

A Syriac-Arabic Dream-Request and Its Jewish Tradition

MICHAEL ZELLMANN-ROHRER, *University of Oxford**

Introduction

This article presents a text and interpretation of a fragment of an early modern Syriac text (Biblioteca Apostolica Vaticana, cod. Vat. sir. 469, f. 155r–v) whose content belongs to the genre of what is conventionally termed “magic.”¹ The fragment has survived in the binding of a later codex. The text, of which an edition with translation and commentary

follows this introduction, is a guide for a procedure to summon up a mantic dream. The instructions are in Syriac (both language and script), but the central invocation addresses several supernatural powers in Arabic, here rendered in Syriac script (*Garšūnī*),² before concluding in Syriac. As the discussion following the edition will be concerned to show, its makeup is yet more complex than its linguistic composition, as it participates in a tradition of such recipes for compelling significant dreams attested from the late ancient ritual papyri of Roman Egypt, and well-represented in late ancient and medieval Jewish magical treatises and handbooks.

* I am grateful to Alessia Bellusci, Gideon Bohak, and Alexandre Roberts for discussion of the text and comments on earlier drafts, and to the anonymous reviewers of the journal for further helpful criticisms; all remaining errors are my own.

¹ Although the term “magic” is now generally recognized as inadequate, it is maintained here as a convenient shorthand for instrumental religion deployed in the private sphere, following the convention of R. L. Gordon, “Charaktères Between Antiquity and the Renaissance: Transmission and Re-Invention,” in *Les savoirs magiques et leur transmission de l'Antiquité à la Renaissance*, ed. Véronique Dasen and J.-M. Spieser (Florence, 2014), 253 n. 1. G. Veltri prefers a definition as “a hermeneutic effort to interpret and change reality” relying on relationships between actor and audience: *A Mirror of Rabbinic Hermeneutics: Studies in Religion, Magic, and Language Theory in Ancient Judaism* (Berlin, 2015), 125. As the present study is concerned with the tradition and transmission of the lore itself, rather than contemporary or modern perceptions thereof, the debate over the category of magic is outside of its scope, and the internal terminology will be maintained whenever possible.

² On *Garšūnī* orthography, see A. Mengozzi, “The History of Garshuni as a Writing System: Evidence from the Rabbula Codex,” in *CAMSEMUD 2007. Proceedings of the 13th Italian Meeting of Afro-Asiatic Linguistics Held in Udine, May 21st–24th, 2007*, ed. F. M. Fales and G. F. Grassi (Padua, 2010), 297–304; G. A. Kiraz, *Türrāš Mamllā: A Grammar of the Syriac Language. Volume 1. Orthography* (Piscataway, NJ, 2012), 289–352; E. Balicka-Witakowski et al., *The Hidden Pearl. 2. The Heirs of the Ancient Aramaic Heritage* (Rome, 2001), 261–62. On Arabic written in Syriac script (“Syro-Arabic”), which can be found as early as the tenth century, see most recently M. R. Zammit, “British Library Add. 14,493: A Very Early *Garšūnī* Text,” *Journal of Semitic Studies* 59 (2014); Kiraz, *Türrāš*, 294–98; J. Assfalg, “Arabische Handschriften in syrischer Schrift (*Karšūnī*),” in *Grundriss der arabischen Philologie. Band I: Sprachwissenschaft*, ed. W. Fischer (Wiesbaden, 1982), 297–302.

771c–72a s.v.⁶ The dotted ܐ in the Syriac presumably serves to distinguish /t/ from /t̥/, which would be expected under ordinary Syriac phonetical rules, i.e. Arabic ܬ from ܬ̥.

والدفين) **مَدْفِي**: for **مَدْفِي**, the Arabic alif being omitted after the prefixed consonant; for the frequent occurrence of this kind of omission in Syriac orthography, see E. Littmann's *Syriac Inscriptions*.⁷ The root **دَفَن** might more specifically suggest burial, such that the Arabic invocation, at least, could be seen to target buried treasure: for the common hope of finding buried treasure by the divinatory conjuration of spirits, see below.

مَكَان: despite the absence of a marked long a-vowel, the context guarantees a transcription of Arabic مكان rather than a form of the root مَكَن.

حَقَّ: for Arabic حَقَّ. On the vocalization, compare **حَقَّ** above, for standard Arabic حَقَّ; for the construction, governing the entity by whom an oath is made, see J. Blau, *A Dictionary of Medieval Judaeo-Arabic Texts* (Jerusalem, 2006), 137a s.v. حَقَّ.

אֲשֶׁר יִתְחַסְּדוּ יָדָיו [יָד] בְּזֶבֶד מַלְאָכָה: drawn from Exodus 3:14. The Hebrew text of this verse can be found in Greek transliteration in the Roman period on a metal apotropaic amulet discovered in Wales,⁸ and later diffused in Hebrew, Aramaic, Syriac, and Arabic magical texts.⁹ The core phrase from Exodus is found in two dream request procedures in New York, Jewish Theological Seminary MS 8128,¹⁰ in both cases accompanied by the recitation of select Psalms. A handbook from the Cairo Geniza¹¹ gives formulae for two dream-requests (**שאיילת חלום**), both of which involve ritual purification and ritual text. The second formula includes Psalm 23, while the first gives a longer invocation to be written on the left hand, including

¹² שדי שדי אהיה אשר אהיה; the Hebrew portion of the Greek treasure-hunting invocation discussed below also contains a variant of this phrase (בשם אהיה אשר בשם אל יהיה ובשם יה אהיה); perhaps preceded by שדי if that is the correct expansion of the abbreviation (באֵשׁ). In Syriac, the full combination is found in e.g. the apotropaic invocations edited by H. Gollancz, *Book of Protection* rec. A §4, ܒܫܡܐ ܕܝܗܘܐ ܕܥܠܡܐ ܕܩܕܝܫܐ ܕܢܚܬܐ ܕܝܗܘܐ ܕܥܠܡܐ ܕܩܕܝܫܐ.

١٣. الوحا الوحا العجل العجل الساعة الساعة (ششبة): the close of one incantation for erotic purposes runs *Lexicon*, 1366a–b s.v.)? As it stands, the phrase might refer to the Arabic سعى in the sense of “to move briskly,” form VIII with the derived سعى, “running, hastening”: Lane, *Lexicon*, 1437a, 1439a s.v.v.; or سنى, “brightly,” “easily,” Lane, *Lexicon*, 1448b–49c s.v. This and the following two repeated adverbs are comparable to the closing ḥōḥ ḥōḥ, ταχὺ ταχὺ, “now, now, quickly, quickly,” ubiquitous at the close of invocations in the Greek texts from ritual handbooks from Roman Egypt. A similar tricolon with doubtless the same derivation is found in a small Arabic handbook of uncertain date copied by a correspondent of Enno Littmann in 1911, gathering practices employed with the help of a female specialist by women in Cairo to manipulate the affections of men (ششبة): the close of one incantation for erotic purposes runs *Lexicon*, 1366a–b s.v.)? As it stands, the phrase might refer to the Arabic سعى in the sense of “to move briskly,” form VIII with the derived سعى, “running, hastening”: Lane, *Lexicon*, 1437a, 1439a s.v.v.; or سنى, “brightly,” “easily,” Lane, *Lexicon*, 1448b–49c s.v. This and the following two repeated adverbs are comparable to the closing ḥōḥ ḥōḥ, ταχὺ ταχὺ, “now, now, quickly, quickly,” ubiquitous at the close of invocations in the Greek texts from ritual handbooks from Roman Egypt. A similar tricolon with doubtless the same derivation is found in a small Arabic handbook of uncertain date copied by a correspondent of Enno Littmann in 1911, gathering practices employed with the help of a female specialist by women in Cairo to manipulate the affections of men (ششبة): the close of one incantation for erotic purposes runs

وَحِي وَحِي (wahī wahī): apparently for Arabic وَحِي وَحِي (wahī wahī: see Lane, *Lexicon*, 3050c s.v.), suggested by the parallel

⁶ References below to Lane, *Lexicon*, refer to E. Lane, *An Arabic-English Lexicon* (London, 1863–83).

⁷ E. Littmann, *Syriac Inscriptions*. Publications of the Princeton University Archaeological Expeditions to Syria in 1905–1905 and 1909, Division IV. Semitic Inscriptions, Section B (Leiden, 1934), x.

⁸ R. Kotansky, *Greek Magical Amulets. The Inscribed Gold, Silver, Copper, and Bronze Lamellae. Part I: Published Texts of Known Provenance* (Opladen, 1994), text no. 2.

⁹ G. Bohak, "Hebrew, Hebrew Everywhere? Notes on the Interpretation of *Voces Magicae*," in *Prayer, Magic, and the Stars in the Ancient and Late Antique World*, ed. S. Noegel, J. Walker, and B. Wheeler (University Park, PA, 2003), 74–76.

¹⁰ Edited by P. Schäfer, *Synopse zur Hekhalot-Literatur* (Tübingen, 1981), §§502–507 and §517.

