

## **Quantifying and valuing community health worker time in improving access to malaria diagnosis and treatment**

Joëlle Castellani<sup>1</sup>, Borislava Mihaylova<sup>2</sup>, Ikeoluwapo O. Ajayi<sup>3</sup>, Mohamadou Siribié<sup>4</sup>, Jesca Nsungwa-Sabiiti<sup>5</sup>, Chinenye Afonne<sup>6</sup>, Luc Sermé<sup>4</sup>, Andrew Balyeku<sup>5</sup>, Vanessa Kabarungi<sup>5</sup>, Josephine Kyaligonza<sup>5</sup>, Silvia M.A.A. Evers<sup>1</sup>, Aggie T.G. Paulus<sup>1</sup>, Max Petzold<sup>7</sup>, Jan Singlovic<sup>8</sup>, Melba Gomes<sup>8</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Health Services Research, School for Public Health and Primary Care (CAPHRI), Maastricht University, Maastricht, The Netherlands

<sup>2</sup> Health Economics Research Centre, Nuffield Department of Population Health, University of Oxford, Oxford, United Kingdom

<sup>3</sup> Department of Epidemiology & Medical Statistics, College of Medicine, University of Ibadan, Ibadan, Nigeria

<sup>4</sup> Groupe de Recherche Action en Santé (GRAS), Ouagadougou, Burkina Faso

<sup>5</sup> Child Health Division, Ministry of Health, Kampala, Uganda

<sup>6</sup> Epidemiology & Biostatistics Research Unit, IAMRAT, College of Medicine, University of Ibadan, Ibadan, Nigeria

<sup>7</sup> Centre for Applied Biostatistics, Occupational and Environmental Medicine, Sahlgrenska Academy, University of Gothenburg, Gothenburg, Sweden

<sup>8</sup> UNICEF/UNDP/World Bank/WHO Special Programme for Research & Training in Tropical Diseases (TDR), World Health Organization, Geneva, Switzerland

**Corresponding Author Information:** Joëlle Castellani

E-mail address: [j.castellani@maastrichtuniversity.nl](mailto:j.castellani@maastrichtuniversity.nl)

**Alternate Corresponding Author Information:** Melba Gomes

Email: [gomesm@who.int](mailto:gomesm@who.int)

### **Running Head** (40 characters or less)

This study examines the time spent by community health workers on child healthcare and places a value on this time before and during the introduction of rapid diagnosis tests for malaria, artemisinin combination treatment and rectal artesunate.

## **ABSTRACT**

**Background:** Community health workers (CHWs) are members of a community who are chosen by their communities as first-line, volunteer health workers. The time they spend providing healthcare and the value of this time are often not evaluated. Our aim was to quantify the time CHWs spent on providing healthcare before and during the implementation of an integrated programme of diagnosis and treatment of febrile illness in three African countries.

**Methods:** In Burkina Faso, Nigeria and Uganda, CHWs were trained to assess and manage febrile patients in keeping with Integrated Management of Childhood Illness recommendations to use rapid diagnostic tests, artemisinin-based combination therapy and rectal artesunate for malaria treatment. All CHWs provided healthcare only to young children usually under 5 years old, and hence daily time allocation of their time to child healthcare was documented for one day (in the high malaria season) before the intervention and at several time points following the implementation of the intervention. Time spent in providing child healthcare was valued in earnings of persons with similar experience.

**Results:** During the high malaria season of the intervention, CHWs spent nearly 50 minutes more in daily healthcare provision (average daily time 30.2 minutes before the intervention versus 79.5 minutes during the intervention; test for difference in means  $p < 0.01$ ). On average, the daily time spent providing healthcare during the intervention was 55.8 minutes (Burkina Faso), 77.4 minutes (Nigeria) and 72.2 minutes (Uganda). Using the country minimum monthly salary, CHWs time allocated to child healthcare for one year was valued at USD 52 in Burkina Faso, USD 295 in Nigeria and USD 141 in Uganda.

**Conclusion:** CHWs spend up to an hour and a half daily on child healthcare in their communities. These data are informative in designing reward systems to motivate CHWs to continue providing good quality services.

**Trial registration:** ISRCTN13858170

**Key words:** CHW, opportunity cost, workload, ACTs, RDTs

Abstract: 299 words

## BACKGROUND

The run up to meet the Millennium Development Goals witnessed an upsurge in programmes using community health workers (CHWs) to improve health, particularly child health [1]. CHWs offer a wide range of services to their communities in low-income countries and improve access to healthcare by reaching the poorest and most inaccessible areas [2]. Because health facilities often concentrate on curative care over prevention, and families in poorer areas are less likely to reach health facilities than those in wealthier areas [3], CHWs are seen as a means of bringing both preventive and curative care closer to the patient, improving health and reducing inequities. CHWs perform a wide range of tasks from first aid and treatment of simple, common illnesses to interventions that promote healthy behaviour; some of them also keep records and collect data on vital events [2]. In their case-management roles, CHWs do not replace the need for skilled health workers, but when they are trained and assigned to manage simple tasks in their communities including screening for illness condition and referring patients who need specialised management, and when properly supervised and supported, they become effective links to the formal healthcare system [4]. Several studies have shown a decrease of neonatal and child mortality when early signs of severe illness are identified and interventions delivered by CHWs in the communities [5-8].

