evaluation with domain experts showcased the tool's ability to address the defined requirements and tasks. The final version of *OwnershipTracker* is deployed and accessible at: <https://booktracker.nms.kcl.ac.uk/ownership>.

Index Terms—Design study, visualization application, human-centered design

1 INTRODUCTION

Historical books are more than merely vessels of content. They are artifacts that carry rich information about social exchanges and historical connections. Analyzing the transfer and possession of these books over time can reveal insights into broader historical and social dynamics. For instance, following the dissolution of a prominent library may reveal how its books are dispersed across regions. Analyzing the collection patterns of notable figures can shed light on shared intellectual interests or alliances. These ownership patterns, embedded in historical records, offer rich insights into the social and cultural dynamics that shaped

book circulation.

Visual analytics has the potential to illuminate these complex relationships, providing historians with tools to examine ownership and distribution patterns through an empirical, interactive approach. Prior research has explored historical book movements through spatial visualizations, analyzing provenance data to observe the books' geographic distribution and circulation patterns [40, 42]. This study builds on that foundation by shifting the focus from geographic data to ownership. Instead of examining where books traveled, the emphasis here is on who possessed them – investigating the relationships between individuals and institutions linked by shared book ownership.

In collaboration with domain experts from prior research [40, 42], we conducted a visualization design study, leading to the development of *OwnershipTracker* – a visual analytics tool designed to explore book ownership patterns through distinct and interconnected views from two exploratory angles – ownership relations and book provenance. The development of *OwnershipTracker* went through three main enhancement stages: 1) initiating the design with a node-link graph to represent connections and relationships between book owners; 2) driven by the sequential nature of book provenance, proposing a spiderweb-like diagram that illustrates both the sequence of provenance

- Yiwen Xing, Meilai Ji, and Alfie Abdul-Rahman are with the King's College London, UK. E-mail: {yiwexing | meilaji | alfie.abdulrahman}@kcl.ac.uk.
- Cristina Dondi is with Sapienza University of Rome, Italy. E-mail: cristina.dondi@uniroma1.it.

Manuscript received xx xxx. 201x; accepted xx xxx. 201x. Date of Publication xx xxx. 201x; date of current version xx xxx. 201x. For information on obtaining reprints of this article, please send e-mail to: reprints@ieee.org.
Digital Object Identifier: xx.xxx/TVCG.201x.xxxxxx

and the associated owner at each provenance; and 3) recognizing the complementary insights provided by the node-link graph and spiderweb diagram, resulting in the creation of two interconnected interfaces, each centered around one of these two visualizations as the main exploratory panel.

In this paper, we present *OwnershipTracker*, which describes its functionalities and how each component addresses specific domain requirements. We describe our iterative design process, highlighting three key development phases shaped by distinct analytical goals and collaborative feedback from domain experts. Finally, we report on a usage scenario in which domain experts gained insight from the tool during the testing phases, and we discuss the summative evaluation results and reflections from this collaborative visualization project. In summary, our main contributions are as follows.

- The development of *OwnershipTracker* to support the analysis of historical book ownership data from multiple perspectives.
- An account of our iterative design process, emphasizing three critical phases and detailing the design rationale.
- Insights from a usage scenario and evaluation, reflecting on the collaborative process with historical book researchers.

2 RELATED WORK

2.1 Historical Book Trade Research and Visualization

Books have long traversed trade routes, spreading knowledge, and changing ownership. Since 2009, the Material Evidence in Incunabula (MEI) database [9] has collected data on 15th-century books, enabling researchers to trace their circulation, trade, and collecting and beyond [16, 17]. The 15cBOOKTRADE Project [15] further enhanced MEI, expanding its utility for provenance studies.

Visualization tools have become essential with the growing availability of book provenance data. BookTracker [41] and LiberRoad [28] are tools to facilitate the exploration of temporal-spatial features of book trade data, while Guo et al. [27] focus on temporal networks of book provenance. Broader efforts like visualizations of the republic of letters [11, 21] illustrate correspondence circulation, aligning with historical book trade research.

2.2 Network and Temporal Visualization

Node-link diagrams are a foundational method for visualizing network relationships, valued for their intuitive depiction of entities and connections [33, 37]. Despite their popularity, challenges arise in scaling node-link diagrams to dense networks [25] and incorporating temporal data [32], which adds complexity to the representation. Various strategies have been developed to depict dynamic networks [3, 22, 35]. Animation is a widely used approach, offering an intuitive representation of temporal evolution through frame-by-frame transitions [2]. Static alternatives, such as small multiples [24] and stacked timelines [13], enable users to compare temporal snapshots side by side, improving clarity for cross-time analysis. Interactive exploration further enhances dynamic network analysis by combining multiple techniques. Tools like NodeTrix [29], VisLink [12], GraphTrail [20], and Person&Dataset Visualizers [7] integrate node-link diagrams, adjacency matrices, and hybrid visualizations, allowing users to explore relationships, temporal evolution, and additional features interactively.

2.3 Visual Analytics for the Humanities

Over the last decade, scholars have emphasized the need for visualizations tailored to the humanities, where data is often constructed and interpretive, unlike the observer-independent nature of scientific data [4, 6]. Drucker argued that humanities visualizations must provide rich contextualization, accommodating the complexity of layered information [19]. A promising trend is integrating close and distant reading – detailed analysis of individual texts with large-scale pattern exploration. Tools like Serendip [1] and VarifocalReader [31] bridge these approaches, linking cluster views to close reading for better interpretability. Poemage [34] extends this integration to support detailed textual analysis through interactions.

3 DESIGN REQUIREMENTS

3.1 Domain Data




Fig. 2: The data structure of book provenance and ownership. (i) Book Provenance entities, capturing each book copy’s details and its sequential provenance records. (ii) Book Ownership entities, documenting ownership by individuals and institutions. (iii) Sequential representation of a book’s life, showing its transitions between owners over time. (iv) Ownership network, illustrating that each owner can hold multiple books, and a single book can be associated with various owners over different periods.

The data for this study is sourced from the Material Evidence in Incunabula (MEI) database [9], which documents provenance information for 15th-century printed books, including ownership, binding, annotations, and other copy-specific details. Personal and institutional ownership names are collected in the satellite database Owners of Incunabula [10], which includes further bio-bibliographical information and links to all copies owned by the same person or institution, enabling the reconstruction of dispersed collections. The Holding Institutions database [8] lists libraries and institutions associated with the books recorded in MEI, providing additional context for tracing book provenance and explicitly representing the current owners.

The data can be divided into two main components: the book provenance data (Fig. 2 i) and the book ownership data (Fig. 2 ii). The first component, book provenance, captures each book copy’s journey through various transfer stages. Each printed book copy is associated with one or more provenance records. These provenance entries are sequentially ordered, indicating the chronological flow of the book’s journey from one individual owner or holding institution to the next (Fig. 2 iii). The second component documents details about individual owners and holding institutions, which are organized within entities related to book ownership. Each provenance record has a one-to-one relationship with an owner, which means that at any given point in the book’s history, a provenance record will be associated with an individual or an institution.