¹¹ P. Schäfer and S. Shaked, *Magische Texte aus der Kairoer Geniza*, 3 vols. (Tübingen, 1994–), T.-S. K 1.28, f. 1a.11–1b16 [henceforth, *MTKG*].

¹² H. Gollancz, *The Book of Protection, Being a Collection of Charms* (London, 1912). For a garbled transliteration from Arabic into Mandaic, see M. Morgenstern and T. Alfia, “Arabic Magic Texts in Mandaic Script: A Forgotten Chapter in Near-Eastern Magic,” in „Durch Dein Wort ward jegliches Ding!“ / “Through Thy Word All Things Were Made!” II. Mandäische und Samaritanistische Tagung / 2nd International Conference of Mandaic and Samaritan Studies, ed. R. Voigt (Wiesbaden, 2013), 174.

¹³ E. Littmann, "Arabischer Liebeszauber aus Aegypten," in *Mélanges Louis Massignon* (Damascus, 1957), 88.

¹⁴ H. Ritter, ed. *Ghāyat al-ḥakīm wa-aḥaqq al-naṭījatayn bi-aṭṭagdīm. Das Ziel des Weisen* (Berlin, 1933), ed. 214 (tr. p. 226).

in the text published by Littmann, and miscopied by the scribe along similar lines as the preceding phrase in that formula (see the preceding note).

F. 155v. *Signs*: for the *charaktēres*, see also among the Syriac bowls e.g. Moriggi, *Corpus* nos. 14, line 10, and 28, line 9;¹⁵ and Gignoux, *Incantations*, Planche 1;¹⁶ and for the interspersed “magical” words, e.g. Moriggi, *Corpus* no. 15, lines 10–13, and Naveh, “Syriac Amulet.”¹⁷ On comparable signs in Arabic magical texts, see Winkler, *Siegel*;¹⁸ in Mandaean texts, see e.g. an amulet to cast out a demon, to be inscribed on a metal sheet and worn about the neck;¹⁹ they are also common in Jewish texts.²⁰ For the development of the signs in the Greek tradition: Gordon, “Charaktēres,” with *P.Oxy.* LXXXII 5304 ii 32 and the commentary there.²¹

ܦܢܝܐܠ: a similar ܦܢܝܐܠ is attested in the Jewish handbook New York Public Library, cod. Heb. 190, ed. Bohak, *Fifteenth-Century Manuscript*, MS pp. 72.12, 20 and 84.18; see also ܦܢܝܐܠ in e.g. *MTKG* II no. 22 f. 4a.6; ܦܢܝܐܠ in the dream-request ed. Schäfer, *Hekhalot* §505; and the Greek Φανούηλ, who is particularly associated with fire,²² and hence perhaps thought specially appropriate for the use of lamps here, as would an etymological association with the verb φαίνω, “reveal,” and in the passive, “appear” (as suggested by Gideon Bohak).

ܐܢܝܐܠ: the angel is not otherwise known.

ܚܕܝܐܠ: the angel ܚܕܝܐܠ is well attested in the Jewish tradition, see e.g. *MTKG* I, T.-S. K 1.37, f. 2a.7, and several places in New York Public Library, cod. Heb. 190, where he is said to be the angel “who

rained grace (*hesed*) on Joseph in Egypt” (ܚܕܝܐܠ ܥܠ ܝܫܥܝܐ ܒܥܝܬܐ ܡܝܥܝܪ).²³

ܚܕܝܐܠ: the angel ܚܕܝܐܠ is among those invoked in a dream request in a fifteenth-century Jewish magical handbook in Geneva, Bibliothèque de Genève cod. Comites Latentes 145 (ex-cod. Sassoon 290), p. 474 no. 1295, alongside ܚܕܝܐܠ as also in the present text (see above), and ܫܦܠܚܐ, compare ܫܦܠܚܐ in the present text. The related ܩܕܘܫܐܠ is called “prince of dreams” (ܫܪ ܗܚܠܐܡ) in dream-request procedures *ibid.* p. 330 no. 914, as is ܩܕܘܫܐܠ, *ibid.* p. 461 no. 1202. For ܩܕܘܫܐܠ elsewhere see also New York Public Library cod. Heb. 190, ed. Bohak, *Fifteenth-Century Manuscript*, pp. 73.9 and 110.23; Michl, “Engel,” 227 no. 174.

ܚܕܝܐܠ: not known from elsewhere, perhaps based on the same root as the well-known Raphael; see also Schwab, *Vocabulaire*, 180 s.v. ܡܪܚܦܝܐܠ, “du heurt divine,”²⁴ one of two angels assigned to inflict the penalty of death.

ܚܕܝܐܠ: the angel ܚܕܝܐܠ is attested several times in New York Public Library cod. Heb. 190, ed. Bohak, *Fifteenth-Century Manuscript*, e.g. p. 73.7, whose name probably underlies the Bariēl attested in a Coptic invocation (the so-called Rossi Gnostic treatise, p. 12.11, ed. Kropp, *Zaubertexte*, 1:72²⁵ with Michl, “Engel,” 208 no. 52).

ܚܕܝܐܠ: compare the Ἀβραήλ attested in Byzantine angelology: Michl, “Engel,” 201 no. 3.

ܚܕܝܐܠ: compare ܡܪܦܝܐܠ in New York Public Library cod. Heb. 190, ed. Bohak, *Fifteenth-Century Manuscript*, p. 234.27.

Syriac Magic

Along the way, the fragment edited here complicates and diversifies our picture of popular ritual practices transmitted in Syriac in the medieval and early modern East. Much of the scholarship thus far, corresponding to the material known thus far, has concentrated on amuletic prayers, conventionally termed “charms” in

¹⁵ M. Moriggi, *A Corpus of Syriac Incantation Bowls: Syriac Magical Texts from Late-Antique Mesopotamia* (Leiden, 2014), with photographs on pp. 83 and 142–43, respectively.

¹⁶ P. Gignoux, *Incantations magiques syriaques* (Louvain, 1987).

¹⁷ J. Naveh, “A Syriac Amulet on Leather,” *Journal of Semitic Studies* 42 (1997).

¹⁸ H. A. Winkler, *Siegel und Charaktere in der Muhammedanischen Zauberei* (Berlin, 1930).

¹⁹ E. S. Drower, *The Book of the Zodiac (Sfar Malwasia)* (London, 1949), 78 (Drower Collection no. 31, p. 122), similarly 83–84 (*ibid.*, pp. 129–30).

²⁰ G. Bohak, “The *Charaktēres* in Ancient and Medieval Jewish Magic,” *Acta Classica Universitatis Scientiarum Debreceniensis* 47 (2011), and Saar, *Jewish Love Magic*, 197–206.

²¹ N. Gonis et al., *The Oxyrhynchus Papyri* vol. LXXXII, Graeco-Roman Memoirs 103 (London, 2016).

²² See J. Michl, “Engel I–IX,” *Reallexikon für Antike und Christentum* 5 (Stuttgart, 1962), 225 no. 167.

²³ G. Bohak, ed., *A Fifteenth-Century Manuscript of Jewish Magic: MS New York Public Library, Heb. 190 (Formerly Sassoon 56)*, 2 vols. (Los Angeles, 2014) [in Hebrew], at p. 76.13; similarly 192.4–5; see also O.-P. Saar, *Jewish Love Magic: From Late Antiquity to the Middle Ages* (Leiden, 2017), 168–69.

²⁴ M. Schwab, *Vocabulaire de l'angélologie d'après les manuscrits hébreux de la Bibliothèque nationale* (Paris, 1897).

²⁵ A. Kropp, *Ausgewählte koptische Zaubertexte*, 3 vols. (Brussels, 1930–31).

the modern literature but internally titled “protections” (*nūtārā*), “bans” (*hermā*), or simply “prayers” (*šlōtā*). These are attested both in applied form as long, narrow scrolls produced for individual use,²⁶ and in prescriptions in larger handbooks, the full and accurate publication of which remains a desideratum, even as the study of their contents has advanced.²⁷ Most of the surviving texts of this kind are relatively late, dating from the 18th century onward. To their general restriction to prayers for protection from malign supernatural powers, illness, and human opponents, some noteworthy exceptions are found in the book

²⁶ See recently G. Abousamra, “Two Syriac Amulets from Hadath Grotto (Qadisha),” in *Actes du 11e Symposium Syriacum (La Valette, Malte, juillet 2012)*, *Parole de l’Orient* 38 (Kaslik, 2013). For an ancient text on a silver lamella thought to be an amulet, but perhaps instead an inscribed invocation for use in a mantic conjuration, see the discussion below.

