

Title

A critical appraisal of tools for delivery room assessment of the newborn infant'

Running title

Delivery room neonatal assessment

Authors

Mara Niemuth¹, Helmut Küster², Burkhard Simma³, Henry Rozycki⁴, Mario Rüdiger^{1,5};
European Society for Paediatric Research (ESPR) Neonatal Resuscitation Section Writing
Group; Anne Lee Solevåg⁶

¹Department for Neonatology and Pediatric Intensive Care, Clinic for Pediatric and Adolescence Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, Technische Universität Dresden, Dresden, Germany

²Clinic for Pediatric Cardiology, Intensive Care and Neonatology, University Medical Center Göttingen, Germany

³Department of Paediatrics, Academic Teaching Hospital, Landeskrankenhaus Feldkirch, Feldkirch, Austria

⁴Division of Neonatal Medicine, Children's Hospital of Richmond, Virginia Commonwealth University, Richmond, Virginia, USA

⁵Saxony Center for Feto-Neonatal Health, Faculty of Medicine, Technische Universität Dresden, Dresden, Germany

⁶The Department of Paediatric and Adolescent Medicine, Oslo University Hospital, Oslo, Norway

Corresponding author

Anne Lee Solevåg (MD), The Department of Paediatric and Adolescent Medicine, Oslo University Hospital, P.O. Box 4950 Nydalen, 0424 Oslo, Norway

Telephone: +47 41469314, E-mail: a.l.solevag@medisin.uio.no

Conflict of interest statement

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest

Author contribution statement

Mara Niemuth drafted the initial version of the manuscript and all authors participated in the further writing and critical revision of the manuscript for important intellectual content. All authors approved the final manuscript as submitted and agree to be accountable for all aspects of the work.

Keywords: Apgar score; Neonatology; Delivery Room; Resuscitation

Word count: 4126

Word count abstract: 162

ABSTRACT

Assessment of an infant's condition in the delivery room represents a prerequisite to adequately initiate medical support. In her seminal paper, Virginia Apgar described five parameters to be used for such an assessment. However, since that time maternal and neonatal care has changed; interventions were improved and infants are even more premature. Nevertheless, the Apgar score is assigned to infants worldwide but there are concerns about low interobserver reliability, especially in preterm infants. Also, resuscitative interventions may preclude the interpretation of the score, which is of concern when used as an outcome parameter in delivery room intervention studies.

Within the context of these changes, we performed a critical appraisal on how to assess postnatal condition of the newborn including the clinical parameters of the Apgar score, as well as selected additional parameters and a proposed new scoring system. The development of a new scoring system that guide clinicians in assessing infants and help to decide how to support postnatal adaptation is discussed.

Introduction

Transition from fetal to neonatal condition is the most complex physiologic adaptation in life as it is associated with rapid cardiorespiratory, hemodynamic, and metabolic changes.^{1,2} Whereas the majority of newborns adapt without significant problems, up to 15 % of all newborns need some medical support during their transitional period. To define infants needing support, Virginia Apgar developed a scoring system to assess postnatal condition by converting simple clinical observations into numerical data. The system included the following parameters: Skin color, heart rate (HR), reflex irritability, muscle tone and respiration.³

Almost 70 years after Apgar's seminal paper, the European Resuscitation Council (ERC) states that, in particular HR, muscle tone and respiration "may help identify infants likely to need resuscitation."⁴ However, whereas widely used, there are major concerns regarding the reliability of these and other Apgar score parameters.^{5,6} Particularly challenging is the clinical assessment in infants born prematurely or receiving medical interventions.⁷

We propose that, in order to further improve the assessment of a newborn's postnatal condition in the delivery room, contemporary obstetrical practices and advances in neonatology should be taken into account. Although alternatives to assess clinical condition of the newborn have been suggested,⁷ the validity and interobserver reliability/reproducibility of these modifications to the conventional Apgar score are still unknown. This paper aims to critically appraise the components of the Apgar score, as well as other currently used parameters including those of recently published adaptations to the conventional Apgar score.

Individual parameters of the conventional Apgar score

Skin color

Apgar assigned for skin color a score of two only "...when the entire child was pink". The clinical definition of being blue or purple involves examination of the face, trunk, fingers and mucous membranes. Apgar also said "...the inherited pigmentation of the skin of colored children" interfered with this sign and "this is by far the most unsatisfactory sign and caused the most discussion among the observers".³

Physiological considerations: Deoxygenated hemoglobin absorbs light in the red-orange spectrum and reflects blue light. Thus, deoxygenated blood gives a blue appearance to the skin and mucous membranes called cyanosis. Lundsgaard⁸ described cyanosis originally as deoxygenated hemoglobin ≥ 5 g/dL. More recent studies indicate that visible cyanosis occurs already with 3 and 4-5 g/dL of deoxyhemoglobin in arteries and capillaries, respectively.⁹ As these values are absolute numbers, cyanosis is more prominent in polycythemic vs. anemic patients. 'Peripheral cyanosis', also called acrocyanosis is seen periorally and on the fingers and toes, and is caused by reduced circulation due to, for example, constricted small vessels. As Apgar stated, many children persist in having cyanotic hands and feet for several minutes in spite of excellent ventilation. "A score of two should only be given when the entire child is pink".³

Clinical considerations: Clinical assessment of color is not easy as the color reflected from the infant's skin depends on the color and intensity of the light illuminating the infant. A room with green window curtains will result in an apparently different skin color than the same room with pink curtains. As a result, color is an unreliable parameter to estimate oxygenation and contributes to a high interobserver variability in the Apgar score.⁵ O'Donnell et al¹⁰ demonstrated not only disagreement about whether a newborn was judged to be pink, but also a wide variation in SpO₂ in infants considered to be pink.

