

Genome-wide association of multiple complex traits in outbred mice by ultra low-coverage sequencing

Jérôme Nicod¹, Robert W. Davies¹, Na Cai¹, Carl Hassett², Leo Goodstadt¹, Cormac Cosgrove³, Benjamin K Yee⁴, Vikte Lionikaite⁵, Rebecca E McIntyre⁶, Carol Ann Remme⁷, Elisabeth M. Lodder⁷, Jennifer S. Gregory⁵, Tertius Hough², Russell Joynson², Hayley Phelps², Barbara Nell², Clare Rowe², Joe Wood², Alison Walling², Nasrin Bopp¹, Amarjit Bhomra¹, Polinka Hernandez-Pliego¹, Jacques Callebert⁸, Richard M. Aspden⁵, Nick P Talbot⁹, Peter A Robbins⁹, Mark Harrison², Martin Fray², Jean-Marie Launay⁸, Yigal M. Pinto⁷, David A. Blizard¹⁰, Connie R. Bezzina⁷, David J Adams⁶, Paul Franken¹¹, Tom Weaver², Sara Wells², Steve DM Brown¹², Paul K Potter¹², Paul Klenerman³, Arimantas Lionikas⁵, Richard Mott^{1, 13} & Jonathan Flint^{1, 14}

¹ Wellcome Trust Centre for Human Genetics, Oxford, United Kingdom

² Mary Lyon Centre, MRC Harwell, Harwell Science and Innovation Campus, United Kingdom

³ Peter Medawar Building for Pathogen Research, Nuffield Department of Medicine, University of Oxford, Oxford, United Kingdom

⁴ Department of Rehabilitation Sciences, The Hong Kong Polytechnic University, Hong Kong, China

⁵ School of Medicine, Medical Sciences and Nutrition, College of Life Sciences and Medicine, University of Aberdeen, Aberdeen, Scotland, UK

⁶ The Wellcome Trust Sanger Institute, Hinxton, Cambridge, UK

⁷ Heart Center, Department of Clinical and Experimental Cardiology, Academic Medical Center, Amsterdam, The Netherlands

⁸ AP-HP, Hôpital Lariboisière, Department of Biochemistry, INSERM U942, Paris F-75475, France

⁹ Department of Physiology, Anatomy and Genetics, University of Oxford, Oxford, U.K

¹⁰ Department of Biobehavioral Health, Pennsylvania State University, University Park, PA 16802, USA

¹¹ Center for Integrative Genomics, University of Lausanne, Lausanne, Switzerland

¹² Mammalian Genetics Unit, MRC Harwell, Harwell Science and Innovation Campus, United Kingdom

¹³ UCL Genetics Institute, University College London, London WC1E 6BT, UK

¹⁴ Center for Neurobehavioral Genetics, Semel Institute for Neuroscience and Human Behavior, UCLA, Los Angeles, CA 90095

Correspondence should be addressed to J.F. (JFlint@mednet.ucla.edu) or R.M. (r.mott@ucl.ac.uk)

Abstract

Two bottlenecks impeding the genetic analysis of complex traits in rodents are access to mapping populations able to deliver gene-level mapping resolution, and the need for population specific genotyping arrays and haplotype reference panels. Here we combine low coverage sequencing (0.15X) with a novel method to impute the ancestral haplotype space in 1,887 commercially available outbred mice. We mapped 156 unique quantitative trait loci for 92 phenotypes at 5% false discovery rate. Gene-level mapping resolution was achieved at about a fifth of loci, implicating *Unc13c* and *Pgc1-alpha* at loci for the quality of sleep, *Adarb2* for home cage activity, *Rtn2* for intensity of reaction to startle, *Bmp2* for wound healing, *Il15* and *Id2* for several T-cell measures and *Prkca* for bone mineral content. These findings have implications for diverse areas of mammalian biology and demonstrate how GWAS can be extended via low-coverage sequencing to species with highly recombinant outbred populations.

Introduction

Genome-wide association studies (GWAS) have delivered new insights into the biology and genetic architecture of complex traits but so far they have found application primarily in human genetics^{1,2} and in plant species where naturally-occurring inbred lines exist ^{3,4} . Two obstacles stand in the way of their routine application in other species: access to a mapping population able to deliver gene-level mapping resolution, and the deployment of a genotyping technology able to capture at least the majority of those sequence variants that contribute to phenotypic variation, in the absence of haplotype reference panels of the kind routinely employed in human populations to impute sequence variants.

In this study we exploit the properties of commercially available outbred mice for GWAS in the Crl:CFW(SW)-US_P08 stock. Compared to other mouse mapping populations, commercial outbred mice are maintained at relatively large effective population sizes and are descended from a relatively small number of founders, with mean minor allele frequencies and linkage disequilibrium (LD) resembling those found in genetically isolated human populations ⁵. Compared to a human GWAS, comparatively fewer markers are needed to tag the genome, thus requiring a lower significance threshold and a smaller sample size.

GWAS methodology typically uses arrays to genotype known single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) and represents each individual's genome as a haplotype mosaic of a reference panel of more densely typed or sequenced individuals (such as the 1000 Genomes Project ⁶), to impute genotypes at the majority of segregating sites in a population ⁷. However, in common with other populations that have not previously been subject to GWAS, commercial outbred mice lack accurate catalogs of sequence variants,

allele frequencies and haplotypes, thus excluding the application of standard GWAS approaches.

We show here how low coverage sequencing overcomes these limitations. We apply a method that models each chromosome as a mosaic of unknown ancestral haplotypes that are jointly estimated as part of the analysis. Using this approach we map the genetic basis of multiple phenotypes in almost 2000 mice, in some cases at near single-gene resolution.

Results

Phenotypes

2,049 unrelated adult Crl:CFW(SW)-US_P08 outbred mice (CFW) from Charles River, Portage, USA ⁵ were subjected to a four-week phenotyping pipeline (see Methods and Supplementary Figure 1). We obtained measures for 200 phenotypes from 18 assays (Methods). Data are available on a mean of 1,578 animals (range 905 - 1,968) per phenotype. We assign each measure to one of the following three heuristic categories: behavior, physiological or tissue; physiological measures include those taken when the mice were alive such as body weight and cardiac function, while the tissue measures comprise those obtained after dissection such as blood clinical chemistry and neurogenesis. Supplementary Table 1 lists the phenotypes. We tested the effect of all potential covariates on the variance of each measure to regress them for the genetic analysis. The strongest effect is batch, affecting 190 measures with a mean effect of 15%.