From the perspective of owners, each individual or institution may simultaneously hold multiple book copies, highlighting the one-to-many relationship between owners and books (Fig. 2 iv). Additionally, a single book copy may be associated with multiple owners over time as it passes from one individual or institution to another. This structure captures both the networked nature of ownership relationships and the temporal progression of book provenance, which are central to the aims of this visualization study.

3.2 Domain Requirements

We have worked closely with one leading domain expert and compiled the following set of requirements based on our interactions. While requirements were primarily defined during initial meetings and discussions, minor adjustments were made during user testing and evaluation with a broader group of experts.

- R1 **Insights into Ownership Relationships.** Domain experts seek visual techniques that enable them to observe patterns in the

flow of books between holding institutions and individual owners. These visualized “in” and “out” transitions serve as foundational clues, helping experts understand and depict the complex network of ownership relationships across time.

- R2 Integrating Book Provenance Sequence with Ownership Visualization.** Given the sequential nature of provenance data, it is essential that any visualization of ownership also respects the continuity of each book’s life journey. While ownership data can be analyzed independently, it remains deeply interconnected with the provenance sequence, reflecting the natural progression of the book trade. Therefore, the visualization must account for both ownership and provenance data to provide a coherent depiction of each book’s historical trajectory.
- R3 Visual Quantification and Pattern Highlighting.** Historical book researchers often have a preliminary understanding of significant events based on years of study, such as how particular events might have influenced book dispersion. Visualization should present data to validate these hypotheses and quantify the impact of historical events, such as the redistribution of books after a library’s closure. The visualized data should highlight and quantify these patterns, offering empirical support for domain experts’ insights.
- R4 Access to Individual Book and Owner Information.** As experts analyze grouped data (such as clusters of books or owners connected by specific relationships or events), they need direct access to individual-level information. This includes specific identifiers, names, and other details about books and owners, allowing experts to conduct deeper investigations through their own resources and databases when exploring particular cases.

3.3 Design Tasks

Design tasks devised to meet the domain requirements are:

- T1 Visualize Ownership Flow & Relationships.** For **R1, R3**. The tool should provide a clear and quantifiable representation of book transfers between owners and the relationships among owners. This allows users to observe patterns that validate their historical hypotheses or support exploratory analysis.
- T2 Combine Provenance Sequence with Ownership.** For **R1, R2**. The tool should integrate book provenance sequence with ownership information, presenting the life journey of each book as a series of temporally ordered stages linked to specific owners.
- T3 Support Linked Views for Ownership & Provenance Perspectives.** For **R1, R2**. The tool should offer complementary views of ownership (network perspective) and provenance (sequential perspective), with linked interactions that enable users to explore ownership relationships and provenance sequences simultaneously.
- T4 Highlight Patterns.** For **R3**. The visualization should enable users to intuitively identify patterns and phenomena connected to historical contexts. During the design of visual representations, special attention should be given to emphasizing data and entities related to these historical phenomena, helping users uncover meaningful insights tied to specific events or trends.
- T5 Provide Access to Detailed Book and Owner Information.** For **R4**. For deeper investigation, the tool should allow users to drill down into detailed information about specific books and owners, including identifiers, names, dates, and locations.

4 OWNERSHIPTRACKER

4.1 Toolset Description

OwnershipTracker comprises three single views and two interconnected views, enabling multi-perspective data exploration. Built with d3.js [5] and Flask [26], this section details its components.

4.1.1 Node-Link Graph with Timeline View

The Node-Link Graph with Timeline View provides an interactive visualization to explore the relationship and flow of book ownership and the temporal transitions of each book (T1, T2). The node-link graph (Fig. 3 a) represents owners – either individuals or institutions – as nodes, where the size of each node corresponds to the total number of books owned by that entity. Links between nodes represent book transfers, with arrows indicating the direction of the transfer, and the arrow width shows the number of transitions from one owner to another. The spatial arrangement of nodes is influenced by the frequency of interactions, such that owners with more frequent exchanges are displayed closer together, offering a clear representation of interconnectedness. To enhance interpretability, owner types are distinguished by shape: triangles represent institutions, while crosses indicate individual owners (see Fig. 3 i). Users can zoom in and out of the view to focus on dense clusters or overlapping connections, enabling detailed network exploration. Interactive features, such as hovering over nodes and links, reveal additional information about the owners and the specific books involved in transfers, including owner names, IDs, and book IDs (Fig. 3 ii). A search bar and selection tools (Fig. 3 b, c) allow users to isolate specific owners or books (Fig. 3 iii, iv), while filters provide further control over the visualization.

The timeline component (Fig. 3 d, e) complements the node-link graph by emphasizing the temporal aspect of book transfers. Each entry in the timeline corresponds to a book transfer and is linked to the relevant owners displayed in the graph. The timeline provides a visual summary of ownership changes over time, with distinct color coding specifying different events – imprint or provenance. By hovering over timeline entries, users can access detailed information, such as the owner’s name and the book ID, supporting an integrated exploration of relational and chronological data. The timeline (Fig. 3 d) can be interpreted as a horizontally flattened version of the polylines shown in Fig. 3 iii, offering a more precise representation of time progression. When the node-link graph (Fig. 3 a) contains a large number of entities, the timeline can serve as a close reading feature. Precisely, the selectable book IDs listed in the timeline control panel (Fig. 3 e) are synchronized with those selected in the node-link control panel (Fig. 3 b), i.e., they correspond to the books currently involved in the node-link graph.

The node-link graph and timeline offer a dual-perspective approach, allowing users to explore ownership relationships and temporal sequences simultaneously. This integrated view helps uncover patterns and trends, enabling researchers to validate hypotheses or gain new insights into historical book ownership. Its design fosters intuitive navigation and supports detailed exploration of individual transfers and broader ownership networks.

4.1.2 Spiderweb-Like View

The Spiderweb-Like View provides a focused visualization of book provenance and ownership sequences, emphasizing convergence and divergence patterns among multiple books (T2, T4). This view represents each book’s life as a distinct timeline, beginning with its initial imprint and progressing through sequential ownership stages (Fig. 4 a). Each timeline comprises nodes corresponding to an individual or institution owner at a specific time. A central feature of the spiderweb-like view is its ability to visualize shared ownership. Timelines for multiple books converge at a central node, indicating a common owner who held these books simultaneously. Divergence from the central node highlights subsequent transfers to different owners, illustrating the dispersal of collections. Colored lines connect nodes belonging to the same owner across timelines, making it easy to trace an owner’s involvement with multiple books over time. Nodes can also be color-coded to represent different owners (Fig. 4 i), further clarifying relationships in complex datasets. To distinguish the imprint from all subsequent provenance stages, the first node in each timeline is colored a bright orange, representing the starting point of each book’s journey. Due to many books being printed in similar years, these orange nodes collectively form a semi-ring near the center of the visualization, emphasizing the temporal clustering of initial imprints. To focus only on the converging




Fig. 3: The Node-Link Graph with Timeline View: (a) the display area for the node-link diagram; (b) and (c) are control panels for searching and selecting books and owners, respectively. (i) shows the result when users choose to display owner types, while (ii) demonstrates the hover-over text annotation and highlighting feature. (iii) displays the zoomed-in view with a selected book, and (iv) presents the result when an owner is selected. (d) shows the timeline display area, presenting owner information aligned in time order, while (e) provides the search and selection panel for the timeline.

and diverging trends intersecting at a single holding institution, links between nodes of the same owner can also be excluded (Fig. 4 ii).