A prospective observational study of healthy term infants investigated visual assessment of the tongue and simultaneous SpO₂ measurements. If the tongue was pink, infants were likely to have SpO₂ >70 % and did not require supplemental oxygen.¹¹ However, ERC states that oxygenation is better assessed using pulse oximetry.⁴

Predictive value: As skin color is not reliable for judging oxygenation, it is a poor predictor of outcome. Recommendations have been made that after birth color should change to pink within 30 seconds of effective breathing.^{4,12} At 5 min of age SpO₂ should be >80%¹³ because there is evidence of poorer outcomes in very preterm infants where this is not achieved.¹⁴ The ERC does not mention skin color assessment as a means to identify infants at risk and in need for resuscitation.⁴

Interference with the parameter: Skin color is mainly affected by oxygenation, but also skin thickness, pigmentation,¹⁵ perfusion, blood hemoglobin concentration and environmental

factors such as light color and intensity.¹⁶ Oxygenation is affected by mode of delivery^{13,17,18} and sex.¹⁹

Interventions affecting the parameter: Oxygenation and thereby skin color is affected by oxygen administration and other measures to support ventilation and perfusion as well as by changes in light color and intensity.

Heart Rate

“...a heart rate of 100–140 was considered good and given a score of two, a rate of under 100 received a score of one, and if no heart beat could be seen, felt or heard the score was zero (...).³

Physiological considerations: During fetal development, blood circulation begins with the first heartbeats occurring approximately on day 22 of gestation. The ability to adjust fetal cardiac output, defined as HR x stroke volume, is mainly limited to changes in HR: Fetal tachycardia is an indicator of fetal distress and increases cardiac output. Fetal bradycardia indicates acute fetal hypoxia and decreases cardiac output²⁰ to reduce fetal oxygen consumption.²¹ Cardiovascular transition is closely linked to the establishment of lung aeration and pulmonary gas exchange,²² and bradycardia after birth is also considered to occur due to vagal stimuli, especially if umbilical cord clamping is performed before aeration of the lungs.^{23,24}

Clinical considerations: HR is a more objective parameter with low interobserver variability.²⁵ HR is counted by palpation of the umbilical cord or cardiac auscultation via stethoscope; however, these methods may underestimate HR. Better options could be technology-based measurements including pulse oximetry or electrocardiography (ECG). Potentially because pulse oximetry is influenced by low cardiac output, reduced peripheral circulation or irregular HR, ECG accuracy is higher than that of pulse oximetry.^{26,27} Also, a faster HR acquisition may be possible with novel ECG application methods.²⁸

Predictive value: Studies have postulated using neonatal HR to distinguish between physiological and pathological transition.^{28,29} The ERC states that neonatal HR is an indicator of hypoxia⁴ and ≥ 100 beats per minute (bpm) is considered satisfactory, whereas a HR 60-100bpm signifies a requirement for positive pressure ventilation (PPV) because the most likely underlying reason is insufficient lung aeration. However, some authors suggested that in the first two minutes of life, HR < 100 bpm should not lead to immediate PPV if breathing and

muscle tone are normal.²⁹ Very slow, i.e. <60bpm or absent HR is defined as critical and cardiac compressions are recommended.⁴

Interference with the parameter: HR variability during pre- and perinatal life corresponds with central nervous system maturation and mental development.³⁰ Fetal distress, perinatal hypoxia and acidosis may result in bradycardia after birth.^{23,24,31} However, neonatal HR can also be affected by conditions that do not necessarily indicate hypoxia or respiratory impairment, e.g. gestational age, birth weight and delivery mode. Dawson et al²⁹ found that HR increases more slowly the first minute of life in preterm infants and infants delivered by C-section compared with vaginally delivered full term newborns. Infections including chorioamnionitis can lead to fetal and neonatal tachycardia.³²

Interventions affecting the parameter: An initial action after birth is tactile stimulation by drying the infant or rubbing the soles of its feet or the back to stimulate spontaneous breathing, and consequently supporting HR.³³ Because the most common reason for bradycardia is insufficient lung aeration, effective PPV will most likely result in an increased HR³⁴⁻³⁶

Reflex irritability

„... refers to response to some form of stimulation. The usual testing method was suctioning the oropharynx and nares with a soft rubber catheter which called forth a response of facial grimaces, sneezing or coughing.”³

Physiological considerations: Hegyi et al³⁷ postulated that reflex irritability, together with respiratory effort and muscle tone reflect the neurological integrity of the infant.

Clinical considerations: Interobserver reliability in the assessment of reflex irritability is low, but higher than that of color, muscle tone, and respiratory effort.⁵

Predictive value: Reflex irritability correlates with respiratory effort and muscle tone,^{37,38} and may be predictive of cerebral palsy.³⁹ A Swedish registry study showed that reduced reflex irritability was associated with increased risk of neonatal mortality only in term infants.⁴⁰ On the other hand, Ensing et al⁴¹ studied 276 infants admitted to the neonatal intensive care unit after a total 5 min Apgar <7 and showed for the subgroup of 131 infants scoring zero for reflex irritability that outcome was predicted only by HR and respiratory effort.

Interference with the parameter: Gestational age has a significant impact on reflex irritability^{7,42} which is evidence that reflex irritability changes with physiological maturation;⁴⁰ and that grimaces, sneezing or coughing may be absent in extremely premature infants. Furthermore, assessment is affected by mode of inducing reflexes. Until 2005, airway suctioning was recommended as an initial step of resuscitation⁴³ but discouraged thereafter.⁴ Thus, assessment of reflex irritability may have become less standardized during the last decade.