Genotypes

In order to capture all common variants in the CFW mice, we employed a two-stage genotyping strategy using low coverage sequencing that makes use of, but does not require, prior knowledge of segregating sites. We first generated a list of candidate variant sites using GATK⁸ and then imputed genotype probabilities at these sites.

We obtained a mean coverage of 0.15X sequence coverage per animal for 2,073 mice (range 0.06X to 0.51X). We identified 7,073,398 single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) in the ~370X pile-up of all sequence data that segregated in our sample and were either polymorphic in laboratory strains sequenced in the mouse genomes project (MGP) (3), or passed GATK's variant quality score recalibration (VQSR) (Methods). We then imputed genotype dosages at these sites using our reference-panel free method, STITCH (Methods, and Davies *et al* 2016). After stringent post-imputation quality control we retained 5,766,828 high-quality imputed SNPs for subsequent analysis. Accuracy at these sites is very high: the mean SNP-wise correlation (r^2) with 25 thousand sites polymorphic on a genotyping microarray⁹ using 44 samples was 0.974 before QC and 0.981 after QC. We annotated the high-quality imputed SNPs using the mouse reference mm10 assembly and identified 11,931 SNP positions in protein coding sequence causing amino acid changes in 3,938 individual genes (non-synonymous substitutions) and 25,669 that do not (synonymous substitutions). Supplementary Table 2 categorises the variants by chromosome and Supplementary Table 3 lists the numbers of variants obtained at each stage of the variant calling and imputation process.

Genetic architecture

Inspection of the 5.7 million variants segregating in CFW mice revealed several notable characteristics. This total is about 1/3 fewer than the number segregating in heterogeneous stocks derived from classical laboratory inbred strains^{10, 11, 12} but far less

than the 45 million segregating in the recently created Collaborative Cross (CC) and Diversity Outbred (DO) populations using wild-derived strains from different subspecies of mice ¹¹. Of the 5.7 million imputed variants, 97.6% were found in 36 sequenced inbred strains in the Sanger Mouse Genomes database Release 1505. The FVB/NJ strain alone contributes 38% of CFW alleles (Supplementary Table 4) and in combination with the progenitors of the mouse HS¹³ account for 76%. Wild-derived strains (LEWES/EiJ, ZALENDE/EiJ, WSB/EiJ, CAST/EiJ, MOLF/EiJ, PWK/PhJ, SPRET/EiJ) only account for about 5% of alternative alleles absent from other sequenced strains ^{11,14}. Both novel and known variants have very similar minor allele frequency distributions across the genome (Figures 1C and 1D).

The distribution of variants across the genome is highly non-uniform (Figure 1A). Chromosome 16 has only 20% of the variants found on chromosome 15, despite being almost the same size (Supplementary Table 2). This likely reflects an extreme bottleneck in the founding of the CFW, a view supported by the fact that only four ancestral haplotypes were required for the imputation procedure to work effectively. Further, just two haplotypes model the majority of samples: across chromosome 19, on average, 87.1% of samples are represented by only two haplotypes. Rates of heterozygosity are low (Figure 1B) with 22% of the genome close to fixation (Figures 1A and 1C). Average minor allele frequency (MAF) is 0.19. Figure 1E shows the decay of linkage disequilibrium with increasing distance (providing an indication of the expected mapping resolution obtainable with the CFW mice). Average pairwise r^2 falls to 0.28 at 1Mbp, 0.16 at 2 Mbp, and 0.10 at 3 Mbp.

We identified a subset of 359,559 SNPs, that tag all other SNPs with MAF >0.1% at LD r^2 >0.98. This subset was used for subsequent analyses except where stated

otherwise. To investigate population structure and unequal relatedness between animals, we estimated identity by descent (IBD) from allele sharing between tagging SNPs. Supplementary Figure 2 plots the proportion of genome with IBD = 1 against IBD = 0. For GWAS, we removed 135 animals with higher relatedness than second-degree relatives, and 4 outliers identified from principal component analysis (PCA) on a genetic relatedness matrix (GRM). The population structure of the remaining 1934 animals was further assessed by performing another PCA on a GRM from only these mice. Supplementary Figure 3 plots the relationship between the first 5 principal components and shows no evidence of structure.

Genome-wide association

Genotypes and phenotypes were available for 1887 mice. We performed GWAS by testing association between the 359,559 tagging SNPs and all phenotypes. We transformed each phenotype by regression on relevant covariates (see Methods) and quantile-normalised the residuals. To test for association with SNPs on a given chromosome, we used a GRM based on those tagging SNPs on the other chromosomes^{15,16} to increase power¹⁷. We calculated a genome-wide false discovery rate (FDR) separately for each phenotype to determine empirical trait-specific genome-wide significance thresholds (Methods).

At a 5% false discovery rate, we identified 255 QTLs in 92 out of 200 phenotypes (46%), as shown in Supplementary Table 5. Quantile-quantile plots for a representative selection of phenotypes are given in Supplementary Figure 4. It should be noted that due to the large number of SNPs used (in this case not pruned for LD) and the fact that LD

extends over longer distances than exist in human populations, deviation from the expected values extends over a larger range of P-values than is commonly seen in human association studies.

Statistical power is expected to increase with MAF, and in our QTLs the MAF of significantly associated SNPs (range 1.7-50%, median 31%) was higher than expected (compared to all 5.7M SNPs) (Mann Whitney U test, $P = 1.95e-28$): at 133 QTLs (52%) MAF>30% and at only 11 (4%) is MAF <5% (Figure 2a).

