

Sleep Medicine Reviews

Cognitive behavioural therapy for insomnia: Can we make it work for shift work?

--Manuscript Draft--

Manuscript Number:	
Article Type:	Editorial
Corresponding Author:	Amy Reynolds Flinders Health and Medical Research Institute Sleep Health AUSTRALIA
First Author:	Amy C Reynolds
Order of Authors:	Amy C Reynolds
	Simon D Kyle
	Tracey L Sletten
	Robert J Adams
Suggested Reviewers:	Annie Vallieres Annie.Vallieres@psy.ulaval.ca Expertise in shift work and sleep disorder management
	Heli Jarnefelt Heli.Jarnefelt@ttl.fi Expertise in shift work and sleep disorders

Cognitive behavioural therapy for insomnia: Can we make it work for shift work?

Amy C Reynolds¹, Simon D Kyle², Tracey L Sletten³ & Robert J Adams¹

1. Flinders Health and Medical Research Institute (Sleep Health)/Adelaide Institute for Sleep Health, Flinders University, Bedford Park SA
2. Sir Jules Thorn Sleep and Circadian Neuroscience Institute, Nuffield Department of Clinical Neurosciences, University of Oxford, UK.
3. Turner Institute for Brain and Mental Health, School of Psychological Sciences, Monash University, Clayton VIC

Correspondence to:

Associate Professor Amy Reynolds

Flinders Health and Medical Research Institute (Sleep Health) / Adelaide Institute for Sleep Health

Sir Mark Oliphant Building

Level 3A, 5 Laffer Drive

Bedford Park, SA 5042

Email: Amy.reynolds@flinders.edu.au

Insomnia disorder affects 10-20% of individuals across the adult lifespan,(1–3) and for many, persists over time. For adults living with insomnia, this often means managing chronic insomnia symptoms in addition to occupational demands across their working life. Adverse consequences for productivity(4,5) and both workplace and road safety(6,7) highlight the importance of effectively managing this prevalent sleep disorder. In this issue, Takano and colleagues(8) consider the effectiveness of first line insomnia treatment, cognitive behavioral therapy for insomnia (CBTi), in samples of working-age adults.

This review reports improvements in insomnia symptoms in daytime workers following CBTi, most evident in this sample of studies with face-to-face delivery. Specific inclusion criteria around employment status reported within the manuscripts mean this review draws on a very focussed and specific sub-sample of CBTi trials in working-age adults. Some requirements for studies included in this review were that the workers be identifiable according to their employment status ($\geq 50\%$ were required to be employed for inclusion), only include CBTi as an intervention, and only contain participants aged 18-65 years – which may explain why some studies with a significant proportion of workers were not included in the review(9,10).

Taken in context, it is perhaps unsurprising that the effects for day workers on insomnia with CBTi in the present review overlap with broader findings including larger trials which find that CBTi is efficacious for working-age adults. Modality (face to face versus digital) remains a consideration for further studies of day workers, noting that the conclusions from this review in relation to modality differences may reflect inclusion criteria for the studies rather than limited efficacy of digital interventions, particularly for day workers.

So, do we need further CBTi trials in working adults? We agree with the authors that a number of questions remain unanswered in working-age adults, but this may not require exploring CBTi across all working adults as a broad group. Rather, more focussed intervention trials in vulnerable groups of working-age adults should be prioritised. We are starting to see more targeted trials in adults with additional stressors which impact their sleep opportunities – for example, in new parents,(11) and in those managing comorbid mental and physical health conditions with insomnia, including but not limited to chronic pain,(12) depression,(13) bipolar disorder(14) and cancer.(15) Further trials of CBTi tailored to chronic conditions, and in consideration of individual contexts (including social, cultural, and environmental considerations) are needed in working-age adults, and this is well summarised in a recent review by Crowther *et al.*(16)

Relevant to this review, and more specific to workplace-related influences on insomnia treatment, it is increasingly apparent that one working group in need of tailored intervention for insomnia are those required to work non-standard hours, including shift workers(17). For example, we need to determine: 1) best-practice treatment approaches with CBTi when circadian disruption is a prevailing factor, including if specific elements of CBTi are efficacious in this context; 2) the extent of workplace involvement in treatment and patient preferences related to this; 3) how to effectively manage insomnia around uncertain or inconsistent work schedules, and 4) ensuring worker and public safety whilst managing CBTi interventions and workplace requirements. Some questions will be answerable in randomised controlled trials. Others will require detailed qualitative methodologies and collaboration with shift workers living with insomnia and/or shift work disorder if we are to meaningfully progress treatment approaches.