²⁷ The most complete publication so far is Gollancz, *Book of Protection*. Some more cursory treatments are: F. Macler, “Formules magiques de l’Orient Chrétien,” *Revue de l’Histoire des Religions* 58 (1908) (description of a “charms” manuscript in the author’s collection, copied in Urmiah in 1792, with translation of select passages; no text); E. N. Mescherskaya, “The Syrian Manuscripts of Protection in Matenadaran,” *Palestinskii Sbornik* n.s. 27 (1981) (in Russian; description of four manuscripts in the Matenadaran Institute, Yerevan, Armenia, nos. 10, 19, 72a, 72b); J. P. M. van der Ploeg, *The Christians of St. Thomas in South India and their Syriac Manuscripts* (Bangalore, 1983), 223–24 (description of two “charms” in Cambridge, UL MS Add. 1167, ff. 7v–8r); A. Harrak, *Catalogue of Syriac and Garshuni Manuscripts: Manuscripts Owned by the Iraqi Department of Antiquities and Heritage* (Leuven, 2011), 86–87 (description of an East Syriac paper manuscript in the Iraq Museum, cod. 30535, of the 19th century; in the “Prayer of Saint Paul” quoted in the catalogue as a sample of the contents, the incipit ܡܠܟܐ ܕܡܪܝܢ ܡܪܝܢ ܡܪܝܢ ܡܪܝܢ ܡܪܝܢ should be translated “May the prayers of Mar Paul be with the bearers of these texts,” not “the Jewish children”). Another charm against “Edhre and boils,” is unfortunately presented only in English translation in R. J. H. Gottheil, “References to Zoroaster in Syriac and Arabic Literature,” in *Classical Studies in Honor of Henry Drisler* (New York, 1894), 31–32, from “a MS. fragment containing Syriac prayers for various occasions and charms against all manner of sicknesses” in a private collection, with an interesting reference to Zardosht (i.e. Zoroaster) prophesying the birth of Christ, and an expanded version of the adoration of the magi in which the latter, now twelve in number, take the swaddling clothes of the infant and cast them on a sacred fire at home in Persia, which is then extinguished. On the contents more generally: J. Perkins, *A Residence of Eight Years in Persia among the Nestorian Christians, with Notices of the Muhammedans* (Andover, 1843), 456; on studies of the contents see most recently E. C. D. Hunter, “Magic and Medicine amongst the Christians of Kurdistan,” in *The Christian Heritage of Iraq: Collected Papers from the Christianity of Iraq I–V Seminar Days*, ed. E. C. D. Hunter (Piscataway, NJ, 2009).

of “charms” acquired by George Percy Badger in the region of Mosul in the 1840s, unfortunately reported only as excerpts in English translation. This collection included several aggressive procedures: “charm to excite love in a woman towards a man,” with an invocation “[i]n the name of the talismans, Hazo, Tof, Misseteo, Mar Dilectos, Partos Isteeptis, Mar Jesus, Diotaros, and Maximus,” to derange the female target until she comes to the male client; a “charm to excite love in a man towards a woman,” and a “charm to destroy love and excite hatred.”²⁸

Two interesting recent additions are paper amulets found in a controlled archaeological context, a 13th-century burial of a woman and a child in a cave near modern Tripoli (Lebanon), with short but broadly comparable texts.²⁹ From earlier centuries, dating to the late ancient or early medieval period, four amulets with apotropaic texts on leather rolls are known. These are of uncertain provenance, but likely from Mesopotamia or western Persia, and owe much of their substance to borrowings from Mandaic and Jewish Babylonian Aramaic texts.³⁰ One amulet on a silver sheet, purchased at Beirut, offers an adjuration of “Bar-Theon (son of the gods?), mighty lord, holy god,” with a clearly demonological intent: the “release” of a particular demon with an Iranian-sounding name (TRPSDK) is requested, but the exact goal, beyond most likely general protection, is unclear; some sort of divination, otherwise perhaps suggested by a request to “illuminate your desire,” is otherwise unparalleled among such metal amulets.³¹ In relation to these, a Syriac reflex of the well-known Aramaic “magic

²⁸ Badger, *Nestorians*, 1:238–40.

²⁹ On the texts, see most recently Abousamra, “Two Syriac Amulets.”

³⁰ Naveh, “Syriac Amulet.” Three further texts, produced for a single client, are published by Gignoux, *Incantations magiques syriaques*. For the dependence of the latter on Mandaic and Jewish Aramaic in particular, see the review of J. W. Weselius, *Bibliotheca Orientalis* 48 (1991): 706–709. In his review, S. P. Brock, *Journal of Jewish Studies* 40 (1989): 122, suggests against Gignoux that the client and producer were more likely Christian than Jewish, and we may point particularly to the use of a trinitarian formula at the opening and closing of text II and the opening of text III. On the texts edited by Gignoux, see most recently N. H. Korsvoll and L. I. Lied, “Enoch and Baruch: Unusual Suspects in a Syriac Amulet,” *Journal of Near Eastern Studies* 75 (2016), who propose some new readings in one of them (Syr 400/3) and argue for a less confessionally-specific situation of “a wider apotropaic tradition” in the Near East.

³¹ J. Naveh and S. Shaked, eds., *Amulets and Magic Bowls: Aramaic Incantations of Late Antiquity*, 3rd ed. (Jerusalem, 1998), 62–69 no. 6: addressing ܡܪܝܢ ܡܪܝܢ ܡܪܝܢ ܡܪܝܢ ܡܪܝܢ, and referring

angel names, the last of which is written in the same diagonal orientation as the catchword on the previous page, suggesting that the text continued on a following page, now lost.

Dream Requests

As such, the new text participates in a long tradition of procedures designed to compel the apparition of mantic dreams. The genre is first attested in the Greek and Demotic Egyptian ritual handbooks preserved on papyri from Roman Egypt.⁴⁴ The Greek procedures in particular, entitled *ὄνειραιτητόν*, “dream-request,” or similar, include the use of lamps and the injunction of ritual purity, and make the claim to be proven by experience,⁴⁵ as does the Syriac text. The related means of divination by lychnomancy attested in texts of this genre, to produce an apparition of a god in the shadow cast by a lamp’s flame, also give some noteworthy parallels: ritual purity, the avoidance of speech with anyone immediately before the procedure,⁴⁶ and the inscription of magical words and signs on the wick of the lamp or the lamp itself.⁴⁷

⁴⁴ On these sources, see J. Gee, “The Structure of Lamp Divination,” in *Acts of the Seventh International Congress of Demotic Studies*, ed. K. Ryholt (Copenhagen, 2002), and most recently R. Martín Hernández, “Two Requests for a Dream Oracle: Two Different Kinds of Magical Handbook,” in *Écrire la magie dans l’antiquité. Actes du colloque international (Liège, 13–15 octobre 2011)*, ed. M. De Haro Sanchez (Liège, 2015); E. O. D. Love, *Code-switching with the Gods: The Bilingual (Old Coptic-Greek) Spells of PGM IV (P. Bibliothèque nationale supplément grec 574) and Their Linguistic, Religious, and Socio-cultural Context in Late Roman Egypt* (Berlin, 2016), 136–42.

⁴⁵ See in particular K. Preisendanz, *Papyri Graecae Magicae*, revised by A. Henrichs, 2 vols. (Stuttgart, 1973–74), P II.20–183; IV.3172–208; VII.222–49, 250–54, 255–59, 703–26.

⁴⁶ The conjunction of strictures on purity and speech may suggest a relation to the apologetic *ezib* statements prescribed in Assyrian divination rituals to precede the query itself, which show a concern with ritual purity as well as avoiding inappropriate speech: see in general I. Starr, *Queries to the Sungod: Divination and Politics in Sargonid Assyria*, State Archives of Assyria 4 (Helsinki, 1990), xxii–xxvii (I thank Seth Richardson for this reference).

⁴⁷ The so-called London-Leiden Magical Papyrus, recto col. v 1–34, ed. F. L. Griffith and H. Thompson, *The Demotic Magical Papyrus of London and Leiden*, 3 vols. (London, 1904–1909); see also *ibid.* col. vi–vii, with further words and designs to be written on the lamp itself (vi 25–26); for a single “name” to be written on the wick, as part of lamp divination with a boy as medium, *ibid.* col. xxvii 31–36. For writing on cloth probably, to be used as a wick in erotic magic in the Jewish tradition, see Saar, *Jewish Love Magic*, 22–23.