To support detailed analysis, the Spiderweb-Like View includes two key interactive functionalities. First, users can lock a specific timeline in the spiderweb visualization, freezing the view for deeper exploration of a particular book (Fig. 4 b). This feature allows researchers to focus on a book’s provenance and associated ownership transitions without interference from other timelines. Second, the view integrates a focused sub-view located in the lower-right corner (Fig. 4 e). When a timeline is hovered over or locked, this focused view dynamically updates to display the subset of owners and books (timelines) directly associated with the selected book. This enables researchers to examine ownership relationships within the context of the entire dataset, highlighting how individual books connect owners across the network. Additional interactions are designed to facilitate detailed exploration in both the main and focused spiderweb views. Users can hover over nodes to reveal detailed information about owners or hover over timelines to view book-specific data. Search and selection panels (Fig. 4 b, c) allow for quick identification of specific books or ow. In contrast, detailed information about selected books is displayed in the information panel (Fig. 4 d). Zooming capabilities provide flexibility, enabling users to adjust the level of detail in the visualization to suit their analytical needs.

This view excels at uncovering patterns of ownership and provenance, particularly in historical contexts. By highlighting convergence and divergence points, researchers can identify key figures or institutions central to book circulation and examine how books moved between successive owners. Integrating the focused view and lock functionality further enriches the analysis, offering an intuitive and detailed approach to understanding the interconnected histories of books and their owners.

4.1.3 Chord Diagram View

The Chord Diagram View offers a streamlined visualization of book transfers, focusing on the quantity and flow of ownership transitions between entities (T1). In this circular layout, each segment of the outer circle represents an owner – either an individual or an institution – while the chords connecting the segments illustrate book exchanges (Fig. 5). The thickness of each chord reflects the volume of books

transferred, with thicker chords indicating larger quantities. Compared to the Node-Link Graph, which emphasizes the relational network of ownership transitions, the Chord Diagram provides a more focused perspective. It highlights the magnitude of transitions, making comparing the quantity of exchanges between different owners easier. This clarity allows researchers to quickly identify significant transfer patterns and explore the dynamics of book distribution within the dataset. Interactive features support exploration by allowing users to hover over chords to view detailed information about the books involved. Search and filtering options highlight owners’ segments, revealing all associated transfers.

4.1.4 Interconnected Views with Example Cases

The previously introduced views are designed to explore the dataset through a specific lens. But what if users want to explore the data from multiple angles simultaneously? Below, we describe two interconnected views, linking the Node-Link Graph and the Spiderweb-Like Diagram to support more holistic observation and exploration of the dataset.

Spiderweb-Like Diagram as the Main View. The Spiderweb-Like Diagram is the primary view in the interconnected visualization framework, focusing on book provenance and ownership’s temporal and relational aspects. This view emphasizes convergence and divergence patterns among multiple books, highlighting shared ownership points and subsequent dispersals. In the interconnected setup, the Spiderweb-Like Diagram is tightly linked with the Node-Link Graph, enabling researchers to explore relationships between books and owners from multiple perspectives. When users interact with the Spiderweb-Like Diagram by locking a timeline or hovering over a specific book, the corresponding data in the Node-Link Graph is dynamically highlighted. This highlights the type, relational proximity, and sequence of owners associated with the selected book.

The example in Fig. 1 demonstrates how these interconnected views function. When *Book 02017398* is hovered over and locked for exploration (a), the Spiderweb-Like Diagram (b) reveals that this book underwent seven ownership transfers, including its passage through the library of San Giorgio Maggiore of Venice, the focal library positioned at the center of the spiderweb. The focused subview (d) shows that a significant number of books share ownership with the selected book,




Fig. 4: The Spiderweb-Like Diagram View: (a) the display area for the Spiderweb, with four customizable options on top to adjust the layout – when ‘show links between same owner’ is deselected, (i) and (ii) are the display with or without selecting ‘differentiate owners’; (b) the search & selection panel for books with the freeze function; (c) the search & selection panel for owners; (d) detailed information panel displaying book or owner details; (e) the focused subview showing only the timelines and nodes associated with the selected book.




Fig. 5: The Chord Diagram View: The chord diagram (left) and the control panel (right).

excluding the library of San Giorgio Maggiore. Simultaneously, the Node-Link Graph (c) highlights the related owners. From the graph’s layout, we can observe that individual owners initially held the book, gradually transitioning to major libraries within the dataset. The first four owners are individuals positioned far apart, indicating minimal book transfers between them. In contrast, the latter three owners are libraries positioned closer together, suggesting frequent exchanges of books among them.

Node-Link Graph as the Main View. When using the Node-Link Graph as the primary view for exploration, the starting point shifts to the owners rather than the book provenance timeline. As previously mentioned, this graph represents owners as nodes and book transfers as directed links, with node size reflecting the total number of books owned and spatial proximity indicating the frequency of exchanges between owners. In the interconnected setup, the Node-Link Graph is linked with the Spiderweb-Like Diagram to enable exploration from both network and temporal perspectives. When users interact with the Node-Link Graph by selecting an owner or hovering over a link

between nodes, the Spiderweb-Like Diagram updates to display the book timelines and the shared ownership links associated with the selected elements. This interactivity highlights book details while preserving their connections within the broader ownership network.

The example in Fig. 6 illustrates this functionality. When a specific owner, *Andrea Mocenigo* (ID: 1625), is selected from the owner selection panel (a), the point is highlighted in purple as the focal owner in the Node-Link Graph (b). Related owner nodes are also highlighted, and in this case, only one large orange node is visible, indicating that the Mocenigo family’s private collection in this dataset was entirely transferred to the library of San Giorgio Maggiore of Venice. To explore the temporal aspect further, the linked Spiderweb-Like Diagram (c) reveals that eight books are involved. Initially owned by Mocenigo, these books were transferred to San Giorgio Maggiore’s library around 1493–1500 and then dispersed to different owners after 1700. Observing these subsequent owners, the crossing lines in distinct colors indicate significant overlap, suggesting shared ownership connections. Using the Node-Link Graph as the main view allows researchers to focus on identifying key owners, analyzing high activity, and tracing broader relational trends in ownership transfers. This perspective adds depth to the analysis, particularly regarding ownership relationships.

4.2 Design Iterations

This section describes the iterative design process undertaken with domain experts and the rationale behind the visualization designs. Guided by the ‘Nine-Stage Framework’ [39], the development of Ownership-Tracker progressed through three main stages.

