

# Dynamic hydrolase labelling as a marker for seed quality in *Arabidopsis* seeds

Natanael Viñegra de la Torre<sup>1</sup>, Farnusch Kaschani<sup>2</sup>, Markus Kaiser<sup>2</sup>, Renier A. L. van der Hoorn<sup>3</sup>, Wim J. J. Soppe<sup>1,4</sup>, Johana C. Misas-Villamil<sup>1,5\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Max Planck Institute for Plant Breeding Research, 50829 Cologne, Germany

<sup>2</sup>Chemische Biologie, ZMB, Fakultät für Biologie, Universität Duisburg-Essen, 45117 Essen, Germany

<sup>3</sup>The Plant Chemetics Laboratory, Department of Plant Sciences, University of Oxford

<sup>4</sup>Current address: Rijk Zwaan, De Lier, 2678 ZG, Netherlands

<sup>5</sup>Group of Terrestrial Microbiology, Botanical Institute, University of Cologne, 50674 Cologne, Germany

\*Corresponding author: [jmisas@uni-koeln.de](mailto:jmisas@uni-koeln.de)

## Abstract

Seed quality is affected by different constituents of the seed. In general, seed lots are considered to be of high quality when they exhibit fast and homogeneous germination. When seeds are stored they undergo different degrees of damage that have detrimental effects on their quality. Therefore, accurate prediction of the seed quality and viability levels of a seed lot is of high importance in the seed-producing industry. Here we describe the use of activity-based protein profiling of proteases to evaluate the quality of artificially and naturally aged seeds of *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Using this approach, we have identified two protease activities with opposite behaviours in aged seeds of *Arabidopsis* that correlate with the quality status of the seeds. We show that vacuolar processing enzymes (VPEs) become more active during the ageing process, both in artificial and natural ageing treatments. Secondly, we demonstrate that serine hydrolases are active at the beginning of our artificial ageing treatment but their labelling decreases along with seed viability. We present a list of candidate hydrolases active during seed germination and propose that these protease activities can be used in combination with VPEs to develop novel markers of seed quality.

## Keywords

ABPP, *Arabidopsis*, seed quality markers, protease activity

## **Abbreviations list**

ABC – ammonium bicarbonate

ABPP – Activity-based protein profiling

ACN – Acetonitrile

CID – Collision-induced dissociation

DMSO – Dimethyl sulfoxide

DTT – Dithiothreitol

FA – Formic acid

FDR – False discovery rate

FP – Fluorophosphonate

FP-Rh – FP-rhodamine

FTMS – Fourier transform mass spectrometry

IAM – Iodoacetamide

ITMS – Ion trap mass spectrometry

LC – Liquid chromatography

MS – Mass spectrometry

NPC – No-probe control

OBD – On-bead digestion

PCD – Programmed cell death

PLCPs – Papain-like cysteine proteases

RH – Relative humidity

ROS – Reactive oxygen species

SH – Serine hydrolases

UHPLC – Ultra high performance liquid chromatography

VPEs – Vacuolar processing enzymes

## 1 Introduction

2 Seed quality is widely understood in terms of a seed's nutritious value, a concept that  
3 provides a reference to the seed's content of lipids and proteins. Nevertheless, seed quality  
4 can also refer to a subset of seed traits which will determine its performance and agronomic  
5 value. Seed quality is determined by several factors, such as genetic purity, germination  
6 capacity and uniformity, vigour, storability and performance under suboptimal conditions  
7 [1]. Generally speaking, high quality seeds will germinate close to 100 %, germinate quickly  
8 and at the same time, generate normal and healthy seedlings, show little variation of  
9 germination in response to external factors and have high storability [2]. It is a major  
10 challenge for the seed industry to fulfil these demands as well as to develop methods to  
11 evaluate and discriminate the quality level of seed lots. In this paper we define seed quality  
12 more narrow as the germination percentage of a seed batch [3, 4].

13 Seed deterioration during storage has detrimental effects on seed quality, as it involves  
14 damage to cell constituents as well as disruption of the cell machinery. The main  
15 contributors to this deterioration during storage are free radical-mediated lipid peroxidation,  
16 loss of integrity of nucleic acids, disruption of cellular membranes, enzyme inactivation and  
17 protein degradation [5–8]. Most of these damage originate from oxidative processes, which  
18 in most cases lead to the production of reactive oxygen species (ROS) that can act as  
19 signalling molecules [9] or, at higher concentrations, damage cellular components [10]. For  
20 example, dry seeds were shown to release volatiles associated with lipid peroxidation or  
21 alcoholic fermentation [11–13]. A particular case of chemical oxidation occurring in dry  
22 seeds is the Maillard reaction [14] in which, through a non-enzymatic process, reducing  
23 sugars or aldehydes react with the amino groups present in proteins. This process results in  
24 glycosylated end-products whose accumulation negatively affects seed longevity [15].

25 Environmental conditions are of key importance for seed storage. Factors such as  
26 temperature and relative humidity (RH) have profound effects on seed longevity and on the  
27 rate of seed ageing [16–18]. The combination of low temperatures and reduced seed  
28 moisture content ensures that the seed's cytoplasm stays in the glassy state, minimising the  
29 deleterious impact of ageing [6]. Increasing temperature or moisture content of the seeds  
30 softens the cellular glass to a rubbery state or even back to the liquid state, reactivating  
31 metabolism and deteriorative processes. The modification of these two parameters is the  
32 theoretical basis for artificial ageing methods, which use controlled environments to  
33 replicate the natural ageing process in relatively short periods of time [19]. Based on this,  
34 the germination of seeds after artificial ageing is considered to be a vigour test and remains  
35 to date as the most reliable measurement to quickly assess seed quality [19]. The  
36 comparability between natural and artificial ageing of seeds has been a matter of study for  
37 some time and found to be similar in *Arabidopsis thaliana* and, to some extent, in rice [8,  
38 20].

39 Considering the crucial role of seeds in plant breeding and agriculture, the need of  
40 evaluating seed viability and vigour of any given commercial seed lot is apparent and

fundamental for seed conservation and the seed industry, respectively. In particular, the development of methods to evaluate seed quality fast and reliably is of high interest. Activity-based protein profiling (ABPP) is a powerful biochemical approach that monitors the availability of functional sites correlated with the active functional status of proteins in an isolated proteome or in living cells [21]. This technique relies on the use of small, reporter-tagged probes that specifically react with the active site of an enzyme in a mechanism-dependent manner [21, 22]. Probes are designed to bind covalently and irreversibly to certain enzyme subfamilies, allowing to monitor specific proteins in their active state. The application of ABPP in plant research has allowed to observe the dynamic enzymatic activities taking place during pathogen infection [23], seed germination [24] or leaf senescence [25], providing clues to elucidate the underlying biochemical processes [26, 27]. During the ageing process, seeds endure oxidative stresses, which damage cell constituents and progressively reduce the seed's capacity to germinate [28]. Among these cellular components, proteins are also affected by oxidative damage and rendered inactive by processes such as carbonylation [10, 29]. Similarly, seed proteins can also undergo spontaneous age-related oxidative damage, such as the formation of abnormal amino acid residues which have detrimental effects on seed longevity [30–32]. Considering the specificity of ABPP probes for active targets and the above-mentioned effects of seed ageing, it is plausible that these probes and their target proteins could be used to monitor seed viability and quality.

Plant proteases are a wide group of enzymes involved in the degradation of non-functional proteins by cleaving specific peptide bonds. They also participate in responses to environmental and developmental cues, as well as immune responses [27, 33]. In seeds, these enzymes are active during development and germination. During seed development, proteases participate in the accumulation of storage proteins, whereas in germination they contribute to the remobilisation of these resources [34, 35].

In this study, we describe the use of ABPP as a tool to monitor the viability levels of artificially aged *Arabidopsis* seeds and provide insight into the molecular processes affected by ageing of seeds. We have identified enzymatic activities with opposite trends in aged seeds. First, we have shown that vacuolar processing enzymes (VPEs) become more active throughout the artificial ageing treatment, correlated with the loss of seed viability. Conversely, we have observed that ageing reduces the activity of serine hydrolases. Additionally, we have conducted a serine hydrolase pull-down assay in non-aged seeds and identified eighteen potential candidates as markers for seed viability.