Contemporaneously, or soon after, comparable procedures circulated also in the Jewish tradition.⁴⁸ In the Hekhalot manuscripts of the so-called *Sword of Moses*, the general-purpose prayer on which the treatise is based is applied among other things to a dream-request (*שאלת חלום*).⁴⁹ The user is to write (either the prayer, or perhaps the request) with balsam-oil, speak the prayer in front of a lamp, strike the lamp with a stick of olive-wood, and sleep beside it. That the writing is to be done on “hieratic papyrus,” described with two Greek loanwords (*קרטיס אירטיקון*, *χάρτης ιερατικόν* for *ιερατικός*), strongly suggests contiguity with the Graeco-Egyptian tradition, and further that the adaptation was made in the late ancient or early medieval period, not long after the peak popularity of those ritual handbooks.⁵⁰ The *Sefer ha-Razim* adds two procedures invoking angels, requiring ritual purity and specifically white clothing, as well as burnt offerings, the second adding a prohibition of speaking with anyone.⁵¹ The Cairo Geniza also attests procedures of this sort: a collection of miscellaneous *segullot* pairs two recipes, the first in the genre of *shimmush tehillim*, or “(ritual) use of the Psalms,” and indeed included in

⁴⁸ On this tradition, see A. Bellusci, *The History of the She’elat Halom in the Middle East, from the Medieval Era Back to Late Antiquity* (PhD Diss., Tel Aviv University, 2016; I thank the author for sharing a copy of the text, a revised version to be published as *Dream Requests in the Middle East: The History of the She’elat Halom from the Medieval Era Back to Late Antiquity* [Leiden, forthcoming]), and “Genizah Finished Product”: 305–26. The Jewish tradition remained active into the modern period particularly in Kabbalist discourse, as exemplified by the writings of Abraham Hamuy, who discussed dream requests extensively and included detailed, regimented instructions for preparations: see N. Hamawy, *Rabbi Avraham Hamuy (1838–1886) and His Place in Modern Jewish Magic* (PhD diss., Tel Aviv University, 2014) (I thank Alessia Bellusci once again for this reference and for sharing with me her English summary of this dissertation).

⁴⁹ Schäfer, *Synopse zur Hekhalot-Literatur*, §613 (the treatise is distinct from the “standard” *Sword of Moses*, for which see M. Gaster, *The Sword of Moses: An Ancient Book of Magic* [London, 1896] and more recently Y. Harari, “The Sword of Moses [*Harba de-Moshe*]: A New Translation and Introduction,” *Magic, Ritual and Witchcraft* 7 [2012]); the prayer itself is at §§598–605. On dream-requests in Hekhalot literature in general see R. Lesses, *Ritual Practices to Gain Power: Angels, Incantations, and Revelation in Early Jewish Mysticism* (Harrisburg, 1998), 66–68, 230–54, 329–36, 395–411.

⁵⁰ On this dating for the *Sword of Moses*, with particular reference to the hieratic papyrus loanwords, see P. S. Alexander, “Incantations and Books of Magic,” in *The History of the Jewish People in the Age of Jesus Christ 3.1*, ed. E. Schürer et al. (London, 1973), 350–52.

⁵¹ *Sefer ha-Razim*, ed. B. Rebiger and P. Schäfer, *Sefer ha-Razim I und II: Das Buch der Geheimnisse I und II*, 2 vols. (Tübingen, 2009), I §§108–11; II §§372–74.

the later medieval *Sefer Shimmush Tehillim*, centered on Psalm 23, while the second gives a longer invocation to be written on the user's hand, again in a state of ritual purity.⁵² Fragments of the *Sefer Shimmush Tehillim* already found in the Geniza again attest the use of Psalm 23 under similar conditions (ritual purity and fasting), along with Psalm 42 (purity and fasting, avoidance of speech).⁵³ Two procedures known from a recension of the *Hekhalot Zutarti* in an Ashkenazic manuscript of the late 15th or early 16th century combine verses from Psalms 5, 6, 17, 22, and 31 in one case, along with fasting, and Psalm 29 in full in the other, accompanying a prayer before the Torah-shrine.⁵⁴ Psalms figured also among the biblical texts borrowed for amuletic bowls in the Jewish tradition,⁵⁵ as they also came to do in Christian amuletic texts.⁵⁶

⁵² MTKG, I, T.-S. K 1.28 f. 1a.11–b.16, שאילת חלום. On the “Traumbitte” genre in the *Sefer Shimmush Tehillim* proper, see B. Rebigier, *Sefer Shimmush Tehillim: Buch vom magischen Gebrauch der Psalmen* (Tübingen, 2010), 223–24; Bellusci, *History of the She'elat Halom*, 122–24; for a comparable textual genre in Byzantium see M. Zellmann-Rohrer, “‘Psalms Useful for Everything’: Byzantine and Post-Byzantine Manuals for the Amuletic Use of the Psalter,” *Dumbarton Oaks Papers* 72 (2018). At MTKG III:375 is mentioned another set of instructions for a dream-request, University of Pennsylvania, MS Halper 457, f. 1a.1–10, planned for inclusion in MTKG IV. Inspection of a digital image reveals no particularly close connection to the Syriac text: an invocation, which seeks an indication of whether a particular course of action is beneficial to the user or not via specific images in the dream, is to be written and placed under the pillow (for a comparable procedure, see J. Trachtenberg, *Jewish Magic: A Study in Folk Religion*, 2nd ed. [Philadelphia, 2004], 243).

⁵³ Psalm 23: MTKG III no. 79, f. 1a.2–5 and no. 81, f. 1b.17–19; Psalm 42: MTKG III no. 79, f. 2b.3–7, and no. 81, f. 2a.14–17.

⁵⁴ New York, Jewish Theological Seminary MS 8128, ed. Schäfer, *Synopse* §§502–507 and 517.

⁵⁵ C. Mueller-Kessler, “The Use of Biblical Quotations in Jewish Aramaic Incantation Bowls,” in *Studies on Magic and Divination in the Biblical World*, ed. H. R. Jacobus, A. K. de Hemmer Gudme, and P. Guillaume (Piscataway, NJ, 2013), 241–45.

⁵⁶ For amuletic application of the Psalms in Byzantium, see Zellmann-Rohrer, “‘Psalms Useful for Everything’”; for the great popularity of Psalm 90(91) as an apotropaic device already in late antiquity, T. J. Kraus, “Septuaginta-Psalms 90 in apotropäischer Verwendung: Vorüberlegungen für eine kritische Edition und (bisheriges) Datenmaterial,” *Biblische Notizen* n.F. 125 (2005); for the Psalms among other scripture in late ancient amulets, see T. de Bruyn, *Making Amulets Christian: Artefacts, Scribes, and Contexts* (Oxford, 2017), 139–83. A possible adaptation of a New Testament passage in an earlier Syriac amuletic bowl is discussed by J. E. Sanzo and N. H. Korsvoll, “A New Testament Text on a Syriac Incantation Bowl: Eph. 6: 10–17 on IBC 3,” *Vigiliae Christianae* 71 (2017).

Recitation of the Psalter as a whole is a central element in the new Syriac text.

The later medieval Jewish tradition, in addition to the *Sefer Shimmush Tehillim* already mentioned, offers a still richer variety of procedures for dream requests.⁵⁷ A fifteenth-century ritual handbook, recently edited by Gideon Bohak, includes no fewer than thirteen such texts.⁵⁸ The closest comparandum to the present text gives instructions, notably in Judaeo-Arabic, for fasting, prayer, and prostration at the Torah-shrine, and recommends the use of ritual text from scripture, in this case Ezekiel 1.⁵⁹

A comparandum of particular note from this later stage comes in another fifteenth-century codex produced in the Ottoman Empire, now in Geneva, Bibliothèque de Genève cod. Comites Latentes 145 (ex-cod. Sassoon 290). Over sixty of its procedures concern dream-requests, most commonly pursued via the recitation and inscription of invocations and scripture.⁶⁰ For one of special interest at p. 122 no. 255, I take this opportunity to provide a text and translation. The instructions, uniquely to my knowledge among the later Jewish dream-requests, require a new lamp, for

⁵⁷ For western medieval Judaism: Trachtenberg, *Jewish Magic*, 241–43; M. Idel, “On Sheelat Halom in Hasidei Ashkenaz: Sources and Influences,” *Materia Giudaica* 10 (2005); G. Bohak, *Ancient Jewish Magic: A History* (Cambridge, 2008), 43 n. 76, remarks on the large amount of material left out of consideration by the latter study.

⁵⁸ New York Public Library cod. Heb. 190, pp. 88 no. 48, 98 nos. 64 and 66, 105 no. 96, 106 no. 101, 111 no. 122, 109 no. 115, 113 no. 128, 176 no. 359, 177 no. 366, 178 nos. 367 and 370, and 258.5–9 (unnumbered), ed. Bohak, *Fifteenth-Century Manuscript*.

⁵⁹ New York Public Library cod. Heb. 190, p. 111 no. 122, ed. Bohak, *Fifteenth-Century Manuscript*. No thorough collection of such recipes from the rest of the later medieval tradition has yet been published. For the history of the genre, see Bellusci, *History of the She'elat Halom*, esp. 94–95, 203–204 for the use of Ezekiel 1:1. For further comparanda, see e.g. *Sefer ha-Razim* II (also known as the *Sefer Adam*) §§372–74, which centers on a sacrifice of doves; another recipe attributed to Yehuda he-Hasid in at least three western medieval manuscripts includes the wearing of white clothes and a brief invocation of angels whose names are also written on the left hand, see Idel, “Sheelat Halom”: 104–105.