Stage 1: Initial Prototyping With the provided data and the primary design goal of visualizing ownership relationships, while incorporating the temporal nature of book transfers, we began with simple but intuitive prototypes. We presented the domain expert with several network-based visualizations, including a temporal network [14] – a visualization we considered capable of representing both temporal progression and relationships among entities – as well as a force-directed graph [30]. However, from the viewpoint of the domain expert, the temporal network did not clearly convey the progression of time, and the bundled links made the force-directed graph difficult to interpret. She referred to our previous work [42] in which the provenance and temporal features were handled through a separate timeline, allowing linked interaction and coordination between views. Therefore, in the




Fig. 6: The interconnected view with the Node-Link Graph as the main view: (a) the control panel for searching and selecting books/owners; (b) the Node-Link Graph, where one owner is selected (in purple), with the related owner (in orange); (c) the linked Spiderweb-Like Diagram, displaying all book timelines associated with the selected owner.

initial prototype, a node-link graph was used to represent network relationships between owners, while a timeline depicted the trading history of individual books. Although the link width in the node-link graph provided a rough indication of flow quantity, it lacked sufficient clarity for direct comparison. The chord diagram is introduced to address this limitation, offering a more straightforward method for quantifying book transfers. Informal user testing during this stage facilitated discussions on design refinements, such as using arrows instead of gradient colors to indicate direction, adjusting highlighting colors, and improving control and filter functionalities.

Stage 2: Introducing the Spiderweb-Like Diagram Inspired by the initial prototype, the domain expert proposed a new visualization concept through hand-drawn sketches, leading to the creation of the Spiderweb-Like Diagram. Her design emphasized a specific domain insight: within the data, certain libraries held significant historical importance as central points through which many books passed. While the initial prototype could identify several major libraries, it could not effectively convey the pattern of books converging at and subsequently dispersing from these libraries. The domain expert sought a visualization highlighting this historical context, emphasizing the impact of key events and the number of books influenced. To achieve this, she positioned the most influential library at the center of the spiderweb, with timelines for individual books radiating outward. This design reflects convergence and divergence patterns, with lines connecting nodes to represent books shared by the same owner across different timelines. While the visualization resembles a spiderweb, it is fundamentally distinct from radar charts, commonly referred to as spiderweb charts. When the visualization expert implemented this prototype, the domain expert particularly appreciated how clearly the central convergence of book movements was depicted, especially around one pivotal library. However, visualization researchers found the output less intuitive to navigate from a usability perspective. Through continued discussions with the domain expert, we agreed to retain the overall layout while introducing additional customizable interactive features. These included a freeze view function, a simplified subview for close inspection, and options for highlighting specific books or owners and providing hover-over explanatory text. To further reduce the visual clutter caused by intersecting lines representing shared ownership, we applied the Apriori algorithm [18] to cluster the raw data. This enabled timelines with more shared owners to be positioned closer together, making ownership connections more visually discernible. During this stage, the domain expert's knowledge and insights heavily influenced the design process, ensuring the visualization aligned with the contextual needs of historical research.

Stage 3: Interconnected Views for Complex Analysis After finaliz-

ing the previous prototypes, the domain was preferred for both designs, noting that each contributed to different aspects of data exploration. Desired for a more integrated perspective combining insights from ownership relationships and book provenance. This feedback led to developing two interconnected views, enabling seamless data exploration from multiple perspectives. The interaction design focused on linking between ownership-focused and temporal-focused visualizations. The design rationale behind the two interconnected views involved splitting the interface into two parts: the visualizations on the left serve as the primary exploration area, where users interact with components and initiate data queries, while the visualizations on the right are synchronized with these queries, highlighting related data from a different analytical perspective. We linked the *Node-Link Graph with the Timeline View* and the *Spiderweb-Like View*, alternately assigning them as the main or secondary panels. This resulted in two interconnected views, allowing users to choose their preferred entry point based on their analytical focus.

When the two interconnected views were implemented, we sought the domain expert's feedback by comparing them with the previously developed individual views. We aimed to understand whether the interconnected views are a substitute for the standalone ones. However, the domain expert preferred to retain all five views in the tool, emphasizing the value of offering users multiple options. She noted that the individual views, with more screen space dedicated to each visualization, were better suited for presenting data to others. In contrast, the interconnected views provided more substantial analytical support and were more appropriate for her in-depth exploration. Based on this feedback, we implemented all five views in the tool, accessible through different tabs.

5 USE CASE: EXPLORING OWNERSHIP TRANSFERS DURING THE NAPOLEONIC ERA

Beyond the example cases introduced earlier, we report a specific use case from expert testing. This scenario focuses on the historical context of the Napoleonic era, during which religious institutions across Europe, including San Giorgio Maggiore, were systematically suppressed and their assets confiscated. Manuscripts from these institutions were seized as cultural artifacts and relocated to repositories such as Paris's Bibliothèque nationale de France (BnF).

To investigate this historical event, the domain expert uses the interconnected view to explore the dataset, starting with libraries in Paris. Utilizing the search and selection panel, they entered "Paris" and selected the Bibliothèque nationale de France (BnF) as the focal point, as shown in Fig. 7. The selected data is visualized simultaneously in




Fig. 7: A screenshot of the interconnected view during user testing, with the Node-Link Graph as the main view and the Spiderweb-Like Diagram linked for contextual exploration.

the Node-Link Graph and the Spiderweb-Like Diagram. By interacting with the Node-Link Graph, hovering over nodes, and zooming in, the expert observed that Paris BnF received books from several sources, including three libraries from Venice and individual owners. Among these, San Giorgio Maggiore in Venice was identified as the largest contributor. Its node, represented as a large triangle, was positioned closest to Paris BnF, and the connecting link was the thickest, indicating the highest volume of transfers.

Switching to the linked Spiderweb-Like Diagram, the expert quantified the number of books in the dataset held by Paris BnF and confirmed that most of them were transferred directly from San Giorgio Maggiore. By hovering over ownership points along the timelines; they accessed detailed information about each owner involved in the transfers. This visualization provided empirical support for historical records, echoing known historical events. The domain expert praised the interconnected views for their ability to clearly present and quantify these transfers, showcasing the historical impact of Napoleon’s expropriation policies.

6 EVALUATION

To evaluate the usefulness and usability of *OwnershipTracker* in meeting domain-specific tasks and requirements, we conducted a two-phase evaluation comprising a domain user survey and in-depth expert interviews.

6.1 Domain User Survey

6.1.1 Methodology

The domain user survey was conducted to evaluate the usability and functionality of the pilot version of *OwnershipTracker* before its deployment among historians and researchers specializing in historical book studies. The survey was distributed via the online platform Qualtrics [38] and explicitly targeted the intended user group. To reach this niche group, an expert sampling [23] approach was employed, with the domain expert collaborating on the project and distributing the survey link to colleagues and relevant professionals in the field. During the distribution process, the domain expert was already familiar with the tool and was a liaison, introducing tool features to the participants and addressing any questions they had. To help participants become familiar with the tool more quickly, the questionnaire was designed to incorporate demonstration videos for each feature, accompanied by detailed descriptions and annotated screenshots. The survey was launched on June 28, 2024, and garnered 23 responses in total.