## Materials and methods

### Plant material

In this work, *Arabidopsis thaliana* accessions Columbia (Col-0) and Landsberg *erecta* (Ler) were used. All the *vpe* mutants used were previously described [36].

Seed batches were obtained from plants cultivated on soil in a growth chamber with a 16-h-light/8-h-dark cycle (22/16 °C). All germination assays presented in this work used seed batches obtained at the same time from the same environment. All germination experiments were conducted using independent biological replicates. A biological replicate is comprised of a batch of seeds harvested from a single mother plant.

### Germination tests and accelerated ageing of seeds

For a germination test, 50 to 100 seeds were plated onto a filter paper soaked with demineralised water in Petri dishes. These plates were then incubated in a growth chamber under controlled conditions (16 h light /8 h darkness, at 25/20 °C). After seven days, radicle emergence was scored.

Seed viability was determined by quantifying the number of germinated seeds after different periods of accelerated ageing [19]. For this, aliquots of 100-200 seeds were placed on open PCR tube-strips and incubated in hermetically sealed boxes containing a saturated solution of KCl at the bottom which, when placed at 37 °C, generates an atmosphere of 85 % RH within the container. Seeds were maintained at these conditions for the indicated periods, after which their final germination capacity was evaluated in a germination test.

### Protein isolation and labelling

The first screening of the probes was conducted on proteins extracted directly in water, which were then immediately transferred to the corresponding buffer. This is the case for the labelled extracts shown in Figures S1 and S2. In these experiments, the negative labelling control was conducted on the water used for seed imbibition. After observing the differential labelling for two of the probes, all new protein extractions were performed directly on the buffer used for labelling, to improve the quality of the fluorescence signals, as described below.

For protein extraction, around 20 mg seeds of *Arabidopsis* were imbibed for 24 h, subsequently ground in liquid nitrogen to a fine powder and immediately suspended in 600 µl of the appropriate extraction buffer. For probe JOPD1, this buffer consisted of 67 mM sodium acetate at pH 5.5 including 10 mM dithiothreitol (DTT), whereas for FP probes, the buffer contained 67 mM Tris-HCl at pH 7.5 including 10 mM DTT. For probe MV151, the buffer used was either sodium acetate at pH 6.0 (when labelling PLCPs) or Tris-HCl at pH 7.5 (when labelling the 26 S proteasome), both including 10 mM DTT. Protein extracts were then centrifuged at least three times at 21,000 g for 20 minutes, recovering the supernatant in a new microcentrifuge tube every time, until no oil traces or debris were visible.

For the labelling, 60 µl of protein extract was incubated with the probe for 2 h in the dark. The final concentrations of probe used for labelling were: 1 µM JOPD1, 1 µM MV151 and 0.2 µM FP-rhodamine (FP-Rh). After incubation, the labelling reactions were stopped by adding

20 µl of 4X SDS-PAGE loading buffer. For negative-labelling controls, a pooled sample of protein extracts was first incubated for 30 minutes in the dark with a specific inhibitor of the enzymatic activity studied before adding the probe (Table 1). These inhibitors were used at a final concentration that allowed them to out-compete the tested probe and therefore inhibit the labelling. An additional no probe control (NPC) was prepared for each set of samples by combining a small fraction from each proteome. In this control, neither probe nor inhibitor was added and they were substituted by dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO), which was the solvent for both probes and inhibitors.

#### Visualisation of labelled-protein extracts and band quantification

Labelled protein samples were boiled for 5 minutes at 95 °C prior to loading. These protein extracts were loaded in NuPAGE™ 4-12 % Bis-Tris Protein Gels (ThermoFisher) and separated by electrophoresis [37] applying 120-130 V for 65-75 minutes. To preserve the fluorescent probes from degradation, the electrophoretic chamber was fully covered so protein electrophoresis took place in the dark.

After separation, labelled proteins were visualized by in-gel fluorescence scanning using a Typhoon FLA 9000 scanner (GE Healthcare) with excitation and emission at 532 and 580 nm, respectively. After scanning, gels were stained with SYPRO® Ruby (ThermoFisher) for total protein assessment following the manufacturer's indications and scanned with excitation and emission at 450 and 610 nm, respectively. Quantification of the observed fluorescent bands was performed using the software ImageQuant TL 7.0 according to the manufacturer's indications (GE Healthcare). Briefly, bands of interest were fluorescently quantified in the gels presented in Figures 2 and 5. These measurements were then normalised against the total protein loaded stained with Sypro Ruby by measuring a band with similar labelling during the treatment. The relative intensities presented in supplemental figures S3 and S4 correspond to the ratio between these measurements.

#### Pull-down of serine hydrolases

100 µl of protein extract (in 67 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5 buffer including 10 mM DTT) from 24 h imbibed, non-aged, Arabidopsis seeds was first incubated with 50 µl streptavidin sepharose beads (GE Healthcare) for 1 h with constant mixing on a rotator at room temperature in order to remove the excess of biotinylated background proteins. After incubation, samples were centrifuged for 3 minutes at 1,000 g and the supernatant collected in a clean tube, after which 1 volume of freshly-prepared 10 M urea dissolved in extraction buffer was added. This mixture was then labelled with 2 µM ActivX™ Desthiobiotin-FP Serine Hydrolase Probe (ThermoFisher) for 2 h. An identical no-probe control was prepared following these instructions, but replacing the volume of probe with DMSO. After incubation, 50 µl of streptavidin sepharose beads were added and samples were incubated for 1 h with constant mixing on a rotator. After incubation, samples were centrifuged for 3 minutes at 1,000 g and the supernatant collected in a clean tube. To test if the affinity enrichment worked part of the proteins were eluted by boiling in 2× SDS-PAGE loading buffer (5 minutes at 95 °C). The rest of the beads were used for sample preparation for LC/MS/MS (on-bead digestion; OBD).

#### Samples preparation for LC/MS/MS.

Affinity enriched protein samples not eluted from the capture resin were on-bead digested (OBD). Briefly, streptavidin beads were washed twice with water to remove SDS. Then bound proteins were reduced with DTT (5 mM) in 50 mM ammonium bicarbonate (ABC) for 30 min at room temperature. Protein reduction was followed by alkylation with iodoacetamide (IAM, 10 mM also in 50 mM ABC, for 30 min at room temperature) and quenching of excess IAM with DTT (final concentration DTT 10 mM). Reduction and alkylation was followed by a sequential digestion of proteins with first LysC for 3 h at 37°C followed by a 16 h digestion with trypsin at 37 °C. The digestion was stopped by adding formic acid (FA) to a final concentration of 0.5 %. The supernatant containing the digestion products was passed through home-made glass microfiber StageTip (GE Healthcare; pore size: 1.2 µm; thickness: 0.26 mm). The cleared lysates were then desalted on C18 StageTips.

#### Desalting and preparation for LC/MS/MS

Tryptic digests were desalted on home-made C18 StageTips as described [38]. Peptides were passed over a 2 disc StageTip. After elution from the StageTips, samples were dried using a vacuum concentrator (Eppendorf) and the peptides were taken up in 10 µl 0.1 % formic acid solution.

