

1 CLINICALLY DIAGNOSING PERTUSSIS-ASSOCIATED COUGH IN ADULTS AND CHILDREN: CHEST
2 GUIDELINE AND EXPERT PANEL REPORT

3 By

4 Abigail Moore, BM, BCh

5 Anthony Harnden, MD

6 Cameron C. Grant, MD

7 Sheena Patel, MPH

8 Richard S. Irwin, MD, Master FCCP

9 On behalf of the CHEST Expert Cough Panel

10
11
12
13
14
15 Affiliations: University of Oxford (AM and AH), University of Auckland (CG), CHEST Organization (SP),
16 University of Massachusetts (RI)

17 Corresponding Author: Abigail Moore, Radcliffe Primary Care Building, Radcliffe Observatory Quarter,
18 Woodstock Road, Oxford, OX2 6GG UK Email: abigail.moore@phc.ox.ac.uk

19 Conflicts of Interest: AM, AH, CG, and SP have none to declare. Although RI is the Editor in Chief of
20 CHEST, the review and all editorial decisions regarding this manuscript were made independently by
21 others.
22

23 **ABSTRACT:**

24 Background: The decision to treat a suspected case of pertussis with antibiotics is usually based on a
25 clinical diagnosis rather than waiting for laboratory confirmation. The current guideline focuses on making
26 the clinical diagnosis of pertussis-associated cough in adults and children.

27 Methods: The American College of Chest Physicians (CHEST) methodologic guidelines and the Grading
28 of Recommendations, Assessment, Development, and Evaluation framework were used. The Expert
29 Cough Panel based their recommendations on findings from a systematic review that was recently
30 published on the topic; final grading was reached by consensus according to Delphi methodology. The
31 systematic review was carried out to answer the Key Clinical Question: *In patients presenting with cough,*
32 *how can we most accurately diagnose from clinical features alone those who have pertussis-associated*
33 *cough as opposed to other causes of cough?*

34 Results: In adults, after pre-specified meta-analysis exclusions, pooled estimates of sensitivity and
35 specificity were generated for only four clinical features: paroxysmal cough, post-tussive vomiting,
36 inspiratory whoop and absence of fever. Both paroxysmal cough and absence of fever had high
37 sensitivity (93.2% [CI, 83.2-97.4] and 81.8% [CI, 72.2-88.7], respectively) and low specificity (20.6% [CI,
38 14.7-28.1] and 18.8% [CI, 8.1-37.9]). Inspiratory whoop and post-tussive vomiting had a low sensitivity
39 (32.5% [CI, 24.5-41.6] and 29.8% [CI, 8.0-45.2]) but high specificity (77.7% [CI, 73.1-81.7] and 79.5% [CI,
40 69.4-86.9]). In children, after pre-specified meta-analysis exclusions, pooled estimates of sensitivity and
41 specificity were generated for only one clinical feature in children (0-18 years); post-tussive vomiting.
42 Post-tussive vomiting in children was only moderately sensitive (60.0% [CI, 40.3-77.0])
43 and specific (66.0% [CI, 52.5-77.3]).

44
45 Conclusions: In adults with acute (< 3 weeks) or subacute (3-8 weeks) cough, the presence of whooping
46 or posttussive vomiting should rule in a possible diagnosis of pertussis, whereas the lack of a paroxysmal
47 cough or the presence of fever should rule it out. In children with acute (< 4 weeks) cough, posttussive
48 vomiting is suggestive of pertussis but is much less helpful as a clinical diagnostic test. Guideline
49 suggestions are made based upon these findings and conclusions.

50
51
52 **ABBREVIATIONS:**

53 CDC = Centers for Disease Control

54 PCR = polymerase chain reaction

55 PHE = Public Health England

56 PIRT = population, index test, reference test, target condition

57 WHO = World Health Organization

58 **SUMMARY OF RECOMMENDATIONS:**

59

60 **1. For adult patients complaining of acute cough (< 3 weeks in duration) or subacute cough (3-8**
61 **weeks), we suggest that clinicians should specifically assess for the 4 key characteristics of**
62 **paroxysmal cough, post-tussive vomiting, inspiratory whooping, and absence of fever in ruling in**
63 **or out a clinical diagnosis of pertussis. (Grade 2C)**

64

65 Remark: Paroxysmal cough is defined as recurrent prolonged coughing episodes (i.e., an expiratory
66 phase with multiple burst of outflow) with an inability to breathe during spells. Post-tussive vomiting is
67 defined as vomiting induced by coughing. Inspiratory whooping is defined as a continuous inspiratory
68 airway sound with a whooping quality to it. Fever is defined as any body temperature above the normal of
69 98.6 ° F (37 ° C).