To aid gene identification, we estimated the 95% confidence intervals (CI) of every QTL using simulations based on the LOD-drop concept¹⁸. To do so, using the imputed dosages around each QTL we simulated causal SNPs that matched the QTL's observed effect size. A local scan of the region using the mixed model but using a simulated phenotype was performed, and the location and LogP of the top SNP recorded. From 1,000 simulations, we derived the empirical distribution of the drop (Δ) in LogP between the most highly associated SNP and the causal SNP (Δ is zero when the top and causal SNPs coincide). After ranking the simulations at a given QTL by increasing value of Δ , the LOD-drop $\Delta(f)$ of the $f\%$ confidence interval was estimated by the maximum seen among the lowest $f\%$ simulations. The genomic interval spanning the LOD-drop $\Delta(f)$ determined the confidence interval of the QTL in the real phenotype data¹⁸. Across all QTLs, the $f = 95\%$ CI widths ranged from 0.01-7.33Mb with a mean at 1.50Mb, 43% being less than 1Mb wide. On average each QTL covered 19 protein coding genes (0-205) with a median of 9 genes. Figure 2b shows the distribution of the number of genes at a QTL.

Heritability and variance attributable to QTLs

SNP-based heritability estimates exceeded 0 (at $P < 0.05$) for 152 of 200 phenotypes, with a mean value of 26.3%, (range 9.1-71.1%), as reported in Supplementary Table 1. To assess how much of the heritability can be explained by detected QTLs ($FDR < 5\%$), we first estimated the effect size of each QTL by performing analysis of variance (ANOVA) at the most significantly associated SNP then summed the variance explained by all QTLs associated with every phenotype. On average, 21.1% of the heritability estimated for each trait with significant heritability can be explained in this way (Figure 2c). This indicates that missing heritability affects the CFW population, although to a lesser degree than most human GWAS.

Traits with higher heritabilities yielded proportionally more QTLs: the mean heritability of those traits for which at least one QTL was identified was 30.6%, compared to 20.6% for those without QTLs, a highly significant difference (t-test P -value = 8.9×10^{-8}). Mean heritabilities differed between the three categories of phenotypes: 14.5% for behavior, 18.2% for physiological and 24.2% for tissue phenotypes. We also noted the same pattern in the median locus effect size of the three categories: 1.37% for behavioural QTLs, 1.5% for physiological and 2.8% for tissue QTLs (Figure 2c).

Distribution of QTLs reflects genome-wide diversity

Many of the loci detected overlap, and are associated with, closely related phenotypic measures. Examples include the two QTLs for HDL and total cholesterol mapping over the *Apoa2* gene on chromosome 1¹⁹, or the eight different bone mineral content measures mapping over *Slc4a2* on chromosome 5²⁰. To avoid redundancy in our analysis

we considered that if two overlapping QTLs (where the top SNP of the first QTL lies inside the 95% CI of the second QTL) were associated with measures of the same biological function they were representing a single locus. Using this approach we identified a reduced set of 156 unique loci, each associated with 1 to 12 measures. We report these 156 unique QTLs in Supplementary Table 6. A “Porcupine” plot on Figure 3 shows the superimposed Manhattan plots of all the measures where a least one QTL was detected and highlights the 156 unique loci. Some regions of the genome are devoid of any QTLs, reflecting the uneven genomic distribution of sequence variants, a prime example being the lack of any QTL detected on chromosome 16 (Figure 1A). Figure 3 also highlights the presence of clusters of QTLs, notably on chromosomes 6, 11, 17 and X. The chromosome 17 locus overlaps the major histocompatibility complex (MHC), a naturally highly polymorphic region in wild populations that remains highly variable in the CFW mice.

Identification of candidate genes in high-resolution QTLs

We focused on those QTLs containing small numbers of genes, since these loci provide a starting point for functional investigations. Of the 156 unique loci identified in this study, 56 contain three or fewer genes (36%) and 25 contain a single gene in the 95% confidence interval (6 QTLs do not overlap any gene).

Table 1 lists the 25 QTLs containing a single gene. The table categorizes QTLs into three classes, according to prior evidence supporting the candidacy of the gene at the locus. (i) Phenotypes of knockouts support candidacy of three genes: *Met*, *Fli1*, and *Grm7*. The locus on chromosome 6 containing the *Met* gene contributes to all five muscles weight measures (Figure 4a). *Met* encodes a hepatocyte growth factor receptor and has a known function in embryonic development^{21,22} and regeneration²³ of adult limb skeletal

muscle. *Fli1* modulates B cells development ²⁴ and mice lacking *Grm7* are more active when placed in a novel environment ²⁵ (ii) The genes at six loci are strongly corroborated by prior published evidence. These include the bone morphogenetic protein *Bmp2* at a locus for wound healing ²⁶; PGC-1 α , at a locus for sleep fragmentation, is involved in regulating inhibitory neurotransmission in the cerebral cortex associated with cortical hyperexcitability ²⁷; a protein kinase (PKC α) that promotes osteoblastic cell proliferation, at a locus for bone mineral content ^{28,29}; the pre B cell leukemia homeobox 1 (*Pbx1*) at a locus influencing NK cells population ³⁰ and finally an interleukin (Il15, Fig 4d) ³¹ and the transcription factor *Id2* ³² at two independent loci affecting several T-cell measures. (iii) The remaining 16 QTLs contain single genes not previously associated with the trait, including five that concern behaviour. Notably, our mapping results implicate *Unc13c* in the quality of sleep (Fig 4b). UNC13C is involved in synaptic transmission ³³, but has never previously been associated with sleep. However, there is evidence for the differential expression of the human ortholog in individuals with poor sleep quality³⁴. Basal home cage activity is associated with *Adarb2*, a brain-specific adenosine deaminase acting on RNA ^{35,36}. Figure 4c shows that sequence variation affecting the interleukin 15 gene (*Il15*) is associated with the ratio of CD4+ to CD8+ T cells. *Rtn2*, a member of the rhotekin family predominantly expressed in lymphoid cells ³⁷, influences intensity of reaction to startle (Fig 4d). CNVs for the human orthologue of *Rtn2* have been implicated in attention-deficit and hyperactivity disorder³⁸.

Discussion

Genome-wide association mapping for complex traits has been used extensively in human populations but less commonly in other organisms. We have shown here that mapping using commercially available outbred mice can identify individual genes

involved in complex traits, some of which cannot easily be assayed in human subjects. Our results raise issues about the nature of mouse resources for mapping complex traits, and about the biological insights that can thereby be attained.