In Sub-Saharan Africa CHWs' activities are largely "voluntary" and unpaid. However, the evidence on the extent to which CHWs can be effective in improving health has led to an increase in the number of tasks they are assigned without financial remuneration, while their performance is increasingly examined, which has raised stress and increased attrition [2, 9]. The time CHWs contribute to their community reduces the time they can allocate to other tasks, including care of their own children and, since their healthcare activities are voluntary, their income may also decrease. However, assessments of the volume of activities CHWs provide, the time allocated to these tasks, and whether and how this time load affects other tasks and income is extremely rare [10, 11].

Given the number of health programmes that depend upon CHWs to implement health interventions in their communities without quantifying the impact upon their time, our goal was to redress the balance. We aimed to quantify changes in time allocation that were brought by an intervention using CHWs to increase access to diagnosis and treatment for malaria in remote communities in three African countries. The intervention involved the provision in malaria endemic communities of malaria rapid diagnostic tests (RDTs), and for malaria-positive cases oral artemisinin based combination treatment (ACTs) or, for patients who need immediate transit to health facilities, pre-referral rectal artesunate [Ref 1 in this supplement #83657].

## METHODS

### Study sites

This time-allocation study was carried out in 42 villages before the intervention and in 124 villages during the intervention in four rural malaria endemic areas of Burkina Faso (health area of Sidéradougou, Health District of Mangodara), Nigeria (Ona-Ara local government area) and Uganda (Kayunga and Sheema districts). The aim was to quantify the number of hours of time spent by CHWs on different activities during the course of a normal day before and following the implementation of an intervention delivered by CHWs to diagnose and treat malaria.

Data collection for the pre-intervention phase of the time-allocation study took place between May and September 2013 in Nigeria and during the month of August 2014 in Burkina Faso while for the intervention phase, time-allocation data were collected between January 2014 and October 2015 in Nigeria, between January and July 2015 in Uganda and between May and September 2015 in Burkina Faso. There was no pre-intervention time-allocation study in Uganda because there were no CHWs operating before the intervention. In Nigeria, those functioning as CHWs previously (some participating in previous studies) and those selected for the intervention were interviewed. 19 study communities in Burkina Faso and 23 in Nigeria before the intervention and 32 study communities in Burkina Faso, 14 in Nigeria and 78 in Uganda during the intervention participated in this time-allocation study.

### **Role of the CHWs**

In all three countries, health centres are situated far away from people's homes and travel to health centres is expensive. Therefore, CHWs, typically chosen by their communities, are often an initial point of healthcare treatment before referral to the next level of care. The intervention involved training CHWs to assess, diagnose, treat and refer children in their communities according to standard treatment guidelines [12]. Once successfully identified and trained, the CHWs were provided with malaria rapid diagnostic tests (RDTs), artemisinin-based combination therapy (ACTs) and rectal artesunate, and supported in their diagnosis and treatment of RDT-positive patients, identification of danger signs (repeated vomiting, unable to eat/drink/suck, convulsion, altered consciousness, lethargy and difficulties in breathing) and provision of rectal artesunate to patients with danger signs before referring them to the nearest health facility for further management [Ref 1 in this supplement #83657].

In each country, CHWs voluntarily contribute to health services and this practice did not change during the study. In Burkina Faso, as per government policy, ACTs are subsidised by the Ministry of Health (MOH) and CHWs are permitted to retail subsidised ACTs at 100 XOF (USD \$0.17) and 200 XOF (USD \$0.33) for children below and above 37 months respectively. In Nigeria, CHWs were unpaid but received gifts during festivities and gifts if they performed well (number of cases, quality of record-keeping) and were reimbursed for transport to meetings. They were paid US \$10 per month

in Nigeria and US \$3 per visit in Uganda for their transport to replenish commodities. Short message service (SMS)/phone calls regarding specific patients were reimbursed. A stipend was given for team meeting days. Conforming to policy in Nigeria and Uganda, RDTs and ACTs were provided at no cost to patients; in all countries rectal artesunate was provided at no cost to the patient.

### **Questionnaires design and data collection**

Basic characteristics of the CHWs such as gender, age, marital status, occupation and number of years of experience as a CHW were recorded before and during the intervention.

During the intervention, any use of RDTs and medicines was recorded by the CHWs. The CHWs monitored their allocation of time during the day, but also measured for a random sample of treated children the time needed for the guardians to give an illness history, the time used to prepare, perform and read RDTs, time waiting for results, giving explanations of the RDT procedure, time for providing medicine to a child and time needed to explain the referral and follow up process.

Information on test results, severity of the episode with or without any danger signs (difficult/fast breathing, repeated vomiting, unable to eat/drink/suck, pallor, convulsion/chills/rigors, weakness/lethargy, anorexia, bulging fontanel, coma/altered consciousness), treatments given and referral was recorded. The children for whom the CHW monitored time allocation in greater detail were randomly selected and data were usually obtained on the same day as other data for daily time allocation were collected.