As highlighted by Järnefelt and Spiegelhalter in a recent review,(18) many aspects of CBTi need to be modified for shift workers. This likely explains why there is modest evidence of improvement in insomnia symptoms in the small number of existing trials of CBTi for shift workers.(17) Some of the proposed modifications include adding extra time in bed relative to shift timing, providing advice on timed light exposure, planning anchor sleeps, and tailoring the implementation of sleep restriction therapy across both day and night sleeps.(18,19) To date, none of these modifications have been rigorously tested in sufficiently powered clinical trials, with most limited to pilot investigations. In addition, two behavioral mainstays of CBTi, sleep restriction therapy and stimulus control therapy, may need to be further refined because sleep opportunities are likely to have individual variability related to schedules and personal commitments around the clock(18). Considering perspectives from clinicians with expertise in insomnia management, together with shift workers with lived experience, should provide the foundation for careful treatment development moving forward.(17)

The field must also consider that adverse events are historically not well monitored or reported in existing CBTi trials(20), although a recent large-scale trial ($n=642$) assessed both serious and non-serious adverse events in a trial of sleep restriction therapy, finding no difference relative to sleep hygiene(21). The potential for adverse events may be especially relevant to shift workers, and should be rigorously evaluated and reported in future trials. The combination of circadian disruption plus the potential for increased sleepiness with CBTi must be considered when designing

future trials, and when making recommendations for these workers. Careful attention, and most likely caution, is warranted with shift workers who meet criteria for shift work disorder for whom excessive sleepiness forms a component of diagnostic criteria(22) and could have road and/or workplace safety implications.

Where do we go from here? Findings in the day workers analysed in this review largely confirm what is more broadly known about CBTi for insomnia in working age adults – it works. Attention must be turned to the needs of shift workers with insomnia and/or shift work disorder. It is clear that we need more insight into the characteristics of workers most likely to need these tailored approaches. Understanding and reporting the active ingredients of CBTi which benefit shift workers will be important, and the field could benefit from trial methodologies utilised in the psychological treatment of mental health problems (23). Clarifying the suitability of sleep restriction therapy in shift workers, and the circumstances in which use is appropriate, will be an essential first step.

The field may also need to consider the potential for pharmacological interventions in combination with CBTi for workers where sleep restriction therapy is either not appropriate, or is trialled and found to be minimally effective. This is likely to depend on the presenting features for each worker, and further emphasises the need to tailor treatment approaches. For example, trials of CBTi components with acute pharmacological interventions (e.g. modafinil(24)) to manage sleepiness during treatment may be beneficial when excessive sleepiness is a concern, noting that availability and access will vary by region. Acute use of pharmacotherapy for day sleeps alongside CBTi may also be useful for some workers. The dual hypocretin receptor antagonist, Suvorexant, increases total sleep time by more than an hour during day sleeps in shift workers compared with placebo in a recent pilot study.(25) The effect of the dual orexin receptor antagonist Lemborexant on daytime sleep is currently under investigation in shift workers (NCT05344443).

The blunted effect of CBTi we see in the existing (albeit, extremely modest) literature for shift workers is a reminder that we need to look towards integrated therapeutic approaches in this worker group, understand the active ingredients which improve insomnia symptoms, and transparently report sequencing and efficacy of all aspects of CBTi in order to inform future trials in shift workers. This review reminds us that now is the time to invest in treatment design and development. We need to ensure that future approaches meet the needs of those who are working, but most notably, for those who are working around the clock.

Acknowledgements:

ACR's research is currently supported by grants from the Flinders Foundation, Hospital Research Foundation, and the Government of South Australia (through the Lifetime Support Authority), and she has received speaker fees from Teva Pharmaceutical. SDK is supported by the National Institute of Health and Care Research (NIHR) Oxford Health Biomedical Research Centre and funding from NIHR Programme Grants for Applied Research [Ref: NIHR203667]. TLS's research is currently supported by grants from the National Health and Medical Research Council, Medical Research Future Fund and Australian Research Council. TLS serves as a consultant through her institution to Vanda Pharmaceuticals. RJA reports grants from the National Health and Medical Research Council, ResMed Foundation, Hospital Research Foundation and Flinders Foundation, as well as non-financial support from Philips

and personal fees from SomnoMed outside the submitted work. The views expressed are those of the authors and not necessarily those of funding bodies and government agencies.