#### LC/MS/MS

Experiments were performed on an Orbitrap Elite instrument (Thermo, [39]) that was coupled to an EASY-nLC 1000 liquid chromatography (LC) system (Thermo). The LC was operated in the one-column mode. The analytical column was a fused silica capillary (75 µm × 35 cm) with an integrated PicoFrit emitter (New Objective) packed in-house with Reprosil-Pur 120 C18-AQ 1.9 µm. The analytical column was encased by a column oven (Sonation) and attached to a nanospray flex ion source (Thermo). The column oven temperature was adjusted to 45°C during data acquisition. The LC was equipped with two mobile phases: solvent A (0.1 % formic acid in water) and solvent B (0.1 % FA in acetonitrile, ACN). All solvents were of UHPLC (ultra-high performance liquid chromatography) grade (Sigma). Peptides were directly loaded onto the analytical column with a maximum flow rate that would not exceed the set pressure limit of 980 bar (usually around 0.5 – 0.8 µl/min). Peptides were subsequently separated on the analytical column by running a 140 min gradient of solvent A and solvent B (start with 7 % B; gradient 7 % to 35 % B 120 min; gradient 35 % to 100 % B for 10 min and 100 % B for 10 min at a flow rate of 300 nl/min. The mass spectrometer was operated using Xcalibur software (version 2.2 SP1.48). The mass spectrometer was set in the positive ion mode. Precursor ion scanning was performed in the Orbitrap analyzer (FTMS; Fourier Transform Mass Spectrometry) in the scan range of m/z 300-1800 and at a resolution of 60000 with the internal lock mass option turned on (lock mass was 445.120025 m/z, polysiloxane [40]). Product ion spectra were recorded in a data dependent fashion in the ion trap (ITMS; Ion Trap Mass Spectrometry) in a variable scan range and at a rapid scan rate. The ionization potential (spray voltage) was set to 1.8 kV. Peptides were analysed using a repeating cycle consisting of a full precursor ion scan ( $3.0 \times 10^6$  ions or 50 ms) followed by 10 product ion scans ( $1.0 \times 10^4$  ions or 50 ms) where peptides are isolated based on their intensity in the full survey scan (threshold of 500 counts) for tandem mass spectrum (MS2) generation that permits peptide sequencing and identification. CID (collision-induced dissociation) collision energy was set to 35 % for the

generation of MS2 spectra. During MS2 data acquisition dynamic ion exclusion was set to 120 seconds with a maximum list of excluded ions consisting of 500 members and a repeat count of one. Ion injection time prediction, preview mode for the FTMS, monoisotopic precursor selection and charge state screening were enabled. Only charge states higher than 1 were considered for fragmentation.

#### Peptide and Protein Identification using MaxQuant.

Raw spectra were submitted to an Andromeda [41] search in MaxQuant (version 1.5.3.30) using the default settings [42]. Label-free quantification and match-between-runs was activated [43]. MS/MS spectra data were searched against the Uniprot *Arabidopsis thaliana* reference database (UP000006548\_3702.fasta, 33439 entries, downloaded 2/9/2016). All searches included a contaminants database (as implemented in MaxQuant, 245 sequences). The contaminants database contains known MS contaminants and was included to estimate the level of contamination. Enzyme specificity was set to "Trypsin/P". The instrument type in Andromeda searches was set to Orbitrap and the precursor mass tolerance was set to  $\pm 20$  ppm (first search) and  $\pm 4.5$  ppm (main search). The MS/MS match tolerance was set to  $\pm 0.5$  Da. The peptide spectrum matches FDR and the protein FDR were set to 0.01 (based on target-decoy approach and decoy mode "revert"). Minimum peptide length was 7 amino acids. Label-free protein quantification was switched on and unique and razor peptides were considered for quantification with a minimum ratio count of 2. Retention times were recalibrated based on the built-in nonlinear time-rescaling algorithm. MS/MS identifications were transferred between LC-MS/MS runs with the "Match between runs" option in which the maximal match time window was set to 0.7 min and the alignment time window set to 20 min. The quantification is based on the "value at maximum" of the extracted ion current. Modified peptides were allowed for quantification. The minimum score for modified peptides was 40. Further analysis and filtering of the results was done in Perseus (version 1.5.5.3, [44]).



## Results

ABPP assays were conducted on artificially aged seeds from the *Arabidopsis* accessions Col-0 and *Ler*. The aging treatment was performed by incubating seeds for different periods at 37 °C and 85% relative humidity (see Methods). After treatment, the seed viability level of these batches was determined in a germination assay. We observed that seed germination rapidly decreased with the ageing treatment, being reduced to only 10-20 % after 8 days and rendering seeds unable to germinate after 12 days (Figure 1). To study the behaviour of different proteases during the ageing process, we labelled protein extracts from these artificially aged seeds with four different probes targeting distinct classes of proteases: the proteasome, vacuolar processing enzymes (VPEs), papain-like cysteine proteases (PLCPs) and serine hydrolases (SH) (see Table 1). We did not observe changes in the labelling between non-aged and aged in protein extracts isolated from dry seeds (Supplemental Figure S1). *Arabidopsis* seeds are orthodox, meaning that their water content is dramatically reduced during the late phases of seed maturation [45]. In this dry state, the cytoplasm adopts a glassy conformation, which strongly decreases molecular mobility and could explain why we did not observe differences in the labelling pattern. Therefore, we conducted a second set of experiments using seeds imbibed for 24 h after the ageing treatment. In contrast to dry seed isolates, imbibed seeds showed differential labelling (Figures 2 and 5 and Supplemental Figure S2). We observed that probe MV151 displayed a differential labelling in aged *Ler* seeds. Conversely, this labelling pattern was not observed for Col-0 seeds, which is in agreement with their higher viability after artificial ageing (Supplemental Figure 2A). Specifically, labelling of the proteasome becomes more prominent in *Ler* seeds during the ageing treatment (Supplemental Figure S2B), whereas PLCPs lose activity after accelerated ageing (Supplemental Figure S2C).

**Table 1. ABPP probes tested in this work.** For each probe, its target, the pH at which the protein extract was isolated, the inhibitor and concentration used, and the reference is indicated.

Probe	Target	pH	Inhibitor	References
JOPD1	Vacuolar Processing Enzymes, VPEs	5.5	YVAD-CMK (50 µM)	[23, 24]
MV151	26 S proteasome	7.5	Epoxomicin (50 µM)	[46]
MV151	Papain-like cysteine proteases	6.0	E64 (20 µM)	[46]
FP-Rh	Serine hydrolases	7.5	DiFP (100µM)	[47]

### Vacuolar processing enzymes become active during seed ageing

Vacuolar Processing Enzymes [23, 24] are cysteine proteases responsible for the processing and maturation of seed storage proteins [36, 48]. Four VPE-encoding genes ( $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ ,  $\gamma$  and  $\delta$ ) have been described in Arabidopsis as being involved in plant immune responses [23, 49], stress responses [50] and development [51, 52].

To study the activity of VPEs in aged seeds of Arabidopsis, we labelled protein extracts from 24 h-imbibed seeds with JOPD1, both in Col-0 and *Ler* accessions. Detection of fluorescence in these samples revealed several bands per sample. These bands showed an increase in intensity the longer the ageing treatment had been applied (Figure 2 and Supplemental Figure S3). The observed bands of different sizes probably correspond to different maturation stages of the enzymes, as VPEs are first produced as zymogens which undergo an activation process through maturation. The intermediate form containing an N-terminal propeptide is already active and can be labelled as well as the mature form [23, 53]. Previous studies have described  $\beta$ VPE and  $\delta$ VPE molecular sizes as two bands at 27 and 37 kDa and 38 kDa bands, respectively [52, 54].  $\alpha$ VPE and  $\gamma$ VPE were described as ranging from 40 to 46 kDa [23, 24, 55], which is comparable to the bands we observed at 38 kDa.

To determine which VPE activity is enhanced during the treatment, we evaluated seeds from different *vpe* mutant lines [36]. These mutants were first analysed for seed longevity phenotypes. Seeds from the *vpe* mutants and their wild type Col-0 were grown and harvested at the same time. After storage to allow the release of seed dormancy, the seeds were artificially aged and their germination behaviour scored. The mutant seeds did not show statistical differences from the wild type, although both  $\beta$ -*vpe* and the quadruple *vpe* mutant were slightly more sensitive to the ageing treatment (Figure 3A). Comparison of the wild type JOPD1 labelling (Col-0) to that of the  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$  and  $\gamma$  single *vpe* mutants confirmed that VPEs overlap and run at similar sizes in a gel (Figure 3B). Interestingly, the bottom-most band at 26 kDa seems to correspond to  $\beta$ VPE, as it is absent in all mutants lacking  $\beta$ VPE. Lane 6 displays the labelling of only  $\gamma$ VPE, as this triple mutant lacks the other three VPEs. The combination of labelling in lanes 2, 5 and 6 seems to indicate that  $\alpha$ VPE would correspond to the relatively thick upper-most band at 38 kDa. As expected, lane 7, corresponding to the quadruple *vpe* mutant, showed no labelling. Overall, we could not determine from these results whether a single VPE or several of them were responsible for the increased activity during the ageing treatment, especially considering both intermediate and mature forms are active and labelled, as previously shown [24]. However, the labelling observed in the different mutants suggests that more than one become active as a result of artificial ageing.