70

71 **2. For adult patients complaining of acute or sub-acute cough, we suggest that clinicians consider**
72 **that the cough is unlikely to be due to pertussis if the patient has a fever or the cough is not**
73 **paroxysmal in nature. (Grade 2C)**

74

75 **3. For adult patients complaining of acute or subacute cough, we suggest that clinicians consider**
76 **that the cough is likely to be caused by pertussis if there is post-tussive vomiting or is associated**
77 **with an inspiratory whooping sound. (Grade 2C)**

78

79 **4. For children complaining of acute cough (< 4 weeks duration), we suggest that clinicians should**
80 **specifically assess for the 3 classical characteristics of paroxysmal cough, post-tussive vomiting,**
81 **inspiratory whooping. (Ungraded consensus-based statement)**

82

83 **5. For children complaining of acute cough, we suggest that clinicians consider that the cough**
84 **could be caused by pertussis if there is post-tussive vomiting. (Grade 2C)**

85

86 **6. For children complaining of acute cough, we suggest that clinicians consider that the cough**
87 **could be caused by pertussis if there is paroxysmal cough or inspiratory whooping. (Ungraded**
88 **consensus-based statement)**

89 **INTRODUCTION:**

90 Pertussis (whooping cough), caused by *Bordetella pertussis*, is a highly contagious respiratory tract
91 infection that can be associated with significant morbidity and mortality, particularly in young infants.

92
93 Pertussis causes an acute cough that can often become persistent and is classically associated with
94 paroxysms of coughing, inspiratory whooping and post-tussive vomiting. However, clinical judgement
95 also plays an important role in diagnosis. This is reflected in the clinical definitions used by the World
96 Health Organization¹, Centers for Disease Control (CDC)² and Public Health England (PHE)³ (Table 1).

97
98 There are several recognized laboratory methods to confirm a diagnosis of pertussis; culture (100%
99 specific), polymerase chain reaction (PCR) (88-100% specific), serology (72-100% specific)^{4,5} and oral
100 fluid testing (91-99% specific)⁶. These are used variously by the different health organizations (Table 2)¹⁻³.

101
102 The treatment of pertussis has been the subject of a recent Cochrane systematic review⁷. There are
103 several effective antibiotics; these eliminate *B. pertussis* but do not alter the clinical course of the illness.
104 However, treatment should be initiated as soon as possible after onset of illness to prevent spread of the
105 disease.³ The decision to treat with antibiotics is therefore frequently based on a clinical diagnosis rather
106 than waiting for laboratory confirmation.

107 Because reviews of laboratory diagnosis and treatment have recently been published⁷ and diagnosis is
108 usually made clinically, the current guideline focuses on making the clinical diagnosis of pertussis-
109 associated cough in adults and children.

110
111 **MATERIALS AND METHODS:**

112 The methodology of the CHEST Guideline Oversight Committee^{8,9} was used to select the Expert Cough
113 Panel Chair and the international panel of experts to synthesize the evidence and to develop the
114 recommendations and suggestions that are contained within this article. In addition to the quality of the
115 evidence, the recommendation/suggestion grading also includes a strength of recommendation
116 dimension, used for all CHEST Guidelines^{8,9}. In the context of practice recommendations, a grade 1
117 recommendation is a strong recommendation and applies to almost all patients whereas a grade 2
118 recommendation is weak and conditional and only applies to some patients. The strength of
119 recommendation here is based on consideration of three factors: balance of benefits to harms, patient
120 values and preferences, and resource considerations. Harms incorporate risks and burdens to the
121 patients that can include convenience or lack of convenience, difficulty of administration, and
122 invasiveness. These, in turn, impact patient preferences. The resource considerations go beyond
123 economics and should also factor in time and other indirect costs. The authors of these recommendations

124 or suggestions have considered these parameters in determining the strength of the recommendations or
125 suggestions and associated grades.

126
127 The findings of a systematic review and meta-analysis that was carried out to be the basis of this
128 guideline and has recently been published¹⁰ were used to support the evidence graded recommendations
129 or suggestions. The first, second, and third authors of this current guideline article were among the
130 authors of the systematic review and meta-analysis. The process of review of previous studies identified
131 in the systematic review included assessment using the QUADAS-2 tool in the domains of patient
132 selection, index tests, reference standard, and flow and timing.¹¹ When the quality of studies included in
133 the systematic review¹⁰ were checked using the DART tool¹², similar quality results were found. A highly
134 structured consensus-based Delphi approach was employed to provide expert advice on all guidance
135 statements. The total number of eligible voters for each guidance statement did not vary because none
136 were recused from voting on any particular statements because of any potential conflicts of interest. A lay
137 person representing the interests of patients participated in the process and voting. Transparency of
138 process was documented. Further details of the methods related to conflicts of interests and transparency
139 for all CHEST guidelines have been published elsewhere^{8,9}.