Interventions affecting the parameter: Not all infants are actively stimulated after birth, and as previously noted, routine nasal and oropharyngeal suctioning is discouraged.⁴ This raises the question of how assessment of this parameter is currently performed.⁵

Muscle tone

“...a completely flaccid infant received a zero score, and one with good tone, and spontaneously flexed arms and legs which resisted extension were rated two points”.³

Physiological considerations: Muscle tone is part of the evaluation of an infant's physiological and neurological maturity, i.e. gestational age.⁴⁴ Higher brain functions mature with advancing gestation and the cerebral cortex plays a central role in active muscle movement and tone, whereas passive muscle tone and primary reflexes are regulated in the brain stem,⁴⁵ especially the pons. The pons is also central to sleep regulation, and fetal and neonatal muscle tone in hypoxia resembles different sleep stages in immature animals. In non-rapid eye movement (REM) sleep, there is a loss of muscle tone in the neck and trunk. In REM sleep, an inhibitory action of the pontine locus coeruleus results in a complete absence of muscular action potentials and generalized hypotonia.⁴⁶ In fetal sheep, hypoxia-induced hypotonia results from a shift in pH and intracellular calcium that, via glutamatergic, adrenergic and pontine neuroinhibitory mechanisms, inhibits signaling through the spinal motor tracts.⁴⁷

Adrenaline and other catecholamines increase in asphyxiated fetuses and play a central role in the pathophysiology of perinatal asphyxia.⁴⁸ Low umbilical cord catecholamines correlate with reduced muscle tone in infants delivered by C-section.⁴⁹ In perinatal asphyxia and hypoxic-ischemic encephalopathy (HIE), elevated catecholamines may explain the hyperalertness and normal or increased muscle tone characteristic of mild HIE.⁵⁰ However, in

severe HIE, depletion of cortical neurotransmitters and central neurological dysfunction cause hypotonia.⁵⁰

Clinical considerations: Although Apgar stated that muscle tone is very easily classified with low interobserver variability,³ O'Donnell et al⁵ and Rüdiger et al⁵¹ found interobserver variability in muscle tone assessment in both term and preterm infants. Bashambu et al⁵² found good interobserver agreement in the evaluation of a term infant video case, but not in preterm infants ≤ 28 weeks of gestation.

Predictive value: Muscle tone may be a predictor of neurological outcome including cerebral palsy.³⁹ However, in infants with absent muscle tone, only 43 % had a total Apgar score ≤ 3 .⁴⁰ This implies that more than half of infants assessed to have no tone had relatively reassuring values for other parameters of the score. Thus, tone was a less specific marker of compromise, potentially because the conventional Apgar score does not take gestational age into consideration.

Interference with the parameter: Muscle tone may be reduced secondarily to impaired breathing and pulse, and is closely linked to reflex irritability and color.³⁷ While muscle tone has not been investigated as an independent 'vital sign' to guide immediate delivery room interventions, ERC recommends using it for initial evaluation after birth.⁴

Perinatal asphyxia and HIE result in changes in muscle tone³ and low umbilical cord pH have been association with poor neurological exam.⁵³ Muscle tone is also affected by maturity^{42,44} and birth weight.³⁷ Cnattingius et al⁴⁰ found that more than half of very preterm infants had reduced muscle tone and Pavageau et al⁵³ showed that tone could be reliably assessed as part of the Apgar score in infants 32-36 weeks of gestation if the infant's developmental stage was taken into account.

Furthermore, muscular hypotonia is often associated with cardiac, neuromuscular and other genetic syndromes,^{50,54} other causes of neonatal encephalopathy than HIE,^{50,55} birth trauma,⁵⁶ hypoglycemia, hypocalcemia and infections.⁵⁵ Finally, maternal anesthesia^{3,57,58} and delivery mode^{49,59} may also affect neonatal muscle tone.

Interventions affecting the parameter: Although only the effect of resuscitation on respiration and HR has been systematically addressed,⁶⁰ it can be inferred that cardiorespiratory improvement positively affects muscle tone. The ERC states that "A very floppy infant is likely

to need ventilatory support”, implying that assisted ventilation indirectly improves tone. Tactile stimulation to improve spontaneous breathing may improve muscle tone by some unknown mechanism, but poor tone is not explicitly stated as an indication to perform tactile stimulation.⁶¹ The physiological interplay between stimulation and tone is poorly understood. Application of pressure to the face stimulates the sensory branches of the trigeminal nerve and may induce a parasympathetic reflex resulting in apnea and reduced muscle tone.⁶² Apnea inversely correlated with gestational age, lasted >30 seconds⁶³ and occurred more often after the first application of binasal prongs or facemask.

Respiration

Apgar evaluated a child that presented apneic at 60 seconds postnatally with the lowest grade of zero. An infant who breathed and cried received the highest score of two. Other types of respiratory effort, such as irregular, shallow ventilation received a score of one.³

Physiological considerations: Respiratory transition depends on lung aeration and is proposed to occur in three overlapping phases: a) liquid airway clearance, b) liquid accumulation within the lungs’ interstitial tissue compartment,⁶⁴ and the final phase of c) respiratory gas exchange and metabolic homeostasis.⁶⁵

Lung development and growth depend on fetal lung liquid and fetal breathing movements,⁶⁶ causing expansion of the lung tissues to stimulate growth.⁶⁷ During intrauterine hypoxia, fetal breathing movements can be reduced or absent.^{20,68} In addition, maldevelopment of the lungs including lung hypoplasia, e.g. in infants with oligohydramnion^{69,70} and intrauterine growth retardation⁷¹ may cause an impaired respiration after birth.

Clinical considerations: Reliability of respiratory assessment immediately after birth shows a large variation in very low birth weight infants.⁵¹

Predictive value: Since delivery of oxygen to the circulation depends on sufficient ventilation, it can be assumed that poor ventilation is predictive for adverse outcome. However, there are no data available looking solely at the predictive value of respiration. Pulmonary hypoplasia, which is associated with poor ventilation, increases the risk of neonatal morbidity and mortality⁷²⁻⁷⁴ but this may be due to concomitant factors.