Several resources have been developed to provide GWAS tools to rodent genetics. These resources fall into two broad categories: (i) genetic reference populations, consisting of pre-existing inbred strains (Hybrid Mouse Diversity Panel, HMDP¹²) or recombinant inbred strains (BXD³⁹ and Collaborative Cross⁴⁰), (ii) populations descended after multiple generations of pseudo-random breeding from inbred strains (diversity outcross (DO) mice⁴¹ and heterogeneous stocks (HS)¹³). Each resource differs in its utility for GWAS, and no single population is ideal¹.

Commercially available outbred mice are an alternative resource with a number of advantages, and the CFW stock has already been used to map skull shape QTLs⁴². Compared to HMDP and HS animals, there was minimal evidence for population structure, and standard GWAS methods developed for human populations can be applied. LD decays fast enough to provide gene-level mapping resolution at about a fifth of loci, and although the resolution is still lower than in human populations, it is better than other mouse resources. The size of QTLs varied considerably, with the largest ones extending over several megabases, but half contained fewer than 10 genes, providing a relatively small list to investigate the biology at these sites.

Compared to other rodent mapping resources, our results also indicate that the CFW population delivers fewer loci for fewer phenotypes. We mapped loci for 92 out of 200 traits included in our phenotyping pipeline, yielding a mean number of 1.3 QTLs per trait, in 1,887 mice. One possible explanation for the low yield of QTLs is that the amount of genetic variation present in the CFW stock is relatively limited. Indeed, almost a

quarter of the CFW genome is virtually devoid of variants. For comparison, the 5.7M variants in the CFW is less than the 7.2M segregating in the rat heterogeneous stock ¹⁰. However, a more important determinant for QTL detection in the CFW is likely to be allele frequencies (p), which are on average lower in the CFW than in the HS. Since the variance explained by a QTL is proportional to $p(1 - p)$, effect sizes, and hence power, are systematically smaller in the CFW. Indeed, the median effect size is 1.6%, which, while dwarfing the effects found to underlie human quantitative traits, is still less than half that found in the rat HS (median estimate 5%) ¹⁰.

The inclusion of a large number of behavioural measures in our pipeline also contributed to the relatively low QTL detection rate. Almost a third of the traits (63/200) were collected from behavioral tests, yet the QTLs mapped with these measures accounted for less than 14% of the total. These phenotypes typically had lower heritabilities, with fully one quarter (16) having no significant genetic contribution. Note that these non-significant estimates (as well as those for non-behavioural phenotypes) do not necessarily mean the traits are not heritable: the standard errors on these estimates are large (Supplementary Table 1), so that no heritability less than 10% can be reliably estimated. Those loci we did detect had relatively lower effect sizes (mean for behavioural QTLs was 1.37, compared to 1.5 for physiological and 2.8 for tissue QTLs).

The heritability of the behavioural measures might also have been affected by the fact that mice were repeatedly tested over a 4 weeks period. Most behaviors are sensitive to repeated handling and exposure to different types of novel stimuli, as will happen during the extensive phenotypic battery deployed here. Habituation to these exposures makes it harder to detect alleles that affect baseline differences in behavior,

312 especially anxiety-like behaviors for which three different assays were conducted over a
313 relatively short time frame. A more focused assessment of a specific behavioral
314 phenotype under tightly controlled environmental conditions could have yielded higher
315 heritabilities for some traits.

316 These observations lead to two conclusions. First, finding more QTLs in the CFW
317 will require thousands of mice. Supplementary Table 7 gives the power to detect QTLs in
318 the CFW population as a function of effect size and sample size. For a typical QTL
319 corresponding to the median effect size (1.6%) and sample size (1,732) in the current
320 study, power is about 80% at a genome-wide significance level of 10%. Power falls off
321 for smaller effects sizes: a 0.5% QTL is detectable with 6.6% power with 1,732 animals;
322 increasing the sample size to 4,000 increases the power to 51%, and with 6,000 it is
323 85%. However, “winner’s curse” means that the true effects are likely to be lower than
324 reported here, and given that our QTLs explain only 20% of the heritability it is
325 reasonable to assume that the majority of loci will have effect sizes less than 1%. Second,
326 additional loci can be found using different stocks. Not all commercial outbred mice
327 populations are the same, as we previously documented in a survey of 66 stocks in which
328 mean heterozygosity varied from 0.5% to 45% and mean minor allele frequencies from
329 0.03% to 0.5%⁵. The use of complementary populations will make additional alleles open
330 to discovery.

331 Our study is the first to use extremely low coverage sequence to generate
332 accurate genotypes without a reference panel. This strategy, and the associated STITCH
333 algorithm⁴³, is generally applicable to any population, and any species, for which there is
334 no information about segregating variation or haplotypes. It is competitive with arrays
335 in terms of cost, although the optimal choice of strategy will depend on the reagents

available for the population in question. An advantage of sequencing over array-based genotyping is that it does not require prior information about which variants are segregating in a population; nor does it require a pre-existing catalogue of variants or prior knowledge of the likely founders of the population. The only requirement is a high-quality reference genome.

One unexpected finding was that, only 25,000 SNPs in the standard megaMUGA mouse genotyping array are polymorphic in the CFW mice; many of the QTLs we mapped would likely have been overlooked by genotyping with this array. The CFW mice appear to be descended from four ancestral haplotypes, indicating this population was likely bottle-necked to two founding individuals. Our population is effectively bi-allelic at most loci, and there was little to be gained by considering haplotype-based tests of association (data not shown).

We could also test associations at candidate variants responsible for the effect. For example, the *Met* gene on chromosome 6 is associated with muscle phenotypes and our sequence data revealed two missense variants: I851M and R968C. The first variant is common amongst mouse strains and is not known to alter gene function. The second variant, confirmed by Sanger sequencing, is specific to the SWR/J strain⁴⁴. The human homolog (R988C) has been identified in two small cell lung cancer cell-lines and increases constitutive tyrosine phosphorylation activity *in vitro*⁴⁵. The R968C missense variant is associated with the five muscle weight phenotypes but the direction of the effect of the alternative allele is positive in extensor digitorum longus (EDL) and gastrocnemius and negative in the others. This difference reflects differences in the muscle fiber composition (soleus is dominated by type 1 and 2A fibers, EDL is enriched

in 2X and 2B fibers ⁴⁶) suggesting that R968C affects these fibers differently or shifts the composition in all muscles.