140
141 Based on the systematic review and meta-analysis¹⁰ and the Delphi methodology described, the writing
142 group developed guideline recommendations or suggestions. These then underwent review and
143 consensus agreement through an online anonymous voting survey by the full cough panel. For a
144 recommendation or suggestion to be accepted, it had to be voted upon by 75% of the eligible Cough
145 Panelists and achieve ratings of strongly agree or agree by 80% of the voting panelists. Agreement was
146 achieved by 87.24 to 95.75% of those voting on the current recommendations or suggestions. No panelist
147 was excluded from voting.

148
149 Because a paroxysmal cough figures heavily in making a clinical diagnosis of a pertussis-associated
150 cough, we have defined it as recurrent prolonged coughing episodes (i.e., an expiratory phase with
151 multiple burst of outflow) with an inability to breathe during spells.

152

153

154 **RESULTS:**

155 The recommendations that follow are based upon the recently published high quality systematic review¹⁰
156 that included a comprehensive search of multiple databases restricted to the English language. The
157 systematic review followed all the standards of the National Academy of Medicine (previously referred to
158 as the Institute of Medicine)¹³. After generating the key clinical question for the systematic review,
159 population, index test, reference test, target condition (PIRT) elements were derived to inform the
160 literature review (Table 3).¹⁰ The authors of the review systematically searched the following databases:

161 CINAHL (EBSCoost from 1982 to 2016, Embase (OvidSP from 1974 to 2016, Medline & Medline In-
162 Process (OvidSP from 1946 to 2016, and SCI-EXPANDED/CPCI-S (Web of Science Core Collection from
163 1945 to 2016. The search strategy combined MeSH headings with free text search terms for whooping
164 cough and clinical symptoms. The search was supplemented by review of reference lists of included
165 articles and relevant review articles as well as by contacting authors of studies to request additional
166 relevant data where it was apparent that it was likely to have been collected but not published. The full
167 search strategy can be found in e-Appendix 1 of the systematic review.¹⁰

168
169 After the initial screening of articles, full text review, data extraction and quality assessment, 53 articles
170 were identified for descriptive analysis and meta-analysis¹⁰. These articles included 23,796 subjects, of
171 whom 4,149 (17.4%) had a laboratory diagnosis of pertussis. Thirty-six of the 53 articles had a
172 prospective design, 12 were retrospective, and 5 were case-control. From these 53 studies, 41 clinical
173 characteristics (i.e., index tests) were assessed for diagnostic accuracy including 9 cough characteristics
174 as well as other clinical and demographic features (Table 4).¹⁰ After excluding from the meta-analysis
175 studies at high risk of bias (28 studies), pooled estimates of sensitivity and specificity were generated by
176 meta-analysis (Table 5).¹⁰

177 178 179 **Evidence and Recommendations.**

180
181 *Key clinical question: In patients presenting with cough, how can we most accurately diagnose from*
182 *clinical features alone those who have pertussis-associated cough as opposed to other causes of cough?*

183
184 Summary of the Evidence in Adults and Interpretation: After pre-specified meta-analysis exclusions,
185 pooled estimates of sensitivity and specificity were generated for only 4 clinical features in adult patients:
186 paroxysmal cough, post-tussive vomiting, inspiratory whoop and absence of fever. Both paroxysmal
187 cough and absence of fever had high sensitivity and low specificity (Table 5, e-Table 2).¹⁰ This means
188 that a patient without these features is unlikely to have a diagnosis of pertussis (few false negatives).
189 Inspiratory whoop and post-tussive vomiting had a low sensitivity but high specificity (Table 5, e-Table
190 2).¹⁰ This means that a diagnosis of pertussis should be considered in a patient with these features (few
191 false positives).

192
193 **1. For adult patients complaining of acute cough (< 3 weeks in duration) or subacute cough (3-**
194 **8 weeks), we suggest that clinicians should specifically assess for the 4 key characteristics of**
195 **paroxysmal cough, post-tussive vomiting, inspiratory whooping, and absence of fever in**
196 **ruling in or out a clinical diagnosis of pertussis. (Grade 2C)**

197

198 Remark: Paroxysmal cough is defined as recurrent prolonged coughing episodes (i.e., an expiratory
199 phase with multiple burst of outflow) with an inability to breathe during spells. Post-tussive vomiting is
200 defined as vomiting induced by coughing. Inspiratory whooping is defined as a continuous inspiratory
201 airway sound with a whooping quality to it. Fever is defined as any body temperature above the normal of
202 98.6 ° F (37 ° C).

