

Outcome reporting across randomised trials and observational studies evaluating treatments for Twin-Twin Transfusion Syndrome: a systematic review.

Helen Perry^{1,2}, James MN Duffy^{3,4}, Ogochukwu Umadia², Asma Khalil^{1,2}

On behalf of the International Collaboration to Harmonise Outcomes for Twin-Twin Transfusion Syndrome (**CHOOSE**).

1. Vascular Biology Research Centre, Molecular and Clinical Sciences Research Institute, St George's University of London, Cranmer Terrace, London SW17 0RE, UK.
2. Fetal Medicine Unit, Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, St. George's University Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust, Blackshaw Road, London, SW17 0QT, UK.
3. Nuffield Department of Primary Care Health Sciences, University of Oxford, Radcliffe Observatory Quarter, Woodstock Rd, Oxford OX2 6GG, UK
4. Balliol College, University of Oxford, Oxford. OX1 3BJ, UK.

Correspondence to:

Miss Asma Khalil MBBCh, MD MRCOG, MSc (Epi), DFSRH, Dip (GUM)

Fetal Medicine Unit, Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, St. George's University Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust, Blackshaw Road, London, SW17 0QT, UK.

akhalil@sgul.ac.uk

Short Title: Outcome reporting in TTTS

28

29 **Key words:** (1) Core outcome set; (2) Twin-Twin Transfusion Syndrome; (3) Systematic
30 review; (4) Outcome reporting bias.

ABSTRACT

Background: Twin-Twin Transfusion syndrome is associated with significant mortality and morbidity. Potential treatments require robust evaluation.

Objectives: To evaluate outcome reporting across observational studies and randomised controlled trials assessing treatments for Twin-Twin Transfusion syndrome.

Search strategy: Bibliographical databases, including Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials, Embase, and Medline, from inception to August 2016.

Selection criteria: Observational studies and randomised controlled trials.

Data collection and analysis: We systematically extracted and categorised outcome reporting.

Main results: Six randomised trials and 94 observational studies, reporting data from 20,071 maternal participants and 3,199 children, were included. Six different treatments were evaluated. Included studies reported sixty-two different outcomes, including 10 fetal, 28 neonatal, 6 early childhood and 18 maternal outcomes. The outcomes were inconsistently reported across trials. For example, when considering offspring mortality, 31 studies (31%) reported live birth, 31 studies (31%) reported intrauterine death, 49 studies (49%) reported neonatal mortality, and 17 studies (17%) reported perinatal mortality. Four studies (4%) reported respiratory distress syndrome. Only 19 (19%) of studies were designed for long-term follow-up and 11 of these studies (11%) reported cerebral palsy.

Conclusions: Most studies evaluating treatments for Twin-Twin Transfusion syndrome, have often neglected to report clinically important outcomes, especially neonatal morbidity outcomes. Most studies are not designed for long-term follow-up. The development of a core

59 outcome set could help standardised outcome collection and reporting in Twin-Twin
60 Transfusion syndrome studies.
61
62 **Registration Number:** CRD42016043999.

INTRODUCTION

Twin-Twin Transfusion Syndrome (TTTS) is a unique pathology exclusive to monochorionic twin pregnancies whereby unbalanced transfusion across the placental vascular anastomoses leads to amniotic fluid volume imbalance between the twins. In severe TTTS the mortality rate is as high as 90% if untreated.^{2, 3} Even with treatment, TTTS is still associated with an increased risk of perinatal mortality and morbidity compared to uncomplicated monochorionic pregnancies, with neurological and cardiac complications reported, as well as a significant risk of preterm birth and its associated complications.²⁻⁸

The treatment options include fetoscopic laser surgery, amnioreduction, septostomy, expectant management and termination of pregnancy. Fetoscopic laser surgery now forms the mainstay of treatment and different techniques have also been compared.⁹ Given the high potential for morbidity and mortality in TTTS, there is a need for robust guidance on the safest course of management, particularly in the refinement of new treatment techniques.

The importance of standardising randomised controlled trial methods has been recognised. However, the selection, collection, and reporting of outcomes has received less attention, despite it being a critical step in the design of randomised trials.¹⁰ Such outcomes should reflect both beneficial and harmful effects and need to be relevant to clinical practice and key stakeholders, including patients, healthcare professionals, and researchers. Evidence synthesis can be further hampered by different methods of measurement or definition, even when outcomes have been consistently collected across trials. For example, childhood neurodevelopmental impairment has been defined using different combinations of clinical signs and cognitive assessments, performed with a range of tools, by different professionals and at different childhood ages.

There is no consensus amongst key stakeholders on which outcomes should be collected and reported in studies of TTTS treatments. The first step in developing a core outcome set

for TTTS requires an evaluation of the reporting of outcomes and outcome measures. The objective of the present study was therefore to assess the consistency of outcome reporting, including the adequacy of information pertaining to definition and measurement, among randomized trials and observational studies evaluating treatments for TTTS.

METHODS

Protocol, eligibility criteria, information sources and search

The protocol for this systematic review was registered prospectively on PROSPERO (International Prospective Register of Systematic Reviews); registration number: CRD42016043999.¹¹ We have followed the reporting guidelines outlined by the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) statement.¹²

We searched Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials (CENTRAL), Embase, and Medline from inception to August 2016 using MeSH descriptors including “twin-twin transfusion syndrome”, “twin-to-twin transfusion syndrome” and “fetofetal transfusion” (Supplementary Table 1). We included all randomised trials and observational studies reporting outcomes following a treatment for TTTS in monochorionic-diamniotic twin pregnancies and monochorionic-triamniotic and dichorionic-triamniotic triplet pregnancies. We excluded case reports, review articles, meta-analysis, and systematic reviews. We applied no restriction for language or publication date and translated articles where necessary.

Study selection, data collection and data items

Two authors (HP and OU) independently screened all titles and abstracts in the search results. Studies were excluded if they did not fit the eligibility criteria and full texts were obtained for studies that were obviously eligible and those that could not be excluded based

on title and abstract alone. These full text articles were critically reviewed for eligibility by two authors and any discrepancies were discussed and resolved with a third author.

Data was extracted from the eligible studies using a standardised data collection tool. Variables collected included year of publication, publishing journal, study design, setting, participants, treatments, stage of TTTS and the funding source (if applicable) for the study. The impact factor was obtained from the International Scientific Institute's Impact Factor List. A quality assessment was performed for each study. For randomised trials we used the Jadad scoring system and for observational studies we used the Newcastle-Ottawa scoring system.^{13,14} The size of the study was classified on either maternal or childhood participants, depending on the subject of the study. Due to the large number of relatively small single-centre retrospective observational studies, we decided to include all randomised controlled trials and the largest 94 observational studies in the analysis. After full text review, we did not feel that including more studies would add to the variety of outcomes recorded.

Primary and secondary outcomes were recorded as well as their definition and instruments of measure. We considered and included outcomes listed as 'variables collected' if they were clearly documented in the abstract or methods section and reported in the results section. We did not include outcomes listed for the first time in the results section without any clear justification. An inventory of outcomes was produced and these were organised into the following categories: fetal outcomes, offspring mortality, neonatal outcomes, early childhood outcomes, maternal outcomes and operative complications.

RESULTS

Study selection and characteristics

The search identified 1,209 articles. Forty-six duplicates were removed and 898 articles were considered not to meet inclusion criteria after title and abstract screening. Duplicates

were defined as articles with the same title, authors and publishing journal and year. Of the 898 articles that did not meet inclusion criteria 387 were unrelated to TTTS, 483 were not an intervention study (e.g. review, comment, case report) and in 28 cases the narrative did not fit the inclusion criteria (e.g. the paper did not report a discernible outcome). Two hundred and sixty-five articles were identified for full text review. Of these, 35 were further excluded as they either did not meet inclusion criteria (n=32) or full text could not be obtained (n=3). Two hundred and thirty studies were therefore deemed eligible after full text review and all randomised trials (n=6)^{9,15-19} and the largest observational studies (n=94)²⁰⁻¹¹³ were selected for analysis (Figure 1). There were 13 case-control studies, 32 prospective cohort studies and 49 retrospective cohort studies. The included 100 studies reported data from 20,071 maternal participants and 3199 children.

Synthesis of the results

Six different treatments were evaluated; fetoscopic laser surgery (95 studies; 95%), amnioreduction (15 studies; 15%), septostomy (1 study; 1%), expectant management (5 studies; 5%), selective feticide (2 studies; 2%), and delivery (1 study; 1%). Eighty of the studies evaluated fetoscopic laser surgery alone, with three of these studies comparing different techniques of fetoscopic laser surgery; two studies compared the Solomon technique to the standard technique and one study compared different uterine entry techniques (sheath and trocar, cannula and trocar or cannula and Seldinger). Three studies evaluated adjuncts to fetoscopic laser surgery, including Nifedipine therapy, cervical cerclage and laparoscopic guidance, a single study evaluated amnioreduction alone and the remaining 16 studies compared two or more treatments with one of these studies including the adjunct of Digoxin therapy to amnioreduction. Full details of the studies and their treatments are shown in Table 1.

Included trials reported 62 different outcomes, organised within six domains: six fetal outcomes, seven offspring mortality outcomes, 25 neonatal outcomes, six early childhood

outcomes, eight maternal outcomes and 10 operative outcomes (Table 2). Regarding quality assessment, two of the randomised trials scored four out of five on the Jadad score and the remainder scored three out of five. None of them involved blinding due to the nature of the treatments. Of the observational studies, only seven studies scored eight stars out of nine, nine studies scored seven stars, 38 score six stars, 30 scored six stars and ten scored four stars (Table 1).

Concerning fetal outcomes, only 17 studies (17%) reported recurrence of TTTS (4206 participants; 21.0%) and other fetal outcomes were even less reported. Offspring mortality was the most reported group, however there was inconsistency in the reported outcomes. Thirty-one studies (31%) reported live birth (5219 participants, 26%), 31 (31%) reported intrauterine death (6376 participants; 31.8%), 49 (49%) reported neonatal mortality (8216 participants; 41%) and 17 (17%) reported perinatal mortality (3172 participants; 15.8%). Neonatal morbidity was reported with varying frequency with 33 studies (33%) reporting gestational age at delivery (reporting data from 5583 participants; 27.8%), 16 studies (16%) reporting intraventricular haemorrhage (reporting data from 3430 participants; 17.1%), six studies (6%) reporting necrotising enterocolitis (1023 participants; 5.1%) and four studies (4%) reporting respiratory distress syndrome (reporting data from 620 participants; 3.1%). Childhood outcomes were not commonly reported with only 19 studies reporting on outcomes beyond the neonatal period. Of these, 13 studies (13%) reported neurodevelopmental impairment and 11 studies (11%) reported cerebral palsy (1868 (9.3%) and 1459 (7.3%) participants, respectively).

The most commonly reported maternal outcome was premature rupture of membranes which was reported by 31 studies (31%) (6057 participants; 30.2%). Operative complications were poorly reported with only six studies (6%) reporting haemorrhage (914 participants; 4.6%) and one (1%) study reporting pain (175 participants; 0.9%). The full range of outcomes reported is shown in Table 3. When considering the five randomised controlled

202 trials and the 20 largest observational studies, 50% reported neonatal mortality, 30%
203 reported premature rupture of the membranes and 15% reported on neurodevelopmental
204 impairment in childhood (Table 4).

205
206 There was variation of the definitions of reported outcomes. For neonatal mortality/survival,
207 five different definitions were found, but in 47% of studies where neonatal mortality/survival
208 was reported as an outcome, no definition was given. Seven different definitions were
209 identified for premature rupture of membranes and eight for childhood neurodevelopmental
210 impairment. The full range of variation is demonstrated in Table 5.