We have shown here how low-cost commercially available outbred mice can deliver novel biological insights. We found single genes at 16 loci where no prior evidence existed for their involvement (Table 1). Importantly, the loci include those from phenotypes that could not easily be assayed in human subjects, such as response to hypoxia and the sleep phenotypes. More than 50 QTLs contain documented candidate genes (Supplementary Table 5): *Slc4a2*, which leads to osteopetrosis when disrupted in mice ²⁰, is present at a QTL affecting bone mineral content; *Apoa2* and *Scarb1*, both known to affect blood lipid homeostasis ^{19,47} are detected at two distinct QTLs for cholesterol levels; *Gdnf*, a gene required for the neuronal colonization of the pancreas, at a locus for pancreatic amylase ⁴⁸. These examples demonstrate that the narrow QTLs detected in CFW mice can lead to the identification of the genes affecting the measured traits, emphasizing the potential of our results as a resource to identify new genes in those QTLs without documented candidates.

375 *URLs*

376 Results from this project and the data used for analysis are maintained in an open access
377 database: <http://outbredmice.org>. Mapping can be visualized at
378 <http://mus.well.ox.ac.uk/gscandb/> (GscanViewer). STITCH is available from
379 <http://www.stats.ox.ac.uk/~myers/>

380

381 *Accession codes*

382 Sequencing data has been deposited at the European Nucleotide Archive (ENA) [under](#)
383 [accession ERP001040](#).

384

385 **Acknowledgments**

386 We thank the High-Throughput Genomics Group at the Wellcome Trust Centre for
387 Human Genetics and the Wellcome Trust Sanger Institute for the generation of the
388 sequencing data. This work was funded by Wellcome Trust grant 090532/Z/09/Z (J.F.).
389 Primary phenotyping of the mice was supported by the Mary Lyon Centre and
390 Mammalian Genetics Unit (Medical Research Council, UK Hub grant G0900747 91070
391 and Medical Research Council, UK grant MC U142684172). D.A.B acknowledges support
392 from NIH R01AR056280. The sleep work was supported by the state of Vaud
393 (Switzerland) and the Swiss National Science Foundation (SNF 14694 and 136201 to
394 P.F.). The ECG work was supported by the Netherlands CardioVascular Research
395 Initiative (Dutch Heart Foundation, Dutch Federation of University Medical Centres, the
396 Netherlands Organization for Health Research and Development, and the Royal

Netherlands Academy of Sciences) PREDICT project, InterUniversity Cardiology Institute of the Netherlands (ICIN; 061.02; C.A.R., C.R.B). Na Cai is supported by the Agency of Science, Technology and Research (A*STAR) Graduate Academy. The authors wish to acknowledge excellent technical assistance from: Ayako Kurioka, Leo Swadling, Catherine de Lara, James Ussher, Rachel Townsend, Sima Lionikaite, Ausra S. Lionikiene, Rianne Wolswinkel and Inge van der Made. We would like to thank Thomas M Keane and Anthony G Doran for their help in annotating variants and adding the FVB/NJ strain to the Mouse Genomes Project.

Author Contributions

J.N. and J.F. designed the study and experiments. J.N., B.K.Y. and N.C. processed data. C.C., R.E.M., N.B., A.B., C.H., R.J., H.P., B.N., C.R. P.H-P, and T.H. phenotyped the mice and generated data. J.W. and A.W. developed bespoke LIMS and bioinformatics solutions for data collection. M.H. and M.F. managed importation and isolation procedures of mice into the Mary Lyon Centre. S.W., T.W. and S.D.M.B. provided infrastructure, staff and established the phenotyping within the Mary Lyon Centre, P.K.P. and J.N. managed the project. V.L., J.S.G., R.M.A. quantified bone size and mineral content. D.A.B. and A.L. acquired skeletal muscle phenotypes. C.A.R., E.M.L, Y.P and C.R.B supervised cardiac data acquisition and analysed the cardiac data. J.C. and J-M.L. quantified serotonin. N.P.T and P.A.R supervised the collection of hypoxia data. P.K supervised the collection of immunological data. J.N., C.C., R.E.M, P.F., B.K.Y., D.J.A and A.L. analysed the phenotypic data. D.J.A, N.C. and L.G. acquired and processed the sequencing data. R.W.D and L.G. performed genotype imputation. R.M, J.N., N.C. and J.F performed the genetic analysis. J.N., R.W.D, N.C., R.M. and J.F. wrote the manuscript with input from co-authors.