203
204
205
206
207

208 **2. For adult patients complaining of acute or sub-acute cough, we suggest that clinicians**
209 **consider that the cough is unlikely to be due to pertussis if the patient has a fever or the**
210 **cough is not paroxysmal in nature. (Grade 2C)**

211
212
213
214
215

3. For adult patients complaining of acute or subacute cough, we suggest that clinicians
consider that the cough is likely to be caused by pertussis if there is post-tussive vomiting or
is associated with an inspiratory whooping sound. (Grade 2C)

216 Summary of the Evidence in Children and Interpretation: After pre-specified meta-analysis exclusions,
217 pooled estimates of sensitivity and specificity were generated for only one clinical feature in children:
218 post-tussive vomiting. Post-tussive vomiting in children (ages 0 - 18) was only moderately sensitive and
219 specific (Table 5, e-Table 2).¹⁰

220
221

4. For children complaining of acute cough (< 4 weeks in duration), we suggest that
clinicians should specifically assess for the 3 classical characteristics of paroxysmal
cough, post-tussive vomiting, inspiratory whooping. (Ungraded consensus-based statement)

222
223
224
225
226
227
228

5. For children complaining of acute cough, we suggest that clinicians consider that the
cough could be caused by pertussis if there is post-tussive vomiting. (Grade 2C)

229 **6. For children complaining of acute cough, we suggest that clinicians consider that the**
230 **cough could be caused by pertussis if there is paroxysmal cough or inspiratory whooping.**
231 (Ungraded consensus-based statement)

232
233

DISCUSSION:

234 The systematic review used to form the basis of this guideline is the largest on this topic to date. The
235 broad inclusion criteria were designed to capture the full spectrum of pertussis presentation, but meant
236 that there was significant variation in included study characteristics – including different study designs
237 (case-control and retrospective/prospective cohort), those in specialist populations (e.g., outbreaks) and
238 used different reference standards. While the systematic review was done according to rigorous methods,
239 it did have limitations. For example, while assessment of quality meant that those at resultant high risk of
240 bias were excluded from meta-analysis, heterogeneity in the remaining studies meant that only 4
241 characteristics could be analyzed in this way. Because the review excluded non-English studies,
242 potentially relevant studies may have been missed. In addition, the systematic review was published in
243 2017 and it was based upon a literature search that was last updated in June of 2016, and studies
244 published since this time have not been taken into account. Although data were analyzed separately for
245 adults and children, it is important to note that the “children” category includes studies with both older
246 children (up to 18) and young infants who may also have very different presentations of pertussis.

247 A second systematic review has been written on this topic within the last year.¹⁴ Compared to the
248 systematic review used to compile this guideline, Ebell et al used a more restrictive search strategy in
249 Medline only and included only prospective cohort studies. Eight unique references were included
250 compared to the systematic review used for this guideline. However, these references were excluded
251 from our systematic review for the following reasons: 4 had no comparison group, 2 compared pertussis
252 to parapertussis, 1 had no clinical information and 1 was not in English. In Ebell et al, meta-analysis was
253 done for all index tests with no comment on heterogeneity, and index tests were only analyzed separately
254 in adults and children for paroxysmal cough, whooping cough and post-tussive vomiting. For these
255 reasons, it was felt that the findings of Ebell, et al should not be taken into account in compiling this
256 guideline.

257

258 The existing clinical criteria in use by multiple health agencies (Table 1)¹⁻³, contain the index tests shown
259 in the meta-analysis to be useful in the diagnosis of pertussis and recommended/suggested by this
260 guideline. The presence of whooping or posttussive vomiting is common to the CDC, PHE, and WHO
261 clinical criteria, whereas paroxysms of coughing is included by just the CDC and WHO. Apnea and
262 cyanosis are mentioned in relation to infants aged < 1 year in the CDC criteria and were shown in forest
263 plots in the systematic review ([e-Appendix 2](#))¹⁰ to be moderately sensitive and specific in children.

264

265 **AREAS FOR FUTURE RESEARCH:**

266 To advance the field, a number of research endeavors to address the gaps in knowledge should be
267 undertaken. These include conducting further large prospective studies in primary care of patients
268 presenting with acute or subacute cough – particularly in infants and children. To improve on the
269 problems in study design identified by the systematic review, the following would be needed: detailed

270 epidemiological/baseline characteristics of included patients, time since symptom onset recorded rather
271 than acting as inclusion criteria, clear definitions of clinical characteristics recorded, characteristics
272 recorded at presentation and ideally subsequently in a symptom diary. It would also be helpful to assess
273 clinical judgement as part of this. Individual patient analysis would help assess the diagnostic utility of
274 different symptoms in combination.

275

276 **CONCLUSIONS:**

277 Cough due to pertussis in adults and children has been the sole focus in this update, compared with one
278 of many causes of postinfectious cough in the 2006 CHEST Cough Guidelines.¹⁵ This guideline focuses
279 on how to make the clinical diagnosis of pertussis because this is how the decision to treat with antibiotics
280 is usually made. This guideline is based upon a high quality systematic review and it identifies gaps in our
281 knowledge and areas for future research; we therefore believe it advances the field.