⁶⁰ See the index in M. Benayahu, “The Book ‘Shushan Yeshod Olam’ by Rabbi Joseph Tirshom,” *Temirin* 1 (1972): 187–269 [in Hebrew]; also Lesses, *Ritual Practices*, 67 n. 17; the recension of the *Sword of Moses* in this manuscript (pp. 60–84) has been edited by Y. Harari, *The Sword of Moses: A New Edition and Study* (Jerusalem, 1997) [in Hebrew]. A facsimile is available via e-codices: <http://e-codices.unifr.ch/en/list/one/bge/cl0145> (accessed Dec. 2018).

which in fact the same Aramaic word is used as in the new Syriac text; the familiar fasting and purity recur, as do magical words. Also comparable to the Syriac text is the claim that the invocation will bring an apparition which may be directly questioned by the user. The use of the conjunction **א** (if) suggests that the Aramaic text is ultimately of Babylonian origin.⁶¹

שח בדיק לית כותיה. צום יומא חד ונקי גרמך מן מאכל
ויין וטומאה ותשמיש וכיון דאת בעי למיעבד יתיה.
סב שרגא חדתא ומלי יתיה משח ופתילה חדתא ואדליק
קמיה תרעא ואמ' לקבל שרגא כא זמנין ואשבוע לקבליה.
אי בעית ייתי לך גברא דנן אפי' לאפי' שאל ליה שאלתך
והוא אמ' לך. ואי בעית חלום אמור ייתי לי בעותי ואלין
שמהתא מורא זמי מום מפתן אפרכני רחמי לי על צבותא
בגין מלתא בכוס בר מדי באמת. אאא ס

Dream-request. Tested, there is none like it. Fast for one day and cleanse your body of food and wine and impurity and sexual intercourse, and when you wish to do it,⁶² take a new lamp and fill it with oil and a new wick and light (it) in front of the door and speak⁶³ in front of the lamp twenty-one times and make the adjuration before it. If you seek (it), this very man (whom you seek) will come to you face to face:⁶⁴ make your request to him and he will tell you. If you seek a dream, say, "Let what I seek come to me," and these names: "MWR' ZMY MWM MPTN 'PRKNY RHMY LY 'L ŠBWT' BGYN MLT' BKWS BR MDY,"⁶⁵ in truth, amen amen amen, selah."

The presence of the lamp may indicate a fusion of the Jewish tradition (dream request via simple adjuration) with the Graeco-Egyptian (lamp divination by inspection of the flame), though as seen already the former does have a more limited role for lamps. A connection between the medieval Jewish tradition and the new Syriac text is also suggested by parallels in the names of the angels invoked, for which see the Notes above.

⁶¹ See M. Sokoloff, *A Dictionary of Jewish Babylonian Aramaic of the Talmudic and Geonic Periods* (Ramat-Gan, 2002), 108–109 s.v.; J. N. Epstein, *A Grammar of Babylonian Aramaic* (Jerusalem, 1960), 141 [in Hebrew].

⁶² Read **וכיון** for **וכיון** (I thank Gideon Bohak for this suggestion).

⁶³ **אמר** for **אמ'.**

⁶⁴ For the popularity of similar locutions for "face to face" communication in the Jewish tradition of dream-requests, based on Numbers 12:8, see Bellusci, *History of the She'elat Halom*, 203, 209.

⁶⁵ The names, from RHMY through MLT', might also give syntactic Aramaic as, "Have mercy for me concerning the thing, because of the word," namely the following BKWS BR MDY.

From the more immediate vicinity of the present codex, the town of Alqōš in modern Iraq north of Mosul (see further above), come two procedures in a Hebrew manuscript acquired in 1904–1905 in Mosul itself by Reginald Campbell Thompson.⁶⁶ The manuscript was said to be "bought through an old Hebrew *hakīm*," but unfortunately not described in any further detail. For convenience, the texts are reprinted here. The first seeks a dream revelation by the relatively straightforward method of writing divine names on the user's hand before bed:⁶⁷

שאלת חלום תכתוב ביום ראשון על ידו השמלית אלו
השמות וישים ידו תחת ראשו וישן וכל מה ששואל
ישיבו לך בלילה כל שאלתו על האמת כמו ששאל בחלום
וזה בדוק ומנוסה אבל צריך קדושה הרבה ע"כ

The names, omitted by the editor but shown in an accompanying drawing, may be transcribed as follows:⁶⁸

אָפְנָם וִימְשָׁפְלָה אֶתְמִיאל סִינְפַט טִינָא

Request for a dream: you should write on the first day on his left hand these names, and let him place his hand under his head and go to sleep, and all that he asks will be reported to you [*sic*] at night, everything in his request, in truth, just as he has requested, in a dream. This is proven and tested; it requires, however, great holiness. The end. [Names:] Aphnam Vimashpelah Athmiyāl Sīnpat Tīvvaḥ.

The second, printed by Campbell Thompson but omitted from his translation, turns the same method to a more specific purpose, namely the provocation of a dream by a suitor, for both himself and his intended, of their future coupling.⁶⁹

למי שרוצה שיחלום אי זה אשה שירצה שוכבת עמו
והוא שוכב עמה ויחלמו שניהם שהם נזקקים יכתוב אלו
השמות על ידו בשעת שכיבה ויכתוב שמו ושמה תחת
שמו יכתוב בראבן ותחת שמה טפאטן וישן ויחלום: ע"כ

⁶⁶ R. Campbell Thompson, "The Folklore of Mossoul," *Proceedings of the Society of Biblical Archaeology* 28 (1906) and 29 (1907).

⁶⁷ Campbell Thompson's MS 2, no. 16 ("Folklore of Mosul": 167, with tr. p. 171).

⁶⁸ See pl. I no. 2.

⁶⁹ MS 2, no. 86 ("Folklore of Mossoul": 325). For the sending of dreams to influence the behavior of third parties as part of "aggressive oneiric ritual" in the Jewish tradition, see A. Bellusci, "Oneiric Aggressive Magic: Sleep Disorders in Late Antique Jewish Tradition," in *Demons and Illness from Antiquity to the Early Modern Period*, ed. S. Bhayro and C. Rider (Leiden, 2017), 160–63.

Ja'far Sharīf.⁷⁷ The procedure has a broadly demonological scope, primarily for compelling the withdrawal of demons in the case of possession, but is also said to allow their command for other purposes. Several invocations and designs, to be copied on paper and used as the wick in a lamp burned during the conjuration, are included in facsimile in the translation of Herklots, unfortunately only in an English version, but the presence of jinn (rendered “Genii”) and commands to act “quickly” and “immediately,” as in the new Syriac text, may be noted.⁷⁸ Intense interest in the Islamic world in dream interpretation is also attested,⁷⁹ which, in conjunction with the more general parallels noted here, makes it at least plausible that closer relatives of this text did exist, but either do not survive in written documentation or have not yet come to light.

The Composition of the New Text

It is now time to consider the process by which the new Syriac text arrived at its present form. As has been noted already, it is clearly a composite of Syriac (both language and script), in the entirety of the instructions and the closing of the invocation, and Arabic in Syriac transliteration (*Garšūnī*). The latter is generally comprehensible, and bears only a few signs of the distortion expected by successive cycles of copying by uncomprehending scribes. It is uncertain

how much of the non-standard vocalization in the *Garšūnī* portions, such as ܕܒܓܝܢ (*lay baggayn*) where the underlying, standard Middle Arabic would be *lī biḡinn*, should be considered authentic to the colloquial Arabic of a local dialect, as opposed to the error, or improvisation, of a scribe who was instead a neo-Aramaic speaker with an imperfect understanding of Arabic syntax and phonology. In general, the phonetic character of the Arabic portion as it stands suggests a text arising outside of a local context, then colored by the local dialect, which likely belongs to northern Mesopotamia, without a precise match to any known area, but consistent with the northern environs of Mosul from which the later manuscript came.⁸⁰ Noteworthy features here are the merging of /u/ and /i/ to /ə/, as in, e.g., *kentem* for *kuntum*, which form (in -m rather than -n), and the auxiliary use of *kāna*, are particularly consistent with the town of Behzānī, north of Mosul,⁸¹ as is the maintenance of *pī* (i.e., *fī*) against *fā*;⁸² the lack of change of /r/ to /ḡ/ could suggest the area of Mardin,⁸³ while the development of /k/ to /č/ and /q/ to /g/, characteristic of the Euphrates-group,⁸⁴ is perhaps reflected here in *wa'lgōm*. The change of /ū/ to /ō/ (e.g. *baynō*) is found across the region.⁸⁵ In general, the article in the northern Mesopotamian dialects is *al-*, but here *alḥapyāt* and *wa'lhazzīn* are found alongside *'elladī*.⁸⁶

In support of a relatively recent borrowing from a source in Arabic by a copyist who had not yet fully mastered the language, it may be recalled that the Arabic invocation bears no specific relation to dreams, the focus of the Syriac instructions. Rather, it seeks revelation of something concealed, literally buried, in a particular place. Hence it may have been grafted in from another source, a procedure designed for treasure-hunting. As already mentioned, Bess Allen Donaldson has documented the use of the Qu'rān, sura 4:14–15, in early twentieth-century Iran in a

⁷⁷ Tr. G. A. Herklots and rev. W. Crooke, *Islam in India, or; The Qānūn-i-Islām, the Customs of the Musalmāns of India, Comprising a Full and Exact Account of Their Various Rites and Ceremonies from the Moment of Birth to the Hour of Death* (Oxford, 1921).