Interference with the parameter: Neonatal respiration can be affected by lung immaturity, pulmonary hypoplasia,⁶⁹ hypothermia, neurological and muscular disorders,⁶⁹ time of cord clamping, birth injury and infection.

Interventions affecting the parameter: Pre- and postnatal exposure to drugs, as well as type of respiratory interface, may influence infant respiration immediately after birth.

Parameters not included in the Apgar score

When introducing her scoring system,³ Apgar selected five signs from a list “of all the objective signs which pertained in any way to the condition of the infant at birth”. The ones she did not select have never been revealed. However, she advised against using “breathing time, a satisfactory cry; and mild, moderate and severe depression”. Her five parameters quickly became the predominant assessment tools for over half a century. Only recently have publications explored alternatives or additions, including parameters that Apgar refuted, i.e. time to first breath and cry. Primitive reflexes have also recently been explored as additional delivery room assessment criteria.

Time to first breath

Prior to Apgar’s score, a commonly used variable was “time from delivery of the head to the first respiration”.⁷⁵ This was abandoned until the early 1990’s when Saugstad and colleagues⁷⁶ included it as a secondary outcome in their studies comparing air and 100% oxygen for neonatal resuscitation. From their Resair-2 trial,⁷⁷ they noted that median time to first breath was significantly longer in infants exposed to hyperoxia during resuscitation compared to those receiving air. Time to first breath has also been used to assess the effects of delayed cord clamping⁷⁸ and in low resource settings by the Helping Babies Breathe program.⁷⁹

Physiological considerations: Discontinuous variable fetal breathing movements quickly become regular and deeper after birth in order to clear lung liquid and open lung spaces. Stimuli include changes in the physical environment, increased pCO₂, and chemoreceptor activation. These signals are processed in the two primary respiratory brainstem nuclei leading to efferent signaling to respiratory muscles.⁸⁰ Hypoxia can depress this system and cause fetal primary apnea⁸¹ making the absence of breathing a potential marker of perinatal asphyxia.

Predictive value: In Resair-2,⁷⁷ median time to first breath was related to 1 min Apgar score, potentially because breathing is a component of the score. There are neither reports relating this parameter to short- or long-term outcome, nor of its accuracy, interobserver reliability, or confounders such as delivery mode, maternal status, or gestational age.

Time to first cry

This was also a Resair-2 outcome, and as with time to first breath, 100% oxygen use was associated with a longer time to first cry.⁷⁷ However, the parameter was not included in any prognostic analysis. More recently, the Helping Babies Breathe program, which includes absence of cry as a decision point,⁸² used time to first cry in studies of the program. In one study 95.2% of 2059 babies cried within a minute while only 0.34% took more than 2 minutes or failed to cry.⁸³ In another smaller study, as many as 18 out of 130 babies failed to cry.⁸⁴

Physiological considerations: Crying is a major factor, but not an absolute requirement,⁵ in postnatal lung aeration due to its prolonged expiratory phase and expiratory braking.⁸⁵ This braking enhances the distribution of gas via pendelluft flow. In addition, crying raises the intra-airway pressure⁸⁶ which helps keeping the larynx open.

Predictive value: There are limited data on reliability or prognostic significance of time to cry, but an Indian report using parent recall of 311 deliveries demonstrated a higher risk of perinatal death if crying was delayed or absent.⁸⁷

Somannavar et al⁸³ found discrepancies between time to cry measured using a recording device vs. trained observers in only 3 of 430 pairs, i.e. an accuracy of 99.3%. As with time to first breath, there is an absence of data regarding clinical factors that could influence this parameter.

Primitive reflexes

Primitive reflexes are automatic motor responses present in newborns throughout the first few months of life (Table 1).⁸⁸ Their presence or absence may reflect neurological status and risk of cerebral palsy.

Physiological considerations: Primitive reflexes are presumed to be mediated through the brainstem, influenced by inhibitory cortical pathways. They appear between 25 and 35 weeks of gestation (Table 1)⁸⁸ and develop with increasing gestational age.⁸⁹

Insert Table 1 here

Predictive value: When primitive reflexes were included in a comprehensive neurological discharge exam of 210 premature infants, the negative/positive predictive values for an abnormal exam were for cerebral palsy 91%/38% and for developmental delay 73%/69%.⁹⁰ The palmar grasp reflex had low interobserver reliability in infants <33 weeks, but was equal to the other Neonatal Resuscitation and Adaptation Score (NRAS) parameters and to the Apgar score parameters for older newborns. Given this observation and the variable onset of the primitive reflexes before 25-26 weeks gestation, and their inconsistency prior to 30-32 weeks gestation, their inclusion in any newborn assessment methodology is questionable.

Interference with the parameter: Reflexes depend on maturation. Although not in the delivery room, Allen and Capute⁹¹ found that all five infants born at 25 weeks of gestation demonstrated a grasp reflex, which led Jurdi et al⁹² to include palmar grasp as the neurological parameter in their NRAS.

Future Perspectives

Assessment of an infant's condition in the delivery room represents a prerequisite to applying adequate medical support. Virginia Apgar described five clinical parameters for the initial infant assessment. However, since that time maternal and neonatal care has changed; interventions were improved and infants may be more premature. Within the context of these changes, a new scoring system that guides clinicians in assessing infants and helps to decide how to support an infants' postnatal adaptation has to be critically appraised and finally tested in clinical trials.