A previous publication described how  $\gamma$ VPE becomes active after heat stress as part of the signalling pathway leading to programmed cell death [56]. Specifically, this study described how heat treatments of 1 hour at 40 °C caused significant increases in  $\gamma$ VPE activity. These conditions are similar to those we used for the accelerated ageing of seeds (several days at 37 °C). To verify that the observed activation pattern reflects the effect of accelerated ageing but not a response to high temperature, the labelling pattern of naturally aged seeds was evaluated. Specifically, seeds naturally aged for eight years from *Ler* and *delay of germination 1 (dog1-1)* mutant [57] were used to confirm our previous results. The *dog1-1*

mutant loses seed viability faster than the wild type due to its reduced seed longevity [57, 58]. A germination kinetics assay was conducted to determine the quality level of these seed batches (Figure 4A). *Ler* seeds germinated up to 40 %, whereas the longevity-deficient mutant *dog1-1* was unable to germinate. The comparison of labelled protein isolates from fresh, viable seeds and those from naturally aged seeds showed that the activation of VPEs observed after artificial ageing is also maintained in naturally aged samples (Figure 4B and C). In addition, gels of fresh and aged samples showed that the seed protein content also changes during the ageing process, (Figure 4B and C, bottom panels) and that the *dog1-1* mutant displays an altered protein profile in comparison to *Ler*, as observed in total protein staining. Taken together, our results confirm that VPEs increase their activity as a result of both natural and artificial ageing, making them good candidates as markers of seed quality.

### **Serine hydrolases become inactive as a result of ageing**

Fluorophosphonate (FP)-based probes bind the active site of serine hydrolases, which are hydrolytic enzymes involved in a wide range of physiological processes, including development, defence and homeostasis [47]. Their contribution to such a variety of processes also relates with a broader distribution in different cell compartments, including the cytoplasm and other organelles. This group of enzymes comprises more than 200 members in Arabidopsis and their activity is tightly regulated by several mechanisms, such as post-translational modifications and the presence of cofactors or inhibitors. Unlike the probes targeting VPEs, FP probes have a broader range of targets. Extracts from artificially aged seeds from Col-0 and *Ler* imbibed for 24 h were labelled using FP-Rhodamine (FP-Rh, Table 1). In this case, multiple bands ranging from less than 20 kDa to sizes around 130 kDa were observed in both accessions, including two stronger signals at around 40 and 30 kDa (Figure 5). Interestingly, the intensity of two high-molecular weight bands at 100 and 135 kDa severely decreases after 8 days of treatment, similarly to the lower bands at around 40 and 30 kDa that showed strong labelling in non-aged samples and fainter signals after 8 and 12 days (Figure 5 and Supplemental Figure S4). The decreased labelling could be caused by the ageing treatment damaging these enzymes, thus rendering them inactive and unable to bind the probe. These results indicate that serine hydrolase activities gradually decline during prolonged artificial ageing treatment, in both Col-0 and *Ler* accessions.

We next performed a pull-down assay to identify the FP-labelled proteins. Protein extracts of non-aged seeds were labelled with a biotin-tagged FP probe followed by streptavidin bead pull-down to purify labelled proteins, which were then submitted for MS analyses. Non-aged seeds were used to maximise the number of bands isolated, as they become fainter during ageing (Figure 5 and Supplemental Figure S4). We used on-bead digestion (OBD) to identify different proteins bound to the beads and determine their abundance in a quantitative approach. OBD analysis showed nineteen candidate hydrolases enriched in comparison to the NPC. Eighteen out of nineteen detected candidates are potential targets of the FP probe and correlate with hydrolase activity based on their Pfam domains (Figure 6). We observed some carboxylesterases and methylesterases also present in our NPC sample (Supplemental dataset 1, putative candidates) indicating high abundance of those hydrolases in non-aged

seeds. Besides, we found enrichment for one non-catalytic proteasome subunit (PBC2), although it is not a canonical target of the FP probe.

Taken together, our results confirm ABPP as a valid approach to evaluate seed viability, which can aid towards determination of overall seed quality. Using this experimental approach, two different markers with opposite behaviour were identified. Specifically, the activity of VPEs was demonstrated to be induced by both artificial and natural seed ageing, whereas serine hydrolases exhibited the opposite trend, losing their activity during the treatment.

## Discussion

In this study, we have demonstrated the feasibility of activity-profiling of proteases as a tool to monitor levels of seed quality in seeds of *Arabidopsis thaliana*. To this end, we used artificially aged seeds from the *Arabidopsis* accessions Col-0 and Ler and looked for differential labelling in protein extracts from these seeds. Seed germination after different periods of artificial ageing was used as a proxy for seed viability, considering artificial ageing reduces seed vigour and overall seed quality [1, 19].

ABPP probes are designed to covalently bind the active site of target enzymes in an activity-dependent manner, usually by substrate-mimicking or using tagged inhibitors [27]. This means that if, for any reason, the protein loses its conformation or the active site is blocked, labelling will not occur. In this work, we evaluated the activity-dynamics of several protease families during artificial seed ageing, as they are involved in a wide array of processes within the cell which can be affected by seed deterioration [33].

We evaluated four biochemical probes targeting different subsets of proteins and confirmed differential patterns of labelling in aged *Arabidopsis* seeds. The differential pattern in labelling intensities was only observed when seeds had been imbibed for 24 h prior to protein isolation and labelling, whereas labelled protein extracts from dry seeds showed no differences (Supplemental Figure 1). This indicates that imbibition and restoration of the metabolic activity of the cell is required to observe the changes in protein activity induced by artificial ageing. Probe MV151, which targets PLCPs and the 26S proteasome (Table 1) only showed a differential labelling pattern in aged Ler seeds (Supplemental Figure S2). This differential labelling was not observed in Col-0, which is consistent with the germination data (Supplemental Figure S2A). In this experiment, the ageing treatment did not work properly in Col-0 seeds. The increase in proteasome activity during ageing fits with programmed cell death (PCD) events taking place at this stage (see below). Furthermore, the apparent loss of activity of PLCPs during the ageing treatment agrees with their roles in reserve mobilisation during seed germination [24]. However, further experiments will be required to confirm these results.

Vacuolar processing enzymes displayed a pattern of increased activity during the ageing treatment (Figure 2 and Supplemental Figure S3). We showed that this increase in VPE activity also occurred in naturally aged seeds and, therefore, was not an artefact caused by

artificial ageing (Figure 4). We tried to elucidate which VPE was responsible for the increased activity. However, we could not conclude which specific VPEs underlie the observed pattern from the labelling of artificially aged seeds of *vpe* mutants (Figure 3). Previous studies showed that VPEs have redundant functions in protein processing [34, 36, 54]. A compensation effect was also reported in PCD responses [49]. Mutants in  $\delta$ -VPE, required for seed coat development, and even the quadruple *vpe* mutant were indistinguishable from the wild type [52]. It is therefore likely that redundancy also plays a role in the responses induced upon artificial ageing.

Interestingly, Asn-specific protein processing in developing Arabidopsis seeds occurs independently of VPE activity [36] and *vpe* quadruple mutants showed storage protein accumulation of alternatively processed polypeptides with altered solubility and protein assembly characteristics [34]. Although some studies have shown that VPEs are involved in protein processing upon seed germination [24, 35, 59] and heterologous overexpression of a *Vitis vinifera* VPE was shown to accelerate germination in Arabidopsis seeds [60], we did not see a significant effect on seed viability. Therefore, the lack of a seed longevity phenotype in the *vpe* mutants suggests that VPEs are not required in the acquisition of seed longevity.

The observed increase in VPE activity can arise from the production of hazardous compounds within the cells during seed ageing [61], which would act as a trigger to enhance VPE activity upon imbibition in order to process or isolate them into the vacuole [51, 62]. Several studies have described that, apart from their role during seed maturation, VPEs play a role during PCD, both developmentally-regulated [52] or as a result of pathogen attacks, including hypersensitive responses [62–64]. PCD processes can be elicited by vacuolar collapse [65] and VPE-silenced lines were shown to lack initiation of tonoplast disintegration and subsequent cell death [63]. The ageing process in seeds was also connected to PCD responses because DNA laddering, RNA degradation and membrane leakage were observed in aged seeds [61, 66–69]. Given the role of VPEs as proteases, it is conceivable that they contribute to the turn-over of proteins damaged during the ageing process or that they become active as a part of PCD responses in response to ageing.