213 **DISCUSSION**

214 *Summary of the main findings*

215
216 We have found wide variation and inconsistencies in the reporting of maternal and offspring
217 outcomes. Of six randomised controlled trials and 94 observational studies, reporting data
218 from 20,071 maternal participants, less than a third reported live birth or intrauterine death
219 as an outcome. Whilst 49% of studies reported neonatal mortality/survival as an outcome,
220 there were five different definitions of this and almost half of these studies did not define this
221 outcome. Neonatal morbidity was poorly reported with only four studies reporting respiratory
222 distress syndrome, a common morbidity associated with prematurity, as an outcome. Only
223 19 studies were designed for follow-up beyond the neonatal period and 13 of these reported
224 on childhood neurological outcome. Despite the mainstay of treatments for TTTS being
225 surgical, maternal and operative outcomes were not commonly reported, with haemorrhage
226 only reported by 6% of studies and pain by 1%.

228 *Strengths and Limitations*

The strengths of this study are in its robust methodology. Following prospective registration, with pre-determined outcomes, an independent search was performed without limits on date or language and we translated articles where necessary, to be as inclusive as possible. Study selection and data extraction was performed independently by two authors to limit bias.

This study is limited in its ability to garner patient-important outcomes, which may not be best evaluated from randomised controlled trials or observational studies. Further qualitative research, such as structured interview-based studies, is required to overcome this. To further reduce bias in the review process, we could have blinded the reviewers to details of the articles, such as authors, year of publication and publication journal. By limiting the final analysis to the randomised trials and 94 largest observational studies we may have missed out on outcomes reported by the smaller studies, which were not reported by larger studies. However, with the inclusion of 62 outcomes across seven domains, we feel this review is reflective of current studies of treatment for TTTS. Our study may underestimate consistency in outcome reporting due to our methodology of reporting all studies singularly even if they were from the same centre. It is possible that different publications were used to report different outcomes from the same centres. Similarly, by only including outcomes and recorded variables clearly defined in the abstract and methods section, we may have under-reported some outcomes if they were only mentioned for the first time in the results section. Our rationale for this is that any outcomes that the researchers planned to report would normally be outlined in advance. We had a consistent approach to all studies reviewed and feel we have highlighted that different studies prioritise different outcomes resulting in wide variation in outcome reporting.

Interpretation of findings

Our search only identified six randomised controlled trials reporting outcomes after treatment for TTTS, reflecting the fact that due to the relatively low prevalence of this condition, it is difficult to perform large, good quality trials. With this in mind, it is of paramount importance that any studies that are undertaken collect data on relevant outcomes which can be interpreted in relation to existing literature and results can be easily compared.¹¹⁴ Previous studies have also found variation and inconsistency in the reporting of outcomes in different areas of women's health including preeclampsia, preterm birth, and endometriosis.¹¹⁵⁻¹¹⁹

One possible reason for this diversity in outcome reporting in TTTS is the emergence of a leading new treatment (fetoscopic laser surgery) over the last 20 years. As the risk of fetal mortality in TTTS is so high, pioneers of this treatment primarily focused on survival to birth as an outcome, with less regard to other outcomes that may be considered important by stakeholders. The fact that many different centres were publishing their results independently as relatively small observational studies probably compounded this effect.

With improving rates of survival to birth, there is now increased interest in the neonatal and longer term morbidity for surviving children and with any treatment for a fetal disease, consideration should also be given to the effects on the mother. This systematic review highlights that to date, these outcomes have not been consistently reported with only 19 of the 100 studies designed to obtain outcomes beyond the neonatal period. We feel that any centre performing treatment for TTTS should have access to neonatal outcomes, yet with the exception of neonatal mortality, these were not commonly reported. Outcomes such as necrotising enterocolitis are likely to be considered important by parents. This issue is not unique to TTTS; in a systematic review of outcome reporting in preterm birth, only one (1%) randomised trial reported composite morbidity in the neonatal period or at follow-up and none reported on maternal morbidity and mortality. Similarly, in a systematic review of outcome reporting in preeclampsia, the authors found that only 6 (7.6%) of randomised trials reported childhood outcomes.^{115,116} Bias may be introduced in the selection of primary

outcomes in the first place, as researchers are influenced by factors including sample size requirement, time until an outcome can be reported and cost. This can lead to more accessible but less informative outcomes being selected.¹²⁰

The Core Outcomes in Women's and Newborn Health (CROWN) initiative aims to facilitate consistent recording and reporting of outcomes by working closely with journals, researchers, funders and patients to develop core outcome sets for specific diseases.^{121, 122} Several core outcome sets are in development across obstetrics including for: gastroschisis, fetal monitoring and stillbirth.¹²³ The Core Outcome Measures in Effectiveness Trials (COMET) Initiative suggests three stages to developing a core outcome set: (1) identifying potential core outcomes; (2) determining core outcomes using robust consensus methods engaging key stakeholders; and (3) determining how core outcomes should be measured.¹²⁴ In line with the CROWN and COMET initiatives, we have previously described our intention to develop a core outcome set for TTTS and the inventory of outcomes identified by this systematic review will be entered into a Delphi Method for the second stage of the process. Key stakeholders including researchers, clinicians and patients will be invited to participate in this consensus-forming exercise.¹²⁵ This process has worked successfully in the development of core outcome sets for other related conditions, including abortion, pre-eclampsia, neonatal care, and endometriosis.¹²⁶⁻¹²⁹ For example, regarding preterm birth, 174 participants from five stakeholder groups reviewed and scored 31 outcomes via Delphi survey. The final core outcome set consisted of 13 outcomes on which consensus was met.¹³⁰

Conclusion

Most studies reporting outcomes following treatment for TTTS are observational in nature and report many different outcomes, with varying definitions. These inconsistencies contribute to an inability to compare, contrast, and combine results and inform decision

313 making in a clinical context. Developing a clinically relevant core dataset for implementation
314 in future TTTS trials could help to address these issues.

315

316

317 **Funding**

318 This study was not supported by any specific funding or grant.

319

320

REFERENCES

1. Quintero RA, Morales WJ, Allen MH, Bornick PW, Johnson PK, Kruger M. Staging of twin-twin transfusion syndrome. *J Perinatol.* 1999;19:550-5.
2. Roberts D, Gates S, Kilby M, Neilson JP. Interventions for twin–twin transfusion syndrome: a Cochrane review. *Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol.* 2008;3:701–11.
3. Roberts D, Neilson JP, Kilby MD, Gates S. Interventions for the treatment of twin–twin transfusion syndrome. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2014:CD002073.
4. Karatza AA, Wolfenden JL, Taylor MJO, Wee L, Fisk NM, Gardiner HM. Influence of twin-twin transfusion syndrome on fetal cardiovascular structure and function: prospective case–control study of 136 monochorionic twin pregnancies. *Heart.* 2002;88:271-7.
5. Herberg U, Gross W, Bartmann P, Banek CS, Hecher K, Breuer J. Long term cardiac follow up of severe twin to twin transfusion syndrome after intrauterine laser coagulation. *Heart.* 2006;92:95-100.
6. Van Mieghem T, Lewi L, Gucciardo L, DeKoninck P, Van Shoubroeck D, Devlieger R, Deprest J. The Fetal Heart in Twin-to-Twin Transfusion Syndrome. *Int J Pediatr.* 2010;doi:10.1155/2010/379792.
7. Manning N, Archer N. A study to determine the incidence of structural congenital heart disease in monochorionic twins. *Prenat. Diagn.* 2006;26:1062–4.
8. Cincotta RB, Gray PH, Phythian G, Rogers YM, Chan FY. Long term outcome of twin-twin transfusion syndrome. *Arch Dis Child Fetal Neonatal Ed.* 2000;83:F171–6.
9. Slaghekke F, Lopriore E, Lewi L, Middeldorp JM, van Zwet EW, Weingertner AS, Klumper FJ, DeKoninck P, Devlieger R, Kilby MD, Rustico MA, Deprest J, Favre R, Oepkes D. Fetoscopic laser coagulation of the vascular equator versus selective coagulation for twin-to-twin transfusion syndrome: an open-label randomised controlled trial. *Lancet.* 2014;383:2144-51.

10. Ioannidis JPA, Greenland S, Hlatky MA, Khoury MJ, Macleod MR, Moher D, Schulz KF, Tibshirani R. Increasing value and reducing waste in research design, conduct, and analysis. *Lancet*. 2014;383:166-75.
11. Khalil A, Perry H, Duffy J, Thilaganathan B. Interventions for twin-twin transfusion syndrome. PROSPERO 2016:CRD42016043999 Available from http://www.crd.york.ac.uk/PROSPERO/display_record.asp?ID=CRD42016043999
12. Liberati A, Altman D, Tetzlaff J, Mulrow C, Gøtzsche PC, Ioannidis JPA, Clarke M, Devereaux PJ, Kleijnen J, Moher D. The PRISMA statement for reporting systematic reviews and meta-analyses of studies that evaluate healthcare interventions: explanation and elaboration. *BMJ*. 2009;339:b2700.
13. Jadad AR, Moore RA, Carol D, Jenkinson C, Reynolds DJM, Gavaghan DJ, McQuay HJ. Assessing the quality of reports of randomized clinical trials: Is blinding necessary? *Controlled Clin Trials*. 1996;17:1-12.
14. Wells GA, Shea B, O'Connell D, Peterson J, Welch V, Losos M, Tugwell P. The Newcastle-Ottawa Scale (NOS) for assessing the quality of nonrandomised studies in meta-analyses. Available at: http://www.ohri.ca/programs/clinical_epidemiology/oxford.asp. Accessed 23/12/17.
15. van Klink JM, Slaghekke F, Balestrieri MA, Scelsa B, Introvini P, Rustico M, Faiola S, Rijken M, Koopman HM, Middeldorp JM, Oepkes D, Lopriore E. Neurodevelopmental outcome at 2 years in twin-twin transfusion syndrome survivors randomized for the Solomon trial. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. 2016 Jan;214:113.
16. Crombleholme TM, Shera D, Lee H, Johnson M, D'Alton M, Porter F, Chyu J, Silver R, Abuhamad A, Saade G, Shields L, Kauffman D, Stone J, Albanese CT, Bahado-Singh R, Ball RH, Bilaniuk L, Coleman B, Farmer D, Feldstein V, Harrison MR, Hedrick H, Livingston J, Lorenz RP, Miller DA, Norton ME, Polzin WJ, Robinson JN, Rychik J, Sandberg PL, Seri I, Simon E, Simpson LL, Yedigiarova L, Wilson RD, Young B.