HR seems to be the most objective criterion with the lowest interobserver variability. Assessment of respiration shows interobserver variations especially in very low birth weight infants,⁵¹ but remains one of the most important parameters to guide delivery room interventions. Other parameters of respiration such as time to first breath and cry do have some merits, but data on reliability or prognostic significance are limited. In accordance with

previous reports, color seems to be unreliable and should be replaced by an appropriately applied pulse oximetry SpO₂ measurement. However, color could be of some benefit in low-resource settings where SpO₂ cannot be measured until e.g. a smart phone application has been validated to reliably determine SpO₂. Reflex irritability and muscle tone may be of some relevance, but are gestational age-dependent, which should be taken into consideration when judging them. Furthermore, all of these clinical parameters are significantly affected by medical interventions. In order to improve assessment in the future, the following four issues have to be addressed:

1. What is the assessment aiming at?

Since Apgar's first aim - to "draw attention to the infant" - has been achieved, a re-focusing of assessment is needed. The most important function of delivery room assessment should be directed towards determining how much medical support is needed and how the infant responds to these interventions. Thus, validation of a new tool assessment-tool should be based on its value in guiding clinical management. However, all historical and recent clinical trials of newborn assessment tools⁹³⁻⁹⁷ have used short-term (in-hospital) morbidities as outcomes, making it difficult to parse out a predictive function from immediate postnatal status and response to therapy. An acceptable outcome measure for guiding delivery room management is needed before any new score can be properly validated.

2. How to account for developmental stages during assessment?

The condition of the infant depends on its maturity. The conventional Apgar score does not account for this and thus, low scores will reflect not only poor postnatal adaptation but potentially also immaturity. To overcome some of the shortcomings of the conventional Apgar score, the 'Specified-Apgar' score has been suggested to account for infant's developmental stage; assigning 2 points if the parameter is "appropriate for gestational age"^{98,7}. Whereas "appropriateness for gestational age" is not well defined, it is currently the only evaluated method considering infants maturity during assessment. Use of nomograms for different parameters might be incorporated into a new tool to minimize subjectivity, but could also interfere with the ease of use of the tool.

3. How to account for interventions required to achieve the condition?

Currently, there is no agreement on how to account for medical interventions when assessing infant's condition, partially explaining why Apgar scoring of extremely preterm infants systematically differed between units.²⁵ If a new scoring system should help to decide how much medical support is needed and how the infant responds to these interventions, the interventions themselves should be taken into account. To limit the effects of the administered interventions on infant condition, it has been suggested to stop intervention at 1, 5 and 10 minutes when the infant's condition is assessed,⁶⁰ an approach that is not practicable in clinical routine. As an alternative, the 'Expanded-Apgar' scores each intervention that is required to achieve a certain condition.⁹² Such an approach has been validated in preterm and term infants and seems to be a very concise and easily applicable tool to score interventions used during neonatal support.

4. How to prove the validity of a new tool?

In the past, the predictive capacity has been used to validate the conventional Apgar. Similarly, a good prediction of neonatal mortality and morbidity has been shown for the "Combined-Apgar", which consists of "Specified-Apgar" and "Expanded-Apgar" and takes not only prematurity into account, but also the interventions needed to achieve the condition.⁷ However, the trials of the modified Apgar scores or the NRAS⁹¹ were performed in subject samples that were significantly skewed to high risk infants, which does not reflect the universal way the Apgar score is used, and might obscure problems with false positive results when done in every delivery. In addition, as noted, there is no accepted outcome with which to determine how well a new tool would assess the condition of the newborn and the effect of interventions, or, if surrogate measures such as mortality or morbidities are used, how well these surrogates reflect the primary goal of the assessment tool. Beyond that, any new tool will require validation studies to examine inter-observer variability of the new scoring tool.

In the future, rapid advances of electronic hardware and computational power may contribute to dealing with the increasing complexity of delivery room interventions through the development of devices that capture and analyze by artificial intelligence currently used and additional parameters. Such a device should be able to not only adjust FiO₂ and respiratory support, but also give immediate feedback on the best approach to the individual infant.

Summary

70 years after Apgar's seminal paper there is not only a need for but also a great chance to design a new scoring system that can replace the conventional Apgar score. Such a scoring system should account for the infant's maturity and the interventions administered. Finally, to investigate the ability of the system to define the need of interventions, to describe the efficacy of interventions, and to identify a potential to limit subjectivity and interrater variability, clinical validation studies should be undertaken.

References

1. Lara-Canton I, et al. Oxygen supplementation during preterm stabilization and the relevance of the first 5 min after birth. *Front Pediatr.* **8**, 12 (2020).
2. Morton SU, Brodsky D. Fetal physiology and the transition to extrauterine life. *Clin Perinatol.* **43**, 395-407 (2016).
3. Apgar V. A proposal for a new method of evaluation of the newborn infant. *CurrRes AnesthAnalg.* **32**, 260-7 (1953).
4. Madar J, et al. European Resuscitation Council Guidelines 2021: Newborn resuscitation and support of transition of infants at birth. *Resuscitation.* **161**, 291-326 (2021).
5. O'Donnell CP, Kamlin CO, Davis PG, Carlin JB, Morley CJ. Interobserver variability of the 5-minute Apgar score. *J Pediatr.* **149**, 486-9 (2006).
6. Rudiger M, Rozycki HJ. It's time to reevaluate the Apgar score. *JAMA Pediatr.* **174**, 321-2 (2020).
7. Rudiger M, et al. Neonatal assessment in the delivery room--Trial to Evaluate a Specified Type of Apgar (TEST-Apgar). *BMC Pediatr.* **15**, 18 (2015).
8. Lundsgaard C. Studies on cyanosis : li. Secondary causes of cyanosis. *J Exp Med.* **30**, 271-93 (1919).
9. Kändler LH, N.; Gorenflo, M. <https://www.Awmf.Org/leitlinien/detail/II/023-002.Html>. (2017). Accessed 2021.
10. O'Donnell CP, Kamlin CO, Davis PG, Carlin JB, Morley CJ. Clinical assessment of infant colour at delivery. *Arch Dis Child Fetal Neonatal Ed.* **92**, F465-7 (2007).