282

283

284 **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS:**

285 Author contributions. AM, AH, and CCG were the authors of the original systematic review and meta-
286 analysis upon which this guideline is based. AM, AH, CCG, SP, and RSI were part of the writing group
287 that developed the key clinical question, wrote and reviewed the manuscript, and take responsibility for
288 the accuracy of the information contained within.

289
290 Financial/non-financial disclosures. None of the authors have any conflicts of interest to disclose. While
291 RSI is the Editor in Chief of *CHEST*, the entire review process of the manuscript and final decision to
292 publish were made by others without RSI's knowledge.

293
294 Collaborators. Collaborators: Kenneth W. Altman, MD, PhD (Baylor College of Medicine, Houston, TX),
295 Elie Azoulay, MD, PhD (University of Paris, Paris, France), Alan F. Barker, MD (Oregon Health & Science
296 University, Portland, OR), Donald C. Bolser, PhD (College of Veterinary Medicine, University of Florida,
297 Gainesville, FL), Surinder S. Birring, MBChB, MD (Division of Asthma, Allergy and Lung Biology, King's
298 College London, Denmark Hill, London, United Kingdom), Department of Medical Oncology, Manchester,
299 England), [IUCPQ], QC, Canada), Sidney S. Braman, MD, FCCP (Mount Sinai Hospital, New York, NY),
300 Christopher Brightling, MBBS, PhD, FCCP (University of Leicester, Glenfield Hospital, Leicester, United
301 Kingdom), Priscilla Callahan-Lyon, MD (Adamstown, MD), Anne B. Chang, MBBS, PhD, MPH (Royal
302 Children's Hospital, Queensland, Australia), Terrie Cowley (The TMJ Association, Milwaukee, WI), Paul
303 Davenport, PhD (Department of Physiological Sciences, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL), Ali A. El
304 Solh, MD, MPH (University at Buffalo, State University of New York, Buffalo, NY), Patricio Escalante, MD,
305 MSc, FCCP (Mayo Clinic, Rochester, MN), Stephen K. Field, MD (University of Calgary, Calgary, AB,
306 Canada), Dina Fisher, MD, MSc (University of Calgary, Respiratory Medicine, Calgary, AB, Canada),
307 Cynthia T. French, PhD, FCCP (UMass Memorial Medical Center, Worcester, MA), Cameron Grant, MB
308 ChB, PhD (University of Auckland, New Zealand), Peter Gibson, MBBS (Hunter Medical Research
309 Institute, New South Wales, Australia), Philip Gold, MD, MACP, FCCP (Loma Linda University, Loma
310 Linda, CA), Susan M. Harding, MD, FCCP, (Division of Pulmonary, Allergy and Critical Care Medicine,
311 University of Alabama at Birmingham, Birmingham, AL), Anthony Harnden, MB ChB, MSc (University of
312 Oxford, Oxford, England), Adam T. Hill, MB ChB, MD (Royal Infirmary and University of Edinburgh,
313 Edinburgh, Scotland), Richard S. Irwin, MD, Master FCCP (UMass Memorial Medical Center, Worcester,
314 MA), Peter J. Kahrilas, MD (Feinberg School of Medicine, Northwestern University, Chicago, IL), Joanne
315 Kavanagh, MBChB, (Division of Asthma, Allergy and Lung Biology, King's College London, Denmark Hill,
316 London, United Kingdom), Karina A. Keogh, MD (Mayo Clinic, Rochester, MN), Kefang Lai, MD, PhD
317 (First Affiliated Hospital of Guangzhou Medical College, Guangzhou, China), Andrew P. Lane, MD (Johns
318 Hopkins University School of Medicine, Baltimore, MD), Kaiser Lim, MD (Mayo Clinic, Rochester, MN),
319 Mark Lown MBBS, PhD, MRCGP, (University of Southampton, Southampton, United Kingdom), J.
320 Mark Madison, MD, FCCP, (UMass Memorial Medical Center, Worcester, MA), Mark A. Malesker,