The survey design included the following key components:

- **User Background:** Questions aimed to gather participants’ general demographic information, familiarity with visualization techniques, and prior experience with visualization tools.
- **Evaluation of Each Views:** Separate sections of each of *OwnershipTracker*’s views, including Node-Link Graph view, Spiderweb-Like Diagram view, Chord Diagram view, and the two interconnected views. Participants evaluated the usability and usefulness of the tools’ functionalities and components, focusing on metrics such as learnability, understandability, ease of use,

and the helpfulness and effectiveness of the designed features in supporting domain tasks.

- **Recruitment for Further Studies:** Participants were invited to volunteer for future in-depth evaluations at the survey’s end.

The survey combined Likert-scale questions for quantitative feedback with open-ended questions for qualitative insights, supported by screenshots and demo videos showcasing the tool’s features.

6.1.2 Results

Participants’ Knowledge and Experience The survey responses indicated diverse participants’ familiarity with visualization tools. Regarding their visualization knowledge, **26%** rated their expertise as very poor or poor, **26%** as neutral, and **48%** as good or above. When asked about prior experience with visualization tools, **57%** of respondents indicated they had used such tools before, while **43%** reported no previous experience.

Feedback on Individual Views The survey results highlighted several strengths and areas for improvement in *OwnershipTracker*, particularly its usability and functionality. Respondents found *OwnershipTracker* generally effective in visualizing ownership relationships and book provenance. The Node-Link Graph and Spiderweb-Like Diagram were praised for their intuitive design and representation of complex relationships. However, some participants suggested that providing more interpretation guidance could improve the Chord Diagram.

The **Node-Link Graph with Timeline View** received positive feedback for its understandability and effectiveness in visualizing ownership networks, particularly in identifying major ownership hubs and highlighting transfer flows. Quantitative analysis of Likert-scale responses revealed that **69%** of participants rated node size to represent the number of books held as moderately or highly effective, while **59%** found the proximity of nodes to visualize transaction frequency useful. Similarly, directional arrows to indicate book flow between owners were rated positively by **77%** of respondents, and **73%** appreciated the use of different shapes to distinguish owner types. The visualization of transaction frequency through the thickness of lines between nodes was found effective by **50%** of participants. Interactive functionalities were particularly well-received. Features such as highlighting selected components, color encoding for distinguishing elements, and the search and selection panel were rated as either somewhat useful or extremely useful by over **85%** of respondents. Among these, the owner selection panel was identified as the most appreciated feature, with **96%** of participants highlighting its utility for centralizing and interacting with a selected owner (as shown in Fig. 3 iv). Participants suggested minor refinements, such as improving node labels to include both owner IDs and names for easier identification.

The **Spiderweb-Like Diagram View** was praised for its innovative approach to visualizing book provenance timelines, particularly for its effectiveness in showcasing convergence and divergence patterns among books. The responses exceeded our expectations regarding the learnability and understandability of this non-traditional visualization. We anticipated challenges for users unfamiliar with this type of visualization, yet **57%** of participants found the spiderweb-like diagram somewhat or extremely clear and easy to understand, **10%** rated it neutral. Annotations on the spiderweb diagram were also well-received. **77%** of participants preferred displaying the year on the radial timelines, highlighting the importance of clear temporal markers. From a visualization research perspective, we were concerned that the dense layout of the spiderweb might hinder users’ ability to analyze the data effectively. To address this, we implemented a zoom functionality to reduce visual clutter. When asked about the ease of navigating and understanding the charts, particularly in data-intensive areas, the results again exceeded expectations: **81%** of participants rated the diagram as moderately or above moderately easy to navigate and understand. However, one ended response noted: “*Too many lines overlapping with each other; lines too dense in the middle.*” This feedback underscores the need for further refinement in handling data-dense areas. As with other views, participants praised the interactivity integrated into the visualization. When asked about features such as mouse hovering,




Fig. 8: Six designs: (a) original; (b) starting point highlighted in orange; (c) starting point & prior line segments in orange; (d) spatial segmentation with starting point in orange; (e) spatial segmentation with starting points & prior lines in orange; (f) spatial segmentation with starting point & prior lines in distinct colors.

highlighting, and the control and information display panel design, **88%** agreed these features were helpful. Nevertheless, a few users suggested adding interactive filtering options to isolate specific ownership relationships, enabling more data exploration data.

The *Chord Diagram View* was well-received for its ability to quantify book transfers, with **82%** of participants strongly or somewhat agreeing that the chord diagram effectively represents exchanges between different owners through intuitive visual strings. Regarding interactivity and annotations, **95%** agreed it is easy to trace nodes to chords for transactions and that the table aids in linking owner names to IDs. In open-ended comments, a few participants mentioned that the visualization was very new to them and the circular layout was somewhat confusing. They suggested adding a brief hint or explanation on how to read the visualization directly on the page.

The *Interconnected Views* were described as standout features enabling seamless dataset exploration from multiple perspectives. For the Spiderweb-Like Diagram as the main view, **91%** of participants indicated a moderate or higher level of effectiveness in identifying related owners and understanding a book’s circulation path when hovering over a radial timeline and observing the highlighted components in the Node-Link Graph. For the Node-Link Graph as the main view, **86%** of participants strongly or somewhat agreed that it is easy to see the corresponding highlights on the Spiderweb-Like Diagram when selecting a node in the graph. Across both interconnected views, **91%** of participants found the linking feature very or extremely effective in helping them understand the relationships between book owners.

Refinements to OwnershipTracker Survey results prompted a key refinement to *OwnershipTracker*: modifying the dense radial timelines to enhance readability by distinguishing provenance before and after the critical library, with four alternative designs proposed (Fig. 8 b-e). After discussing these designs with the lead domain expert, we implemented the version that increased the gap between the two sections, dividing the circular layout into upper and lower sectors and using different segment colors (Fig. 8 e) to enhance clarity. Additional enhancements include adjusting unselected node transparency to highlight selected elements and displaying detailed owner information on hover.

6.2 Expert Interview

6.2.1 Methodology

Three domain experts, recruited from the previous survey, volunteered to participate in in-depth expert interviews to evaluate the refined version of *OwnershipTracker*. All three experts have extensive experience working on the 15cBookTrade Project [15], are highly familiar with the MEI dataset, and represent potential tool users. The interviews

Order of Procedure	Activities	Duration
Preliminary Preparation	1) Warming-up 2) Tool demonstration	30 min
Think-aloud Evaluation	1) Test in the wild 2) Test via predefined tasks	40 min
Reflective Discussions	1) Reflection on the tool 2) Future direction	20 min

Table 1: Evaluation Procedure and Duration.

were conducted via MS Teams as online meetings and followed a semi-structured format. A think-aloud protocol was applied during user testing activities. The procedure and the duration of activities are outlined in Table 1.