We observed that the activity of serine hydrolases is reduced during the ageing treatment in both Col-0 and *Ler* accessions (Figure 5 and Supplemental Figure S4). This is in agreement with previous reports that described the different damages incurred on the seed proteome during ageing [29]. As a result of the oxidative damage caused by seed ageing, protein denaturalisation and carbonylation occur. It is possible that the observed loss of activity is caused by these processes, although it remains to be confirmed experimentally.

Our FP pull-down assay of serine hydrolases identified eighteen different serine hydrolases in protein isolates from non-aged seeds compared to an identical non-labelled sample (Figure 6). Some of these targets have already been described as targets of FP probes and reported to be abundant proteins in plant tissues, for example CXE12 [47, 70]. Interestingly, the size of CXE12 was shown to be close to 40 kDa, overlapping with one of the strongest signals we detected in non-aged seeds (Figure 5). Thus, we contemplate the possibility that

this protein could be a potential serine hydrolase which has its activity reduced as a result of seed ageing. In addition, we identified several members of GDSL lipases, which have been reported to participate in lipid mobilisation during seed germination and early seedling development [71, 72]. This enrichment of GDSL lipases is, therefore, in agreement with our protein extracts coming from 24-h-imbibed seeds. Evaluation of public transcriptomic data revealed that most of the identified hydrolases are expressed during either seed development or seed germination (Supplemental Figure S5A), which further supports their presence among our pull-down candidates. In most cases, it appears that these hydrolases are produced at earlier stages of seed development and stored in the dry seed, only to regain activity during seed germination, as for example At3g25420 (SCPL20) or At4g18970. Conversely, some of the identified candidates are highly expressed after 24 h of seed imbibition, which might explain their abundance at this stage and why they appeared enriched in the non-labelled fraction of our pull-down (Supplemental Figure S5B, NPC), for example At5g45920. Nevertheless, the relationship between transcript abundance and protein accumulation and activity is not direct, indicating many regulatory mechanisms taking place, such as post-translational modifications. These observations support the use of novel tools, such as ABPP to monitor changes in the active state of enzymes. Further work should address the biological meaning of these relationships and the putative role of the identified hydrolases in seed viability and overall quality.

Classically, the approaches used to evaluate seed storability and viability have relied on the use of germination tests combined with accelerated ageing treatments [19] or the use of a tetrazolium test, which stains only living tissues and allows quantification of living seeds [73]. During the years, several methods have been proposed to investigate seed quality. In *Brassica*, the fluorescence of sinapine was used to distinguish dead seeds from viable ones [74]. Likewise, the abundance of HSP17.6I was positively correlated with seed performance in this species [75] and changes in enzyme activities in four *Brassica* species were proposed as indicators of seed deterioration [76]. Similarly, several studies have proposed addressed the use of novel seed quality markers, such as the production of methanol, ethanol or galactinol in stored seeds [11, 12, 77–79]. However, the development of novel, equally reliable methods is always desirable. This is particularly relevant when recent reports question the suitability of classical ageing methods as predictive tools of seed longevity [80].

In this study we report the use of ABPP as a tool to evaluate seed viability. We have identified different hydrolase activities which exhibit opposite trends during seed ageing. Besides, we have identified eighteen potential serine-hydrolase candidates which might lose their activity as a result of seed ageing. We propose that the combined analysis by ABPP of protease activities has the potential to be developed into an easy to use, high resolution marker for seed quality.

## Acknowledgements

We thank Guillaume Née and the EcoSeed consortium partners for useful discussions and suggestions. We acknowledge the technical assistance of Chen Wei in supplementary figure

455 S1. We also want to thank Gunther Döhlemann for providing lab facilities for some of the  
456 experiments performed.

457 **Declaration of interest**

458 The authors declare no conflict of interest.

459 **Funding information**

460 This project was funded by the European Union (KBBE-2012-6-311840; ECOSEED).

461 **Author contribution statement**

462 NVT wrote the manuscript with input from all authors. NVT, RALvdH, WJJS and JMV designed  
463 the experiments. NVT and JMV performed the experiments. FK and MK performed the mass  
464 spectrometry experiments.

465

## 466    **References**

- 467    1.     McDonald MB (1998) Seed quality assessment. *Seed Sci Res* 8:265–275
- 468    2.     Corbineau F (2012) Markers of seed quality: from present to future. *Seed Sci Res*  
469        22:S61–S68
- 470    3.     Rajjou L, Duval M, Gallardo K, Catusse J, Bally J, Job C, Job D (2012) Seed germination  
471        and vigor. *Annu Rev Plant Biol* 63:507–33
- 472    4.     Finch-Savage WE, Bassel GW (2016) Seed vigour and crop establishment: Extending  
473        performance beyond adaptation. *J Exp Bot* 67:567–591
- 474    5.     Smith MT, Berjak P (1995) Deteriorative changes associated with the loss of viability of  
475        storage desiccation-tolerant and desiccation-sensitive seeds. In: *Seed Dev.*  
476        germination. pp 701–746
- 477    6.     Walters C (1998) Understanding the mechanisms and kinetics of seed aging. *Seed Sci*  
478        *Res* 8:223–244
- 479    7.     Murthy UMN, Kumar PP, Sun WQ (2003) Mechanisms of seed ageing under different  
480        storage conditions for *Vigna radiata* (L.) Wilczek: Lipid peroxidation, sugar hydrolysis,  
481        Maillard reactions and their relationship to glass state transition. *J Exp Bot* 54:1057–  
482        1067
- 483    8.     Rajjou L, Lovigny Y, Groot SPC, Belghazi M, Job C, Job D (2008) Proteome-wide  
484        characterization of seed aging in *Arabidopsis*: a comparison between artificial and  
485        natural aging protocols. *Plant Physiol* 148:620–41
- 486    9.     Baxter A, Mittler R, Suzuki N (2014) ROS as key players in plant stress signalling. *J Exp*  
487        *Bot* 65:1229–1240
- 488    10.    Nguyen T-P, Cueff G, Hegedus DD, Rajjou L, Bentsink L (2015) A role for seed storage  
489        proteins in *Arabidopsis* seed longevity. *J Exp Bot* 66:6399–413
- 490    11.    Buckley WT, Buckley KE (2009) Low-molecular-weight volatile indicators of canola  
491        seed deterioration. *Seed Sci Technol* 37:676–690
- 492    12.    Colville L, Bradley EL, Lloyd AS, Pritchard HW, Castle L, Kranner I (2012) Volatile  
493        fingerprints of seeds of four species indicate the involvement of alcoholic  
494        fermentation, lipid peroxidation, and Maillard reactions in seed deterioration during  
495        ageing and desiccation stress. *J Exp Bot* 63:6519–6530
- 496    13.    Mira S, Hill LM, González-Benito ME, Ibáñez MA, Walters C (2016) Volatile emission in  
497        dry seeds as a way to probe chemical reactions during initial asymptomatic  
498        deterioration. *J Exp Bot* 67:1783–1793
- 499    14.    Murthy UM, Sun WQ (2000) Protein modification by Amadori and Maillard reactions  
500        during seed storage: roles of sugar hydrolysis and lipid peroxidation. *J Exp Bot*  
501        51:1221–1228
- 502    15.    Wettlaufer SH, Leopold AC (1991) Relevance of Amadori and Maillard products to  
503        seed deterioration. *Plant Physiol* 97:165–169
- 504    16.    Ellis R, Roberts E (1980) The influence of temperature and moisture on seed viability



505 period in Barley (*Hordeum distichum* L.). *Ann Bot* 45:31–37

506 17. Dickie JB, Ellis RH, Kraak HL, Ryder K, Tompsett PB (1990) Temperature and Seed  
507 Storage Longevity. *Ann Bot* 65:197–204

508 18. Ellis R, Thong TD, Roberts EH (1995) Survival and Vigour of Lettuce (*Lactuca sativa* L.)  
509 and Sunflower (*Helianthus annuus* L.) Seeds Stored at Low and Very-low Moisture  
510 Contents. *Ann Bot* 76:521–534