421 **Competing financial interests**

422 The authors declare no competing financial interests.

423

424 **References**

- 425 1. Flint, J. & Eskin, E. Genome-wide association studies in mice. *Nat Rev Genet* **13**,
426 807-17 (2012).
- 427 2. Visscher, P.M., Brown, M.A., McCarthy, M.I. & Yang, J. Five years of GWAS
428 discovery. *Am J Hum Genet* **90**, 7-24 (2012).
- 429 3. Atwell, S. *et al.* Genome-wide association study of 107 phenotypes in *Arabidopsis*
430 *thaliana* inbred lines. *Nature* **465**, 627-31 (2010).
- 431 4. Huang, X. *et al.* Genome-wide association studies of 14 agronomic traits in rice
432 landraces. *Nat Genet* **42**, 961-7 (2010).
- 433 5. Yalcin, B. *et al.* Commercially available outbred mice for genome-wide association
434 studies. *PLoS Genet* **6**, e1001085 (2010).
- 435 6. Consortium, G.P. A map of human genome variation from population-scale
436 sequencing. *Nature* **467**, 1061-73 (2010).
- 437 7. Marchini, J. & Howie, B. Genotype imputation for genome-wide association
438 studies. *Nat Rev Genet* **11**, 499-511 (2010).
- 439 8. McKenna, A. *et al.* The Genome Analysis Toolkit: a MapReduce framework for
440 analyzing next-generation DNA sequencing data. *Genome Res* **20**, 1297-303
441 (2010).
- 442 9. Yang, H. *et al.* A customized and versatile high-density genotyping array for the
443 mouse. *Nat Methods* **6**, 663-6 (2009).
- 444 10. Rat Genome, S. *et al.* Combined sequence-based and genetic mapping analysis of
445 complex traits in outbred rats. *Nat Genet* **45**, 767-75 (2013).
- 446 11. Keane, T.M. *et al.* Mouse genomic variation and its effect on phenotypes and gene
447 regulation. *Nature* **477**, 289-94 (2011).
- 448 12. Bennett, B.J. *et al.* A high-resolution association mapping panel for the dissection
449 of complex traits in mice. *Genome Res* **20**, 281-90 (2010).
- 450 13. Valdar, W. *et al.* Genome-wide genetic association of complex traits in
451 heterogeneous stock mice. *Nat Genet* **38**, 879-87 (2006).
- 452 14. Wong, K. *et al.* Sequencing and characterization of the FVB/NJ mouse genome.
453 *Genome Biol* **13**, R72 (2012).
- 454 15. Listgarten, J. *et al.* Improved linear mixed models for genome-wide association
455 studies. *Nat Methods* **9**, 525-6 (2012).
- 456 16. Yang, J., Zaitlen, N.A., Goddard, M.E., Visscher, P.M. & Price, A.L. Advantages and
457 pitfalls in the application of mixed-model association methods. *Nat Genet* **46**, 100-
458 6 (2014).
- 459 17. Cheng, R., Parker, C.C., Abney, M. & Palmer, A.A. Practical considerations regarding
460 the use of genotype and pedigree data to model relatedness in the context of
461 genome-wide association studies. *G3 (Bethesda)* **3**, 1861-7 (2013).

- 462 18. Manichaikul, A., Dupuis, J., Sen, S. & Broman, K.W. Poor performance of bootstrap
463 confidence intervals for the location of a quantitative trait locus. *Genetics* **174**,
464 481-9 (2006).
- 465 19. Weng, W. & Breslow, J.L. Dramatically decreased high density lipoprotein
466 cholesterol, increased remnant clearance, and insulin hypersensitivity in
467 apolipoprotein A-II knockout mice suggest a complex role for apolipoprotein A-II
468 in atherosclerosis susceptibility. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **93**, 14788-94 (1996).
- 469 20. Coury, F. *et al.* SLC4A2-mediated Cl⁻/HCO₃⁻ exchange activity is essential for
470 calpain-dependent regulation of the actin cytoskeleton in osteoclasts. *Proc Natl*
471 *Acad Sci U S A* **110**, 2163-8 (2013).
- 472 21. Bladt, F., Riethmacher, D., Isenmann, S., Aguzzi, A. & Birchmeier, C. Essential role
473 for the c-met receptor in the migration of myogenic precursor cells into the limb
474 bud. *Nature* **376**, 768-71 (1995).
- 475 22. Dietrich, S. *et al.* The role of SF/HGF and c-Met in the development of skeletal
476 muscle. *Development* **126**, 1621-9 (1999).
- 477 23. Webster, M.T. & Fan, C.M. c-MET regulates myoblast motility and myocyte fusion
478 during adult skeletal muscle regeneration. *PLoS One* **8**, e81757 (2013).
- 479 24. Zhang, X.K. *et al.* The transcription factor Fli-1 modulates marginal zone and
480 follicular B cell development in mice. *J Immunol* **181**, 1644-54 (2008).
- 481 25. Cryan, J.F. *et al.* Antidepressant and anxiolytic-like effects in mice lacking the
482 group III metabotropic glutamate receptor mGluR7. *Eur J Neurosci* **17**, 2409-17
483 (2003).
- 484 26. Duprez, D.M., Coltey, M., Amthor, H., Brickell, P.M. & Tickle, C. Bone
485 morphogenetic protein-2 (BMP-2) inhibits muscle development and promotes
486 cartilage formation in chick limb bud cultures. *Dev Biol* **174**, 448-52 (1996).
- 487 27. Dougherty, S.E. *et al.* Mice lacking the transcriptional coactivator PGC-1alpha
488 exhibit alterations in inhibitory synaptic transmission in the motor cortex.
489 *Neuroscience* **271**, 137-48 (2014).
- 490 28. Nakura, A., Higuchi, C., Yoshida, K. & Yoshikawa, H. PKCalpha suppresses
491 osteoblastic differentiation. *Bone* **48**, 476-84 (2011).
- 492 29. Galea, G.L. *et al.* Protein kinase Calpha (PKCalpha) regulates bone architecture
493 and osteoblast activity. *J Biol Chem* **289**, 25509-22 (2014).
- 494 30. Sanyal, M. *et al.* B-cell development fails in the absence of the Pbx1 proto-
495 oncogene. *Blood* **109**, 4191-9 (2007).
- 496 31. Kennedy, M.K. *et al.* Reversible defects in natural killer and memory CD8 T cell
497 lineages in interleukin 15-deficient mice. *J Exp Med* **191**, 771-80 (2000).
- 498 32. Cannarile, M.A. *et al.* Transcriptional regulator Id2 mediates CD8⁺ T cell
499 immunity. *Nat Immunol* **7**, 1317-25 (2006).
- 500 33. Chen, Z., Cooper, B., Kalla, S., Varoqueaux, F. & Young, S.M., Jr. The Munc13
501 proteins differentially regulate readily releasable pool dynamics and calcium-
502 dependent recovery at a central synapse. *J Neurosci* **33**, 8336-51 (2013).
- 503 34. Reddy, S.Y. *et al.* Sleep quality, BDNF genotype and gene expression in individuals
504 with chronic abdominal pain. *BMC Med Genomics* **7**, 61 (2014).
- 505 35. Melcher, T. *et al.* RED2, a brain-specific member of the RNA-specific adenosine
506 deaminase family. *J Biol Chem* **271**, 31795-8 (1996).
- 507 36. Mittaz, L., Antonarakis, S.E., Higuchi, M. & Scott, H.S. Localization of a novel human
508 RNA-editing deaminase (hRED2 or ADARB2) to chromosome 10p15. *Hum Genet*
509 **100**, 398-400 (1997).