Each session started with a brief introduction to the evaluation settings and procedures. The researcher then shared their screen to guide participants through the visualizations, highlighting enhancements. Participants followed by sharing their screens and engaging in user testing while thinking aloud. During the think-aloud sessions, participants were first encouraged to interact with the tool freely, exploring its features in an unguided “Test in the Wild” phase. This allowed us to observe the tool’s use without explicit direction and gather insights into their natural workflows. Subsequently, participants were asked to perform specific tasks derived from the pre-defined domain requirements and design tasks. These tasks were grouped by visualization view and designed to test the functionality and usability of each component in the tool. The sessions concluded with a reflective discussion on the usability of *OwnershipTracker*, focusing on how well it addressed domain requirements. Participants also provided feedback on potential improvements to the tool.

6.2.2 Results

Overall, the refined tool’s usability was rated positively. Improved features such as making unfocused components transparent significantly enhanced the clarity of visualizations. Additionally, the inclusion of hover-over annotations with domain-related identifiers received favorable feedback. As in previous evaluations, as noted by all three participants, the zooming feature was highly praised for its utility in detailed data observation, particularly in the Node-Link Graph and Spiderweb-Like Diagram. Referring to the usability indicators proposed by Nielsen [36], participants’ feedback and performance indicated good levels of *satisfaction*, *efficiency*, and *low error rates*. Regarding *learnability*, participants could quickly understand and use most of the feat. However, it should be noted that they were already somewhat familiar with the tool, as shown by screenshots and demo videos during the previous survey.

While the improved Spiderweb-Like Diagram was commended for its enhanced clarity, further refinements were suggested for better representation of imprint points. As one participant noted: “*Although I appreciate the improvement made to partition the spiderweb, which makes it easier to distinguish the periods before and after San Giorgio, the place of printing should be clearly marked as the starting point. This should be followed by the book’s journey to San Giorgio and then its path to where it is today. Can we make the imprint point more visible, as it represents the beginning of each timeline?*” In response to this feedback, we decided to use a single distinct color to represent imprint nodes and to differentiate line segment colors for the periods before and after the intersection point. This adjustment transformed the Spiderweb-Like Diagram from Fig. 8 e to f, where imprint points are now displayed in bright orange, while blue and black line segments represent the paths before and after intersection, respectively.

The tool’s usefulness was assessed based on how well it supports achieving domain requirements. From the interview transcripts, all participants found *OwnershipTracker* highly effective in providing insights and visual clues into ownership relationships, as well as in integrating provenance sequences with ownership data (**R1**, **R2**). The *Node-Link Graph with Timeline View* was praised for its ability to visualize ownership relationships and quantify the number of books possessed by each owner (**R3**), helping to identify influential ownerships. The timeline served as a helpful auxiliary visualization, allowing participants to

examine a book’s provenance in conjunction with its relationships with other owners. The *Spiderweb-Like Diagram View* was recognized for its innovative design in combining provenance sequences with ownership relationships (R2). Participants noted that the final visualization effectively represented a historical phenomenon and provided a comprehensive view of book ownership transitions (R3). The *Chord Diagram View* was highlighted for its effectiveness in quantifying ownership transitions between owners (R3). However, participants tended to spend less time on this view, noting that while it provided straightforward information, its scope was more limited compared to the other views. The *Interconnected Views* were described as a standout feature, enabling participants to explore ownership and provenance from complementary perspectives and enhancing the analytical capabilities of the tool. One participant remarked: “When I’m focusing on single views, for example, the spiderweb, I start to think about ownership relations, [...], being able to use the owner selection from the Node-Link Graph in parallel provides more information...”. While testing the Interconnected View with the Node-Link Graph as the main view, one participant provided a use case tied to a specific historical context, as discussed in Section 5.

In summary, the usability and usefulness of all the designed visualization views were positively evaluated. The designed views effectively addressed domain requirements with analytical purposes (R1, R2, R3). Although the Interconnected View was highly praised for supporting complex analysis, the three single views were retained for their advantages in providing larger, clear, full-screen layouts. Additionally, interactions such as hover-over functionality with detailed information on individual books and own to support R4 effectively.

7 DISCUSSION AND LIMITATIONS

While we have previously collaborated with historical book researchers on several projects, this is the first time we transitioned from focusing on provenance and mapping trajectories to exploring ownership relationships. This shift introduced both challenges and opportunities, requiring us to rethink how data and relationships could be visualized to address domain research questions. For a discipline like history, where visualization and data science have traditionally been less integrated, domain experts often approach these methods with caution and varying levels of familiarity. Our user survey reflected this, with 26% of domain researchers rating their knowledge of visualization as poor or very poor. Therefore, in the project’s early stages before the second design iteration, the visualization team proposed and demonstrated different chart types and potential solutions, helping domain experts explore data presentation and analysis options. Showcasing a variety of visualization types and discussing user preferences from the domain were essential. These activities supported the development of shared understanding and improved domain experts’ visualization literacy, enhancing collaboration and informing subsequent design discussions.

7.1 Visualization Tailored to Domain Context

One of the most surprising and rewarding moments occurred during the second design iteration. The Spiderweb-Like Diagram originated as a sketch by a domain expert inspired by her understanding of historical facts. Specifically, the library of San Giorgio Maggiore, a critical holding institution, played a pivotal role in the dataset, with nearly every book copy passing through this library at some point. The design emphasized the convergence of book provenance lines at San Giorgio and their subsequent dispersal, visually representing the library’s historical significance. This design was valuable evidence for presenting the library’s impact and highlighted the strength of interdisciplinary collaboration, where domain knowledge directly informed visualization design. This process underscored the importance of integrating insights from both disciplines – domain expertise and visualization research – into the design process. Arriving at such a design would have been difficult without the domain expert’s historical knowledge. This highlights the value of a collaborative approach over a traditional client-software engineer model. A key challenge was assessing how non-traditional users would perceive the Spiderweb-Like Diagram. It became a focus in evaluations, receiving extensive feedback for iterative refinements to enhance usability and understanding.

7.2 Debates and Compromises in Spiderweb Design

One area of debate during the Spiderweb-Like Diagram’s development was the alignment of year annotations along the timelines. The domain expert envisioned a convergence of book provenance lines at the San Giorgio library, shaped by common movement patterns. However, provenance lines inherently have a temporal aspect, as the library held each book at different times. From a visualization research perspective, they aligned the timelines by location while ignoring temporal order, which introduced inconsistencies, as the diagram used a temporal representation to convey geographical aggregation. When we attempted to align timelines by actual years, the visual emphasis on convergence and dispersal – the hallmark of this design – was diminished. This compromise led us to adopt an alternative approach: using sequential order as the basis for the provenance timelines, where each line represents the order in which a book transitioned between owners. Year information was included as annotated text adjacent to the points. While this preserved the historical significance of the convergence and divergence patterns, it raises an open question: Can we find a better way to balance the historical emphasis on convergence with a more precise temporal representation of holding periods? This remains a topic worth further exploration.

7.3 Tailored Solutions May Fall Short in Scalability

From the visualization researchers’ perspective, the design of the Spiderweb-Like Diagram is highly tailored to the specific characteristics of the domain case. The design process was fully participative, primarily driven by the domain expert’s hand-drawn sketches and contextual insights. While the domain expert greatly appreciated the final outcome, particularly for its ability to emphasize the importance of the central library more effectively than any other visual solution we explored, it is important to acknowledge its potential limitations in terms of scalability.