511 19. Delouche JC, Baskin CC (1973) Accelerated aging techniques for predicting the relative  
512 storability of seed lots. *Seed Sci Technol* 1:427–452

513 20. Hang NT, Lin Q, Liu L, et al (2015) Mapping QTLs related to rice seed storability under  
514 natural and artificial aging storage conditions. *Euphytica* 203:673–681

515 21. Cravatt BF, Wright AT, Kozarich JW (2008) Activity-based protein profiling: from  
516 enzyme chemistry to proteomic chemistry. *Annu Rev Biochem* 77:383–414

517 22. Edgington LE, Verdoes M, Bogyo M (2011) Functional imaging of proteases: recent  
518 advances in the design and application of substrate-based and activity-based probes.  
519 *Curr Opin Chem Biol* 15:798–805

520 23. Misas-Villamil JC, Toenges G, Kolodziejek I, Sadaghiani AM, Kaschani F, Colby T, Bogyo  
521 M, van der Hoorn RAL (2013) Activity profiling of vacuolar processing enzymes reveals  
522 a role for VPE during oomycete infection. *Plant J* 73:689–700

523 24. Lu H, Chandrasekar B, Oeljeklaus J, Misas-Villamil JC, Wang Z, Shindo T, Bogyo M,  
524 Kaiser M, van der Hoorn RAL (2015) Subfamily-Specific Fluorescent Probes for  
525 Cysteine Proteases Display Dynamic Protease Activities during Seed Germination.  
526 *Plant Physiol* 168:1462–75

527 25. Poret M, Chandrasekar B, van der Hoorn RAL, Avice JC (2016) Characterization of  
528 senescence-associated protease activities involved in the efficient protein  
529 remobilization during leaf senescence of winter oilseed rape. *Plant Sci* 246:139–153

530 26. Kołodziejek I, van der Hoorn RAL (2010) Mining the active proteome in plant science  
531 and biotechnology. *Curr Opin Biotechnol* 21:225–233

532 27. Morimoto K, Van Der Hoorn RAL (2016) The increasing impact of activity-based  
533 protein profiling in plant science. *Plant Cell Physiol* 57:446–461

534 28. Rajjou L, Debeaujon I (2008) Seed longevity: survival and maintenance of high  
535 germination ability of dry seeds. *C R Biol* 331:796–805

536 29. Rajjou L, Lovigny Y, Groot SPC, Belghazi M, Job C, Job D (2008) Proteome-wide  
537 characterization of seed aging in *Arabidopsis*: a comparison between artificial and  
538 natural aging protocols. *Plant Physiol* 148:620–41

539 30. Oge L, Bourdais G, Bove J, Collet B, Godin B, Granier F, Boutin J-P, Job D, Jullien M,  
540 Grappin P (2008) Protein Repair L-Isoaspartyl Methyltransferase1 Is Involved in Both  
541 Seed Longevity and Germination Vigor in *Arabidopsis*. *Plant Cell* 20:3022–3037

542 31. Verma P, Kaur H, Petla BP, Rao V, Saxena SC, Majee M (2013) PROTEIN L-ISOASPARTYL  
543 METHYLTRANSFERASE2 is differentially expressed in chickpea and enhances seed  
544 vigor and longevity by reducing abnormal isoaspartyl accumulation predominantly in

545 seed nuclear proteins. *Plant Physiol* 161:1141–57

546 32. Châtelain E, Satour P, Laugier E, Ly Vu B, Payet N, Rey P, Montrichard F (2013)  
547 Evidence for participation of the methionine sulfoxide reductase repair system in  
548 plant seed longevity. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 110:3633–8

549 33. van der Hoorn RAL, Kaiser M (2012) Probes for activity-based profiling of plant  
550 proteases. *Physiol Plant* 145:18–27

551 34. Gruis D, Schulze J, Jung R (2004) Storage protein accumulation in the absence of the  
552 vacuolar processing enzyme family of cysteine proteases. *Plant Cell* 16:270–90

553 35. Tan-Wilson AL, Wilson KA (2012) Mobilization of seed protein reserves. *Physiol Plant*  
554 145:140–53

555 36. Gruis DF, Selinger DA, Curran JM, Jung R (2002) Redundant proteolytic mechanisms  
556 process seed storage proteins in the absence of seed-type members of the vacuolar  
557 processing enzyme family of cysteine proteases. *Plant Cell* 14:2863–82

558 37. Laemmli UK (1970) Cleavage of structural proteins during the assembly of the head of  
559 bacteriophage T4. *Nature* 227:680–685

560 38. Rappsilber J, Mann M, Ishihama Y (2007) Protocol for micro-purification, enrichment,  
561 pre-fractionation and storage of peptides for proteomics using StageTips. *Nat Protoc*  
562 2:1896–1906

563 39. Michalski A, Damoc E, Lange O, et al (2012) Ultra High Resolution Linear Ion Trap  
564 Orbitrap Mass Spectrometer (Orbitrap Elite) Facilitates Top Down LC MS/MS and  
565 Versatile Peptide Fragmentation Modes. *Mol Cell Proteomics* 11:O111.013698

566 40. Olsen J V, de Godoy LMF, Li G, Macek B, Mortensen P, Pesch R, Makarov A, Lange O,  
567 Horning S, Mann M (2005) Parts per Million Mass Accuracy on an Orbitrap Mass  
568 Spectrometer via Lock Mass Injection into a C-trap. *Mol Cell Proteomics* 4:2010–2021

569 41. Cox J, Neuhauser N, Michalski A, Scheltema RA, Olsen J V., Mann M (2011)  
570 Andromeda: A peptide search engine integrated into the MaxQuant environment. *J*  
571 *Proteome Res* 10:1794–1805

572 42. Cox J, Mann M (2008) MaxQuant enables high peptide identification rates,  
573 individualized p.p.b.-range mass accuracies and proteome-wide protein  
574 quantification. *Nat Biotechnol* 26:1367–1372

575 43. Cox J, Hein MY, Lubner CA, Paron I, Nagaraj N, Mann M (2014) Accurate Proteome-wide  
576 Label-free Quantification by Delayed Normalization and Maximal Peptide Ratio  
577 Extraction, Termed MaxLFQ. *Mol Cell Proteomics* 13:2513–2526

578 44. Tyanova S, Temu T, Sinitcyn P, Carlson A, Hein MY, Geiger T, Mann M, Cox J (2016) The  
579 Perseus computational platform for comprehensive analysis of (prote)omics data. *Nat*  
580 *Methods* 13:731–740

581 45. Roberts EH (1973) Predicting the storage life of seeds. *Seed Sci Technol* 1:39–52

582 46. Gu C, Kolodziejek I, Misas-Villamil J, Shindo T, Colby T, Verdoes M, Richau KH, Schmidt  
583 J, Overkleeft HS, van der Hoorn RAL (2010) Proteasome activity profiling: a simple,  
584 robust and versatile method revealing subunit-selective inhibitors and cytoplasmic,

585 defense-induced proteasome activities. *Plant J* 62:160–70

586 47. Kaschani F, Gu C, Niessen S, Hoover H, Cravatt BF, van der Hoorn RAL (2009) Diversity  
587 of Serine Hydrolase Activities of Unchallenged and Botrytis-infected *Arabidopsis*  
588 *thaliana*. *Mol Cell Proteomics* 8:1082–1093

589 48. Hara-Nishimura I, Kinoshita T, Hiraiwa N, Nishimura M (1998) Vacuolar processing  
590 enzymes in protein-storage vacuoles and lytic vacuoles. *J Plant Physiol* 152:668–674

591 49. Rojo E, Martín R, Carter C, et al (2004) VPE $\gamma$  exhibits a caspase-like activity that  
592 contributes to defense against pathogens. *Curr Biol* 14:1897–1906

593 50. Albertini A, Simeoni F, Galbiati M, Bauer H, Tonelli C, Cominelli E (2014) Involvement  
594 of the vacuolar processing enzyme  $\gamma$ VPE in response of *Arabidopsis thaliana* to water  
595 stress. *Biol Plant* 58:531–538