- A prospective, randomized, multicenter trial of amnioreduction vs selective fetoscopic laserphotocoagulation for the treatment of severe twin-twin transfusion syndrome. *Am J Obstet Gynecol.* 2007 Oct;197:396.
17. Moise KJ Jr, Dorman K, Lamvu G, Saade GR, Fisk NM, Dickinson JE, Wilson RD, Gagnon A, Belfort MA, O'Shaughnessy RO, Chitkara U, Hassan SS, Johnson A, Sciscione A, Skupski D. A randomized trial of amnioreduction versus septostomy in the treatment of twin-twin transfusion syndrome. *Am J Obstet Gynecol.* 2005 Sep;193:701-7.
18. Senat MV, Deprest J, Boulvain M, Paupe A, Winer N, Ville Y. Endoscopic laser surgery versus serial amnioreduction for severe twin-to-twin transfusion syndrome. *N Engl J Med.* 2004;351:136-44.
19. Salomon LJ, Ortqvist L, Aegerter P, Bussieres L, Staracci S, Stirnemann JJ, Essaoui M, Bernard JP, Ville Y. Long-term developmental follow-up of infants who participated in a randomized clinical trial of amniocentesis vs laser photocoagulation for the treatment of twin-to-twin transfusion syndrome. *Am J Obstet Gynecol.* 2010;203:444.
20. Zhao D, Cohen D, Middeldorp JM, van Zwet EW, De Paepe ME, Oepkes D, Lopriore E. Histologic Chorioamnionitis and Funisitis After Laser Surgery for Twin-Twin Transfusion Syndrome. *Obstet Gynecol.* 2016 Aug;128:304-12.
21. Ortiz JU, Masoller N, Gómez O, Bennasar M, Eixarch E, Lobmaier SM, Crispi F, Gratacos E, Martinez JM. Rate and Outcomes of Pulmonary Stenosis and Functional Pulmonary Atresia in Recipient Twins with Twin-Twin Transfusion Syndrome. *Fetal Diagn Ther.* 2017;41:191-196.
22. Stirnemann J, Chalouhi G, Essaoui M, Bahi-Buisson N, Sonigo P, Millischer AE, Lapillonne A, Guigue V, Salomon LJ, Ville Y. Fetal brain imaging following laser surgery in twin-to-twin surgery. *BJOG.* 2016 Jun 27. doi: 10.1111/1471-0528.14162
23. Wilson I, Henry A, Hinch E, Meriki N, Challis D, Smoleniec J, Welsh AW. Audit of immediate outcomes for MCDA twins following laser therapy for twin-twin transfusion

- syndrome at the NSW Fetal Therapy Centre. *Aust N Z J Obstet Gynaecol.* 2016;56:289-94.
24. van Kempen LE, Zhao D, Steggerda SJ, Bekker V, Middeldorp JM, Oepkes D, Lopriore E. Increased Risk Of Early-Onset Neonatal Sepsis After Laser Surgery For Twin-to-Twin Transfusion Syndrome. *Twin Res Hum Genet.* 2016;19:234-40.
25. Malshe A, Snowise S, Mann LK, Boring N, Johnson A, Bebbington MW, Moise KJ Jr, Papanna R. Preterm delivery after fetoscopic laser surgery for twin-twin transfusion syndrome: etiology and risk factors. *Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol.* 2017;49:612-616.
26. Snowise S, Mann LK, Moise KJ Jr, Johnson A, Bebbington MW, Papanna R. Preterm prelabor rupture of membranes after fetoscopic laser surgery for twin-twin transfusion syndrome. *Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol.* 2017;49:607-611.
27. Emery SP, Hasley SK, Catov JM, Miller RS, Moon-Grady AJ, Baschat AA, Johnson A, Lim FY, Gagnon AL, O'Shaughnessy RW, Ozcan T, Luks FI; North American Fetal Therapy Network. North American Fetal Therapy Network: intervention vs expectant management for stage I twin-twin transfusion syndrome. *Am J Obstet Gynecol.* 2016;215:346.
28. Petersen SG, Gibbons KS, Luks FI, Lewi L, Diemert A, Hecher K, Dickinson JE, Stirnemann JJ, Ville Y, Devlieger R, Gardener G, Deprest JA. The Impact of Entry Technique and Access Diameter on Prelabour Rupture of Membranes Following Primary Fetoscopic Laser Treatment for Twin-Twin Transfusion Syndrome. *Fetal Diagn Ther.* 2016;40:100-9.
29. Persico N, Fabietti I, D'Ambrosi F, Riccardi M, Boito S, Fedele L. Postnatal survival after endoscopic equatorial laser for the treatment of twin-to-twin transfusion syndrome. *Am J Obstet Gynecol.* 2016;214:533.
30. Eschbach SJ, Boons LS, Wolterbeek R, Middeldorp JM, Klumper FJ, Lopriore E, Oepkes D, Haak MC. Prediction of single fetal demise after laser therapy for twin-twin transfusion syndrome. *Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol.* 2016;47:356-62.

31. Chmait RH, Chon AH, Schrager SM, Llanes A, Hamilton A, Vanderbilt DL. Fetal brain-sparing after laser surgery for twin-twin transfusion syndrome appears associated with two-year neurodevelopmental outcomes. *Prenat Diagn*. 2016;36:63-7.
32. Van Winden KR, Quintero RA, Kontopoulos EV, Korst LM, Llanes A, Chmait RH. Perinatal survival in cases of twin-twin transfusion syndrome complicated by selective intrauterine growth restriction. *J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med*. 2015;28:1549-53.
33. Pruetz JD, Schrager SM, Wang TV, Llanes A, Chmait RH, Vanderbilt DL. Blood pressure evaluation in children treated with laser surgery for twin-twin transfusion syndrome at 2-year follow-up. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. 2015;213:417.
34. Maggio L, Carr SR, Watson-Smith D, O'Brien BM, Lopes V, Muratore CS, Luks FI. Iatrogenic Preterm Premature Rupture of Membranes after Fetoscopic Laser Ablative Surgery. *Fetal Diagn Ther*. 2015;38:29-34.
35. Snowise S, Moise KJ, Johnson A, Bebbington MW, Papanna R. Donor Death After Selective Fetoscopic Laser Surgery for Twin-Twin Transfusion Syndrome. *Obstet Gynecol*. 2015;126:74-80.
36. Has R, Kalelioglu I, Corbacioglu Esmer A, Ermis H, Dural O, Dogan Y, Yasa C, Yumru H, Demir O, Yuksel A, Ibrahimoglu L, Yildirim A. Stage-related outcome after fetoscopic laser ablation in twin-to-twin transfusion syndrome. *Fetal Diagn Ther*. 2014;36:287-92.
37. Chai H, Fang Q, Huang X, Zhou Y, Luo Y. Prenatal management and outcomes in mirror syndrome associated with twin-twin transfusion syndrome. *Prenat Diagn*. 2014;34:1213-8.
38. Vanderbilt DL, Schrager SM, Llanes A, Hamilton A, Seri I, Chmait RH. Predictors of 2-year cognitive performance after laser surgery for twin-twin transfusion syndrome. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. 2014;211:388.

39. Gapp-Born E, Sananes N, Weingertner AS, Guerra F, Kohler M, Fritz G, Viville B, Gaudineau A, Langer B, Sauleau E, Nisand I, Favre R. Predictive value of cardiovascular parameters in twin-to-twin transfusion syndrome. *Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol.* 2014;44:427-33.
40. Lecointre L, Sananes N, Weingertner AS, Kohler M, Guerra F, Fritz G, Viville B, Langer B, Nisand I, Favre R. Fetoscopic laser coagulation for twin-twin transfusion syndrome before 17 weeks' gestation: laser data, complications and neonatal outcome. *Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol.* 2014;44:299-303.
41. Peeters SH, Van Zwet EW, Oepkes D, Lopriore E, Klumper FJ, Middeldorp JM. Learning curve for fetoscopic laser surgery using cumulative sum analysis. *Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand.* 2014;93:705-11.
42. van Klink JM, Koopman HM, van Zwet EW, Middeldorp JM, Walther FJ, Oepkes D, Lopriore E. Improvement in neurodevelopmental outcome in survivors of twin-twin transfusion syndrome treated with laser surgery. *Am J Obstet Gynecol.* 2014;210:540.
43. Michelfelder E, Tan X, Cnota J, Divanovic A, Statile C, Lim FY, Crombleholme T. Prevalence, Spectrum, and Outcome of Right Ventricular Outflow Tract Abnormalities in Twin-twin Transfusion Syndrome: A Large, Single-center Experience. *Congenit Heart Dis.* 2015;10:209-18.
44. Zhao DP, Peeters SH, Middeldorp JM, Klumper FJ, Oepkes D, Lopriore E. Laser surgery in twin-twin transfusion syndrome with proximate cord insertions. *Placenta.* 2013;34:1159-62.
45. Eixarch E, Valsky D, Deprest J, Baschat AA, Lewi L, Ortiz JU, Martinez-Crespo JM, Gratacos E. Preoperative prediction of the individualized risk of early fetal death after laser therapy in twin-to-twin transfusion syndrome. *Prenat Diagn.* 2013;33:1033-8.
46. Ngamprasertwong P, Habli M, Boat A, Lim FY, Esslinger H, Ding L, Sadhasivam S. Maternal hypotension during fetoscopic surgery: incidence and its impact on fetal survival outcomes. *ScientificWorldJournal.* 2013;21:709059.

47. Ruano R, Rodo C, Peiro JL, Shamshirsaz AA, Haeri S, Nomura ML, Salustiano EM, de Andrade KK, Sangi-Haghpeykar H, Carreras E, Belfort MA. Fetoscopic laser ablation of placental anastomoses in twin-twin transfusion syndrome using 'Solomon technique'. *Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol.* 2013;42:434-9.
48. Papanna R, Mann LK, Moise KY, Johnson A, Moise KJ Jr. Absorbable gelatin plug does not prevent iatrogenic preterm premature rupture of membranes after fetoscopic laser surgery for twin-twin transfusion syndrome. *Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol.* 2013;42:456-60.
49. Baschat AA, Barber J, Pedersen N, Turan OM, Harman CR. Outcome after fetoscopic selective laser ablation of placental anastomoses vs equatorial laser dichorionization for the treatment of twin-to-twin transfusion syndrome. *Am J Obstet Gynecol.* 2013;209:234.
50. Baud D, Windrim R, Keunen J, Kelly EN, Shah P, van Mieghem T, Seaward PG, Ryan G. Fetoscopic laser therapy for twin-twin transfusion syndrome before 17 and after 26 weeks' gestation. *Am J Obstet Gynecol.* 2013;208:197.
51. Stirnemann JJ, Nasr B, Essaoui M, Bussieres L, Ville Y. A nomogram for perioperative prognostic risk-assessment in twin-twin transfusion syndrome. *Prenat Diagn.* 2013;33:103-8.
52. Chalouhi GE, Marangoni MA, Quibel T, Deloison B, Benzina N, Essaoui M, Al Ibrahim A, Stirnemann JJ, Salomon LJ, Ville Y. Active management of selective intrauterine growth restriction with abnormal Doppler in monochorionic diamniotic twin pregnancies diagnosed in the second trimester of pregnancy. *Prenat Diagn.* 2013;33:109-15.
53. Barrea C, Debauche C, Williams O, Jasienski S, Steenhaut P, Sluysmans T, Bernard P, Hubinont C. Twin-to-twin transfusion syndrome: perinatal outcome and recipient heart disease according to treatment strategy. *J Paediatr Child Health.* 2013;49:E28-34.