The Spiderweb-Like Diagram performs adequately for the current case, which includes 181 book copies. This number aligns with the typical working scale of domain experts using the MEI dataset, who often focus on subsets of around 100-200 book copies at a time. However, the visualization could become cluttered and difficult to interpret if applied to datasets involving significantly more records. Future research could investigate visual or data-driven grouping methods to improve scalability and maintain clarity as data volume increases.

In addition, the solution is tightly coupled to a specific structural pattern in the dataset – namely, the presence of a dominant library that creates a visible convergence-and-divergence flow. The design was proposed because the domain expert is already familiar with the data and its historical context. The current design would require substantial adaptation for datasets with multiple important libraries or without any clearly central institutions.

This raises broader questions about the nature of domain-specific design studies. When the domain problem is highly contextual, and the users are a small, specialized group with deep subject expertise, the definition of a “good” visualization can diverge significantly from general-purpose design principles. For visualization researchers involved in such projects, determining how much to prioritize scalability – versus addressing the nuanced needs of the domain – can be a complex decision. While we are satisfied with having developed a solution that the domain expert found genuinely helpful for her analytical context, the extent to which such a tailored design contributes to the broader visualization research community remains an open and ongoing discussion within the field of domain visualization design.

8 CONCLUSION

In this work, we introduced *OwnershipTracker*, a visual analytics application designed to support domain experts in exploring book ownership relationships and temporal provenance. The application was developed in close collaboration with domain experts, involving multiple iterative refinements and a summative evaluation. Beyond the tool itself, we reflected on our co-design experience and shared lessons learned from working in a humanities context. We hope this work contributes to visualization design studies, especially in digital humanities settings.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was partly supported by the King's-China Scholarships Council PhD Scholarship programme (K-CSC) and the King's Undergraduate Research Fellowships (KURF). We would like to thank all the participants who participated in the survey and interviews for their time and valuable contributions.