321 PharmD, FCCP (Creighton University School of Pharmacy and Health Professions, Omaha, NE), Stuart
322 Mazzone, PhD, FCCP (University of Melbourne, Victoria, Australia), Lorcan Mc Garvey, MD (The Queens
323 University Belfast, Belfast, United Kingdom), Alex Molasoitis, PhD, MSc, RN (Hong Kong Polytechnic
324 University, Hong Kong, China), Abigail Moore, BM BCh, (University of Oxford, Oxford, England), M.
325 Hassan Murad, MD, MPH (Mayo Clinic, Rochester, MN), Mangala Narasimhan, DO, FCCP (Hofstra-
326 Northwell Health, Manhasset, NY), Peter Newcombe, PhD (School of Psychology University of
327 Queensland, Queensland, Australia), Huong Q. Nguyen, PhD, RN (Kaiser Permanente, Pasadena, CA),
328 John Oppenheimer, MD (UMDNJ-Rutgers University), Mark Rosen, MD, Master FCCP (Icahn School of
329 Medicine at Mount Sinai, New York, NY), Bruce Rubin, MEngr, MD, MBA (Virginia Commonwealth
330 University, Richmond, VA), Richard J. Russell, MBBS, (University of Leicester, Glenfield Hospital,
331 Leicester, United Kingdom), Jay H. Ryu, MD, FCCP (Mayo Clinic, Rochester, MN), Sonal Singh, MD,
332 MPH (UMass Memorial Medical Center, Worcester, MA), Jaclyn Smith, MB ChB, PhD (University of
333 Manchester, Manchester, England), Maeve P. Smith, MB ChB, MD (University of Alberta, Edmonton, AB,
334 Canada), Susan M. Tarlo, MBBS, FCCP (Toronto Western Hospital, Toronto, ON, Canada), Anne E.
335 Vertigan, PhD, MBA, BAppSc (SpPath) (John Hunter Hospital, New South Wales, Australia),
336 Miles Weinberger, MD, FCCP (University of Iowa Hospitals and Clinics, Iowa City, IA).

337

338

339

340

341

342

343 **Table 1. Clinical case criteria:**

	Clinical judgement	Cough > 2 weeks	Inspiratory whooping	Post-tussive vomiting	Paroxysms of coughing	Apnoea	Epidemiological contact
WHO ¹	A case diagnosed as pertussis by a physician OR	A person with a cough lasting at least two weeks with at least one of the following symptoms:	Inspiratory whooping OR	Post-tussive vomiting (i.e. vomiting immediately after coughing) without other apparent cause	Paroxysms (i.e. fits) of coughing		
CDC ²	In the absence of a more likely diagnosis	A cough illness lasting ≥ 2 weeks with one of the following symptoms:	Inspiratory whooping OR	Post-tussive vomiting OR	Paroxysms of coughing	Apnoea (with or without cyanosis) for infants <1 year only	
PHE ³	Without an apparent cause	Acute cough lasting for 14 days or more plus one or more of the following:	Inspiratory whooping OR	Post-tussive vomiting OR	Paroxysms of coughing	Undiagnosed apnoeic attacks in young infants OR	Someone with signs and symptoms consistent with pertussis who has been in contact with a confirmed case in the previous 21 days OR someone who is known to be part of any ongoing outbreak investigation in a specific group of people

344

345 **Table 2. Recognized laboratory methods to confirm a case.**

	Culture	Polymerase chain reaction	Serology	Oral fluid testing for anti-pertussis toxin IgG
WHO ¹	X	X	X	
CDC ²	X	X		
PHE ³	X	X	X	X

346

347

348

349

350

351 **Table 3. Population, index test, reference test, target condition (PIRT) elements derived to inform**
 352 **the literature review.¹⁰**

Population	Index Test	Reference Test	Target Condition
People of any age, gender, ethnicity and nationality attending either primary or secondary care settings with cough	Any presenting clinical characteristic of pertussis-associated cough	Laboratory diagnostic tests for pertussis, including culture, PCR and serology	B. pertussis

353

354

355 **Table 4. Index tests¹⁰**

356 Clinical characteristics, examination findings and patient demographics, and number of studies in which
 357 these were recorded

358

	Index test	Number of studies
Cough characteristic	Paroxysmal cough	36
	Post-tussive vomiting	36
	Whooping cough	28
	Worse at night	16
	Productive cough	12
	Wheeze	12
	Any cough	7
	Cough duration	6
	Stridor	3
Other respiratory symptoms/findings	Apnoea	21
	Cyanosis	16
	Rhinorrhoea	10
	Shortness of breath	9
	URTI symptoms	6
	Respiratory distress/hypoxia	5
	Chest crackles	5
	Sore throat	5
	Sneezing	4
	Sinus pain	3

	Hoarseness	2
	Post-tussive gagging	2
Other clinical features	Fever	28
	Headache	5
	Chest pain	5
	Feeding difficulties	4
	Lymphocytosis	4
	Facial discoloration	3
	Myalgia	3
	Conjunctival changes	3
	White blood cell count	3
	Fatigue	2
	Sweating	2
	Seizure	2
	Post-tussive syncope	2
	Clinical judgement	Meets CDC/WHO clinical definition
Clinical suspicion		2
Patient demographics	Vaccinated	19
	Exposure to contact	16
	Co-morbidity	6
	Smoking	5
	Previous whooping cough	4