Structured case report forms (CRFs) were in French for Burkina Faso and in English for Nigeria and Uganda. Each CHW was asked to list what they did on the day before from 06:00 to 18:00 in slots of 20 minutes. Activities listed by the CHWs consisted of healthcare provision, family/personal time and housework (e.g. cleaning the house, looking after the children, washing the clothes/dishes, etc.), agriculture, paid work (e.g. teaching), self-employment (e.g. tailor) and going to the market.

Completing forms took approximately 30 minutes to 1 hour. Local translations (except for Burkina Faso) were made and tested before use. In Burkina Faso and in Nigeria, during the pre-intervention data collection, each CHW was visited once by an investigator who filled in the CRF for the CHW. During the intervention, CHWs were trained to fill in the questionnaires themselves except in Uganda where a trained research assistant (11 research assistants in Sheema and 10 in Kayunga) helped CHWs to fill the forms.

### **Data analysis**

The activities that contributed to the CHWs' healthcare provision were detailed (taking care of sick children, working as health workers, going to CHW's meetings, etc.). For each completed CRF, time in different activities was aggregated and mean time (in minutes) reported. Time for guardians to give

the history of the illness, for preparation and performing RDT diagnosis, waiting for results, explaining RDT procedures, treating the child, explaining the referral and follow-up process was quantified.

Only CHWs were included. Formal health sector staff (N=2 before intervention), owners of drug shops (N=7 before intervention; N=5 during intervention) were excluded. A maximum number of CRFs per CHW was 8 forms irrespective of the number completed (varied numbers were completed: 9 to 59 in Nigeria during the intervention phase, 9 to 58 for Burkina Faso and Nigeria for the CRF on the time needed to perform RDTs and give treatment). All forms were filled within 24 hours of respective activities to reduce risks of recall bias.

In Burkina Faso CHWs charged patients for each treatment pack. We calculated income received from ACTs using treatment data from registers, numbers of children assessed, child's age and treatment received. These data were used to calculate the average income from retailing ACTs per month during low and high malaria seasons, as well as the annual income.

The CHWs time for healthcare was valued using external data on minimal monthly salary in each country. In Burkina Faso, the hourly minimum wage was 176.83 XOF (about 28,292XOF per month or 52.10 USD) [13]. In Nigeria, the monthly minimum wage was 18,000 NGN (100 USD) [13] and in Uganda, 54 USD [11]. CHWs time value is presented in US dollars (\$) using average exchange rates between 2014 and 2015: 1 US Dollar = 542.75 XOF (Burkina Faso) and 1 US Dollar = 180 NGN (Nigeria) [14].

## **Statistical methods**

Data were double entered, separately for each country, in Epidata 3.1 and analyzed using STATA v.13.0 (StataCorp, College Station, TX, USA). We report average daily times as well as distribution of time in categories: none; >0-2 hours; >2 hours; or none; >0-4 hours, >4-8 hours and >8 hours.

Two-tailed t-tests were used to compare means and  $\chi^2$  tests for heterogeneity to compare distributions of times allocated to particular activity before and during the intervention in each country and across countries. P-values less than 0.05 indicated statistically significant differences.

## **Ethics issues**

The research protocol of the main study was approved by the National Health Research Committee, the University of Ibadan/University College Hospital Institutional Review Committee and Oyo State Ministry of Health in Nigeria, the National Ethics Committee for the Research on Health and the National Regulatory Authority in Burkina Faso and the National Council for Science and Technology

in Uganda and the World Health Organization Research Ethics Review Committee. In each country, CHWs as well as guardians of sick children gave consent for the main study.

## **RESULTS**

### **Exclusion of CHWs for this sub-research**

During the pre-intervention phase, in Burkina Faso, 3 CHWs who filled the forms were excluded because one was minor at the time of the study, and two did not take part in the intervention because one was not accepted by the community and the other was not able to calculate the age of the children.

### **Profile of the CHWs contributing to the time-allocation study**

In Burkina Faso and Nigeria 20 and 42 CHWs respectively took part in the pre-intervention phase of the time-allocation study; there were no CHWs yet in the study area of Uganda (Table 1). During the intervention, 36 CHWs in Burkina Faso, 17 CHWs in Nigeria and 147 CHWs in Uganda participated. In Nigeria, for cultural reasons, all CHWs were females while in Burkina Faso, a larger proportion of CHWs were males (75%). In Uganda, about 75% of CHWs were females.

In all three countries, most CHWs were between 21 and 50 years old (> 70%) and mainly married (> 75%). Their main occupation was farming in Burkina Faso (> 70%) and in Uganda (76%) but mainly self-employed in Nigeria (76%). The majority of CHWs had been working as CHWs for at least two years (> 70%) except in Nigeria where during the pre-intervention phase, CHWs were not officially in place but had participated in previous studies on community management of malaria, over half (57%) had less than two years of experience while the remaining CHWs (43%) had at least 3 years of experience.