References

1. McArdle N, Reynolds AC, Hillman D, Moses E, Maddison K, Melton P, et al. Prevalence of common sleep disorders in a middle-aged community sample. *J Clin Sleep Med*. 2022 Jun 1;18(6):1503–14.
2. Morin CM, Jarrin DC. Epidemiology of Insomnia: Prevalence, Course, Risk Factors, and Public Health Burden. *Sleep Med Clin*. 2022 Jun;17(2):173–91.
3. Endomba FT, Tchebegna PY, Chiabi E, Angong Wouna DL, Guillet C, Chauvet-Gélinier JC. Epidemiology of insomnia disorder in older persons according to the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Eur Geriatr Med* [Internet]. 2023 Sep 19; Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s41999-023-00862-2>
4. Reynolds AC, Coenen P, Lechat B, Straker L, Zabatiero J, Maddison KJ, et al. Insomnia and workplace productivity loss among young working adults: a prospective observational study of clinical sleep disorders in a community cohort. *Med J Aust*. 2023 Aug 7;219(3):107–12.
5. Bostock S, Luik AI, Espie CA. Sleep and Productivity Benefits of Digital Cognitive Behavioral Therapy for Insomnia: A Randomized Controlled Trial Conducted in the Workplace Environment. *J Occup Environ Med*. 2016 Jul;58(7):683.
6. Kessler RC, Berglund PA, Coulouvrat C, Fitzgerald T, Hajak G, Roth T, et al. Insomnia, comorbidity, and risk of injury among insured Americans: results from the America Insomnia Survey. *Sleep*. 2012 Jun 1;35(6):825–34.
7. Laugsand LE, Strand LB, Vatten LJ, Janszky I, Bjørngaard JH. Insomnia Symptoms and Risk for Unintentional Fatal Injuries—The HUNT Study. *Sleep*. 2014 Nov 1;37(11):1777–86.
8. Takano Y, Iбата R, Machida N, Ubara A, Okajima I. Effect of cognitive behavioral therapy for insomnia in workers: A systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Sleep Med Rev*. 2023 Aug 22;71:101839.
9. Espie CA, Kyle SD, Williams C, Ong JC, Douglas NJ, Hames P, et al. A randomized, placebo-controlled trial of online cognitive behavioral therapy for chronic insomnia disorder delivered via an automated media-rich web application. *Sleep*. 2012 Jun 1;35(6):769–81.
10. Kyle SD, Hurry MED, Emsley R, Marsden A, Omlin X, Juss A, et al. The effects of digital cognitive behavioral therapy for insomnia on cognitive function: a randomized controlled trial. *Sleep* [Internet]. 2020 Sep 14;43(9). Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/sleep/zsaa034>
11. Bei B, Pinnington DM, Shen L, Blumfield M, Drummond SPA, Newman LK, et al. A scalable cognitive behavioural program to promote healthy sleep during pregnancy and postpartum periods: protocol of a randomised controlled trial (the SEED project). *BMC Pregnancy Childbirth*. 2019 Jul 22;19(1):254.

12. Selvanathan J, Pham C, Nagappa M, Peng PWH, Englesakis M, Espie CA, et al. Cognitive behavioral therapy for insomnia in patients with chronic pain - A systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Sleep Med Rev.* 2021 Dec 1;60(101460):101460.
13. Blom K, Jernelöv S, Rück C, Lindefors N, Kaldo V. Three-Year Follow-Up Comparing Cognitive Behavioral Therapy for Depression to Cognitive Behavioral Therapy for Insomnia, for Patients With Both Diagnoses. *Sleep* [Internet]. 2017 Aug 1;40(8). Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/sleep/zsx108>
14. Harvey AG, Soehner AM, Kaplan KA, Hein K, Lee J, Kanady J, et al. Treating insomnia improves mood state, sleep, and functioning in bipolar disorder: a pilot randomized controlled trial. *J Consult Clin Psychol.* 2015 Jun;83(3):564–77.
15. Ma Y, Hall DL, Ngo LH, Liu Q, Bain PA, Yeh GY. Efficacy of cognitive behavioral therapy for insomnia in breast cancer: A meta-analysis. *Sleep Med Rev.* 2021 Feb;55:101376.
16. Crowther ME, Saunders WJ, Sletten TL, Drummond SPA, Bei B. Tailoring cognitive behavioural therapy for insomnia across contexts, conditions, and individuals: What do we know, where do we go? *J Sleep Res.* 2023 Aug 29;e14023.
17. Reynolds, A.C., Sweetman, A, Crowther, M.E., Paterson, J.L, Scott, H, Lechat, B, Wanstall, S.E., Brown, B.W.J., Lovato, N, Adams, R.J. & Eastwood, P.R. Is Cognitive Behavioral Therapy for insomnia (CBTi) efficacious for treating insomnia symptoms in shift workers? A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Sleep Med Rev.*
18. Järnefelt H, Spiegelhalter K. CBT-I protocols for shift workers and health operators [Internet]. *Cognitive-Behavioural Therapy For Insomnia (CBT-I) Across The Life Span*. Wiley; 2022. p. 126–32. (Cognitive-Behavioural Therapy For Insomnia (CBT-I) Across The Life Span). Available from: <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/9781119891192.ch10>
19. Vallières A, Bastille-Denis E, Claveau S, Roy M. Exploring a behavioural therapy for insomnia in shift workers. 2015; Available from: <https://corpus.ulaval.ca/entities/publication/4d72ec44-c61e-2b71-e053-2528090a90b1>
20. Condon HE, Maurer LF, Kyle SD. Reporting of adverse events in cognitive behavioural therapy for insomnia: A systematic examination of randomised controlled trials. *Sleep Med Rev.* 2021 Apr;56:101412.
21. Kyle SD, Siriwardena AN, Espie CA, Yang Y, Petrou S, Ogburn E, et al. Clinical and cost-effectiveness of nurse-delivered sleep restriction therapy for insomnia in primary care (HABIT): a pragmatic, superiority, open-label, randomised controlled trial. *Lancet* [Internet]. 2023 Aug 10; Available from: [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(23\)00683-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(23)00683-9)