54. Egawa M, Hayashi S, Yang L, Sakamoto N, Sago H. Chorioamniotic membrane separation after fetoscopic laser surgery for twin-twin transfusion syndrome. *Prenat Diagn.* 2013;33:89-94.
55. Graeve P, Banek C, Stegmann-Woessner G, Maschke C, Hecher K, Bartmann P. Neurodevelopmental outcome at 6 years of age after intrauterine laser therapy for twin-twin transfusion syndrome. *Acta Paediatr.* 2012;101:1200-5.
56. Sundberg K, Sogaard K, Jensen LN, Schou KV, Jørgensen C. Invasive treatment in complicated monochorionic twin pregnancies: indications and outcome of 120 consecutively treated pregnancies. *Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand.* 2012;91:1201-5.
57. Vanderbilt DL, Schrager SM, Llanes A, Chmait RH. Prevalence and risk factors of cerebral lesions in neonates after laser surgery for twin-twin transfusion syndrome. *Am J Obstet Gynecol.* 2012;207:320.
58. Swiatkowska-Freund M, Pankrac Z, Preis K. Results of laser therapy in twin-to-twin transfusion syndrome: our experience. *J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med.* 2012;25:1917-20.
59. Stirnemann JJ, Quibel T, Essaoui M, Salomon LJ, Bussieres L, Ville Y. Timing of delivery following selective laser photocoagulation for twin-to-twin transfusion syndrome. *Am J Obstet Gynecol.* 2012;207:127.
60. Spruijt M, Steggerda S, Rath M, van Zwet E, Oepkes D, Walther F, Lopriore E. Cerebral injury in twin-twin transfusion syndrome treated with fetoscopic laser surgery. *Obstet Gynecol.* 2012;120:15-20.
61. Takahashi H, Takahashi S, Tsukamoto K, Ito Y, Nakamura T, Hayashi S, Sago H. Persistent pulmonary hypertension of the newborn in twin-twin transfusion syndrome following fetoscopic laser surgery. *J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med.* 2012;25:543-5.
62. Rustico MA, Lanna MM, Faiola S, Schena V, Dell'avanzo M, Mantegazza V, Parazzini C, Lista G, Scelsa B, Consonni D, Ferrazzi E. Fetal and maternal complications after selective fetoscopic laser surgery for twin-to-twin transfusion syndrome: a single-center experience. *Fetal Diagn Ther.* 2012;31:170-8.

63. Habli M, Michelfelder E, Cnota J, Wall D, Polzin W, Lewis D, Lim FY, Crombleholme TM. Prevalence and progression of recipient-twin cardiomyopathy in early-stage twin-twin transfusion syndrome. *Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol.* 2012;39:63-8.
64. Tchirikov M, Oshovskyy V, Steetskamp J, Thäle V. Neonatal outcome following long-distance air travel for fetoscopic laser coagulation treatment of twin-to-twin transfusion syndrome. *Int J Gynaecol Obstet.* 2012;117:260-3.
65. Maschke C, Diemert A, Hecher K, Bartmann P. Long-term outcome after intrauterine laser treatment for twin-twin transfusion syndrome. *Prenat Diagn.* 2011;31:647-53.
66. Chmait RH, Kontopoulos EV, Korst LM, Llanes A, Petisco I, Quintero RA. Stage-based outcomes of 682 consecutive cases of twin-twin transfusion syndrome treated with laser surgery: the USFetus experience. *Am J Obstet Gynecol.* 2011;204:393.e1-6.
67. Cruz-Martinez R, Van Mieghem T, Lewi L, Eixarch E, Cobo T, Martinez JM, Deprest J, Gratacos E. Incidence and clinical implications of early inadvertent septostomy after laser therapy for twin-twin transfusion syndrome. *Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol.* 2011;37:458-62.
68. Sago H, Hayashi S, Saito M, Hasegawa H, Kawamoto H, Kato N, Nanba Y, Ito Y, Takahashi Y, Murotsuki J, Nakata M, Ishii K, Murakoshi T. The outcome and prognostic factors of twin-twin transfusion syndrome following fetoscopic laser surgery. *Prenat Diagn.* 2010;30:1185-91.
69. Gray PH, Poulsen L, Gilshenan K, Soong B, Cincotta RB, Gardener G. Neurodevelopmental outcome and risk factors for disability for twin-twin transfusion syndrome treated with laser surgery. *Am J Obstet Gynecol.* 2011;204:159.
70. Crombleholme TM, Lim FY, Habli M, Polzin W, Jaekle R, Michelfelder E, Cnota J, Liu C, Kim MO. Improved recipient survival with maternal nifedipine in twin-twin transfusion syndrome complicated by TTTS cardiomyopathy undergoing selective fetoscopic laser photocoagulation. *Am J Obstet Gynecol.* 2010;203:397.

71. Morris RK, Selman TJ, Harbidge A, Martin WI, Kilby MD. Fetoscopic laser coagulation for severe twin-to-twin transfusion syndrome: factors influencing perinatal outcome, learning curve of the procedure and lessons for new centres. *BJOG*. 2010;117:1350-7.
72. Quintero RA, Chmait RH, Bornick PW, Kontopoulos EV. Trocar-assisted selective laser photocoagulation of communicating vessels: a technique for the laser treatment of patients with twin-twin transfusion syndrome with inaccessible anterior placentas. *J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med*. 2010;23:330-4.
73. Papanna R, Mann LK, Johnson A, Sangi-Haghpeykar H, Moise KJ Jr. Chorioamnion separation as a risk for preterm premature rupture of membranes after laser therapy for twin-twin transfusion syndrome. *Obstet Gynecol*. 2010;115:771-6.
74. Chmait RH, Khan A, Benirschke K, Miller D, Korst LM, Goodwin TM. Perinatal survival following preferential sequential selective laser surgery for twin-twin transfusion syndrome. *J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med*. 2010;23:10-6.
75. Meriki N, Smoleniec J, Challis D, Welsh AW. Immediate outcome of twin-twin transfusion syndrome following selective laser photocoagulation of communicating vessels at the NSW Fetal Therapy Centre. *Aust N Z J Obstet Gynaecol*. 2010;50:112-9.
76. Habli M, Bombrys A, Lewis D, Lim FY, Polzin W, Maxwell R, Crombleholme T. Incidence of complications in twin-twin transfusion syndrome after selective fetoscopic laser photocoagulation: a single-center experience. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. 2009;201:417.
77. Lenclen R, Ciarlo G, Paupe A, Bussieres L, Ville Y. Neurodevelopmental outcome at 2 years in children born preterm treated by amnioreduction or fetoscopic laser surgery for twin-to-twin transfusion syndrome: comparison with dichorionic twins. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. 2009;201:291.

78. Luks FI, Carr SR, Muratore CS, O'Brien BM, Tracy TF. The pediatric surgeons' contribution to in utero treatment of twin-to-twin transfusion syndrome. *Ann Surg.* 2009;250:456-62.
79. Cincotta RB, Gray PH, Gardener G, Soong B, Chan FY. Selective fetoscopic laser ablation in 100 consecutive pregnancies with severe twin-twin transfusion syndrome. *Aust N Z J Obstet Gynaecol.* 2009;49:22-7.
80. Lopriore E, Ortibus E, Acosta-Rojas R, Le Cessie S, Middeldorp JM, Oepkes D, Gratacos E, Vandenbussche FP, Deprest J, Walther FJ, Lewi L. Risk factors for neurodevelopment impairment in twin-twin transfusion syndrome treated with fetoscopic laser surgery. *Obstet Gynecol.* 2009;113:361-6.
81. Muratore CS, Carr SR, Lewi L, Delieger R, Carpenter M, Jani J, Deprest JA, Luks FI. Survival after laser surgery for twin-to-twin transfusion syndrome: when are they out of the woods? *J Pediatr Surg.* 2009;44:66-9.
82. Salomon LJ, Nasr B, Nizard J, Bernard JP, Essaoui M, Bussieres L, Ville Y. Emergency cerclage in cases of twin-to-twin transfusion syndrome with a short cervix at the time of surgery and relationship to perinatal outcome. *Prenat Diagn.* 2008;28:1256-61.
83. Murakoshi T, Ishii K, Nakata M, Sago H, Hayashi S, Takahashi Y, Murotsuki J, Matsushita M, Shinno T, Naruse H, Torii Y; Japan Fetoscopy Group. Validation of Quintero stage III sub-classification for twin-twin transfusion syndrome based on visibility of donor bladder: characteristic differences in pathophysiology and prognosis. *Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol.* 2008;32:813-8.
84. Chmait RH, Korst LM, Bornick PW, Allen MH, Quintero RA. Fetal growth after laser therapy for twin-twin transfusion syndrome. *Am J Obstet Gynecol.* 2008;199:47.
85. Winer N, Salomon LJ, Essaoui M, Nasr B, Bernard JP, Ville Y. Pseudoamniotic band syndrome: a rare complication of monochorionic twins with fetofetal transfusion syndrome treated by laser coagulation. *Am J Obstet Gynecol.* 2008;198:393.

86. Huber A, Baschat AA, Bregenzer T, Diemert A, Tchirikov M, Hackelöer BJ, Hecher K. Laser coagulation of placental anastomoses with a 30 degrees fetoscope in severe mid-trimester twin-twin transfusion syndrome with anterior placenta. *Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol.* 2008;31:412-6.
87. Stirnemann JJ, Nasr B, Quarello E, Ortqvist L, Nassar M, Bernard JP, Ville Y. A definition of selectivity in laser coagulation of chorionic plate anastomoses in twin-to-twin transfusion syndrome and its relationship to perinatal outcome. *Am J Obstet Gynecol.* 2008;198:62.
88. Middeldorp JM, Lopriore E, Sueters M, Jansen FW, Ringers J, Klumper FJ, Oepkes D, Vandenbussche FP. Laparoscopically guided uterine entry for fetoscopy in twin-to-twin transfusion syndrome with completely anterior placenta: a novel technique. *Fetal Diagn Ther.* 2007;22:409-15.
89. Quintero RA, Ishii K, Chmait RH, Bornick PW, Allen MH, Kontopoulos EV. Sequential selective laser photocoagulation of communicating vessels in twin-twin transfusion syndrome. *J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med.* 2007;20:763-8.
90. Quarello E, Molho M, Ville Y. Incidence, mechanisms, and patterns of fetal cerebral lesions in twin-to-twin transfusion syndrome. *J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med.* 2007;20:589-97.
91. Lenclen R, Paupe A, Ciarlo G, Couderc S, Castela F, Ortqvist L, Ville Y. Neonatal outcome in preterm monochorionic twins with twin-to-twin transfusion syndrome after intrauterine treatment with amnioreduction or fetoscopic laser surgery: comparison with dichorionic twins. *Am J Obstet Gynecol.* 2007;196:450.
92. Middeldorp JM, Sueters M, Lopriore E, Klumper FJ, Oepkes D, Devlieger R, Kanhai HH, Vandenbussche FP. Fetoscopic laser surgery in 100 pregnancies with severe twin-to-twin transfusion syndrome in the Netherlands. *Fetal Diagn Ther.* 2007;22:190-4.

93. Lopriore E, Middeldorp JM, Sueters M, Oepkes D, Vandenbussche FP, Walther FJ. Long-term neurodevelopmental outcome in twin-to-twin transfusion syndrome treated with fetoscopic laser surgery. *Am J Obstet Gynecol.* 2007 Mar;196:231.
94. Lopriore E, Bökenkamp R, Rijlaarsdam M, Sueters M, Vandenbussche FP, Walther FJ. Congenital heart disease in twin-to-twin transfusion syndrome treated with fetoscopic laser surgery. *Congenit Heart Dis.* 2007;2:38-43.
95. Ierullo AM, Papageorgiou AT, Bhide A, Fratelli N, Thilaganathan B. Severe twin-twin transfusion syndrome: outcome after fetoscopic laser ablation of the placental vascular equator. *BJOG.* 2007 Jun;114:689-93.
96. Huber A, Diehl W, Bregenzer T, Hackelöer BJ, Hecher K. Stage-related outcome in twin-twin transfusion syndrome treated by fetoscopic laser coagulation. *Obstet Gynecol.* 2006;108:333-7.
97. Lopriore E, van Wezel-Meijler G, Middeldorp JM, Sueters M, Vandenbussche FP, Walther FJ. Incidence, origin, and character of cerebral injury in twin-to-twin transfusion syndrome treated with fetoscopic laser surgery. *Am J Obstet Gynecol.* 2006;194:1215-20.
98. Cavicchioni O, Yamamoto M, Robyr R, Takahashi Y, Ville Y. Intrauterine fetal demise following laser treatment in twin-to-twin transfusion syndrome. *BJOG.* 2006;113:590-4.
99. Robyr R, Lewi L, Salomon LJ, Yamamoto M, Bernard JP, Deprest J, Ville Y. Prevalence and management of late fetal complications following successful selective laser coagulation of chorionic plate anastomoses in twin-to-twin transfusion syndrome. *Am J Obstet Gynecol.* 2006;194:796-803.
100. Graef C, Ellenrieder B, Hecher K, Hackelöer BJ, Huber A, Bartmann P. Long-term neurodevelopmental outcome of 167 children after intrauterine laser treatment for severe twin-twin transfusion syndrome. *Am J Obstet Gynecol.* 2006;194:303-8.