REFERENCES

- [1] E. Alexander, J. Kohlmann, R. Valenza, M. Witmore, and M. Gleicher. Serendip: Topic model-driven visual exploration of text corpora. In *2014 IEEE Conference on Visual Analytics Science and Technology (VAST)*, pp. 173–182, 2014. doi: [10.1109/VAST.2014.7042493](https://doi.org/10.1109/VAST.2014.7042493) 2
- [2] B. Bach, E. Pietriga, and J.-D. Fekete. Graphdiaries: Animated transitions and temporal navigation for dynamic networks. *IEEE Transactions on Visualization and Computer Graphics*, 20(5):740–754, 2014. doi: [10.1109/TVCG.2013.254](https://doi.org/10.1109/TVCG.2013.254) 2
- [3] F. Beck, M. Burch, S. Diehl, and D. Weiskopf. A taxonomy and survey of dynamic graph visualization. *Computer Graphics Forum*, 36(1):133–159, 2017. doi: [10.1111/cgf.12791](https://doi.org/10.1111/cgf.12791) 2
- [4] A. Benito-Santos and R. T. Sánchez. A data-driven introduction to authors, readings, and techniques in visualization for the digital humanities. *IEEE Comput. Graph. Appl.*, 40(3):45–57, 2020. doi: [10.1109/MCG.2020.2973945](https://doi.org/10.1109/MCG.2020.2973945) 2
- [5] M. Bostock. D3.js - data-driven documents. <https://d3js.org/>, 2011. Accessed: 2024-11-21. 3
- [6] A. J. Bradley, M. El-Assady, K. Coles, E. Alexander, M. Chen, C. Collins, S. Jänicke, and D. J. Wrisley. Visualization and the digital humanities: Moving toward stronger collaborations. *IEEE Comput. Graph. Appl.*, 38(6):26–38, 2018. doi: [10.1109/MCG.2018.2878900](https://doi.org/10.1109/MCG.2018.2878900) 2
- [7] G. D. Cantareira, Y. Xing, N. Cole, R. Borgo, and A. Abdul-Rahman. Exploring interpersonal relationships in historical voting records. *Computer Graphics Forum*, 42(3):211–221, 2023. doi: [10.1111/cgf.14824](https://doi.org/10.1111/cgf.14824) 2
- [8] C. CERL. Holding Institutions, 2015. 2
- [9] C. CERL. Material Evidence in Incunabula, 2015. 2
- [10] C. CERL. Owners of Incunabula, 2015. 2
- [11] D. Chang, Y. Ge, S. Song, N. Coleman, J. Christensen, and J. Heer. Visualizing the republic of letters. *Stanford: Stanford University*. Retrieved April, 21:2014, 2009. 2
- [12] C. Collins and S. Carpendale. Vislink: Revealing relationships amongst visualizations. *IEEE Transactions on Visualization and Computer Graphics*, 13(6):1192–1199, 2007. doi: [10.1109/TVCG.2007.70521](https://doi.org/10.1109/TVCG.2007.70521) 2
- [13] T. N. Dang, N. Pendar, and A. G. Forbes. Timearcs: Visualizing fluctuations in dynamic networks. *Computer Graphics Forum*, 35(3):61–69, 2016. doi: [10.1111/cgf.12882](https://doi.org/10.1111/cgf.12882) 2
- [14] N. A. R. de Almeida Passos, E. Carlini, and S. Trani. Networkx-temporal: Building, manipulating, and analyzing dynamic graph structures, 2024. doi: [10.5281/zenodo.13956069](https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.13956069) 5
- [15] C. Dondi. “15cBooktrade”: An evidence-based assessment and visualization of the distribution, sale and reception of printed books in the renaissance. *Gazette du livre médiéval*, 60(1):83–101, 2013. 2, 8
- [16] C. Dondi. Provenance records in the cerl thesaurus and in material evidence in incunabula. *PROVENIO: The Methodology of Book Provenance Research, themed issue of Sborník Národního muzea/Acta Musei Nationalis Pragae, series C–Historia Litterarum*, 58(3/4):15–19, 2013. 2
- [17] C. Dondi. *Printing R-Evolution and Society 1450-1500*. Edizioni Ca' Foscari, feb 2020. doi: [10.30687/978-88-6969-332-8](https://doi.org/10.30687/978-88-6969-332-8) 2
- [18] J. Dongre, G. L. Prajapati, and S. V. Tokekar. The role of apriori algorithm for finding the association rules in data mining. In *2014 International Conference on Issues and Challenges in Intelligent Computing Techniques (ICICT)*, pp. 657–660, 2014. doi: [10.1109/ICICT.2014.6781357](https://doi.org/10.1109/ICICT.2014.6781357) 6
- [19] J. Drucker. *Humanities Approaches to Graphical Display*, chap. 17. Wiley Online Library, 2011. doi: [10.1002/9781118680605.ch17](https://doi.org/10.1002/9781118680605.ch17) 2
- [20] C. Dunne, N. Henry Riche, B. Lee, R. Metoyer, and G. Robertson. Graph-trail: analyzing large multivariate, heterogeneous networks while supporting exploration history. In *Proceedings of the SIGCHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*, CHI '12, 10 pages, p. 1663–1672. Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 2012. doi: [10.1145/2207676.2208293](https://doi.org/10.1145/2207676.2208293) 2
- [21] D. Edelstein, P. Findlen, G. Ceserani, C. Winterer, and N. Coleman. Historical Research in a Digital Age: Reflections from the Mapping the Republic of Letters Project. *The American Historical Review*, 122(2):400–424, 03 2017. doi: [10.1093/ahr/122.2.400](https://doi.org/10.1093/ahr/122.2.400) 2
- [22] S. v. d. Elzen, D. Holten, J. Blaas, and J. J. van Wijk. Dynamic network visualization with extended massive sequence views. *IEEE Transactions on Visualization and Computer Graphics*, 20(8):1087–1099, 2014. doi: [10.1109/TVCG.2013.263](https://doi.org/10.1109/TVCG.2013.263) 2
- [23] I. Etikan and K. Bala. Sampling and sampling methods. *Biometrics & Biostatistics International Journal*, 5(6):00149, 2017. doi: [10.15406/bbij.2017.05.00149](https://doi.org/10.15406/bbij.2017.05.00149) 7
- [24] M. Farrugia, N. Hurley, and A. Quigley. Exploring temporal ego networks using small multiples and tree-ring layouts. In *ACHI 2011 - 4th International Conference on Advances in Computer-Human Interactions*, pp. 79–88, 01 2011. 2
- [25] M. Ghoniem, J.-D. Fekete, and P. Castagliola. On the readability of graphs using node-link and matrix-based representations: A controlled experiment and statistical analysis. *Information Visualization*, 4(2):114–135, 2005. doi: [10.1057/palgrave.ivs.9500092](https://doi.org/10.1057/palgrave.ivs.9500092) 2
- [26] M. Grinberg. *Flask Web Development: Developing Web Applications with Python*. O'Reilly, 2018. 3
- [27] Y. Guo, Y. Luo, X. Chen, H. Shao, X. Yuan, and K. Xu. Visual analysis of complex temporal networks supported by analytic provenance. In *2024 IEEE Visual Analytics Science and Technology VAST Challenge*, pp. 24–25, 2024. doi: [10.1109/VASTChallenge64683.2024.00016](https://doi.org/10.1109/VASTChallenge64683.2024.00016) 2
- [28] Y. Guo, Y. Luo, K. Lu, L. Li, H. Yang, and X. Yuan. Liberroad: Probing into the journey of chinese classics through visual analytics. *IEEE Transactions on Visualization and Computer Graphics*, 30(1):529–539, 2024. doi: [10.1109/TVCG.2023.3326944](https://doi.org/10.1109/TVCG.2023.3326944) 2
- [29] N. Henry, J.-D. Fekete, and M. J. McGuffin. Nodetrix: a hybrid visualization of social networks. *IEEE Transactions on Visualization and Computer Graphics*, 13(6):1302–1309, 2007. doi: [10.1109/TVCG.2007.70582](https://doi.org/10.1109/TVCG.2007.70582) 2
- [30] D. Holten and J. J. Van Wijk. Force-directed edge bundling for graph visualization. *Computer Graphics Forum*, 28(3):983–990, 2009. doi: [10.1111/j.1467-8659.2009.01450.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-8659.2009.01450.x) 5
- [31] S. Koch, M. John, M. Wörner, A. Müller, and T. Ertl. Varifocalreader — in-depth visual analysis of large text documents. *IEEE Transactions on Visualization and Computer Graphics*, 20(12):1723–1732, 2014. doi: [10.1109/TVCG.2014.2346677](https://doi.org/10.1109/TVCG.2014.2346677) 2
- [32] C. D. Linhares, J. R. Ponciano, F. S. Pereira, L. E. Rocha, J. G. S. Paiva, and B. A. Travençolo. A scalable node ordering strategy based on community structure for enhanced temporal network visualization. *Computers & Graphics*, 84:185–198, 2019. doi: [10.1016/j.cag.2019.08.006](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cag.2019.08.006) 2
- [33] S. Majeed, M. Uzair, U. Qamar, and A. Farooq. Social network analysis visualization tools: A comparative review. In *2020 IEEE 23rd International Multitopic Conference (INMIC)*, pp. 1–6, 2020. doi: [10.1109/INMIC50486.2020.9318162](https://doi.org/10.1109/INMIC50486.2020.9318162) 2
- [34] N. McCurdy, J. Lein, K. Coles, and M. Meyer. Poemage: Visualizing the sonic topology of a poem. *IEEE Transactions on Visualization and Computer Graphics*, 22(1):439–448, 2016. doi: [10.1109/TVCG.2015.2467811](https://doi.org/10.1109/TVCG.2015.2467811) 2
- [35] J. Moody, D. McFarland, and S. Bender-deMoll. Dynamic network visualization. *American Journal of Sociology*, 110(4):1206–1241, 2005. doi: [10.1086/421509](https://doi.org/10.1086/421509) 2
- [36] J. Nielsen. *Usability Engineering*. Morgan Kaufmann Publishers Inc., San Francisco, CA, USA, 1994. 8
- [37] D. Peng, N. Lu, W. Chen, and Q. Peng. Sideknot: Revealing relation patterns for graph visualization. In *2012 IEEE Pacific Visualization Symposium*, pp. 65–72, 2012. doi: [10.1109/PacificVis.2012.6183575](https://doi.org/10.1109/PacificVis.2012.6183575) 2
- [38] Qualtrics. <https://www.qualtrics.com>, 2005. Accessed: 2024-11-21. 7
- [39] M. Sedlmair, M. Meyer, and T. Munzner. Design study methodology: Reflections from the trenches and the stacks. *IEEE Transactions on Visualization and Computer Graphics*, 18(12):2431–2440, 2012. doi: [10.1109/TVCG.2012.213](https://doi.org/10.1109/TVCG.2012.213) 5
- [40] Y. Xing, C. Dondi, R. Borgo, and A. Abdul-Rahman. A Design Study of Visualizing Historical Book Movement. In M. Agus, W. Aigner, and T. Hoell, eds., *EuroVis 2022 - Short Papers*. The Eurographics Association, 2022. doi: [10.2312/evs.20221100](https://doi.org/10.2312/evs.20221100) 1
- [41] Y. Xing, C. Dondi, R. Borgo, and A. Abdul-Rahman. Reflections on the Evolution of the BookTracker Visualization Platform. In D. Hunter and A. Slingsby, eds., *Computer Graphics and Visual Computing (CGVC)*. The Eurographics Association, 2024. doi: [10.2312/cgvc.20241234](https://doi.org/10.2312/cgvc.20241234) 2
- [42] Y. Xing, C. Dondi, R. Borgo, and A. Abdul-Rahman. Visualizing historical book trade data: An iterative design study with close collaboration with domain experts. *IEEE Transactions on Visualization and Computer Graphics*, 30(1):540–550, 2024. doi: [10.1109/TVCG.2023.3326923](https://doi.org/10.1109/TVCG.2023.3326923) 1, 5