⁷⁸ The six designs for the “lamp charms” to cast out demons, each entitled “Puleeta (or Lamp Charm) for commanding the Devil’s presence,” are given in English translation following pp. 238 (adjuration “by the Assistance of . . . Genii”), 240 (includes the closing “quickly, quickly”), 242, 244, and 248 (invokes the “king of Genii Abd-ool Ladir;” closing command “immediately, directly, instantly”), 250 (invokes the “king of Genii, Buktanoos,” perhaps a corruption of the Babylonian Nebuchadnezzar via medieval Arabic Buḥtnaṣr [I thank Seth Richardson for this suggestion]); for the invocation of jinn in magical practice, *ibid.* 228–46; an accompanying ritual for the use of a “lamp lighted with a charmed wick (*palitā*)” in exorcisms, 236; a recipe for making the charmed wick is given at 240–41, in which the “lamp charm” is written on paper, rolled up, and burned in the presence of a demoniac, by which the demon is cast out; at p. 241 it is said that this *palitā* may also be used for “commanding the presence of the demons.” The numerological design of the figure after p. 238 is discussed by Winkler, *Siegel und Charaktere*, 47–48.

⁷⁹ See M. Mavroudi, *A Byzantine Book on Dream Interpretation: The Oneirocriticon of Achmet and Its Arabic Sources* (Leiden, 2002).

⁸⁰ On the Arabic dialects of this area, see A. Socin, “Der arabische dialekt von Mōsul und Mardin,” *Zeitschrift der Deutschen Morganlandischen Gesellschaft* 36 (1882); O. Jastrow, *Die mesopotamisch-arabischen Qatlu-Dialekte* 1 (Wiesbaden, 1978), and “Zur arabischen Mundart von Mossul,” *Zeitschrift für arabische Linguistik* 2 (1979).

⁸¹ Jastrow, *Qatlu-Dialekte*, 221–23, 304.

⁸² Jastrow, “Mundart”: 53.

⁸³ *Ibid.*: 50–51.

⁸⁴ Jastrow, *Qatlu-Dialekte*, 42–43.

⁸⁵ *Ibid.*, 62–63.

⁸⁶ A relative which in turn is not used in the northern Mesopotamian dialects: *ibid.*, 123.

comparable procedure to incite a dream revealing the location of buried treasure. There is an even more diverse array of procedures of this kind in Byzantine and later Greek texts, including invocations and amulets, the latter related to the conception that supernatural powers presided over buried treasure, from whom the treasure-seekers must seek protection.⁸⁷

In this regard, the best parallels known to me are in two Greek ritual recipes, found in a well-known occult compendium compiled by one Georgios Midiates ca. 1461–62.⁸⁸ The longer of the two, attributed to Apollonios, likely the famous thaumaturge of Tyana, prescribes two long invocations, the first of angels, the second of a planet of the user's choice, and ritual procedures including the wearing of white clothing, but proceeds from signs requested during the day (earthquake, lightning, storm, clouds, smoke), not dreams.⁸⁹ A final addition, in case the treasure is hidden where “neither man nor star” can reach, involves a bronze lamp inscribed with divine names, but its method of use is not explained. More apposite is a shorter procedure prescribing both a written and a spoken invocation before bed, to produce a revelation in a dream. Like the first procedure, it has been twice published, by Karl Preisendanz and Armand Delatte, but as neither of their presentations is fully satisfactory, the text is worth re-printing.⁹⁰ In fact, the written invocation is given in Hebrew, which has likely been copied directly from a Jewish source; the instructions, as elsewhere

in the codex, use a system of cryptography involving Hebrew letters and Tiberian vowel-points.⁹¹

בשם גדל גדול וגבריאל והדרניאל⁹² באש בשם אהיה
אשר אהיה ובשם יה יהוה⁹³ צבאות קדוש קדוש קדוש
שבא⁹⁴

ταῦτα γράφε ἐν δέρματι κεμάδος καὶ ὅταν βούλει
ὑπνῶσαι,⁹⁵ προάγνισε ἑαυτὸν καὶ στήκων ὀρθῶς
λέγε οὕτως· ἅγια ὀνόματα τῶν ἁγίων ἀγγέλων ὅπου
ἐγράφητε⁹⁶ ἔδω, ἐσεῖς ἔλθατε καὶ ἐπαγγείλατέ μοι
τόπον ἔνθα κεῖται θησαυρὸς⁹⁷ τὴν νύκταν ταύτην,
τὸ ζήτημαν τὸ ζητῶ ἀπὸ τοῦ θεοῦ τοῦ ὑψίστου, ὅτι
νὰ εὕρω εὕρεμαν νὰ ζήσω, καὶ ἐγὼ καὶ τὸ σπέρμα
μου. ὀρκίζω ὑμᾶς κατὰ τοῦ θεοῦ νὰ μηδέν με
βλάψητε εἰς τὸ⁹⁸ νῦν διὰ νὰ με λάθῃ ὁ τόπος⁹⁹ τόν
με θέλετε εἰπεῖν. καὶ ἐν τῇ νυκτὶ ἀποκαλυφθήσεται
σοι ἐν ἐκείνῳ τῷ τόπῳ ὃ ὑποπτεύεις εἰ ἔστιν τι ἡ οὐ.

(Hebrew)¹⁰⁰ “In the name of the twice-great
(lit., great and great) one and Gabriel and
HDRNY⁹²L,¹⁰¹ B.ʿ.š,¹⁰² in the name of I am that am,

⁹¹ For the Hebrew element, note also the inclusion of Hebrew scripture, transliterated into Greek, in ritual texts in contemporary Byzantine handbooks, as outlined by G. Bohak, “Greek-Hebrew Linguistic Contacts in Late Antique and Medieval Magical Texts,” in *The Jewish-Greek Tradition in Antiquity and the Byzantine Empire: A Festschrift for Nicholas de Lange*, ed. J. Aitken and J. Carleton-Paget (Cambridge, 2014), 257–59.

⁹² אהיה???, Preisendanz, “Schatzzauber.”

⁹³ יהוה, *ibid.*

⁹⁴ שבאש, *ibid.*

⁹⁵ פגוש-ו, MS; ὑπνῶσαι, Delatte; εὕρεῖν θησαυρούς? Preisendanz, “Schatzzauber.”

⁹⁶ ἐγράφετο, *ibid.*

⁹⁷ תישורש, MS; ἀποκεκρυμμένος θησαυρός, Preisendanz, “Schatzzauber.”

⁹⁸ βλάψητε πέλεκυς τό, *ibid.*

⁹⁹ או טופוש, MS; ὁ θησαυρός, *ibid.*

¹⁰⁰ Preceding the text is a three-by-three square grid with nine signs, which seem to be somewhat distorted versions of the Arabic numerals, in the well-known amuletic tradition of the so-called magic squares (the sum of each row, column, and diagonal will have been fifteen): see *ibid.*, 274.

¹⁰¹ This possibility was already tentatively acknowledged by Preisendanz, “Schatzzauber”: 275, with reference to Schwab, *Vocabulaire de l'angélologie*, 109; Preisendanz also considered דהריאל, קהניאל, קהריאל.

¹⁰² Marked as an abbreviation in the Hebrew with supralinear points, perhaps for בשם אל שדי, “in the name of El Shaddai;” the points prohibit the otherwise unlikely interpretation of Preisendanz, “im Feuer,” repeated in his misreading of the final word of the Hebrew text.

⁸⁷ A. Delatte, *Anecdota Atheniensia* 1 (Liège, 1927), 686a, index s.v. θησαυρὸν κεκρυμμένον εὕρεῖν; amulet: *ibid.* e.g. 40.4–5, an amulet for use “so that you will not be harmed by the spirit (*sticheion*) that has the treasure in its power, to make it so that you will not die” (διὰ νὰ μὴν σε βλάψῃ τὸ στοιχεῖον ὅπου κρατεῖ τὸν θησαυρόν, νὰ κάμῃς νὰ μὴν ἀποθάνῃς).

⁸⁸ On this manuscript, Paris, BnF cod. gr. 2419, see recently P. Magdalino and M. Mavroudi, “Introduction,” in *The Occult Sciences in Byzantium*, ed. P. Magdalino and M. Mavroudi (Geneva, 2006), 24–25; for a detailed account of its contents bearing on the occult, F. Cumont, *Catalogus codicum astrologorum Graecorum* VIII.1 (Brussels, 1929), 20–63 no. 4. A facsimile is available via Gallica: <<http://gallica.bnf.fr/ark:/12148/btv1b10723563z/>> and <<http://gallica.bnf.fr/ark:/12148/btv1b10723580f/>>.