22. American Academy of Sleep Medicine. International Classification of Sleep Disorders – Third Edition (ICSD-3). 2014.
23. Watkins E, Newbold A, Tester-Jones M, Collins LM, Mostazir M. Investigation of Active Ingredients Within Internet-Delivered Cognitive Behavioral Therapy for Depression: A Randomized Optimization Trial. *JAMA Psychiatry*. 2023 Sep 1;80(9):942–51.
24. Czeisler CA, Walsh JK, Roth T, Hughes RJ, Wright KP, Kingsbury L, et al. Modafinil for excessive sleepiness associated with shift-work sleep disorder. *N Engl J Med*. 2005 Aug 4;353(5):476–86.
25. Zeitzer JM, Joyce DS, McBean A, Quevedo YL, Hernandez B, Holty J-E. Effect of Suvorexant vs Placebo on Total Daytime Sleep Hours in Shift Workers: A Randomized Clinical Trial. *JAMA Netw Open*. 2020 Jun 1;3(6):e206614.

PUBLISHING AGREEMENT

To be completed if you are the owner of the copyright in the Contribution.

TITLE OF CONTRIBUTION

Cognitive behavioural therapy for insomnia: Can we make it work for shift work?

.....(the Contribution)

AUTHOR(S) NAMES

Amy C Reynolds¹, Simon D Kyle², Tracey L Sletten³ & Robert J Adams¹

INTENDED FOR PUBLICATION IN SLEEP MEDICINE REVIEWS

Copyright Assignment

In consideration for the publication in the Journal of the Contribution, I hereby assign to Elsevier Science Ltd copyright in the Contribution and in any abstract prepared by me to accompany the Contribution for the full legal term of copyright and any renewals and extensions thereof throughout the world in all formats and through any medium of communication.

Conditions of Publication

I warrant to Elsevier Science Ltd that the Contribution is my original work, has not been published before, that I have obtained all necessary permissions for the reproduction in all formats and through any medium of communication as part of the Contribution of copyright works (including artistic works, e.g. photographs, charts, maps, etc) not owned by me, that the Contribution contains no unlawful statements and does not infringe any rights of others, and agree to indemnify Elsevier Science Ltd against claims in respect of the above warranties.

I warrant that I am authorised to sign on behalf of myself and, in the case of a multi-authored Contribution, on behalf of all other authors of the Contribution. In the alternative, I undertake to obtain the consent for publication in the Journal of all co-authors of the Contribution and to forward such consents in writing to the Publisher.

I agree that the *Conditions of Publication* form part of this Publishing Agreement

Signed.....AMY REYNOLDS (sig)..... Date.....5/10/2023.....

CONDITIONS OF PUBLICATION

- 1. The Journal's policy is to acquire copyright in all contributions. There are two reasons for this:
 - (a) ownership of copyright by the publisher ensures maximum protection against piratical infringement anywhere in the world;
 - (b) it also ensures that requests by third parties to reproduce a Contribution, or part of a Contribution, are handled efficiently in accordance with our general policy which encourages dissemination of knowledge inside the framework of copyright.
- 2. We will not withhold permission for any reasonable request from you to publish the whole or any part of your Contribution in connection with any other work by you, provided the usual acknowledgements are given regarding copyright notice and reference to first publication by us.
- 3. (a) You will be informed, wherever practicable, of all requests, to which we have agreed, to reprint your Contribution, or a substantial part of it, in any other publication.
 - (b) The Publisher of the Journal will make the necessary arrangements, whether directly or through their agents, to place the Contribution in electronic storage so that it may be transmitted to meet legitimate requests for access including transmission in a document delivery service.
- 4. The Journal mandates the Copyright Clearance Center in the USA, and the Copyright Licensing Agency in the UK, each of which offers centralised arrangements for photocopying in their respective territories.

TO BE RETURNED WITH YOUR MANUSCRIPT TO:

Editorial Office Sleep Medicine Reviews

Beverly Burns

P.O. Box 993

1000 AZ Amsterdam

The Netherlands

ysmrv@elsevier.com; fax ++31 20 485 3372