<Table 1 here>

### **Time allocation of the CHW**

Data on time spent on categories of daily activities during the high malaria season (i.e. pre-intervention questionnaires were filled exclusively during high malaria season) is summarized in Table 2. Before the intervention, the average daily time spent by CHWs on healthcare activities was 30.16 minutes. After the provision of training and commodities (RDTs and medicines), CHWs spent on average 79.47 minutes (test for difference in mean times before versus during the intervention  $p < 0.01$  with effects similar separately among sites in Burkina Faso and Nigeria). However, while their average daily time spent on family activities appears increased (246.23 before vs. 325.79 during intervention;  $p < 0.01$ ), their time spent on self-employment (166.56 vs. 30.79 minutes;  $p < 0.0001$ ) has decreased. The effect on time spent on self-employment was driven by a large effect observed

exclusively in Nigeria (246.83 vs. 23.03 minutes;  $p < 0.0001$ ). There were no important differences in mean daily time spent on agricultural activities or paid work (p-values not significant).

<Table 2 here>

When all data of CHWs' time allocation during the intervention (ie, not just during high malaria season) are taken into account, the time spent on healthcare activities was on average 55.8 minutes in Burkina Faso, 77.4 minutes in Nigeria and 72.2 minutes in Uganda (Table 3). Most of the daily time was allocated to agriculture in Burkina Faso (345.6 minutes) and to family/personal time in Nigeria and Uganda (395.1 minutes for Nigeria and 334.9 minutes in Uganda). When the time was stratified by low and high malaria seasons, more time on healthcare activities was spent during the high malaria season in Burkina Faso and in Uganda (Supplementary Table 1). As a consequence, family/personal time as well as the time spent on agriculture decreased. However, in Nigeria, CHWs spent on average 21.5 minutes less time providing healthcare during the high malaria season compared to low malaria season.

<Table 3 here>

We evaluated the average number of hours spent by CHWs per year in providing healthcare in the communities to 159.5 in Burkina Faso, 471.9 in Nigeria and 417.0 in Uganda. With an average salary per month of \$52.1 (Burkina Faso), \$100.0 (Nigeria) and \$54.0 (Uganda), the value of the time that CHWs spent on treating sick children in the study areas were estimated at \$2,544 (Burkina Faso), \$15,040 (Nigeria) and \$23,081 (Uganda) per year (Supplementary Table 2).

### **Use of rapid diagnosis tests and treatment package**

Table 4 presents the CHW's time per child for administering a RDT and appropriate treatment as well as the RDTs' results; the illness severity; and the treatment given during the intervention. In Uganda, a CHW needed on average 45.7 minutes, while the time needed in Burkina Faso and Nigeria was shorter (39.9 minutes in Burkina Faso and 32.1 in Nigeria). Most of the time needed concerned the preparation and the use of a RDT (18.0 minutes for Burkina Faso, 17.9 for Nigeria and 14.5 minutes for Uganda). Most of the children were tested malaria positive and uncomplicated (>73%). Only few of them had danger signs (< 2.5%). From the children included in the detailed time allocation substudy, more than 76% received ACT and only two received rectal artesunate (one in Nigeria (0.9%) and one in Uganda (0.2%)), while about 23.6% of the children in Burkina Faso, 16.1% in Nigeria and 13.8% in Uganda received no treatment or only Paracetamol. In Burkina Faso, because CHWs were allowed to retail ACTs to patients and could keep the profit margin for themselves, we



estimated their income from ACTs to be \$3.41 for the 3 months of high malaria season and at \$3.60 for the 9 months of low malaria season (Supplementary Table 3).

<Table 4 here>

## DISCUSSION

A total of 265 CHWs provided access to diagnosis and treatment to about 16,000 young children in 162 villages in 3 countries. The intervention increased access to diagnosis and treatment by 80%, reduced the number of children with signs of severe illness from 24.7% to 18.1% and reduced illness duration from 3.7 to 3.5 days for uncomplicated episodes and 4.2 to 3.7 days for severe episodes [Ref 1 in this supplement #83657]. This study shows that because of the intervention, the total time on healthcare activities by the CHWs rose from about 30 minutes to about 80 minutes overall (53 to 90 minutes in Burkina and 19 to 66 minutes in Nigeria). The daily volume of time spent on child-care was modest both before and after the intervention and the bulk of the time was spent on diagnosis of malaria with smaller fraction on treatment. Our findings are considerably less than the 4.8 hours per day found in Ghana but comparable to findings in Uganda reporting about 40 minutes daily taken by CHWs to provide integrated care for common childhood illnesses [10, 11].

All the CHWs were contributing voluntarily. They had on average more than 3 years of experience, and most went to primary school in Burkina Faso and Nigeria and to secondary school in Uganda. Using the average income in each country for people of equivalent education and experience, the estimated value of their time during one year ranged from US\$51.9 in Burkina Faso to US\$294.9 in Nigeria. Some CHWs, but not all, benefited from payments in kind, most stated gaining improved community status, and all were supervised [Ref 4 in this supplement #83660]. Each of these incentives contributed to the success of the intervention and increased motivation [Ref 4 in this supplement #83660]. In Burkina Faso, permission to retail treatment to patients meant that each transaction contributed to CHWs income. The cost was nominal for patients [Ref 9 in this supplement #83665], but enabled CHWs to earn an average monthly income of \$1.14 (maximum \$3.61) during the peak 3 month malaria season and \$0.40 per month (maximum \$1.28) during the rest of the year. These earnings, however, still fall short of the estimated value of time they contributed to healthcare.