⁸⁹ Paris, BnF cod. gr. 2419, ff. 341v–42r, ed. K. Preisendanz, “Zwei griechische Schatzzauber,” *Byzantinisch-neugriechische Jahrbücher* 3 (1922): 273–81, and Delatte, *Anecdota*, 507–509.

⁹⁰ Paris, BnF cod. gr. 2419, f. 341v, ed. Preisendanz, “Schatzzauber,” who failed to understand the Hebrew cryptography (the type-setting of the first four lines in Hebrew at 274 is faulty but more correct in the commentary at 275), and Delatte, *Anecdota*, 507, omitting the initial four lines of Hebrew characters and presenting the cryptographic portions only in their Greek resolution.

and in the name of Yah, Yahweh of Hosts, holy, holy, holy, šbʾt.”¹⁰³

(Greek) Write this on the skin of a young doe, and when you are going to go to sleep, purify yourself beforehand, and stand upright and say as follows, “Holy names of the holy angels who have been written here, you go and announce to me the place where the treasure lies tonight, the request that I make of God the most high, that I may make a discovery whereupon I and my seed may live. I adjure you by God that you do no harm to me now, that I not forget the place that you will tell me.” At night will be revealed to you in that place what you suspect, whether something is there or not.

The Arabic source, perhaps related in some way to the text in the Midates manuscript or its Jewish source,¹⁰⁴ awaits identification. It may in turn derive ultimately from a Greek source: witness the invocation formula *ἡδὴ ἡδὴ, τὰχὺ τὰχὺ*,¹⁰⁵ paralleled by the ἡδὴ ἡδὴ, τὰχὺ τὰχὺ (“now, now, quickly, quickly”) common in invocations in the Greek ritual handbooks from late Roman Egypt, and also taken up in medieval Coptic, Jewish, and Islamic texts from Egypt.¹⁰⁶ As

¹⁰³ The final name is likely the Hebrew שְׁבִיטָה (*šbāʾtā*), as a portion of the divine name, re-transliterated from Greek Σαβαωθ (as suggested by Gideon Bohak).

¹⁰⁴ For a recently published Geniza fragment attesting the application of a list of angelic names from the *Sefer ha-Razim* in a dream-request procedure for the finding of hidden gold coins, see Bellusci, “Genizah Finished Product;” for a cryptographic adjuration of “holy names and excellent letters” to reveal buried gold coins in a dream in another Geniza text, see G. Bohak, “Cracking the Code and Finding the Gold: A Dream Request from the Cairo Genizah,” in *Edición de Textos Mágicos de la Antigüedad y de la Edad Media*, ed. J. A. Álvarez-Pedrosa Núñez and S. Torallas Tovar (Madrid, 2010); for the angel Metatron in this role in yet another Geniza fragment, see Y. Harari, “Metatron and the Treasure of Gold: Notes on a Dream Inquiry Text from the Cairo Genizah,” in *Continuity and Innovation in the Magical Tradition*, ed. G. Bohak, Y. Harari, and S. Shaked (Leiden, 2011) (a re-edition and re-interpretation of a Geniza fragment in Washington, DC, Freer Gallery of Art, MS F1908.440). Treasure-hunting proves to be the most common aim among the Geniza finished products in general: Bellusci, *History of the Sheʿelat Halom*, 289–99. Note also MTKG III no. 84, f. 2a.9–13, a recipe for an inscribed invocation of angels on deerskin for a dream-revelation of something hidden.

¹⁰⁵ For the Arabic underlying this distorted version, see the notes above following the text.

¹⁰⁶ For Coptic, see e.g. P.Schmidt 1.25, ed. Kropp, *Ausgewählte koptische Zaubertexte*, 1:11–12 (with translation 2:3–6; on the formula, 3:217); for Jewish texts, see the commentary to MTKG I,

a more general analogy for the linguistic side of this grafting, we might look to the ritual recipes in the Greek tradition of horse medicine (*hippiatrika*) and related veterinary treatises.¹⁰⁷ In one such collection, likely formed in medieval southern Italy, two medicinal incantations amounting to Greek transcriptions of medieval Latin prayers are transmitted under Greek headings and accompanied by Greek instructions for their use.¹⁰⁸ From medieval Egypt, a comparable bilingual ritual text using a single script, Coptic, is known. It is a curse written on a sheet of paper, to bind the tongue of an enemy, and opens with an invocation in Arabic in Coptic transliteration, giving the *bismillah* and a brief docket of the curse, i.e., the name of the target and the intended effect. The substance of the curse, in Bohairic Coptic, occupies the rest of the paper.¹⁰⁹ As Maria Mavroudi has pointed out for the use of Greek script to transcribe Arabic,¹¹⁰ a pertinent motivation may also be the prestige of Syriac as a medium for Christian scripture.

If the Arabic invocation represents a relatively recent grafting,¹¹¹ the Syriac text of the dream-request

T.-S. K 1.56 f. 2b.11 (p. 45); for Islamic texts, see the notes to the present text above.

¹⁰⁷ On this genre, see A.-M. Doyen-Higuet, *L'Épitomé de la 'Collection d'hippiatrie grecque: Histoire du texte, édition critique, traduction et notes* (Leuven, 2006); A. McCabe, *A Byzantine Encyclopaedia of Horse Medicine: The Sources, Compilation, and Transmission of the Hippiastrica* (Oxford, 2007).

¹⁰⁸ Leiden, UB cod. VGQ 50 (dated to 1470), ff. 143v–44r (ed. E. Oder and K. Hoppe, *Corpus hippiatricorum Graecorum*, 2 vols. [Leipzig, 1924–27], Excerpta Lugdunensia no. 61), 160v–61r (ed. ibid. no. 104).

¹⁰⁹ Cambridge, University Library, Geniza Research Unit, T.-S. 12.207, ed. W. E. Crum, “A Bilingual Charm,” *Proceedings of the Society of Biblical Archaeology* 24 (1902), with the additional note of B. Moritz, *Proceedings of the Society of Biblical Archaeology* 25 (1903); Kropp, *Zaubertexte*, 2:242–43; and J. Blau, “Some Observations on a Middle Arabic Egyptian Text in Coptic Characters,” *Jerusalem Studies in Arabic and Islam* 1 (1979): 259–60.

¹¹⁰ “Arabic Words in Greek Letters: The Violet Fragment and More,” in *Moyen arabe et variétés mixtes de l'arabe à travers l'histoire. Actes du Premier Colloque International (Louvain-la-Neuve, 10–14 mai 2004)*, ed. J. Lentin and J. Grand'Henry (Louvain-la-Neuve, 2008), 328.

¹¹¹ The possibility cannot be excluded, as Gideon Bohak points out to me, that the text represents a single translation from an Arabic source in which the translator left the invocation in its original language to preserve its supposed ritual efficacy. There remains, however, the intrusion of some content exclusively in Syriac into the Arabic portion, as well as some disparity between the specific goal of the Arabic invocation and the more general one of the Syriac instructions; at the very least, the translator will have felt the need to update or reframe the source.

procedure itself fits into a longer tradition, as shown already. It is very likely that this frame passed in its transmission exclusively through Aramaic, that is, perhaps after translation from a Greek original (via an Egyptian precursor?), it circulated in some now lost Jewish Aramaic treatise in Babylonia,¹¹² and then was eventually translated into Syriac. The date of the translation remains uncertain. Alqōš, site of the copying of the manuscript in which the present fragment was bound, and the home of scribes who had at hand both the exemplar of Budge's *Book of Medicines* and a version of the "book of charms,"¹¹³ was a place of cultural contact between Christians and Jews into the modern period, in particular on the occasion of a pilgrimage of the Jewish community of Mosul to venerate the supposed tomb of the prophet Nahum.¹¹⁴

This hypothetical process of translation from Jewish Aramaic into Syriac finds a probable analogue in the amuletic texts of the so-called "magic bowls." The Syriac bowl texts, for example, make frequent reference to a well-known precedent often cited in the Jewish Aramaic ones, of Rab Joshua bar Peraḥia's deed of divorce against demons.¹¹⁵ A continued tradition of handbooks of this sort on the Jewish side is suggested by Campbell Thompson's Hebrew manuscripts from Mosul, adduced above. Noteworthy in this connection is the prescription of recitation of the Psalms at two points in the Syriac procedure. The Psalter, of

course, occupies a central place in the liturgy of the Church of the East, as in other Christian traditions. Its amuletic use, however, is a particularly Jewish one, the subject of a dedicated treatise (*Sefer Shimmush Tehillim*) attested already in the Cairo Geniza, itself indeed adapted in a Syriac version.¹¹⁶

Unanswered questions remain about the identity of the intended practitioners and clients of the treatise to which this page once belonged. The addition of the Arabic invocation, with invocation formulae (بارك الله فيكم وعليكم) ¹¹⁷ and supernatural concepts (in particular the jinn) more at home in the Islamic than the Christian tradition, occupying the majority of the portion actually spoken out loud, might suggest an addition for the benefit of clients speaking Arabic, the dominant language in the region.¹¹⁸ A parallel could be adduced from a bilingual Coptic-Arabic ritual handbook from medieval Egypt, which presupposes the interaction of a practitioner with both Coptic and Islamic clients.¹¹⁹ Or was the invocation simply material of interest picked out by a compiler who happened to have access to Arabic ritual texts himself, circulating in written or oral form? We may compare also two Mandaean magical formularies studied by Ethel S. Drower, one copied by a priest in Baghdad, in which many of the incantations

¹¹² No such handbooks survive for comparison, but a distant ancestor may be the Hebrew codices from Mosul edited by Campbell Thompson. There is an analogue in a Christian Palestinian Aramaic text, dated to the sixth or seventh century AD and found in the Judaean desert, a miniature parchment codex of 10 pages with rubrics for incantations: M. Baillet, "Un livret magique en christo-palestinien à l'Université de Louvain," *Le Muséon* 76 (1963).

