

## What approaches are used to facilitate people from underserved communities getting involved with health research? A public contributor led review

(Submission ID e25fdbed-5772-4668-87a8-6e447c0c2a13)

Section and topic	Item	Reported on page No
1: Aim	Report the aim of PPI in the study	The aim of PPI in this work was to place the PPI contributors in lead roles and to develop skills and knowledge exchange across the research and PPI contributor team – the effectiveness of this is discussed on page 18
2: Methods	Provide a clear description of the methods used for PPI in the study	The PPI contributors were embedded in the research team and conducted the literature review with support from the wider team.  The methods for the literature review are outlined pages 4-7
3: Study results	Outcomes—Report the results of PPI in the study, including both positive and negative outcomes	This was a literature review conducted primarily by the PPI members of the team. Results from the review are presented pages 7-13
4: Discussion and conclusions	Outcomes—Comment on the extent to which PPI influenced the study overall. Describe positive and negative effects	The literature review was conceived and designed by the PPI members of the team.  We discuss this on pages 18 and 19

<b>Section and topic</b>	<b>Item</b>	<b>Reported on page No</b>
5: Reflections/critical perspective	Comment critically on the study, reflecting on the things that went well and those that did not, so others can learn from this experience	We recognise the limitations but on the whole recommend that PPI contributors can take lead roles in research related activities given time, training, and support. See pages 18-19