The case for using CHWs depends on the assumption that there is a health benefit, and that any alternative means of providing that benefit would cost more than using CHWs. We did not compare alternatives to improving access to healthcare, but the substantial health benefit provided in improving access and reducing illness duration with a modest CHW time allocation suggests that a cost per month that varies from \$4.3 per person in Burkina Faso to \$24.6 in Nigeria might be economically attractive.

The results from this paper in combination with the health outcomes results reported elsewhere [Ref 1 in this supplement #83657], provide a strong case for the intervention to be scaled up at the cost of a modest increase in CHW time. The key dilemma is how best to remunerate CHWs for their time and/or provide incentives that can sustain their contributions to healthcare. Financial remuneration may well be CHWs preferred option, but policies intent upon reducing their attrition rates and maintaining their effectiveness, will need to respond to what motivates them. Sanou et al. [Ref 4 in this supplement #83660] indicate that a substantial component of CHW motivation derives from their status in the community and technical supervision; these two components apparently reinforce their technical skills (and hopes of a career path) and link them to community members and the formal health system. If CHWs are highly motivated by community status then policies can be designed to reinforce that status; if they are motivated more by income prospects, then compensation for their time needs to be structured in a way that improves income and efficient use of time (i.e. reduces incentives to “waste time”). The model in Burkina Faso has the disadvantage of giving them an incentive to treat more, but a mixed model combining variations of the Burkina Faso’s approach plus non-financial incentives may be possible. Most CHWs in the study were relatively young with small children of their own, living in an agricultural area. Providing free health coverage for their children plus some financial motivation might be a model to be tested.

Non-financial incentives, such as training, adequate supervision, community recognition, certification, identification badges, reduced stock-outs and bicycles that are appropriate for the CHWs’ work were mentioned by CHWs as helping to increase their sense of self-worth, a source of pride, and relevant to future job prospects [Ref 4 in this supplement #83660]. They indicate that CHW motivation, retention and effectiveness is heavily influenced by who they are in the community context, how they are perceived by community members, and the extent to which they are supported to maintain a stable relationship with their clients. Appropriate training and supervision was stated to improve their confidence and competence and regular replenishment of supplies ensured that their relationship with their clients was not undermined by stock-outs. The most successful CHW programmes have recognised that it is important to use multiple non-financial incentives to build CHWs continuing sense of self-worth and consolidate their relationship with their communities, some pairing CHWs so that they can work together and provide mutual support and others where the community provides free labour on the CHW’s farm [15].

The study has several limitations. First, it may underestimate time allocated to healthcare as some parents may have visited a CHW at night (between 18:00 and 6:00) but information during night visits was not collected. In Burkina Faso and, to a large degree, in Nigeria, data before intervention were collected during the peak of malaria transmission while data during the intervention were collected

more evenly. A comparison of time use before and during the intervention is therefore limited to the high malaria transmission season. In Nigeria, some CHWs were reluctant to fill in the time forms because this was perceived to increase their workload, while others enthusiastically completed multiple forms. To reduce the documentation burden and increase representativeness of time use across most CHWs, the research team assisted in completing the forms and we limited data use to 8 forms per CHW.

## **NOTE**

### **AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

J.C., I.O.A., M.S., J.N.-S., C.A., L.S., A.B., M.G. conceived, designed, led implemented, and supervised the study. J.C. did the statistical analysis. J.C., J.S., M.G. contributed to the design and conduct of the data analysis. C.A., L.S., A.B., V.K., J.K., implemented and coordinated the fieldwork. B.M., S.M.A.A.E., A.T.G.P., M.P. reviewed analytical plans and provided expert support in economic and statistical analysis of data and write up. J.C. and M.G. prepared the manuscript, which was then reviewed by all authors.

### **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

We are grateful to the community health workers and parents of children for whom data on RDTs and time were collected. We are also grateful to village leaders for their permission to carry the research in their communities and to the health staff of Sidéradougou hospital, Kyengeni and Busaana Health Centres for their contribution in the supervision of CHWs. We appreciate J. Bwoye, G. Egunyomi, L. Ojanduru, B. Orimolade, G. Ouattara, A. Suberu, J. Wandera who led fieldwork and provided intellectual and administrative support. B. S. Sombié and L. Ojanduru were responsible for data management onsite and A. Adewale, I. Akorede, O. Kayode, M. Namanya, R. Ngabirano, O. Ojo, E. Oyeniran, P. Tou, J. J. Wembabazi supported data entry.

### **FUNDING**

This work was supported by UNICEF/UNDP/World Bank/World Health Organization/ Special Program for Research and Training in Tropical Diseases (TDR), World Health Organization, Geneva, Switzerland [Project ID number: Burkina Faso: A80553, Nigeria: A80550 and Uganda: A80556] through funds made available by the European Commission (FP7) for research to improved community access to health interventions in Africa.