101. Herberg U, Gross W, Bartmann P, Banek CS, Hecher K, Breuer J. Long term cardiac follow up of severe twin to twin transfusion syndrome after intrauterine laser coagulation. *Heart*. 2006;92:95-100.
102. Lopriore E, Sueters M, Middeldorp JM, Oepkes D, Vandenbussche FP, Walther FJ. Neonatal outcome in twin-to-twin transfusion syndrome treated with fetoscopiclaser occlusion of vascular anastomoses. *J Pediatr*. 2005;147:597-602.
103. Yamamoto M, El Murr L, Robyr R, Leleu F, Takahashi Y, Ville Y. Incidence and impact of perioperative complications in 175 fetoscopy-guided laser coagulations of chorionic plate anastomoses in fetofetal transfusion syndrome before 26 weeks of gestation. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. 2005;193:1110-6.
104. Moreira de Sa RA, Salomon LJ, Takahashi Y, Yamamoto M, Ville Y. Analysis of fetal growth after laser therapy in twin-to-twin transfusion syndrome. *J Ultrasound Med*. 2005;24:1213-9.
105. Quintero RA, Dickinson JE, Morales WJ, Bornick PW, Bermúdez C, Cincotta R, Chan FY, Allen MH. Stage-based treatment of twin-twin transfusion syndrome. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. 2003;188:1333-40.
106. Banek CS, Hecher K, Hackeloer BJ, Bartmann P. Long-term neurodevelopmental outcome after intrauterine laser treatment for severe twin-twin transfusion syndrome. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. 2003;188:876-80.
107. Mari G, Roberts A, Detti L, Kovanci E, Stefos T, Bahado-Singh RO, Deter RL, Fisk NM. Perinatal morbidity and mortality rates in severe twin-twin transfusion syndrome: results of the International Amnioreduction Registry. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. 2001 Sep;185:708-15.
108. Hecher K, Diehl W, Zikulnig L, Vetter M, Hackelöer BJ. Endoscopic laser coagulation of placental anastomoses in 200 pregnancies with severe mid-trimester twin-to-twin transfusion syndrome. *Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol*. 2000;92:135-9.

109. Quintero RA, Comas C, Bornick PW, Allen MH, Kruger M. Selective versus non-selective laser photocoagulation of placental vessels in twin-to-twin transfusion syndrome. *Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol.* 2000;16:230-6.
110. Dickinson JE, Evans SF. Obstetric and perinatal outcomes from the australian and new zealand twin-twin transfusion syndrome registry. *Am J Obstet Gynecol.* 2000;182:706-12.
111. Hecher K, Plath H, Bregenzer T, Hansmann M, Hackelöer BJ. Endoscopic laser surgery versus serial amniocenteses in the treatment of severe twin-twin transfusion syndrome. *Am J Obstet Gynecol.* 1999 Mar;180(3 Pt 1):717-24.
112. De Lia JE, Kuhlmann RS, Lopez KP. Treating previable twin-twin transfusion syndrome with fetoscopic laser surgery: outcomes following the learning curve. *J Perinat Med.* 1999;27:61-7.
113. Ville Y, Hecher K, Gagnon A, Sebire N, Hyett J, Nicolaides K. Endoscopic laser coagulation in the management of severe twin-to-twin transfusion syndrome. *BJOG.* 1998;105:446-53.
114. Duffy JMN, Bhattacharya S, Herman M, Mol B, Vail A, Wilkinson J, Farquhar C, on behalf of the Cochrane Gynaecology and Fertility Group. Reducing research waste in benign gynaecology and fertility research. *BJOG* 2017;124:366–369.
115. Duffy JMN, Hirsch M, Kawsar A, Gale C, Pealing L, Plana MN, Showell M, Williamson PR, Khan KS, Ziebland S, McManus RJ. Outcome reporting across randomised controlled trials evaluating therapeutic interventions for pre-eclampsia. *BJOG.* 2017; <https://doi.org/10.1111/1471-0528.14702>.
116. Meher S, Alfirevic Z. Choice of primary outcomes in randomised trials and systematic reviews evaluating interventions for preterm birth prevention: a systematic review. *BJOG* 2014;121:118–1196.
117. Hirsch M, Duffy JMN, Kusznir JO, Davis CJ, Plana MN, Khan KS. Variation in outcome reporting in endometriosis trials: a systematic review. *Am J ObstetGynecol.*2016;214:452-464.

118. Duffy JMN, Hirsch M, Gale C, Pealing L, Kawsar A, Showell M, Williamson PR, Khan KS, Ziebland S, McManus RJ and the International Collaboration to Harmonize Outcomes for Pre-eclampsia (iHOPE). A systematic review of primary outcomes and outcome measure reporting in randomized trials evaluating treatments for pre-eclampsia. *Int J Gynecol Obstet*.2017;139:262–267.
119. Duffy JMN, Hirsch M, Pealing L, Showell M, Khan KS, Ziebland S, McManus RJ. Inadequate safety reporting in pre-eclampsia trials: a systematic evaluation. *BJOG*. 2017; <https://doi.org/10.1111/1471-0528.14969>.
120. Duffy JMN, McManus RJ. Influence of methodology upon the identification of potential core outcomes. Recommendations for core outcome set developers are needed. *BJOG*. 2016;123:1599.
121. Khan K. The CROWN Initiative: journal editors invite researchers to develop core outcomes in women's health. *BJOG*. 2014 Sep;121(10):1181-2.
122. Duffy JMN, Rolph R, Gale C, Hirsch M, Khan KS, Ziebland S, McManus RJ. Core outcome sets in women's and newborn health: a systematic review. *BJOG* 2017;124:1481–1489.
123. Core Outcomes in Women's and Newborn Health: Projects with external funding or externally peer-reviewed. Available at: <http://www.crown-initiative.org/core-outcome-sets/ongoing-core-outcome-sets/> (2016). Accessed 23/10/2017.
124. Williamson P, Altman DG, Blazeby JM, Clarke M, Devane D, Gargon E, Tugwell P. Developing core outcome sets for clinical trials: issues to consider. *Trials* 2012;13:132.
125. Khalil A, Perry H, Duffy J, Reed K, Baschat A, Deprest J, Hecher K, Lewi L, Lopriore E, Oepkes D, International Collaboration to Harmonise Outcomes for Twin-Twin Transfusion Syndrome (CHOOSE). Twin–Twin Transfusion Syndrome: study protocol for developing, disseminating, and implementing a core outcome set. *Trials*. 2017;18:325. doi:10.1186/s13063-017-2042-0.

126. Whitehouse KC, Kim CR, Ganatra B, Duffy JMN, Blum J, Brahmi D, Creinin MD, DePiñeres T, Gemzell-Danielsson K, Grossman D, Winikoff B, Gülmezoglu AM. Standardizing abortion research outcomes (STAR): a protocol for developing, disseminating and implementing a core outcome set for medical and surgical abortion. *Contraception*. 2017 May;95(5):437-441
127. Duffy JM, van 't Hooft J, Gale C, Brown M, Grobman W, Fitzpatrick R, Karumanchi SA, Lucas N, Magee L, Mol B, Stark M, Thangaratnam S, Wilson M, von Dadelszen P, Williamson P, Khan KS, Ziebland S, McManus RJ; International Collaboration to Harmonise Outcomes for Pre-eclampsia (iHOPE). A protocol for developing, disseminating, and implementing a core outcome set for pre-eclampsia. *Pregnancy Hypertens*. 2016 Oct;6(4):274-278.
128. Webbe J, Brunton G, Ali S, Duffy JMN, Modi N, Gale C, on behalf of the Core Outcomes in Neonatology (COIN) Project Steering Group. Developing, implementing and disseminating a core outcome set for neonatal medicine. *BMJ Paediatrics Open* 2017.doi: 10.1136/bmjpo-2017-000048
129. Hirsch M, Duffy JM, Barker C, Hummelshoj L, Johnson NP, Mol B, Khan KS, Farquhar C; International Collaboration to Harmonize Outcomes and Measures for Endometriosis (iHOME). Protocol for developing, disseminating and implementing a core outcome set for endometriosis. BMJ Open. 2016 Dec;6:e013998. doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2016-013998.
130. van 't Hooft J; Duffy JMN; Daly M, Williamson PR, Meher S, Thom E, Saade GR, Alfirevic Z, Mol BWJ, Khan KS. A Core Outcome Set for Evaluation of Interventions to Prevent Preterm Birth. *Obstet Gynecol*. 2016;127:49-58.

| Study | Study Design | Inclusion Criteria | Maternal participants (n =20071) | Childhood participants (n= 3199) | Intervention 1 | Intervention 2 | Intervention 3 | Quality Assessment |
|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------|---|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|---|--|---|---------------------------------|
| Randomised trials (n =5) | | | | | | | | Jadad Score (max 5) |
| Van-Klink, 2016 | Randomised-controlled trial | TTTS Quintero stage 1-4 up to 26 weeks gestation | 156 | 287 | Fetoscopic Laser Surgery (Solomon technique) | Fetoscopic Laser Surgery (standard technique) | | 4 |
| Slaghekke, 2014 | Randomised-controlled trial | TTTS Quintero stage 1-4 up to 26 weeks gestation | 274 | | Fetoscopic Laser Surgery (Solomon technique) | Fetoscopic Laser Surgery (standard technique) | | 4 |
| Salomon, 2010 | Randomised-controlled trial | TTTS Quintero stage 2-4 15-26 weeks gestation | 128 | 120 | Fetoscopic Laser Surgery | Amnioreduction | | 3 |
| Crombleholme, 2007 | Randomised-controlled trial | TTTS Quintero stage 2-4 up to 24 weeks gestation | 40 | | Fetoscopic Laser Surgery | Amnioreduction | | 3 |
| Moise, 2005 | Randomised-controlled trial | TTTS Quintero stage 1-4 up to 24 weeks gestation | 73 | | Amnioreduction | Septostomy | | 3 |
| Senat, 2004 | Randomised-controlled trial | TTTS Quintero stage 2-4 15-26 weeks gestation | 142 | 146 | Fetoscopic Laser Surgery | Amnioreduction | | 3 |
| | | | | | | | | Newcastle-Ottawa Scale (max 9*) |
| Observational studies (n =95) | | | | | | | | |
| Zhao, 2016 | Case-control study | TTTS cases Quintero stage 1-4 and control monochorionic pregnancies. | 124 | | Fetoscopic Laser Surgery | | | 7* |
| Ortiz, 2016 | Prospective cohort study | TTTS cases Quintero stage 1-4 | 260 | | Fetoscopic Laser Surgery | | | 5* |
| Stirnemann, 2016 | Retrospective cohort study | TTTS cases Quintero stage 1-4 | 1023 | | Fetoscopic Laser Surgery | | | 5* |
| Wilson, 2016 | Retrospective cohort study | TTTS Quintero stage 1-4 up to 26 weeks gestation | 139 | | Fetoscopic Laser Surgery | | | 5* |
| Van Kempen, 2016 | Case-control study | TTTS cases and control monochorionic pregnancies. | 479 | | Fetoscopic Laser Surgery | | | 8* |
| Malshe, 2016 | Prospective cohort study | TTTS cases Quintero stage 1-4 | 203 | | Fetoscopic Laser Surgery | | | 6* |
| Snowise, 2016 | Prospective cohort study | TTTS cases Quintero stage 1-4 | 154 | | Fetoscopic Laser Surgery | | | 6* |
| Emery, 2016 | Retrospective cohort study | TTTS cases Quintero stage 1 | 124 | | Expectant management | amnioreduction | Fetoscopic laser surgery | 5* |
| Peterson, 2016 | Retrospective cohort study | TTTS cases Quintero stage 1-4 | 673 | | Fetoscopic Laser Surgery with sheath + trocar uterine entry technique | Fetoscopic Laser Surgery with cannula + trocar uterine entry technique | Fetoscopic Laser Surgery with cannula + Seldinger uterine entry technique | 5* |
| Persico, 2016 | Retrospective cohort study | TTTS cases Quintero stage 1-4 | 106 | | Fetoscopic Laser Surgery | | | 5* |
| Eschbach, 2016 | Case-control study | TTTS cases Quintero stage 1-4 | 273 | | Fetoscopic Laser Surgery | | | 7* |
| Chmait, 2016 | Prospective cohort study | Surviving children after fetoscopic laser surgery for TTTS Quintero stage 1-4 | 57 | 100 | Fetoscopic Laser Surgery | | | 5* |
| Van Winden, 2015 | Retrospective cohort study | TTTS cases Quintero stage 1-4 | 369 | | Fetoscopic Laser Surgery | | | 6* |
| Pruetz, 2015 | Prospective cohort study | Surviving children after | 54 | 91 | Fetoscopic Laser Surgery | | | 6* |

| | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------|----------------------------|---|-----|-----|--------------------------|---|--|----|
| | | fetoscopic laser surgery for TTTS Quintero stage 1-4 | | | | | | |
| Maggio, 2015 | Retrospective cohort study | TTTS cases Quintero stage 2-4 | 92 | | Fetoscopic Laser Surgery | | | 6* |
| Snowise, 2015 | Prospective cohort study | TTTS cases Quintero stage 1-4 | 154 | | Fetoscopic Laser Surgery | | | 6* |
| Has, 2014 | Retrospective cohort study | TTTS cases Quintero stage 1-4 | 85 | | Fetoscopic Laser Surgery | | | 5* |
| Chai, 2014 | Retrospective cohort study | TTTS cases Quintero stage 1-4 | 103 | | Amnioreduction | Selective Feticide (Bipolar Cord Coagulation) | | 4* |
| Vanderbilt, 2014 | Prospective cohort study | Surviving children after fetoscopic laser surgery for TTTS Quintero stage 1-4 | 57 | 100 | Fetoscopic Laser Surgery | | | 6* |
| Gapp-Born, 2014 | Prospective cohort study | TTTS cases Quintero stage 1-4 | 90 | | Fetoscopic Laser Surgery | | | 6* |
| Lecointre, 2014 | Prospective cohort study | TTTS cases Quintero stage 1-4 at < 17 weeks gestation | 178 | | Fetoscopic Laser Surgery | | | 6* |
| Peeters, 2014 | Retrospective cohort study | TTTS cases Quintero stage 1-4 | 340 | | Fetoscopic Laser Surgery | | | 7* |
| Van Klink, 2014 | Retrospective cohort study | Surviving children after fetoscopic laser surgery for TTTS Quintero stage 1-4 | 219 | 318 | Fetoscopic Laser Surgery | | | 5* |
| Michelfelder, 2014 | Retrospective cohort study | TTTS cases Quintero stage 2-4 | 610 | | Fetoscopic Laser Surgery | | | 4* |
| Zhao, 2013 | Retrospective cohort study | TTTS cases Quintero stage 1-4 | 252 | | Fetoscopic Laser Surgery | | | 5* |
| Eixarch, 2013 | Retrospective cohort study | TTTS cases Quintero stage 1-4 | 215 | | Fetoscopic Laser Surgery | | | 6* |
| Ngamprasertwong, 2013 | Retrospective cohort study | TTTS cases | 328 | | Fetoscopic Laser Surgery | | | 6* |
| Ruano, 2013 | Case-control study | TTTS cases Quintero stage 2-4 | 102 | | Fetoscopic Laser Surgery | | | 8* |
| Papanna, 2013 | Retrospective cohort study | TTTS cases Quintero stage 1-4 | 134 | | Fetoscopic Laser Surgery | | | 8* |
| Baschat, 2013 | Retrospective cohort study | TTTS cases Quintero stage 1-4 | 147 | | Fetoscopic Laser Surgery | | | 8* |
| Baud, 2013 | Retrospective cohort study | TTTS cases Quintero stage 1-4 at < 17 weeks gestation and > 26 weeks gestation | 325 | | Fetoscopic Laser Surgery | | | 4* |
| Stirnemann, 2013 | Retrospective cohort study | TTTS cases Quintero stage 1-4 | 507 | | Fetoscopic Laser Surgery | | | 6* |
| Chalouhi, 2013 | Case-control study | TTTS cases Quintero stage 3 and monochorionic pregnancies with selective fetal growth restriction | 211 | | Fetoscopic Laser Surgery | Selective Feticide (Bipolar Cord Coagulation) | | 7* |
| Barrea, 2013 | Retrospective cohort study | TTTS cases Quintero stage 1-4 < 28 weeks gestation | 81 | | Fetoscopic Laser Surgery | Amnioreduction | | 6* |
| Egawa, 2013 | Retrospective cohort study | TTTS cases Quintero stage 1-4 | 148 | | Fetoscopic Laser Surgery | | | 5* |
| Graeve, 2012 | Prospective cohort study | Surviving children after | 200 | 190 | Fetoscopic Laser Surgery | | | 4* |

| | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------|----------------------------|---|-----|-----|---------------------------------------|----------------|--|----|
| | | fetoscopic laser surgery for TTTS Quintero stage 1-4 | | | | | | |
| Sundberg, 2012 | Prospective cohort study | TTTS cases Quintero stage 1-4 | 120 | | Fetoscopic Laser Surgery | | | 6* |
| Vanderbilt, 2012 | Retrospective cohort study | TTTS cases Quintero stage 1-4 | 262 | | Fetoscopic Laser Surgery | | | 6* |
| Swiatkowska-Freund, 2012 | Retrospective cohort study | TTTS cases Quintero stage 1-4 | 94 | | Fetoscopic Laser Surgery | | | 5* |
| Stirnemann, 2012 | Retrospective cohort study | TTTS cases Quintero stage 1-4, 16-26 weeks gestation | 648 | | Fetoscopic Laser Surgery | | | 5* |
| Spruijt, 2012 | Case-control study | TTTS cases Quintero stage 1-4 and dichorionic controls | 534 | | Fetoscopic Laser Surgery | | | 7* |
| Takahashi, 2012 | Retrospective cohort study | TTTS cases Quintero stage 1-4 | 195 | | Fetoscopic Laser Surgery | | | 4* |
| Rustico, 2012 | Retrospective cohort study | TTTS cases Quintero stage 1-4 | 150 | 172 | Fetoscopic Laser Surgery | | | 6* |
| Habli, 2012 | Retrospective cohort study | TTTS cases Quintero stage 1-2 | 123 | | Expectant management | Amnioreduction | | 5* |
| Tchirikov, 2012 | Retrospective cohort study | TTTS cases Quintero stage 1-4, 16-26 weeks gestation | 77 | | Fetoscopic Laser Surgery | | | 6* |
| Maschke, 2011 | Retrospective cohort study | TTTS cases Quintero stage 2-4 | 196 | 256 | Fetoscopic Laser Surgery | | | 4* |
| Chmait, 2011 | Retrospective cohort study | TTTS cases Quintero stage 2-4 | 682 | | Fetoscopic Laser Surgery | | | 6* |
| Cruz-Martinez, 2011 | Retrospective cohort study | TTTS cases Quintero stage 1-4 | 414 | | Fetoscopic Laser Surgery | | | 5* |
| Sago, 2011 | Retrospective cohort study | TTTS cases Quintero stage 1-4, 16-26 weeks gestation | 181 | 163 | Fetoscopic Laser Surgery | | | 5* |
| Gray, 2011 | Prospective cohort study | Surviving children after fetoscopic laser surgery for TTTS Quintero stage 2-4 | 75 | 113 | Fetoscopic Laser Surgery | | | 5* |
| Crombleholme, 2010 | Case-control study | TTTS cases Quintero stage 1-4 | 293 | | Fetoscopic Laser Surgery + Nifedipine | | | 5* |
| Morris, 2010 | Prospective cohort study | TTTS cases Quintero stage 2-4 < 26 weeks | 164 | | Fetoscopic Laser Surgery | | | 6* |
| Quintero, 2010 | Retrospective cohort study | TTTS cases Quintero stage 1-4, 16-26 weeks gestation | 267 | | Fetoscopic Laser Surgery | | | 5* |
| Papanna, 2010 | Retrospective cohort study | TTTS cases Quintero stage 1-4 | 97 | | Fetoscopic Laser Surgery | | | 8* |
| Chmait, 2010 | Retrospective cohort study | TTTS cases Quintero stage 1-4, 16-26 weeks gestation | 99 | | Fetoscopic Laser Surgery | | | 6* |
| Meriki, 2010 | Retrospective cohort study | TTTS cases Quintero stage 1-4 | 79 | | Fetoscopic Laser Surgery | | | 6* |
| Habli, 2009 | Retrospective cohort study | TTTS cases Quintero stage 1-4, 16-26 weeks gestation | 152 | | Fetoscopic Laser Surgery | | | 6* |
| Lenclen, 2009 | Case-control study | Surviving children after fetoscopic laser surgery | 209 | 312 | Fetoscopic Laser Surgery | Amnioreduction | | 7* |