37. Collier, F.M. *et al.* Identification and characterization of a lymphocytic Rho-GTPase effector: rhotekin-2. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* **324**, 1360-9 (2004).
38. Ramos-Quiroga, J.A. *et al.* Genome-wide copy number variation analysis in adult attention-deficit and hyperactivity disorder. *J Psychiatr Res* **49**, 60-7 (2014).
39. Peirce, J.L., Lu, L., Gu, J., Silver, L.M. & Williams, R.W. A new set of BXD recombinant inbred lines from advanced intercross populations in mice. *BMC Genet* **5**, 7 (2004).
40. Churchill, G.A. *et al.* The Collaborative Cross, a community resource for the genetic analysis of complex traits. *Nat Genet* **36**, 1133-7 (2004).
41. Svenson, K.L. *et al.* High-resolution genetic mapping using the Mouse Diversity outbred population. *Genetics* **190**, 437-47 (2012).
42. Pallares, L.F. *et al.* Mapping of Craniofacial Traits in Outbred Mice Identifies Major Developmental Genes Involved in Shape Determination. *PLoS Genet* **11**, e1005607 (2015).
43. Davies, R.W., Flint, J., Myers, S. & Mott, R. Rapid genotype imputation from sequence without reference pane. *Nature Genetics* **in press**(2016).
44. Zaffaroni, D. *et al.* Met proto-oncogene juxtamembrane rare variations in mouse and humans: differential effects of Arg and Cys alleles on mouse lung tumorigenesis. *Oncogene* **24**, 1084-90 (2005).
45. Ma, P.C. *et al.* c-MET mutational analysis in small cell lung cancer: novel juxtamembrane domain mutations regulating cytoskeletal functions. *Cancer Res* **63**, 6272-81 (2003).
46. Bloemberg, D. & Quadriatero, J. Rapid determination of myosin heavy chain expression in rat, mouse, and human skeletal muscle using multicolor immunofluorescence analysis. *PLoS One* **7**, e35273 (2012).
47. Varban, M.L. *et al.* Targeted mutation reveals a central role for SR-BI in hepatic selective uptake of high density lipoprotein cholesterol. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **95**, 4619-24 (1998).
48. Munoz-Bravo, J.L. *et al.* GDNF is required for neural colonization of the pancreas. *Development* **140**, 3669-79 (2013).

Figure Legends

Figure 1

Sequence diversity of the CFW population. **(a)** Distribution of heterozygosity in 100kbp windows genome-wide. **(b)** Histogram of genome-wide heterozygosity. **(c)** Example of novel and total SNP density for a region of chromosome 19. Results are representative of those seen genome-wide. **(d)** Minor allele frequency (MAF) density for population of wild Indian (n=10, 44.9 M whole genome sequencing SNPs), CFW mice (n=2,073, 5.7M imputed SNPs) and HS mice (n=1,904, 11K SNPs from a genotyping array). Known CFW variation refers to those variants also segregating among 14 sequenced classical inbred strains. **(e)** The extent of linkage disequilibrium in CFW and HS mice. Values are mean r^2 between all pairs of SNPs binned by distance to the kbp.

Figure 2

Mapping resolution and effect size of QTLs. Frequency distribution of **(a)** the size and **(b)** the number of genes present in the 95% confidence intervals (CI) in 255 QTLs, **(c)** The sum of variance explained by the QTLs plotted against heritability in 92 measures where heritability could be estimated and at least one QTL was detected. Colour of dots indicates the type of measure: behaviour, physiological (body weight, respiratory, electrocardiography) or tissue (any measure obtained after dissection)

Figure 3

Summary Manhattan plot of 92 phenotypes. Genome-wide representation of all unique QTLs ($n=156$, $FDR<5\%$) identified in this study. Light and dark grey dots show association from the 92 measures where at least one QTL was detected at the tagging SNPs positions ($n=359,559$). Most significant SNPs at each QTL are marked with a colour dot, depending on the type of measure. Y-axis shows $-\log_{10}(P)$ of the imputed allele dosages with tested measures and is truncated at $-\log_{10}(P)=32$. The position of the 2 strongest QTLs with $-\log_{10}(P)$ values of 133 (chr4) and 76 (chr17) is marked by triangles.

Figure 4

Single-gene resolution mapping at 4 loci using the entire set of SNPs (7.1 M). **(a)** Weight of soleus muscle on chromosome 6 ($n=1832$), **(b)** Measure of the number of long sleep episodes on chromosome 9 ($n=1577$), **(c)** Ratio of CD4+ to CD8+ T cells (CD3+) on chromosome 8 ($n=1324$) and **(d)** Intensity of reaction to startle on chromosome 10 ($n=1740$). The plots were drawn using LocusZoom ⁴⁹. Strongest associated SNP is marked with a purple diamond, the other SNPs that passed post-imputation quality control (IMPUTE2-style INFO scores > 0.4 and HWE $r^2>1e^{-6}$;) are coloured following LD r^2 with strongest SNP. The grey dots represent SNPs that failed post-imputation QC and therefore were not used for the analysis.