### **CONFLICT OF INTEREST**

*All authors: no reported conflicts.*

## REFERENCES

1. Haines A, Sanders D, Lehmann U, et al. Achieving child survival goals: potential contribution of community health workers. *Lancet*, **2007**; 369: 2121–2131.
2. Bhutta ZA, Lassi ZS, Pariyo GW, Huicho L. Global Experience of Community Health Workers for Delivery of Health Related Millennium Development Goals: A systematic review, country case studies and recommendations for integration into National Health Systems. Geneva: WHO/Global Health Workforce Alliance, **2010**.
3. Armstrong Schellenberg JRM, Victora CG, Mushi A, et al. Inequities among the very poor: health care for children in rural southern Tanzania. *Lancet*, **2003**; 361: 561–566.
4. Lehmann U, Sanders D. Community health workers: What do we know about them? The state of the evidence on programmes, activities costs and impact on health outcomes of using community health workers. Geneva: World Health Organization, **2007**.
5. Bang AT, Bang RA, Baitule SB, Reddy MH, Deshmukh MD. Effect of home-based neonatal care and management of sepsis on neonatal mortality: field trial in rural India. *Lancet*, **1999**; 354: 1955–1961.
6. Kidane G, Morrow R. Teaching mothers to provide home treatment of malaria in Tigray, Ethiopia: a randomised trial. *Lancet*, **2000**; 356: 550–555.
7. Kumar V, Mohanty S, Kumar A, et al. Effect of community-based behaviour change management on neonatal mortality in Shivgarh, Uttar Pradesh, India: a cluster-randomised controlled trial. *Lancet*, **2008**; 372: 1151–1162.
8. Gomes MF, Faiz MA, Gyapong JO, et al. Pre-referral rectal artesunate to prevent death and disability in severe malaria: a placebo-controlled trial. *Lancet*, **2009**; 373: 557–566.
9. Haq Z, Iqbal Z, Rahman A. Job stress among community health workers: a multi-method study from Pakistan. *Int J Ment Health Syst*, **2008**; 2: 15.
10. Agyei-Baffour P, Hansen KS, Browne EN, Magnussen P. The amount and value of work time of community medicine distributors in community case management of malaria among children under five years in the Ejisu-Juaben District of Ghana. *Malar J*, **2012**; 11: 277.
11. Kasteng F, Settumba S, Källander K, Vassall A. Valuing the work of unpaid community health workers and exploring the incentives to volunteering in rural Africa. *Health Policy Plan*, **2016**; 31: 205–216.
12. World Health Organisation. Caring for newborns and children in the community. Geneva: World Health Organization, **2015**.
13. ILO. ILO Working Conditions Laws Database. Geneva, 2011. Available at: <http://www.ilo.org/dyn/travail>. Accessed 5 July 2016.
14. [www.oanda.com](http://www.oanda.com)

15. Bhattacharyya K, Winch P, LeBan K, Tien M. Community Health Worker Incentives and Disincentives: How They Affect Motivation, Retention, and Sustainability. Arlington, Virginia: Basic Support for Institutionalizing Child Survival Project (BASICS II) for the United States Agency for International Development, **2001**.

**Table 1. Characteristics of the community health workers**

Category		BEFORE INTERVENTION				DURING INTERVENTION				Uganda	
		Burkina Faso		Nigeria		Burkina Faso		Nigeria			
		N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%		
Number of community health workers		20		42		36		17		147	
Gender											
	Male	15	75	-	-	27	75	-	-	37	25
	Female	5	25	42	100	9	25	17	100	110	75
Age											
	≤ 20	-	-	-	-	1	3	-	-	-	-
	21-30	9	45	8	19	13	36	1	6	25	17
	31-40	4	20	14	33	10	28	6	36	62	42
	41-50	6	30	10	24	11	30	5	29	42	29
	> 50	1	5	10	24	1	3	5	29	16	11
	Missing	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	1
Marital status											
	Single	1	5	-	-	3	8	-	-	5	3
	Married	19	95	37	88	32	89	13	76	129	88
	Separated	-	-	2	5	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Divorced	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	12	5	3
	Widow	-	-	3	7	1	3	2	12	8	6
Main occupation											
	Only farming	14	70	4	10	32	89	1	6	111	76
	Paid-employed mainly	2	10	6	14	-	-	3	18	11	7
	Self-employed mainly	4	20	32	76	3	8	13	76	22	15
	Housewife/unemployed	-	-	-	-	1	3	-	-	3	2
How long served as a community health worker?											
	< 1 year	4	20	22	52	10	28	-	-	9	6
	≥ 1 year – < 2 years	2	10	2	5	-	-	2	12	15	10
	≥ 2 years – < 3 years	-	-	-	-	4	11	2	12	34	23
	≥ 3 years	14	70	18	43	22	61	13	76	89	61