| | | | | | | | | |
|------------------|-------------------------------|---|-----|-----|--|---|-------------------------|----|
| | | or amnioreduction for TTTS born between 24-34 weeks gestation and dichorionic controls | | | | | | |
| Luks, 2009 | Retrospective cohort study | TTTS cases Quintero stage 1-4 | 98 | | Expectant Management | Fetoscopic Laser Surgery | | 5* |
| Cincotta, 2009 | Prospective cohort study | TTTS cases Quintero stage 2-4 | 100 | | Fetoscopic Laser Surgery | | | 5* |
| Lopriore, 2009 | Case-control study | Surviving children after fetoscopic laser surgery for TTTS Quintero stage 1-4 | 212 | 278 | Fetoscopic Laser Surgery | | | 8* |
| Muratore, 2009 | Retrospective cohort study | TTTS cases Quintero stage 1-4 | 163 | | Fetoscopic Laser Surgery | | | 5* |
| Salomon, 2008 | Prospective cohort study | TTTS cases Quintero stage 2-4 with cervical length <15mm prior to surgery | 272 | | Fetoscopic Laser Surgery with emergency cervical cerclage | Fetoscopic Laser Surgery without emergency cervical cerclage | | 5* |
| Murakoshi, 2008 | Retrospective cohort study | TTTS cases Quintero stage 3 | 82 | | Fetoscopic Laser Surgery | | | 7* |
| Chmait, 2008 | Retrospective cohort study | TTTS cases Quintero stage 1-4 with dual neonatal survivors born at least 28 days after surgery | 211 | | Fetoscopic Laser Surgery | | | 6* |
| Winer, 2008 | Prospective cohort study | TTTS cases between 15-26 weeks gestation | 438 | | Fetoscopic Laser Surgery | | | 4* |
| Huber, 2008 | Prospective cohort study | TTTS cases Quintero stage 2-4 | 176 | | Fetoscopic Laser Surgery | | | 8* |
| Stirnemann, 2008 | Prospective cohort study | TTTS cases Quintero stage 2-4 | 287 | | Fetoscopic Laser Surgery | | | 6* |
| Middeldorp, 2007 | Prospective cohort study | TTTS cases Quintero stage 1-4 | 105 | | Laparoscopically- guided Fetoscopic Laser Surgery | | | 6* |
| Quintero, 2007 | Prospective cohort study | TTTS cases Quintero stage 1-4, 16-26 weeks gestation | 193 | | Fetoscopic Laser Surgery | | | 6* |
| Quarello, 2007 | Retrospective cohort study | TTTS cases Quintero stage 2-4 | 299 | | Fetoscopic Laser Surgery | Amnioreduction | Expectant Management | 5* |
| Lenclen, 2007 | Case-control study | TTTS cases Quintero stage 2-4 and dichorionic controls | 209 | | Fetoscopic Laser Surgery | Amnioreduction | | 7* |
| Middeldorp, 2007 | Prospective cohort study | TTTS cases Quintero stage 1-4, 16-28 weeks gestation | 100 | | Fetoscopic Laser Surgery | | | 6* |
| Lopriore, 2007 | Prospective cohort study | Surviving children after fetoscopic laser surgery for TTTS | 82 | 115 | Fetoscopic Laser Surgery | | | 6* |
| Lopriore, 2007 | Case-control study | TTTS cases Quintero stage 1-4 and monochorionic controls | 101 | | Fetoscopic Laser Surgery | | | 5* |
| Lerullo, 2007 | Prospective cohort study | TTTS cases Quintero stage 3-4, <26 weeks gestation | 77 | | Fetoscopic Laser Surgery | | | 6* |
| Huber, 2006 | Prospective cohort study | TTTS cases Quintero stage 1-4, <26 weeks | 200 | | Fetoscopic Laser Surgery | | | 6* |

| | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------|----------------------------|---|-----|-----|---|----------------------|----------|----|
| | | gestation | | | | | | |
| Lopriore, 2006 | Case-control study | TTTS cases Quintero stage 1-4 and monochorionic controls | 108 | | Fetoscopic Laser Surgery | | | 6* |
| Cavicchioni, 2006 | Retrospective cohort study | TTTS cases Quintero stage 1-4 | 120 | | Fetoscopic Laser Surgery | | | 4* |
| Robyr, 2006 | Retrospective cohort study | TTTS cases where both twins were alive 1 week after treatment | 151 | | Fetoscopic Laser Surgery | | | 6* |
| Graef, 2006 | Prospective cohort study | Surviving children after fetoscopic laser surgery for TTTS | 127 | 167 | Fetoscopic Laser Surgery | | | 5* |
| Herberg, 2006 | Prospective cohort study | Surviving children after fetoscopic laser surgery for TTTS | 73 | 89 | Fetoscopic Laser Surgery | | | 6* |
| Lopriore, 2005 | Case-control study | TTTS cases and monochorionic controls | 86 | | Fetoscopic Laser Surgery | | | 7* |
| Yamamoto, 2005 | Retrospective cohort study | TTTS cases <26 weeks gestation | 175 | | Fetoscopic Laser Surgerv | | | 5* |
| De Moreira Sa, 2005 | Prospective cohort study | TTTS cases with at least 1 survivor after treatment | 98 | | Fetoscopic Laser Surgery | | | 5* |
| Quintero, 2003 | Retrospective cohort study | TTTS cases Quintero stage 1-4 | 173 | | Fetoscopic Laser Surgery | Amnioreduction | | 4* |
| Banek, 2003 | Prospective cohort study | Surviving children after fetoscopic laser surgery for TTTS | 73 | 89 | Fetoscopic Laser Surgery | | | 5* |
| Mari, 2001 | Prospective cohort study | TTTS cases <28 weeks gestation | 223 | | Amnioreduction | | | 6* |
| Hecher, 2000 | Prospective cohort study | TTTS cases Quintero stage 2-4, 15-26 weeks gestation | 200 | | Fetoscopic Laser Surgery | | | 6* |
| Quintero, 2000 | Retrospective cohort study | TTTS cases Quintero stage 2-4, 16-26 weeks gestation | 92 | | Fetoscopic Laser Surgery | | | 6* |
| Dickinson, 2000 | Prospective cohort study | All TTTS cases | 112 | | Amnioreduction (with or without adjuvant digoxin) | Expectant Management | Delivery | 5* |
| Hecher, 1999 | Retrospective cohort study | TTTS cases Quintero stage 2-4, 17-25 weeks gestation | 116 | | Fetoscopic Laser Surgery | Amnioreduction | | 6* |
| De Lia, 1999 | Retrospective cohort study | TTTS cases <25 weeks gestation | 67 | 93 | Fetoscopic Laser Surgery | | | 4* |
| Ville, 1998 | Prospective cohort study | TTTS cases Quintero stage 2-4, <28 weeks gestation | 132 | | Fetoscopic Laser Surgery | | | 6* |

Table 1: Characteristics of included studies

| FETAL OUTCOMES | EARLY CHILDHOOD OUTCOMES |
|--|--|
| Disease progression Recurrence of twin-twin transfusion syndrome Cardiovascular morbidity Fetal Echocardiography abnormalities Anaemia Neurological morbidity Cerebral Lesions Other Amniotic Band Syndrome Twin anaemia polycythaemia syndrome | Neurodevelopment Visual impairment Hearing impairment Cerebral palsy Neurodevelopmental Impairment Cardiovascular morbidity Hypertension Cardiac dysfunction |
| OFFSPRING MORTALITY | MATERNAL OUTCOMES |
| Live birth Miscarriage Intrauterine death Neonatal mortality Perinatal mortality Early childhood mortality Termination of Pregnancy | Maternal mortality Mirror syndrome Premature rupture of membranes Chorioamnionitis Abruptio Amniotic fluid embolism Preterm birth Pulmonary oedema |
| NEONATAL OUTCOMES | OPERATIVE COMPLICATIONS |
| Delivery Gestational age at delivery Mode of delivery Birth weight Apgar Scores Neurological morbidity Stroke Intraventricular haemorrhage Periventricular leukomalacia Ventriculomegaly Cystic Lesions Retinopathy of prematurity Cardiovascular morbidity Pulmonary stenosis Pulmonary atresia Persistent Pulmonary Hypertension of the Newborn Congenital heart disease Hypotension Ischaemic Limb Injury Respiratory morbidity Respiratory distress syndrome Chronic lung disease Intubation and ventilation Pulmonary hypoplasia Gastrointestinal morbidity Necrotising enterocolitis Genitourinary morbidity Renal failure Infectious morbidity Sepsis Interventions to manage morbidity Parenteral nutrition Resuscitation of the neonate | Pain Hypotension Haemorrhage Blood transfusion Emergency laparotomy Unintentional membrane separation Unintentional septostomy Intra-abdominal amniotic fluid leak Operative Time Admission to intensive care |

Table 2: Maternal and offspring outcome reporting across randomised trials and observational studies

| Outcome | Reporting studies (n=100) | Number of maternal participants (n=20071) |
|---|------------------------------|--|
| | | |
| FETAL OUTCOMES | | |
| Disease progression | | |
| Recurrence of twin-twin transfusion syndrome, n (%) | 17 (17) | 4206 (21.0) |
| Cardiovascular morbidity | | |
| Fetal Echo Abnormalities, n (%) | 4 (4) | 1108 (5.5) |
| Anaemia, n (%) | 1 (1) | 120 (0.6) |
| Neurological morbidity | | |
| Cerebral lesions, n (%) | 4 (4) | 1592 (7.9) |
| Other | | |
| Amniotic Band Syndrome, n (%) | 4 (4) | 1278 (6.4) |
| Twin anaemia polycythaemia syndrome, n (%) | 14 (14) | 3738 (18.6) |
| | | |
| OFFSPRING MORTALITY | | |
| Live birth, n (%) | 31 (31) | 5219 (26.0) |
| Miscarriage, n (%) | 11 (11) | 1419 (7.1) |
| Intrauterine death, n (%) | 31 (31) | 6376 (31.8) |
| Neonatal mortality/survival, n (%) | 49 (49) | 8216 (41.0) |
| Perinatal mortality/survival, n (%) | 17(17) | 3172 (15.8) |
| Early childhood mortality, n (%) | 9 (9) | 1083 (5.4) |
| Termination of Pregnancy, n (%) | 2 (2) | 227 (1.1) |
| | | |
| NEONATAL OUTCOMES | | |
| Delivery | | |
| Gestational age at delivery, n (%) | 33 (33) | 5583 (27.8) |
| Mode of delivery, n (%) | 7 (7) | 2098 (10.5) |
| Birth weight, n (%) | 14 (14) | 2155 (10.7) |
| Apgar scores, n (%) | 3 (3) | 365 (1.8) |
| Neurological morbidity | | |
| Stroke, n (%) | 4 (4) | 860 (4.3) |
| Intraventricular haemorrhage, n (%) | 16 (16) | 3430 (17.1) |
| Periventricular leukomalacia, n (%) | 17 (17) | 3594 (17.9) |
| Ventriculomegaly, n (%) | 11 (11) | 2297 (11.4) |
| Cystic Lesions, n (%) | 7 (7) | 1651 (8.2) |
| Retinopathy of prematurity, n (%) | 3 (3) | 510 (2.5) |
| Cardiovascular morbidity | | |
| Pulmonary stenosis, n (%) | 1 (1) | 260 (1.3) |
| Pulmonary atresia, n (%) | 1 (1) | 260 (1.3) |
| Persistent Pulmonary Hypertension of the Newborn, n (%) | 1 (1) | 195 (1.0) |
| Congenital heart disease, n (%) | 1 (1) | 101 (0.5) |
| Hypotension, n (%) | 2 (2) | 290 (1.4) |
| Ischaemic Limb Injury, n (%) | 2 (2) | 360 (1.8) |
| Respiratory morbidity | | |
| Respiratory distress syndrome, n (%) | 4 (4) | 620 (3.1) |
| Chronic lung disease/Bronchopulmonary Dysplasia, n (%) | 6 (6) | 1044 (5.2) |
| Intubation and ventilation, n (%) | 1 (1) | 209 (1.0) |

| | | |
|---|---------|-------------|
| Pulmonary hypoplasia, n (%) | 1 (1) | 81 (0.4) |
| Gastrointestinal morbidity | | |
| Necrotising enterocolitis, n (%) | 6 (6) | 1023 (5.1) |
| Genitourinary morbidity | | |
| Renal failure, n (%) | 4 (4) | 599 (3.0) |
| Infectious morbidity | | |
| Sepsis, n (%) | 3 (3) | 826 (4.1) |
| Interventions to manage morbidity | | |
| Parenteral nutrition, n (%) | 1 (1) | 81 (0.4) |
| Resuscitation of the neonate, n (%) | 1 (1) | 81 (0.4) |
| | | |
| EARLY CHILDHOOD OUTCOMES | | |
| Neurodevelopment | | |
| Visual impairment, n (%) | 10 (10) | 1430 (7.1) |
| Hearing impairment, n (%) | 9 (9) | 1424 (7.1) |
| Cerebral palsy, n (%) | 11 (11) | 1459 (7.3) |
| Neurodevelopmental Impairment, n (%) | 13 (13) | 1868 (9.3) |
| Cardiovascular morbidity | | |
| Hypertension, n (%) | 1 (1) | 54 (0.3) |
| Cardiac dysfunction, n (%) | 1 (1) | 73 (0.4) |
| | | |
| MATERNAL OUTCOMES | | |
| Maternal mortality, n (%) | 2 (2) | 409 (2.0) |
| Mirror syndrome, n (%) | 3 (3) | 578 (2.9) |
| Premature rupture of membranes, n (%) | 31 (31) | 6057 (30.2) |
| Chorioamnionitis, n (%) | 8 (8) | 2078 (10.4) |
| Placental abruption, n (%) | 6 (6) | 1346 (6.7) |
| Amniotic fluid embolism, n (%) | 1 (1) | 142 (0.7) |
| Preterm birth, n (%) | 8 (8) | 1857 (9.3) |
| Pulmonary oedema, n (%) | 3 (3) | 718 (3.6) |
| | | |
| OPERATIVE COMPLICATIONS | | |
| Pain, n (%) | 1 (1) | 175 (0.9) |
| Hypotension, n (%) | 1 (1) | 328 (1.6) |
| Haemorrhage, n (%) | 6 (6) | 914 (4.6) |
| Blood transfusion, n (%) | 3 (3) | 794 (4.0) |
| Emergency laparotomy, n (%) | 1 (1) | 176 (0.9) |
| Unintentional membrane separation, n (%) | 5 (5) | 830 (3.3) |
| Unintentional septostomy, n (%) | 4 (4) | 814 (4.1) |
| Intraabdominal amniotic fluid leak, n (%) | 5 (5) | 753 (3.8) |
| Admission to intensive care, n (%) | 3 (3) | 651 (3.2) |
| Operative Time, n (%) | 3 (3) | 552 (2.8) |