11. Dawson JA, et al. Assessing the tongue colour of newly born infants may help to predict the need for supplemental oxygen in the delivery room. *Acta Paediatr.* **104**, 356-9 (2015).
12. van Vonderen JJ, et al. Measuring physiological changes during the transition to life after birth. *Neonatology.* **105**, 230-42 (2014).
13. Dawson JA, et al. Defining the reference range for oxygen saturation for infants after birth. *Pediatrics.* **125**, e1340-7 (2010).
14. Oei JL, et al. Outcomes of oxygen saturation targeting during delivery room stabilisation of preterm infants. *Arch Dis Child Fetal Neonatal Ed.* **103**, F446-F54 (2018).
15. Adams BN, Grunebaum A. Does “pink all over” accurately describe an Apgar color score of 2 in newborns of color? *Obstetrics Gynecology* **123**, 36S (2014).
16. Kelman GR, Nunn JF. Clinical recognition of hypoxaemia under fluorescent lamps. *Lancet.* **1**, 1400-3 (1966).
17. Nuntnarumit P, Rojnueangnit K, Tangnoo A. Oxygen saturation trends in preterm infants during the first 15 min after birth. *J Perinatol.* **30**, 399-402 (2010).
18. Rabi Y, Yee W, Chen SY, Singhal N. Oxygen saturation trends immediately after birth. *The Journal of Pediatrics.* **148**, 590-4 (2006).
19. Vento M, et al. Oxygen saturation after birth in preterm infants treated with continuous positive airway pressure and air: assessment of gender differences and comparison with a published nomogram. *Arch Dis Child Fetal Neonatal Ed.* **98**, F228-32 (2013).
20. Boddy K, Dawes GS, Fisher R, Pinter S, Robinson JS. Foetal respiratory movements, electrocortical and cardiovascular responses to hypoxaemia and hypercapnia in sheep. *J Physiol.* **243**, 599-618 (1974).
21. Giussani DA. The fetal brain sparing response to hypoxia: physiological mechanisms. *J Physiol.* **594**, 1215-30 (2016).
22. Hooper SB, Polglase GR, te Pas AB. A physiological approach to the timing of umbilical cord clamping at birth. *Arch Dis Child Fetal Neonatal Ed.* **100**, F355-60 (2015).
23. Barcroft J, Flexner LB, McClurkin T. The output of the foetal heart in the goat. *J Physiol.* **82**, 498-508 (1934).
24. Lakshminrusimha S, Van Meurs K. Better timing for cord clamping is after onset of lung aeration. *Pediatr Res.* **77**, 615-7 (2015).

25. Rudiger M, Wauer RR, Schmidt K, Kuster H. The Apgar score. *Pediatrics*. **118**, 1314-5; author reply 5-6 (2006).
26. Kamlin CO, O'Donnell CP, Everest NJ, Davis PG, Morley CJ. Accuracy of clinical assessment of infant heart rate in the delivery room. *Resuscitation*. **71**, 319-21 (2006).
27. Phillipos E, et al. Heart rate assessment immediately after birth. *Neonatology*. **109**, 130-8 (2015).
28. Bjorland PA, et al. Changes in heart rate from 5 s to 5 min after birth in vaginally delivered term newborns with delayed cord clamping. *Arch Dis Child Fetal Neonatal Ed*. **106**, 311-5 (2021).
29. Dawson JA, et al. Changes in heart rate in the first minutes after birth. *Arch Dis Child Fetal Neonatal Ed*. **95**, F177-81 (2010).
30. Javorka K, et al. Heart rate variability in newborns. *Physiol Res*. **66**, S203-S14 (2017).
31. Rennie JM. Robertson's Textbook of Neonatology: Elsevier; Churchill Livingstone; 2005.
32. Yanowitz TD, et al. Hemodynamic disturbances in premature infants born after chorioamnionitis: association with cord blood cytokine concentrations. *Pediatr Res*. **51**, 310-6 (2002).
33. van Henten TMA, et al. Tactile stimulation in the delivery room: do we practice what we preach? *Arch Dis Child Fetal Neonatal Ed*. **104**, F661-F2 (2019).
34. Shah BA, et al. Impact of electronic cardiac (ECG) monitoring on delivery room resuscitation and neonatal outcomes. *Resuscitation*. **143**, 10-6 (2019).
35. O'Donnell CP, Kamlin CO, Davis PG, Morley CJ. Crying and breathing by extremely preterm infants immediately after birth. *J Pediatr*. **156**, 846-7 (2010).
36. Linde JE, et al. Predictors of 24-h outcome in newborns in need of positive pressure ventilation at birth. *Resuscitation*. **129**, 1-5 (2018).
37. Hegyi T, et al. The apgar score and its components in the preterm infant. *Pediatrics*. **101**, 77-81 (1998).
38. Minami K, et al. Would omitting the assessment of heart rate be harmful to initial neonatal care? *J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med*. **20**, 477-80 (2007).
39. Walstab JE, et al. Factors identified during the neonatal period associated with risk of cerebral palsy. *Aust N Z J Obstet Gynaecol*. **44**, 342-6 (2004).