¹¹³ Manuscript B in the edition of Gollancz (from his collection) was copied by a Daniel of Alqōš, son of the priest Kūšabbā (ܕܢܝܢܐ ܕܐܠܩܘܫ ܒܢ ܕܩܘܫܐܒܐ): *Book of Protection*, 76.122–26; a family relation to the Gabriel bar Ḥadbešabbā, copyist of the codex in which the present fragment was bound, is possible.

¹¹⁴ Described by Badger, *Nestorians*, 1:104–105.

¹¹⁵ E.g. Moriggi, *Corpus* no. 4, lines 3 and following, with commentary pp. 36–37, to which is to be added M. Moriggi, "Jewish Divorce Formulae in Syriac Incantation Bowls," *Aramaic Studies* 13 (2015). On the Jewish tradition of this motif, see S. Shaked, "The Poetic of Spells: Language and Structure in Aramaic Incantations of Late Antiquity: 1: The Divorce Formula and Its Ramifications," in *Mesopotamian Magic: Textual, Historical, and Interpretative Perspectives*, ed. T. Abusch and K. van der Toorn (Groningen, 1999); D. Levene, *A Corpus of Magic Bowls: Incantation Texts in Jewish Aramaic from Late Antiquity* (London, 2009), 31–39; S. Shaked, J. N. Ford, and S. Bhayro, *Aramaic Bowl Spells: Jewish Babylonian Aramaic Bowls* (Leiden, 2013), 103–54.

¹¹⁶ Berlin, Staatsbibliothek zu Berlin cod. Sachau 218, ed. C. Kayser, "Gebrauch von Psalmen zur Zauberei," *Zeitschrift der Deutschen Morganländischen Gesellschaft* 42 (1888): 456–62, with D. Simonsen, "Ein Nachtrag zu der Abhandlung über 'Gebrauch von Psalmen zur Zauberei,'" *Zeitschrift der Deutschen Morganländischen Gesellschaft* 42 (1888): 693–94. For the Jewish treatise and its tradition see Rebiger, *Sefer*; for Christian adaptations, Zellmann-Rohrer, "Psalms."

¹¹⁷ On the blessing formula, see E. Lane, *An Arabic-English Lexicon* (London, 1863–83), 193a–b s.v. برك.

¹¹⁸ Badger, *Nestorians*, 1:82–83, notes that Arabic was in general use in Mosul and its vicinity by the 1840s, while peasants in the countryside knew "the *Fellehi*, or vulgar Syriac," but classical Syriac was "very little known" outside the clergy. A Jewish dream-request from the Cairo Geniza with the goal of finding hidden treasure appears to invoke a jinn, although addressed as ܠܐܝܠܐܢ ("[idolatrous] priest"): Bohak, "Cracking the Code," 16 (Cambridge T.-S. K 24.19, line 9); a procedure for dream divination to find treasure in a late medieval Latin recipe via invocation with request for the sending of "Haram, the kindly spirit" (*Haram, spiritus benignus*: ed. R. Kieckhefer, *Forbidden Rites: A Necromancer's Manual of the Fifteenth Century* [Stroud, 1997], 342–43 no. 41), may be owed to a similarly mixed Judaeo-Arabic tradition (I thank Alessia Bellusci for this reference).

¹¹⁹ P.Heid. inv. Kopt. 500/501 (P.Bad. V 123), which gives, for example, separate ritual instructions based on whether the client is a Copt or a Muslim.

themselves prove to be Arabic transliterated into the Mandaean script.¹²⁰ The implication of clergy in the copying and application of texts on divination and astrology is documented for the late ancient Near East, including no less a figure than the bishop Sophronios of Tella in the mid-fifth century AD, while a contemporary sermon decries the provision by priests and deacons of divination alongside incantations and amulets, including the invocation of the non-canonical angels RWPY³YL and RPWPY³YL.¹²¹ In Byzantium, where comparable recipes circulated, an analogous role for clergy is amply attested.¹²² The dismemberment of the original codex in this case restricts conclusions based on codicological context, but it is nevertheless noteworthy that the page was not only close at hand, but also taken up, not rejected out of any scruples over superstition in its contents, by the binder of a later theological codex. Indeed, at a slightly later period, clergy were active in the provision of such ritual services in the same region.¹²³

¹²⁰ Oxford, Bodleian Library, Drower Collection nos. 45–46, ed. E. S. Drower, “A Mandaean Book of Black Magic,” *Journal of the Royal Asiatic Society* 75 (1943). The Arabic is described at pp. 149 and 156, but unfortunately omitted from the edition; more recently it has been studied by Morgenstern and T. Alfia, “Arabic Magical Texts,” who identify borrowings from the Qu’rān and *ahādīt*, and announce that a full publication is in progress.

¹²¹ E. Peterson, “Die Zaubер-Praktiken eines syrischen Bischofs,” *Lateranum* 14 (1948), discussing the *acta* of the Synod of Ephesos of 449 on Sophronios (ed. J. Flemming, *Akten der Ephesinischen Synode vom Jahre 449. Syrisch* [Berlin, 1917], 80–85) and an anonymous sermon, variously attributed to Ephraem Syrus but probably of Isaak of Antioch, on heathen practices among clergy and laity (ed. T. Lamy, *Sancti Ephraem Syri hymni et sermones* 2 [Mechelen, 1886], 393–426; and E. Beck, *Des heiligen Ephraem des Syrers Sermones* 3. Corpus Scriptorum Christianorum Orientalium 321 [Louvain, 1972], 12–27); the latter is more extensively treated by M. Moriggi, “‘And the Impure and Abominable Priests Fled for Help to the Names of the Devils’: Amulets and Magical Practices in Syriac Christian Culture between Late Antiquity and the Modern World,” *Hugoye. Journal of Syriac Studies* 19 (2016): 378 n. 14, who points out that the comparable RWPY³YL is attested among Syriac amuletic bowls.

¹²² M. Mavroudi, “Occult Science and Society in Byzantium: Considerations for Future Research,” in *Occult Sciences*, ed. Magdalino and Mavroudi, 81–83.

¹²³ Badger, in his discussion of the “book of charms” acquired by him (see above), notes with displeasure that “the clergy are gener-

Conclusion

The Syriac-Arabic fragment published here illustrates the transmission of knowledge in the realm of ritual practices in the Near East, contiguous with those conventionally termed magical, from antiquity through the Middle Ages and into the early modern period. This transmission depends on a diverse array of linguistic and cultic traditions. Close analogues for the ritual are first attested in circulation in ritual formularies in Demotic Egyptian and Greek. At the same time, or not long thereafter, comparable procedures entered the tradition of Jewish ritual texts in Hebrew and Aramaic, taking on specific features of Jewish religious practice as well, including the use of scripture. Handbooks for such divination continued to circulate in that tradition in medieval Mesopotamia, and it is probably by that route that a version of the procedure was translated and adapted into Syriac in a Christian context, in time to appear in the present early modern codex fragment. A further adaptation involves the in-grafting of an Arabic text to constitute the central invocation for the apparition, which was probably drawn in turn from contemporary ritual lore in the Islamic tradition, itself informed by earlier texts in the medieval Jewish and Graeco-Roman traditions. In any case, the new text complements the primary sources for the study of ritual practice and intellectual history in Syriac Christianity, where such dream-request procedures had not previously been attested. A possible context is the private initiative of priests, putting their literacy to work in reading, copying, and applying texts for the benefit of clients, outside of their ordained duties of pastoral care.

ally the authors of these absurd and profane effusions,” including one presbyter whom he observed at Lizan in 1850 “in the practice of drawing up and transcribing similar charms, which he sells to the people in the surrounding villages” (*Nestorians*, 1:240). A comparable situation can be identified among the Mandaean of the same region: for the activity of a Mandaean priest in 20th-century Baghdad, copying talismans for clients, for healing and protection, but also aggressive aims including erotic magic, see Drower, “Mandaean Book”: 150–51.