Table 3: Maternal and offspring outcome reporting across randomised trials and observational studies.

| | Fetal Outcomes | | | | | | Offspring Mortality | | | | | | Operative Complications | | | | | | Maternal Outcomes | | | | | | Neonatal Outcomes | | | | | | | | Childhood Outcomes | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------|--|--------------------------|---------|------------------|------------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------|-------------|--------------------|--------------------|---------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------|--------------------------------|------------------|-------------------|-------------------------|---------------|------------------|-----------------------------|------------------|--------------|--------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------|----------------|--------|-------------------|--------------------|----------------|--------------------------|---|---|---|
| Outcome | Recurrence of twin-twin transfusion syndrome | Fetal Echo Abnormalities | Anaemia | Cerebral lesions | Amniotic Band Syndrome | Twin anaemia polycythaemia syndrome | Live birth | Miscarriage | Intrauterine death | Neonatal mortality | Perinatal mortality | Early childhood mortality | Termination of Pregnancy | Operator Performance | Hypotension | Haemorrhage | Blood transfusion | Unintentional membrane separation | Unintentional septostomy | Intraabdominal amniotic fluid leak | Admission to intensive care | Mirror syndrome | Premature rupture of membranes | Chorioamnionitis | Abruption | Amniotic fluid embolism | Preterm birth | Pulmonary oedema | Gestational age at delivery | Mode of delivery | Birth weight | Apgar scores | Intraventricular haemorrhage | Periventricular leukomalacia | Ventriculomegaly | Cystic Lesions | Sepsis | Visual impairment | Hearing impairment | Cerebral palsy | Neurodevelopmental Delay | | | |
| Study | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Randomised trials | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Van-Klink, 2016 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Slaghekke, 2014 | X | | | | X | X | | | | | X | | | | | X | | X | | | | | X | X | | | | | | | | X | | X | X | X | X | | | | | | | |
| Salomon, 2010 | | | | | | | | | | | X | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Crombleholme, 2007 | | | | | | | | | | X | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Moise, 2005 | | | | | | | X | | | X | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Senat, 2004 | | | | | | | | X | X | X | X | X | | | | X | | | | X | | | | X | X | X | | | | X | | | | | X | X | | | | | | | | |
| Observational studies | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Stirnemann, 2016 | X | | | X | | X | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Chmait, 2011 | | | | | | | | | X | X | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Peterson, 2016 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | X | | | | | X | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Stirnemann, 2012 | X | | | | | X | | | X | X | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | X | X | | | | X | | | | | | X | X | | | | | | | |
| Michelfelder, 2014 | | X | | | | | X | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | X | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Spruijt, 2012 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | X | X | X | X | | | | | |
| Stirnemann, 2013 | | | | | | | | | | | X | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Van kempen, 2016 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | X | | | | | X | X | X | | | | | | | | X | | | | | | |
| Winer, 2008 | | | | | | | | | X | | | | | | | | | | | | | | X | | | | | | X | X | X | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Cruz-Martinez, 2011 | | | | | X | | | | X | | | | | | | | | | X | | | | X | | | | | X | X | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Van Winden, 2015 | | | | | | | | | X | X | X | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | X | | | X | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Peeters, 2014 | | | | | | | | | | | X | | | X | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Lenclen, 2009 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | X | X | X | X |
| Ngamprasertwong, 2013 | | | | | | | X | | | | | | | | X | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Baud, 2013 | | | | | | | X | | | X | | | | | | | X | | | | X | X | | | | | | X | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Quarello, 2007 | | | | X | | | X | | X | X | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Crombleholme, 2010 | | X | | | | | X | | | | | | | | | | X | | | | | | | X | | | | X | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Stirnemann, 2008 | X | | | | | | | | | X | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | X | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Eschbach, 2016 | | | | | | | | | X | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | X | X | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Salomon, 2008 | | | | | | | | | | X | | | | | | | | | | | | | | X | X | | | | X | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Table 4: Inconsistency in outcome reporting across randomised trials and the 20 largest observational studies.

| Outcome Measure | Number (%) | Number (%) |
|---|------------|--------------|
| Total | 100 | 20071 |
| | | |
| Live birth | 31 (31) | 5219 (26.0) |
| Survival to birth | 10 (10) | 1865 (9.3) |
| Not defined | 21 (21) | 3354 (16.7) |
| | | |
| Miscarriage | 11 (11) | 1419 (7.1) |
| Pregnancy loss < 24 weeks | 6 (6) | 828 (4.1) |
| Not defined | 5 (5) | 591 (2.9) |
| | | |
| Intrauterine Death | 31 (31) | 6376 (31.8) |
| Absence of fetal heart activity on ultrasonography after the procedure and before the onset of labour | 1 (1) | 154 (0.8) |
| Death occurring between diagnosis and birth | 1 (1) | 81 (0.4) |
| Death within 7 days of surgery | 1 (1) | 215 (1.1) |
| Not defined | 28 (28) | 5926 (29.5) |
| | | |
| Neonatal Mortality/Survival | 49 (49) | 8216 (41.0) |
| Survival to discharge | 4 (4) | 527 (1.9) |
| Death within 7 days of birth | 2 (2) | 415 (2.1) |
| Number of fetuses surviving 6 months postnatally | 1 (1) | 82 (0.4) |
| Death between birth and 28 days postnatally | 13 (13) | 2450(7.4) |
| Survival to 30 days postnatally | 6(6) | 1727 (7.2) |
| Not defined | 23 (23) | 3015(13.9) |
| | | |
| Perinatal mortality/Survival | 17 (17) | 3172 (15.8) |
| Number of fetuses who died at >20 weeks of gestation together with infants who died at <28 days of life | 1 (1) | 209 (1.0) |
| Death between diagnosis and 28 days post-natally | 3 (3) | 730 (3.6) |
| Survival at 30 days | 1 (1) | 193 (1.0) |
| Either fetal demise or neonatal death | 2 (2) | 352 (1.8) |
| Survival to 28 days or beyond | 2 (2) | 654 (3.3) |
| Not defined | 8 (8) | 1034 (5.2) |
| | | |
| Early childhood mortality | 9 (9) | 1083 (5.4) |
| Alive at 6 months | 3 (3) | 488 (2.4) |
| Alive at 7-12 months | 1 (1) | 142 (0.7) |
| Alive at 12 months | 3 (3) | 255 (1.3) |
| Not defined | 2 (2) | 198 (1.0) |
| | | |
| Termination of Pregnancy | 2 (2) | 227 (1.1) |
| Not defined | 2 (2) | 227 (1.1) |
| | | |
| PROM | 31 (31) | 6057 (30.2) |
| Rupture of membranes < 24 hours post procedure, within 7 days and within 1-4 weeks of procedure | 2 (2) | 218 (1.1) |
| Rupture of membranes within 3 weeks of procedure | 1 (1) | 267 (1.3) |
| Rupture of membranes diagnosed clinically \leq 34 weeks' gestation and prior to the onset of spontaneous labour | 7 (7) | 982 (4.9) |

| | | |
|--|---------|-------------|
| Rupture of membranes < 32 weeks gestation | 2 (2) | 592 (2.9) |
| Rupture of membranes before the beginning of the first stage of labour | 1 (1) | 94 (0.5) |
| Rupture of membranes > 24 weeks | 1 (1) | 150 (0.7) |
| Rupture of membranes < 37 weeks | 1 (1) | 164 (0.8) |
| Not defined | 16 (16) | 3590 (14.1) |
| | | |
| Neurodevelopmental development | | |
| | | |
| Visual impairment | 10 (10) | 1430 (7.1) |
| Bilateral blindness | 5 (5) | 878 (4.4) |
| Complete blindness | 1 (1) | 150 (0.7) |
| Previous clinical report or Amiel-Tison examination | 1 (1) | 57 (0.3) |
| Not defined | 3 (3) | 345 (1.7) |
| | | |
| Hearing impairment | 9 (9) | 1424 (7.1) |
| Bilateral deafness requiring amplification | 5 (5) | 1000 (5.0) |
| Complete deafness | 1 (1) | 150 (0.7) |
| Previous clinical report or Amiel-Tison examination | 1 (1) | 57 (0.3) |
| Not defined | 2 (2) | 217 (1.1) |
| | | |
| Cerebral palsy | 11 (11) | 1459 (7.3) |
| According to the European CP Network definition | 6 (6) | 1006 (5.0) |
| According to the criteria of Mutch et al. | 1 (1) | 75 (0.4) |
| On Amiel-Tison Neurodevelopmental Examination | 1 (1) | 57 (0.3) |
| Not defined | 3 (3) | 321 (1.6) |
| | | |
| Neurodevelopmental Delay | 13 (13) | 1868 (9.9) |
| A Bayley BSID-II score > 2SD below mean = severe delay and >1SD below mean= mild/moderate delay | 1 (1) | 156 (0.8) |
| Presence of cerebral palsy, cognitive impairment, bilateral blindness, or deafness requiring amplification with hearing aids | 2 (2) | 157 (0.8) |
| Presence of cerebral palsy, a mental developmental indices score below 70, a psychomotor development indexes score below 70 (Bayley BSID-II), bilateral blindness, or bilateral deafness requiring amplification | 2(2) | 431 (2.2) |
| Having bilateral blindness (unable to fix on or track an object), bilateral deafness (requiring amplification), cerebral palsy (based on physical exam), and/or a Battelle Developmental Inventory, Second Edition (BDI-2) Total Developmental Quotient of <70 | 2 (2) | 114 (0.6) |
| An Ages and Stages Questionnaire (ASQ) score <2 SD below the established average score | 1 (1) | 209 (1.6) |
| A score of > 2 SD below the mean on Snijders–Oomen non-verbal intelligence test or Griffiths' developmental test | 2 (2) | 323 (1.6) |
| Cerebral palsy with neurological abnormalities including hemiparesis, spastic quadriplegia, and blindness | 1 (1) | 128 (0.6) |
| Motor deficits impairing their ability to walk, complete blindness or deafness, Griffiths DQ (developmental quotient) < 70 and/or severe behavioral disorder | 1 (1) | 150 (0.7) |
| Not defined | 1 (1) | 200 (1) |

Table 5: Variation in outcome definitions across randomised trials and observational studies.