359

360

361

362 **Table 5. Meta-analysis¹⁰**
 363 Pooled estimates of sensitivity and specificity
 364

Clinical feature on which meta- analysis performed	Age category	Number of studies	Sensitivity % (95% CI)	Specificity % (95% CI)	Positive likelihood ratio (95% CI)	Negative likelihood ratio (95% CI)
Paroxysmal cough ¹⁶⁻²²	Adults	7	93.2 (83.2-97.4)	20.6 (14.7-28.1)	1.17 (1.10-1.25)	0.33 (0.15-0.71)
Post-tussive vomiting ¹⁶⁻²³	Adults	8	32.5 (24.5-41.6)	77.7 (73.1-81.7)	1.45 (1.19-1.79)	0.87 (0.79-0.96)
Inspiratory whoop ^{16-21,24}	Adults	7	29.8 (18.0-45.2)	79.5 (69.4-86.9)	1.46 (1.07-1.97)	0.88 (0.77-1.00)
Absence of fever ^{16-18,22,25}	Adults	5	81.8 (72.2-88.7)	18.8 (8.1-37.9)	1.01 (0.86-1.18)	0.97 (0.49-1.90)
Post-tussive vomiting ²⁶⁻³¹	Children	6	60.0 (40.3-77.0)	66.0 (52.5-77.3)	1.76 (1.26-2.48)	0.61 (0.40-0.91)

365
 366
 367
 368

369 REFERENCES:
370

- 371 1. Organisation WH. WHO-recommended surveillance standard of pertussis.
372 http://www.who.int/immunization/monitoring_surveillance/burden/vpd/surveillance_type
373 [/passive/pertussis_standards/en/](http://www.who.int/immunization/monitoring_surveillance/burden/vpd/surveillance_type/passive/pertussis_standards/en/). Accessed 15/1/17.
- 374 2. Prevention CfDCa. Pertussis/Whooping Cough (Bordetella pertussis) 2014 Case
375 Definition. <https://wwwn.cdc.gov/nndss/conditions/pertussis/case-definition/2014/>.
376 Accessed 9/2/18.
- 377 3. England PH. Pertussis factsheet for healthcare professionals. 2016;
378 https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/562472/H
379 [CW_Factsheet_Pertussis.pdf](https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/562472/H/CW_Factsheet_Pertussis.pdf). Accessed 21/2/18, 2018.
- 380 4. Wendelboe AM, Van Rie A. Diagnosis of pertussis: a historical review and recent
381 developments. *Expert Review of Molecular Diagnostics*. 2006;6(6):857-864.
- 382 5. Prevention CfDCa. Diagnosis Confirmation. 2017;
383 <https://www.cdc.gov/pertussis/clinical/diagnostic-testing/diagnosis-confirmation.html>.
384 Accessed 22/1/18, 2018.
- 385 6. Litt DJ, Samuel D, Duncan J, Harnden A, George RC, Harrison TG. Detection of anti-
386 pertussis toxin IgG in oral fluids for use in diagnosis and surveillance of Bordetella
387 pertussis infection in children and young adults. *Journal of Medical Microbiology*.
388 2006;55(Pt 9):1223-1228.
- 389 7. Altunaiji S, Kukuruzovic R, Curtis N, Massie J. Antibiotics for whooping cough
390 (pertussis). *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*. 2007(3):CD004404.
- 391 8. Lewis SZ, Diekemper R, Ornelas J, Casey KR. Methodologies for the development of
392 CHEST guidelines and expert panel reports. *Chest*. 2014;146(1):182-192.
- 393 9. Lewis SZ, Diekemper RL, French CT, Gold PM, Irwin RS, Panel CEC. Methodologies
394 for the development of the management of cough: CHEST guideline and expert panel
395 report. *Chest*. 2014;146(5):1395-1402.
- 396 10. Moore A, Ashdown HF, Shinkins B, et al. Clinical Characteristics of Pertussis-
397 Associated Cough in Adults and Children: A Diagnostic Systematic Review and Meta-
398 Analysis. *Chest*. 2017;152(2):353-367.
- 399 11. Whiting PF, Rutjes AW, Westwood ME, et al. QUADAS-2: a revised tool for the quality
400 assessment of diagnostic accuracy studies. *Ann Intern Med*. 2011;155(8):529-536.
- 401 12. Diekemper R IB, Merz L. Development of the Documentation and Appraisal Review
402 Tool for systematic reviews. Vol 3. *World J Meta-Anal* 2015:142-150.
- 403 13. Eden J, LBerg, AMorton, S. Institute of Medicine Committee on Standards for
404 Systematic Reviews of Comparative effectiveness Reviews. *Finding what works in*
405 *health care: Standards for Systematic Reviews.*: National Academies Press (US)
406 Copyright 2011 by the National Academy of Sciences; 2011.
- 407 14. Ebell MH, Marchello C, Callahan M. Clinical Diagnosis of Bordetella Pertussis
408 Infection: A Systematic Review. *J Am Board Fam Med*. 2017;30(3):308-319.
- 409 15. Braman SS. Postinfectious cough: ACCP evidence-based clinical practice guidelines.
410 *Chest*. 2006;129(1 Suppl):138S-146S.
- 411 16. Craig AS, Wright SW, Edwards KM, et al. Outbreak of pertussis on a college campus.
412 *The American journal of medicine*. 2007;120(4):364-368.
- 413 17. Miyashita N, Akaike H, Teranishi H, et al. Diagnostic value of symptoms and laboratory
414 data for pertussis in adolescent and adult patients. *BMC infectious diseases*. 2013;13:129.