40. Cnattingius S, et al. Apgar score components at 5 minutes: Risks and prediction of neonatal mortality. *Paediatr Perinat Epidemiol.* **31**, 328-37 (2017).
41. Ensing S, Engelen, M.-C., Tamminga, P., Abu-Hanna, A., Mol, B. W., Ravelli, A. 707: Association between value of the individual components of the 5 minute apgar score and neonatal outcome. 35th Annual Meeting of the Society for Maternal-Fetal Medicine. San Diego, CA: American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology; 2015. p. S345.
42. Catlin EA, et al. The Apgar score revisited: Influence of gestational age. *J Pediatr.* **109**, 865-8 (1986).
43. American Heart Association, American Academy of Pediatrics. 2005 American Heart Association (AHA) guidelines for cardiopulmonary resuscitation (CPR) and emergency cardiovascular care (ECC) of pediatric and neonatal patients: Neonatal resuscitation guidelines. *Pediatrics.* **117**, e1029-e38 (2006).
44. Dubowitz LM, Dubowitz V, Goldberg C. Clinical assessment of gestational age in the newborn infant. *J Pediatr.* **77**, 1-10 (1970).
45. Paro-Panjan D, Neubauer D, Kodric J, Bratanic B. Amiel-Tison Neurological assessment at term age: clinical application, correlation with other methods, and outcome at 12 to 15 months. *Dev Med Child Neurol.* **47**, 19-26 (2005).
46. Datta S, Maclean RR. Neurobiological mechanisms for the regulation of mammalian sleep-wake behavior: reinterpretation of historical evidence and inclusion of contemporary cellular and molecular evidence. *Neurosci Biobehav Rev.* **31**, 775-824 (2007).
47. Walker DW. Hypoxic inhibition of breathing and motor activity in the foetus and newborn. *Clin Exp Pharmacol Physiol.* **22**, 533-6 (1995).
48. Nylund L, Dahlin I, Lagercrantz H. Fetal catecholamines and the Apgar score. *J Perinat Med.* **15**, 340-4 (1987).
49. Otamiri G, Berg G, Ledin T, Leijon I, Lagercrantz H. Delayed neurological adaptation in infants delivered by elective cesarean section and the relation to catecholamine levels. *Early Hum Dev.* **26**, 51-60 (1991).
50. Sarnat HB, Sarnat MS. Neonatal encephalopathy following fetal distress. A clinical and electroencephalographic study. *Arch Neurol.* **33**, 696-705 (1976).
51. Rudiger M, et al. Variations of Apgar score of very low birth weight infants in different neonatal intensive care units. *Acta Paediatr.* **98**, 1433-6 (2009).

52. Bashambu MT, Whitehead H, Hibbs AM, Martin RJ, Bhola M. Evaluation of interobserver agreement of apgar scoring in preterm infants. *Pediatrics*. **130**, e982-7 (2012).
53. Pavageau L, Sanchez PJ, Steven Brown L, Chalak LF. Inter-rater reliability of the modified Sarnat examination in preterm infants at 32-36 weeks' gestation. *Pediatr Res*. **87**, 697-702 (2020).
54. Ahmed MI, Iqbal M, Hussain N. A structured approach to the assessment of a floppy neonate. *J Pediatr Neurosci*. **11**, 2-6 (2016).
55. Volpe JJ. Neonatal encephalopathy: an inadequate term for hypoxic-ischemic encephalopathy. *Ann Neurol*. **72**, 156-66 (2012).
56. Ojumah N, et al. Neurological neonatal birth injuries: A literature review. *Cureus*. **9**, e1938 (2017).
57. Abboud TK, et al. Comparison of the effects of general and regional anesthesia for cesarean section on neonatal neurologic and adaptive capacity scores. *Anesth Analg*. **64**, 996-1000 (1985).
58. Al-Husban N, et al. Anesthesia for cesarean section: Retrospective comparative study. *Int J Womens Health*. **13**, 141-52 (2021).
59. Jain L, Dudell GG. Respiratory transition in infants delivered by cesarean section. *Semin Perinatol*. **30**, 296-304 (2006).
60. Lopriore E, van Burk GF, Walther FJ, de Beaufort AJ. Correct use of the Apgar score for resuscitated and intubated newborn babies: questionnaire study. *BMJ*. **329**, 143-4 (2004).
61. Dekker J, et al. Tactile stimulation to stimulate spontaneous breathing during stabilization of preterm infants at birth: A retrospective analysis. *Front Pediatr*. **5**, 61 (2017).
62. Chowdhury T, et al. Trigemino-cardiac reflex: the current clinical and physiological knowledge. *J Neurosurg Anesthesiol*. **27**, 136-47 (2015).
63. Kuypers K, et al. The effect of a face mask for respiratory support on breathing in preterm infants at birth. *Resuscitation*. **144**, 178-84 (2019).
64. Olver RE, Walters DV, S MW. Developmental regulation of lung liquid transport. *Annu Rev Physiol*. **66**, 77-101 (2004).
65. Hooper SB, Te Pas AB, Kitchen MJ. Respiratory transition in the newborn: A three-phase process. *Arch Dis Child Fetal Neonatal Ed*. **101**, F266-71 (2016).
66. Joshi S, Kotecha S. Lung growth and development. *Early Hum Dev*. **83**, 789-94 (2007).