596 51. Kinoshita T, Yamada K, Hiraiwa N, Kondo M, Nishimura M, Hara-Nishimura I (1999)  
597 Vacuolar processing enzyme is up-regulated in the lytic vacuoles of vegetative tissues  
598 during senescence and under various stressed conditions. *Plant J* 19:43–53

599 52. Nakaune S, Yamada K, Kondo M, Kato T, Tabata S, Nishimura M, Hara-Nishimura I  
600 (2005) A vacuolar processing enzyme, deltaVPE, is involved in seed coat formation at  
601 the early stage of seed development. *Plant Cell* 17:876–87

602 53. Kuroyanagi M, Nishimura M, Hara-Nishimura I (2002) Activation of *Arabidopsis*  
603 vacuolar processing enzyme by self-catalytic removal of an auto-inhibitory domain of  
604 the C-terminal propeptide. *Plant Cell Physiol* 43:143–51

605 54. Shimada T, Yamada K, Kataoka M, et al (2003) Vacuolar processing enzymes are  
606 essential for proper processing of seed storage proteins in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *J Biol*  
607 *Chem* 278:32292–9

608 55. Rojo E, Zouhar J, Carter C, Kovaleva V, Raikhel N V (2003) A unique mechanism for  
609 protein processing and degradation in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Proc Natl Acad Sci*  
610 100:7389–7394

611 56. Li Z, Yue H, Xing D (2012) MAP Kinase 6-mediated activation of vacuolar processing  
612 enzyme modulates heat shock-induced programmed cell death in *Arabidopsis*. *New*  
613 *Phytol* 195:85–96

614 57. Bentsink L, Jowett J, Hanhart CJ, Koornneef M (2006) Cloning of DOG1, a quantitative  
615 trait locus controlling seed dormancy in *Arabidopsis*. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*  
616 103:17042–7

617 58. Dekkers BJW, He H, Hanson J, Willems LAJ, Jamar DCL, Cuff G, Rajjou L, Hilhorst  
618 HWM, Bentsink L (2016) The *Arabidopsis* Delay of Germination 1 gene affects Absciscic  
619 Acid Insensitive 5 (ABI5) expression and genetically interacts with ABI3 during  
620 *Arabidopsis* seed development. *Plant J* 85:451–465

621 59. Wang Y, Zhu S, Liu S, et al (2009) The vacuolar processing enzyme OsVPE1 is required  
622 for efficient glutelin processing in rice. *Plant J* 58:606–17

623 60. Gong P, Li Y, Tang Y, Wei R, Huijun Z, Wang Y, Zhang C (2018) Vacuolar processing  
624 enzyme (Vv $\beta$ VPE) from *Vitis vinifera*, processes seed proteins during ovule  
625 development, and accelerates seed germination in Vv $\beta$ VPE heterologously over-

- 626 expressed Arabidopsis. Plant Sci 274:420–431
- 627 61. Hu D, Ma G, Wang Q, Yao J, Wang Y, Pritchard HW, Wang X (2012) Spatial and  
628 temporal nature of reactive oxygen species production and programmed cell death in  
629 elm (*Ulmus pumila* L.) seeds during controlled deterioration. Plant, Cell Environ  
630 35:2045–2059
- 631 62. Hatsugai N, Yamada K, Goto-Yamada S, Hara-Nishimura I (2015) Vacuolar processing  
632 enzyme in plant programmed cell death. Front Plant Sci 6:234
- 633 63. Hatsugai N, Kuroyanagi M, Yamada K, Meshi T, Tsuda S, Kondo M, Nishimura M, Hara-  
634 Nishimura I (2004) A plant vacuolar protease, VPE, mediates, virus-induced  
635 hypersensitive cell death. Science (80- ) 305:855–858
- 636 64. Kuroyanagi M, Yamada K, Hatsugai N, Kondo M, Nishimura M, Hara-Nishimura I (2005)  
637 Vacuolar processing enzyme is essential for mycotoxin-induced cell death in  
638 Arabidopsis thaliana. J Biol Chem 280:32914–32920
- 639 65. Hatsugai N, Kuroyanagi M, Nishimura M, Hara-Nishimura I (2006) A cellular suicide  
640 strategy of plants: Vacuole-mediated cell death. Apoptosis 11:905–911
- 641 66. Kranner I, Birtić S, Anderson KM, Pritchard HW (2006) Glutathione half-cell reduction  
642 potential: A universal stress marker and modulator of programmed cell death? Free  
643 Radic Biol Med 40:2155–2165
- 644 67. El-Maarouf-Bouteau H, Mazuy C, Corbineau F, Bailly C (2011) DNA alteration and  
645 programmed cell death during ageing of sunflower seed. J Exp Bot 62:5003–11
- 646 68. Chen H, Osuna D, Colville L, Lorenzo O, Graeber K, Küster H, Leubner-Metzger G,  
647 Kranner I (2013) Transcriptome-wide mapping of pea seed ageing reveals a pivotal  
648 role for genes related to oxidative stress and programmed cell death. PLoS One  
649 8:e78471
- 650 69. Wang Y, Li Y, Xue H, Pritchard HW, Wang X (2015) Reactive oxygen species-provoked  
651 mitochondria-dependent cell death during ageing of elm (*Ulmus pumila* L.) seeds.  
652 Plant J 81:438–452
- 653 70. Nickel S, Kaschani F, Colby T, Van Der Hoorn RAL, Kaiser M (2012) A para-nitrophenol  
654 phosphonate probe labels distinct serine hydrolases of Arabidopsis. Bioorganic Med  
655 Chem 20:601–606
- 656 71. Chen M, Du X, Zhu Y, Wang Z, Hua S, Li Z, Guo W, Zhang G, Peng J, Jiang L (2012) Seed  
657 Fatty Acid Reducer acts downstream of gibberellin signalling pathway to lower seed  
658 fatty acid storage in Arabidopsis. Plant, Cell Environ 35:2155–2169
- 659 72. Lai CP, Huang LM, Chen LFO, Chan MT, Shaw JF (2017) Genome-wide analysis of GDGL-  
660 type esterases/lipases in Arabidopsis. Plant Mol Biol 95:181–197
- 661 73. Wharton MJ (1955) The use of tetrazolium test for determining the viability of seeds  
662 of the genus Brassica. Proc Int Seed Test Assoc 20:81–88
- 663 74. Taylor A, Hill H, Huang X (1990) Determining seed viability. US Pat. 4,975,364
- 664 75. Bettley M, Finch-Savage WE (1998) Stress protein content of mature Brassica seeds  
665 and their germination performance. Seed Sci Res 8:347–355

666 76. Ramiro M, Pérez-García F, Aguinagalde I (1995) Effect of Different Seed Storage  
667 Conditions on Germination and Isozyme Activity in Some Brassica Species. *Ann Bot*  
668 75:579–585

669 77. Kodde J, Buckley WT, de Groot CC, Retiere M, Viquez Zamora AM, Groot SPC (2012) A  
670 fast ethanol assay to detect seed deterioration. *Seed Sci Res* 22:55–62

671 78. de Souza Vidigal D, Willems L, van Arkel J, Dekkers BJW, Hilhorst HWM, Bentsink L  
672 (2016) Galactinol as marker for seed longevity. *Plant Sci* 246:112–8

673 79. Salvi P, Saxena SC, Petla BP, et al (2016) Differentially expressed galactinol synthase(s)  
674 in chickpea are implicated in seed vigor and longevity by limiting the age induced ROS  
675 accumulation. *Sci Rep* 6:35088


676 80. F. R. Hay, R. Valdez, J.-S. Lee PCSC (2018) Seed longevity phenotyping:  
677 recommendations on research methodology. *J Exp Bot* 70:425–434

678


679

680


Figures



**Figure 1. Germination proportion after different periods of accelerated seed ageing of seed lots from Ler and Col-0 accessions.** Seed germination was evaluated after 0, 4, 8 and 12 days of accelerated ageing treatment (incubated at 37 °C and 85% relative humidity). Each data point represents the mean of at least three biological replicates. Error bars represent standard deviation.