**Column percentages presented.**

**Table 2. Time allocation for different daily activities during the high malaria season**

Daily activity	BEFORE INTERVENTION						DURING INTERVENTION					
	Burkina (20 CHWs)		Nigeria (41 CHWs)		Total (61 CHWs)		Burkina (33 CHWs)		Nigeria (13 CHWs)		Total (46 CHWs)	
Number of CHW's questionnaires	N=20	%	N=41	%	N=61	%	N=43	%	N=33	%	N=76	%
<b>Healthcare provision</b>												
0 hour	17	85	38	93	55	90	5	12	1	3	6	8
>0-2 hours	1	5	2	5	3	5	30	70	30	91	60	79
>2 hours	2	10	1	2	3	5	8	18	2	6	10	13
Mean time (minutes, SD)	53.00 (160.95)	-	19.02 (101.19)	-	30.16 (123.65)	-	89.77 (63.00)	-	66.06 (36.57)	-	79.47 (54.16)	-
Test for heterogeneity in distributions	-	-	-	-	-	-	p<0.0001	-	p<0.0001	-	p<0.0001	-
Test for difference in means	-	-	-	-	-	-	p=0.3274	-	p=0.0073	-	p=0.0044	-
<b>Family / personal time and housework*</b>												
0 hour	1	5	1	2	2	3	1	2	-	-	1	1
>0-4 hours	12	60	19	46	31	51	18	42	6	18	24	32
>4-8 hours	6	30	19	46	25	41	21	49	14	42	35	46
>8 hours	1	5	2	5	3	5	3	7	13	39	16	21
Mean time (minutes, SD)	218.00 (114.78)	-	260.00 (133.64)	-	246.23 (128.35)	-	247.91 (167.79)	-	427.27 (175.91)	-	325.79 (192.30)	-
Test for heterogeneity in distributions	-	-	-	-	-	-	p=0.4816	-	p=0.0015	-	p=0.0164	-
Test for difference in means	-	-	-	-	-	-	p=0.4123	-	p<0.0001	-	p=0.0044	-
<b>Agriculture</b>												
0 hour	1	5	24	59	25	41	5	12	13	39	18	24
>0-4 hours	2	10	11	27	13	21	9	21	8	24	17	22
>4-8 hours	9	45	5	12	14	23	19	44	11	33	30	39
>8 hours	8	40	1	2	9	15	10	23	1	3	11	14
Mean time (minutes, SD)	420.00 (185.36)	-	99.51 (147.34)	-	204.59 (219.92)	-	323.72 (189.30)	-	169.09 (177.84)	-	256.58 (198.78)	-
Test for heterogeneity in distributions	-	-	-	-	-	-	p=0.4065	-	p=0.1584	-	p=0.1058	-
Test for difference in means	-	-	-	-	-	-	p=0.0612	-	p=0.0753	-	p=0.1536	-
<b>Paid work</b>												
0 hour	20	100	38	93	58	95	42	98	32	97	74	97
>0-4 hours	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	3	1	1
>4-8 hours	-	-	3	7	3	5	1	2	-	-	1	1
Mean time (minutes, SD)	0.00 (0.00)	-	28.78 (104.12)	-	19.34 (86.10)	-	6.05 (39.65)	-	7.27 (41.78)	-	6.58 (40.31)	-



Test for heterogeneity in distributions	-	-	-	-	-	-	p=0.9254	-	p=0.4064	-	p=0.3907	-
Test for difference in means	-	-	-	-	-	-	p=0.3209	-	p=0.2311	-	p=0.2877	-
<b>Self-employment</b>												
0 hour	19	95	15	36	34	56	37	86	26	79	63	83
>0-4 hours	1	5	6	15	7	11	3	7	7	21	10	13
>4-8 hours	-	-	13	32	13	21	2	5	-	-	2	3
>8 hours	-	-	7	17	7	11	1	2	-	-	1	1
Mean time (minutes, SD)	2.00	-	246.83	-	166.56	-	36.74	-	23.03	-	30.79	-
	(8.94)		(231.77)		(221.95)		(107.17)		(47.47)		(86.25)	
Test for heterogeneity in distributions	-	-	-	-	-	-	p=0.8849	-	<b>p=0.0037</b>	-	<b>p=0.0001</b>	-
Test for difference in means	-	-	-	-	-	-	<b>p=0.0388</b>	-	<b>p&lt;0.0001</b>	-	<b>p&lt;0.0001</b>	-
<b>Going to the market</b>												
0 hour	20	100	30	73	50	82	39	91	23	70	62	82
>0-2 hours	-	-	4	10	4	7	1	2	9	27	10	13
>2 hours	-	-	7	17	7	11	3	7	1	3	4	5
Mean time (minutes, SD)	0.00	-	65.37	-	43.93	-	13.95	-	26.67	-	19.47	-
	(0.00)		(141.07)		(119.27)		(49.48)		(46.55)		(48.33)	
Test for heterogeneity in distributions	-	-	-	-	-	-	p=0.6988	-	<b>p=0.0376</b>	-	p=0.2155	-
Test for difference in means							p=0.0692		p=0.1777		p=0.1345	

High malaria season: Burkina Faso: July, August and September; Nigeria: January, May, June, July and August.

Same Community Health Workers (CHWs) contribute to the analysis. Column percentages presented.

\*Includes taking care of their own children, cleaning the house, lighting a fire, cooking, fetching water, washing the clothes/dishes, taking a bath, eating, having lessons, praying/going to church, visiting someone, taking a nap.