67. Hooper SB, Harding R. Fetal lung liquid: a major determinant of the growth and functional development of the fetal lung. *Clin Exp Pharmacol Physiol*. **22**, 235-47 (1995).
68. Bekedam DJ, Visser GH. Effects of hypoxemic events on breathing, body movements, and heart rate variation: a study in growth-retarded human fetuses. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. **153**, 52-6 (1985).
69. Page DV, Stocker JT. Anomalies associated with pulmonary hypoplasia. *Am Rev Respir Dis*. **125**, 216-21 (1982).
70. Nimrod C, Varela-Gittings F, Machin G, Campbell D, Wesenberg R. The effect of very prolonged membrane rupture on fetal development. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. **148**, 540-3 (1984).
71. Pike K, Pillow J, Lucas JS. Long term respiratory consequences of intrauterine growth restriction. *Semin Fetal Neonatal Med*. **17**, 92-8 (2012).
72. Knox WF, Barson AJ. Pulmonary hypoplasia in a regional perinatal unit. *Early Hum Dev*. **14**, 33-42 (1986).
73. Moessinger AC, et al. Time-trends in necropsy prevalence and birth prevalence of lung hypoplasia. *Paediatr Perinat Epidemiol*. **3**, 421-31 (1989).
74. Husain AN, Hessel RG. Neonatal pulmonary hypoplasia: an autopsy study of 25 cases. *Pediatr Pathol*. **13**, 475-84 (1993).
75. Hapke FB, Barnes AC. The obstetric use and effect of fetal respiration of nisentil. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. **58**, 799-801 (1949).
76. Ramji S, et al. Resuscitation of asphyxic newborn infants with room air or 100% oxygen. *Pediatr Res*. **34**, 809-12 (1993).
77. Saugstad OD, Rootwelt T, Aalen O. Resuscitation of asphyxiated newborn infants with room air or oxygen: an international controlled trial: the Resair 2 study. *Pediatrics*. **102**, e1 (1998).
78. Andersson O, et al. Intact cord resuscitation versus early cord clamping in the treatment of depressed newborn infants during the first 10 minutes of birth (Nepcord III) - a randomized clinical trial. *Matern Health Neonatol Perinatol*. **5**, 15 (2019).
79. Ersdal HL, Mduma E, Svensen E, Perlman JM. Early initiation of basic resuscitation interventions including face mask ventilation may reduce birth asphyxia related mortality in low-income countries: A prospective descriptive observational study. *Resuscitation*. **83**, 869-73 (2012).

80. van der Heijden ME, Zoghbi HY. Development of the brainstem respiratory circuit. *Wiley Interdiscip Rev Dev Biol.* **9**, e366 (2020).
81. Dawes GS, Jacobson HN, Mott JC, Shelley HJ, Stafford A. The treatment of asphyxiated, mature foetal lambs and rhesus monkeys with intravenous glucose and sodium carbonate. *J Physiol.* **169**, 167-84 (1963).
82. American Academy of Pediatrics. Guide for implementation of Helping Babies Breathe (HBB). <https://www.healthynewbornnetwork.org/resource/guide-for-implementation-of-helping-babies-breathe-hbb/> (2011). Accessed 2021.
83. Somannavar MS, et al. Evaluating time between birth to cry or bag and mask ventilation using mobile delivery room timers in India: The NICHD global network's Helping Babies Breathe trial. *BMC Pediatr.* **15**, 93 (2015).
84. Wilson GM, et al. Helping Babies Breathe (2nd edition) implementation on a shoestring budget in Zanzibar, Tanzania. *Matern Health Neonatol Perinatol.* **6**, 3 (2020).
85. Lakshminrusimha S, Jobe AH. Baby's first cries and establishment of gas exchange in the lung. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med.* **204**, 11-3 (2021).
86. Shardonofsky FR, Perez-Chada D, Carmuega E, Milic-Emili J. Airway pressures during crying in healthy infants. *Pediatr Pulmonol.* **6**, 14-8 (1989).
87. Siddalingappa H, Murthy MRN, Kulkarni P, N CA. Prevalence and factors influencing perinatal mortality in rural mysore, India. *J Clin Diagn Res.* **7**, 2796-699 (2013).
88. Zafeiriou DI. Primitive reflexes and postural reactions in the neurodevelopmental examination. *Pediatr Neurol.* **31**, 1-8 (2004).
89. Amiel-Tison C. Neurological evaluation of the maturity of newborn infants. *Arch Dis Child.* **43**, 89-93 (1968).
90. Allen MC, Capute AJ. The evolution of primitive reflexes in extremely premature infants. *Pediatr Res.* **20**, 1284-9 (1986).
91. Allen MC, Capute AJ. Tone and reflex development before term. *Pediatrics.* **85**, 393-9 (1990).
92. Jurdi SR, Jayaram A, Sima AP, Hendricks Munoz KD. Evaluation of a comprehensive delivery room Neonatal Resuscitation and Adaptation Score (NRAS) compared to the Apgar score: A pilot study. *Glob Pediatr Health.* **2**, 2333794X15598293 (2015).
93. Apgar V, Holaday DA, James LS, Weisbrot IM, Berrien C. Evaluation of the newborn infant; second report. *JAMA* **168**, 1985-8 (1958)

94. Rüdiger M, Braun N, Aranda J, et al. Neonatal assessment in the delivery room--Trial to Evaluate a Specified Type of Apgar (TEST-Apgar). *BMC Pediatr* **15** 18 (2015).
95. Dalili H, Nili F, Sheikh M, Hardani AK, Shariat M, Nayeri F. Comparison of the four proposed Apgar scoring systems in the assessment of birth asphyxia and adverse early neurologic outcomes. *PLoS One*. **10**, e0122116 (2015)
96. Dalili H, Sheikh M, Hardani AK, Nili F, Shariat M, Nayeri F. Comparison of the Combined versus Conventional Apgar Scores in Predicting Adverse Neonatal Outcomes. *PLoS One*. **11**, e0149464 (2016)
97. Witcher TJ, Jurdi S, Kumar V, Gupta A, Moores RR Jr, Khoury J, Rozycki HJ. Neonatal Resuscitation and Adaptation Score vs Apgar: newborn assessment and predictive ability. *J Perinatol*. **38**, 1476-1482 (2018).
98. American Academy of Pediatrics Committee on Fetus Newborn, American College of Obstetricians Gynecologists Committee on Obstetric Practice. The Apgar score. *Pediatrics*. **117**, 1444-7 (2006).

TABLE LEGEND

Table 1 Approximate gestational ages at which primitive reflexes first appear, and gestational age when consistently observed^{88,90,93}