- 415 18. Park S, Lee SH, Seo KH, et al. Epidemiological aspects of pertussis among adults and
416 adolescents in a Korean outpatient setting: a multicenter, PCR-based study. *Journal of*
417 *Korean medical science*. 2014;29(9):1232-1239.
- 418 19. Park WB, Park SW, Kim HB, Kim EC, Oh M, Choe KW. Pertussis in adults with
419 persistent cough in South Korea. *European journal of clinical microbiology & infectious*
420 *diseases : official publication of the European Society of Clinical Microbiology*.
421 2005;24(2):156-158.
- 422 20. Rosenthal S, Strebel P, Cassiday P, Sanden G, Brusuelas K, Wharton M. Pertussis
423 infection among adults during the 1993 outbreak in Chicago. *The Journal of infectious*
424 *diseases*. 1995;171(6):1650-1652.
- 425 21. Strebel P, Nordin J, Edwards K, et al. Population-based incidence of pertussis among
426 adolescents and adults, Minnesota, 1995-1996. *The Journal of infectious diseases*.
427 2001;183(9):1353-1359.
- 428 22. Wright SW, Edwards KM, Decker MD, Zeldin MH. Pertussis infection in adults with
429 persistent cough. *Jama*. 1995;273(13):1044-1046.
- 430 23. Bock JM, Burtis CC, Poetker DM, Blumin JH, Frank MO. Serum immunoglobulin G
431 analysis to establish a delayed diagnosis of chronic cough due to Bordetella pertussis.
432 *Otolaryngology--head and neck surgery : official journal of American Academy of*
433 *Otolaryngology-Head and Neck Surgery*. 2012;146(1):63-67.
- 434 24. Siriyakorn N, Leethong P, Tantawichien T, et al. Adult pertussis is unrecognized public
435 health problem in Thailand. *BMC infectious diseases*. 2016;16:25.
- 436 25. Teepe J, Broekhuizen BD, Ieven M, et al. Prevalence, diagnosis, and disease course of
437 pertussis in adults with acute cough: a prospective, observational study in primary care.
438 *The British journal of general practice : the journal of the Royal College of General*
439 *Practitioners*. 2015;65(639):e662-667.
- 440 26. Cengiz AB, Yildirim I, Ceyhan M, Secmeer G, Gur D, Kara A. Comparison of
441 nasopharyngeal culture, polymerase chain reaction (PCR) and serological test for
442 diagnosis of pertussis. *The Turkish journal of pediatrics*. 2009;51(4):309-316.
- 443 27. Ghanaie RM, Karimi A, Sadeghi H, et al. Sensitivity and specificity of the World Health
444 Organization pertussis clinical case definition. *International journal of infectious diseases*
445 *: IJID : official publication of the International Society for Infectious Diseases*.
446 2010;14(12):e1072-1075.
- 447 28. Harnden A, Grant C, Harrison T, et al. Whooping cough in school age children with
448 persistent cough: prospective cohort study in primary care. *BMJ (Clinical research ed.)*.
449 2006;333(7560):174-177.
- 450 29. Kayina V, Kyobe S, Katabazi FA, et al. Pertussis prevalence and its determinants among
451 children with persistent cough in urban Uganda. *PloS one*. 2015;10(4):e0123240.
- 452 30. Strebel PM, Cochi SL, Farizo KM, Payne BJ, Hanauer SD, Baughman AL. Pertussis in
453 Missouri: evaluation of nasopharyngeal culture, direct fluorescent antibody testing, and
454 clinical case definitions in the diagnosis of pertussis. *Clinical infectious diseases : an*
455 *official publication of the Infectious Diseases Society of America*. 1993;16(2):276-285.
- 456 31. Wymann MN, Richard JL, Vidondo B, Heininger U. Prospective pertussis surveillance in
457 Switzerland, 1991-2006. *Vaccine*. 2011;29(11):2058-2065.
- 458