**Figure 2. VPEs become active after artificial ageing.** Fluorescence detected in protein extracts from *Arabidopsis* Col-0 (**A**) and *Ler* (**B**) accessions labelled with 1  $\mu$ M probe JOPD1 (+) for 2 h at room temperature. For each time point of the treatment (0, 4, 8 and 12 days), three biological replicates (R1, R2 and R3) are shown (each protein isolate was extracted from 20 mg of seeds). The mix sample (mix) was prepared combining aliquots of all isolated proteomes and was used for the non-labelled sample (-). The bottom section in each panel corresponds to total protein loaded stained with SyproRuby. Values on the left side indicate molecular weights. Germination data corresponding to these seed samples is shown in Figure 1.




**Figure 3. Seed longevity phenotype and labelling profile of Col-0,  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ ,  $\gamma$ ,  $\beta\delta$ ,  $\alpha\beta\delta$  and  $\alpha\beta\gamma\delta$ vpe mutants.** Panel **A** shows the germination proportion of *vpe* mutants after different periods of artificial seed ageing (0, 4, 8 and 12 days). Each data point represents the mean of five biological replicates. Error bars represent the standard deviation. Panel **B** shows the fluorescence detected in protein extracts from eight-day-aged seeds of Col-0 and the indicated *vpe* mutants labelled with 1  $\mu$ M JOPD1 (top panel, +) and the total protein loaded stained with SyproRuby (bottom panel). Each protein isolate was extracted from 20 mg of seeds. The mix sample (mix) was prepared combining aliquots of all isolated proteomes and was used for the background labelling controls, the non-labelled sample (-) and the inhibitor control. The inhibitor used was YVAD-cmk at 50  $\mu$ M (+). The bottom section in panel B corresponds to total protein loaded stained with SyproRuby. Values on the left side of panel B indicate molecular weights.






**Figure 4. VPEs show increased activity in naturally aged seeds.** Panel **A** shows the germination kinetics of 8-year-old naturally aged seed batches of *Ler* and *dog1-1*. Each data point represents the mean of three biological replicates. Error bars correspond to standard deviation. Panels **B** and **C** show the fluorescence of JOPD1-labelled (1  $\mu$ M) protein extracts (each protein isolate was extracted from 20 mg of seeds) from fresh and eight-year old seeds of *Ler* (**B**) and *dog1-1* (**C**). In each panel, three biological replicates (R1, R2 and R3) are shown from either fresh (left side) or naturally aged (right side) seeds. The mix sample (mix) was prepared combining aliquots of all isolated proteomes and was used for the background labelling controls, the non-labelled sample (no-probe control) and the inhibitor control. The inhibitor used was YVAD-cmk at 50  $\mu$ M. The bottom section of panels **B** and **C** corresponds to the total protein loaded stained with SyproRuby. Values on the left side of panels **B** and **C** indicate molecular weights.



**Figure 5. Serine hydrolases turn inactive during artificial ageing.** Detected fluorescence of protein extracts (each protein isolate was extracted from 20 mg of seeds) from Arabidopsis Col-0 (**A**) and Ler (**B**) accessions labelled with 0.2  $\mu$ M FP-Rh. For each time point, three biological replicates (R1, R2 and R3) are shown. The mix sample (mix) was prepared combining aliquots of all isolated proteomes and was used for the background labelling controls, the non-labelled sample (no-probe control) and the inhibitor control. The inhibitor used was DiFP at 100  $\mu$ M. The bottom section in each panel corresponds to total protein loaded stained with SyproRuby. Values on the left side indicate molecular weights. Germination data corresponding to these seed samples is shown in Figure 1.

743



744

745

746

747

748

749

750

751

752

**Figure 6. Identification of FP-labelled candidates.** FP-labelled and non-labelled protein extracts from non-aged (0 days) seeds were compared using on-bead digestion (OBD) to identify enrichment of serine hydrolases. Panel on the left shows a volcano plot highlighting significant differentially enriched proteins in the FP-labelled sample (blue, FDR = 0.05; SF = 1). Non-differentially enriched hits are shown in grey. Right panel shows the identity of putative proteins and their corresponding Pfam domain (<https://pfam.xfam.org/>).

## Figure legends

**Figure 1. Germination proportion after different periods of accelerated seed ageing of seed lots from Ler and Col-0 accessions.** Seed germination was evaluated after 0, 4, 8 and 12 days of accelerated ageing treatment (incubated at 37 °C and 85% relative humidity). Each data point represents the mean of at least three biological replicates. Error bars represent standard deviation.

**Figure 2. VPEs become active after artificial ageing.** Fluorescence detected in protein extracts from Arabidopsis Col-0 (**A**) and Ler (**B**) accessions labelled with 1 µM probe JOPD1 (+) for 2 h at room temperature. For each time point of the treatment (0, 4, 8 and 12 days), three biological replicates (R1, R2 and R3) are shown (each protein isolate was extracted from 20 mg of seeds). The mix sample (mix) was prepared combining aliquots of all isolated proteomes and was used for the non-labelled sample (-). The bottom section in each panel corresponds to total protein loaded stained with SyproRuby. Values on the left side indicate molecular weights. Germination data corresponding to these seed samples is shown in Figure 1.

**Figure 3. Seed longevity phenotype and labelling profile of Col-0,  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ ,  $\gamma$ ,  $\beta\delta$ ,  $\alpha\beta\delta$  and  $\alpha\beta\gamma\delta vpe$  mutants.** Panel **A** shows the germination proportion of several *vpe* mutants after different periods of artificial seed ageing (0, 4, 8 and 12 days). Each data point represents the mean of five biological replicates. Error bars represent the standard deviation. Panel **B** shows the fluorescence detected in protein extracts from eight-day-aged seeds of Col-0 and the indicated *vpe* mutants labelled with 1 µM JOPD1 (top panel, +) and the total protein loaded stained with SyproRuby (bottom panel). ). Each protein isolate was extracted from 20 mg of seeds. The mix sample (mix) was prepared combining aliquots of all isolated proteomes and was used for the background labelling controls, the non-labelled sample (no-probe control) and the inhibitor control. The inhibitor used was YVAD-cmk at 50 µM. The bottom section in panel B corresponds to total protein loaded stained with SyproRuby. Values on the left side of panel B indicate molecular weights.

**Figure 4. VPEs show increased activity in naturally aged seeds.** Panel **A** shows the germination kinetics of 8-year-old naturally aged seed batches of Ler and *dog1-1*. Each data point represents the mean of three biological replicates. Error bars correspond to standard deviation. Panels B and C show the fluorescence of JOPD1-labelled (1 µM) protein extracts (each protein isolate was extracted from 20 mg of seeds) from fresh and eight-year old seeds of Ler (**B**) and *dog1-1* (**C**). In each panel, three biological replicates (R1, R2 and R3) are shown from either fresh (left side) or naturally aged (right side) seeds. The mix sample (mix) was prepared combining aliquots of all isolated proteomes and was used for the background labelling controls, the non-labelled sample (no-probe control) and the inhibitor control. The inhibitor used was YVAD-cmk at 50 µM. The bottom section of panels B and C corresponds

to the total protein loaded stained with SyproRuby. Values on the left side of panels B and C indicate molecular weights.

**Figure 5. Serine hydrolases turn inactive during artificial ageing.** Detected fluorescence of protein extracts (each protein isolate was extracted from 20 mg of seeds) from Arabidopsis Col-0 (**A**) and Ler (**B**) accessions labelled with 0.2  $\mu$ M FP-Rh. For each time point, three biological replicates (R1, R2 and R3) are shown. The mix sample (mix) was prepared combining aliquots of all isolated proteomes and was used for the background labelling controls, the non-labelled sample (no-probe control) and the inhibitor control. The inhibitor used was DiFP at 100  $\mu$ M. The bottom section in each panel corresponds to total protein loaded stained with SyproRuby. Values on the left side indicate molecular weights. Germination data corresponding to these seed samples is shown in Figure 1.

**Figure 6. Identification of FP-labelled candidates.** FP-labelled and non-labelled protein extracts from non-aged (0 days) seeds were compared using on-bead digestion (OBD) to identify enrichment of serine hydrolases. Panel on the left shows a volcano plot highlighting significant differentially enriched proteins in the FP-labelled sample (blue, FDR = 0.05; SF =1). Non-differentially enriched hits are shown in grey. Right panel shows the identity of putative proteins and their corresponding Pfam domain (<https://pfam.xfam.org/>).