**Table 3. Allocation of community health worker's time across categories of daily activities during the intervention period**

Daily activity	DURING INTERVENTION					
	Burkina Faso (36 CHWs)		Nigeria (17 CHWs)		Uganda (147 CHWs)	
Number of CHW's questionnaires	N=72	%	N=70	%	N=467	%
<b>Healthcare provision</b>						
0 hour	31	43	3	4	26	6
>0-2 hours	33	46	61	87	411	88
>2 hours	8	11	6	9	30	6
Mean time (minutes, SD)	55.8 (64.9)	-	77.4 (65.0)	-	72.2 (55.9)	-
<b>Family / personal time and housework*</b>						
0 hour	1	1	-	-	17	4
>0-4 hours	34	47	14	20	101	21
>4-8 hours	31	43	36	51	299	64
>8 hours	6	8	20	29	50	11
Mean time (minutes, SD)	254.4 (162.2)	-	395.1 (166.4)	-	334.9 (141.7)	-
<b>Agriculture</b>						
0 hour	7	10	28	40	35	7
>0-4 hours	15	21	22	32	186	40
>4-8 hours	29	40	17	24	231	50
>8 hours	21	29	3	4	15	3
Mean time (minutes, SD)	345.6 (194.7)	-	156.9 (172.0)	-	257.7 (121.6)	-
<b>Paid work</b>						
0 hour	71	99	66	94	464	99
>0-4 hours	-	-	2	3	1	0
>4 hours	1	1	2	3	2	1
Mean time (minutes, SD)	3.6 (30.6)	-	16.6 (69.5)	-	2.3 (32.1)	-
<b>Self employment</b>						
0 hour	61	85	45	64	411	88
>0-4 hours	4	5	23	33	21	5
>4-8 hours	5	7	2	3	25	5
>8 hours	2	3	0	0	10	2
Mean time (minutes, SD)	48.3 (130.0)	-	44.3 (82.5)	-	39.7 (124.4)	-
<b>Going to the market</b>						
0 hour	67	93	42	60	403	86
>0-2 hours	1	1	27	39	54	12
>2 hours	4	6	1	1	10	2
Mean time (minutes, SD)	10.6 (42.6)	-	29.1 (41.8)	-	13.2 (38.9)	-

Data of all participating community health workers (CHWs) included. Column percentages presented.

\*Includes taking care of their own children, cleaning the house, lighting a fire, cooking, fetching water, washing the clothes/dishes, taking a bath, eating, having lessons, praying/going to church, visiting someone, taking a nap.

**Table 4. Community health worker's time for RDT and treatment, illness severity, RDT results and treatment given**

	DURING INTERVENTION					
	Burkina Faso		Nigeria		Uganda	
	N		N		N	
Number of febrile cases in children under 5 years of age	195		106		465	
Number of community health workers	30		22		147	
<b>Time used for RDTs and treatment (in minutes)</b>	<b>N</b>	<b>Mean (SD)</b>	<b>N</b>	<b>Mean (SD)</b>	<b>N</b>	<b>Mean (SD)</b>
History of the illness	195	7.0 (4.4)	106	5.5 (3.1)	465	8.8 (7.3)
Preparation & taking RDT	195	18.0 (7.2)	106	17.9 (5.5)	465	14.5 (8.1)
Giving results & explanations	195	5.3 (3.7)	106	4.2 (2.2)	465	10.6 (7.3)
Treatment	176	5.8 (3.7)	100	4.7 (1.9)	465	7.5 (5.0)
Referral & follow up advice	181	4.9 (3.2)	2	5.0 (0.0)	373	5.4 (3.8)
<b>TOTAL TIME per RDT and treatment</b>	<b>195</b>	<b>39.9 (13.1)</b>	<b>106</b>	<b>32.1 (7.5)</b>	<b>465</b>	<b>45.7 (15.7)</b>
<b>RDTs results, severity and treatments</b>	<b>N</b>	<b>%</b>	<b>N</b>	<b>%</b>	<b>N</b>	<b>%</b>
<b>Uncomplicated febrile children*</b>						
Malaria +	144	73.9	81	76.4	389	83.7
Malaria -	41	21.0	4	3.8	69	14.8
Not conclusive	0	0.0	1	0.9	0	0.0
<b>Unable to take oral medications</b>						
Malaria +	7	3.6	3	2.8	5	1.1
Malaria -	3	1.5	0	0.0	1	0.2
<b>Missing severity</b>						
Malaria -	0	0.0	17	16.0	1	0.2
<b>Treatment decisions</b>						
ACT treatment provided	149	76.4	82	77.4	396	85.2
Rectal artesunate treatment provided	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	0.2
Rectal artesunate & ACT	0	0.0	1	0.9	0	0.0
Paracetamol + other drugs (recommended to be purchased by patient)	21	10.8	15	14.2	0	0.0
No treatment given, but eventually recommendation to purchase other drugs	25	12.8	2	1.9	64	13.8
Given other treatment: Paracetamol, Antibiotics, septrin, Folic acid, oral rehydration salts (ORS), Amodiaquine (AQ), Sulfadoxine/pyrimethamine (SP)	0	0.0	0	0.0	4	0.8
Missing	0	0.0	6	5.7	0	0.0
<b>Number of children with danger signs seen/treated**</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>2.1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1.9</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0.2</b>

RDT, malaria rapid diagnostic test.

\* Children with no danger signs (difficult/fast breathing, repeated vomiting, unable to eat/drink/suck, pallor, convulsions/chills/rigors, weakness/child not playing/lethargy, anorexia, bulging fontanel, coma/altered consciousness).

\*\* Danger signs mentioned above.