587 Table 1. QTLs mapping to a single gene

Phenotype	Chr.	Position (Mb)	-logP	Gene	References
<i>Knock-out mouse recapitulates the phenotype</i>					
Weight of soleus muscle (g)	6	17.5	16.2	<i>Met</i>	21-23
Total distance travelled in Elevated Plus Maze (cm)	6	110.2	5.6	<i>Grm7</i>	25
CD45+/CD3-/CD19+ cells (%)	9	32.6	5.8	<i>Fli1</i>	24
<i>Association supported by literature</i>					
CD45+/CD3-/DX5+ cells (%)	1	168.2	4.7	<i>Pbx1</i>	30
Wound healing	2	134.2	5.5	<i>Bmp2</i>	26
Number of long (>1min) sleep episodes	5	51.8	6.8	<i>Ppargc1a</i>	27
Ratio of CD3+/CD4+ to CD3+/CD8+ cells	8	82.4	8.7	<i>Il15</i>	31
Bone mineral content	11	108.2	4.6	<i>Prkca</i>	28,29
CD3+/CD8+ cells (%)	12	25.5	5.4	<i>Id2</i>	32
<i>No previous evidence</i>					
Length of tibia (mm)	5	51.7	4.5	<i>Ppargc1a</i>	
Startle pulse reactivity	6	17.5	6.7	<i>Met</i>	
Calcium (mmol/l)	6	17.5	8.3	<i>Met</i>	
Total Cholesterol (mmol/l)	6	17.5	6.1	<i>Met</i>	
Total Protein (g/l)	6	17.5	27.7	<i>Met</i>	
CD45+/CD3-/CD19+ cells (%)	7	72.2	6.2	<i>Mctp2</i>	
Number of long (>1min) sleep episodes	9	73.8	5.5	<i>Unc13c</i>	
Startle pulse reactivity	10	68.0	8.0	<i>Rtkn2</i>	
Weight of tibialis anterior muscle (g)	11	17.6	6.3	<i>Etaa1</i>	
Length of tibia (mm)	12	83.6	7.1	<i>Zfyve1</i>	
Basal activity	13	7.3	10.6	<i>Adarb2</i>	
Respiratory rate during Hypoxic Ventilatory Decline	13	118.0	5.9	<i>Hcn1</i>	
Total distance travelled in Elevated Plus Maze (cm)	14	82.1	6.2	<i>Pcdh17</i>	
Measure of the size of tibia	15	26.6	5.3	<i>Fbxl7</i>	
Percentage of Eosinophils (%)	17	70.4	5.2	<i>Dlgap1</i>	
Percentage of Eosinophils (%)	X	155.6	6.0	<i>Ptchd1</i>	

Online Methods

Study animals and phenotyping

A total of 2117 outbred mice (Crl:CFW(SW)-US_P08, 1065 males and 1052 females) were purchased from Charles River, Portage, USA at 4-7 weeks of age over a period of 2 years. Animals were selected from the breeding colony as to avoid siblings and half-siblings. Monthly shipments of approximately 130 mice were delivered, maintained and tested at the MRC Harwell in Harwell, Oxfordshire, UK following local regulations. Mice of the same age within each shipment were treated as a batch (approximately 30 animals, range 7 to 36, half males and half females, the total number of batch for the entire study is 69) and each animal randomly assigned a testing order. Mice were housed in IVC cages (3 per cage) on an *ad lib* diet for the duration of the study. At 16 weeks of age 2049 mice started a 4-weeks phenotyping pipeline in which we collected behavioral and physiological data (Suppl. Fig. 1 and full description of the phenotypes measured in the Supplementary Note). Mice within a batch performed each test during the same day following the assigned testing order. The sequencing of the animals was performed after completion of the study so experimenters were blind to the genotype of the mice during testing. Power calculations to estimate the sample size for the mapping experiment assumed effect sizes were similar to those identified in a previous analysis of outbred stocks⁵. Every effort was made to minimize suffering by considerate housing and husbandry. All phenotyping procedures were examined for potential refinements. All animal work was carried out in accordance with UK Home Office regulations. The project was reviewed by the ethics committee at MRC-Harwell: Animal Welfare and Ethical Review Board, approval license PPL 30/2653.

Pre-processing of Phenotype Data

Analysis of the phenotypic data was performed using the R statistical analysis software⁵⁰. Outliers, defined as observations more than 3 standard deviations from the mean, were excluded. The effect of covariates such as sex and batch on quantitative phenotypes were assessed with analysis of variance (ANOVA) and those explaining more than 1% of the variance at $P < 0.05$ were included in a multiple linear regression model from which residual measures were obtained. Batch, defined here as mice of the same age in each individual shipment, was treated as a random effect. All tests with covariates and models used to generate the residuals for genetic mapping are shown in Supplementary Table 1. We then quantile-normalised the residuals to minimize the effects of non-normality.

Sequencing

Genomic DNA was extracted from tissue samples of 2,028 mice that began the pipeline using Nucleon BACC resin (Hologic) following the manufacturer's instructions. DNA was obtained from an additional 45 mice from the same population where no phenotypic measures were available producing a total of 2073 samples for analysis. Each individual DNA sample was then sonicated and barcoded with an in house unique 8-mer oligonucleotide⁵¹. Groups of 95 barcoded DNA samples were pooled and pair end 100bp sequenced on 1 lane Hi-Seq generating read groups of ~30 Gb sequence per lane/pool.

Alignment to mm10 reference and pre-processing of sequence data

BWA version 0.5.6⁵² was used to align the reads from each read group to the mouse mm10 reference genome. The BWA alignments were refined with Stampy v1.0.21⁵³ and converted into the bam format by samtools v0.1.18-dev⁵⁴. Library PCR duplicates were removed with samtools and sequence reads processed following the pipeline described

637 11,14. All bam files were processed through the Indel Realignment and Base Quality Score
638 Recalibration steps of the Genome Analysis Toolkit (GATK) ⁸ recommended Best
639 Practices ⁵⁵. All pre-processing used GATK v2.4-9-g532efad. The option -rf BadCigar was
640 applied to filter out reads that a) have hard/soft clips in the middle of the CIGAR string,
641 b) start or end in deletions, c) fully hard/soft clipped, d) have consecutive INDELs in
642 them. The option -rf BadMate was applied to filter out reads whose mate maps to a
643 different contig. Previously discovered INDELs from all mouse strains in the Mouse
644 Genome Project (MGP) ^{11,56} were used as intervals for Indel Realignment in addition to
645 those discovered in the 2073 mice, and SNPs from the *Mus musculus domesticus* strains
646 in MGP were used as known sites masked for Base Quality Score Recalibration.

647 *Variant calling from low coverage sequencing data*

648 Variant calling was then performed using all 2073 bam files with GATK's Unified
649 Genotyper with thresholds -stand_call_conf 30 and -stand_emit_conf 30, as well as
650 options for building variant quality recalibration tables: -A QualByDepth -A
651 HaplotypeScore -A BaseQualityRankSumTest -A ReadPosRankSumTest -A
652 MappingQualityRankSumTest -A RMSMappingQuality -A DepthOfCoverage -A
653 FisherStrand -A HardyWeinberg -A HomopolymerRun.

654 Raw vcf files from variant calling step for all chromosomes except chromosome Y were
655 pooled together for variant quality score recalibration (VQSR) using GATK's
656 VariantRecalibrator under SNP mode. Training, known and true sets for building the
657 positive model are the SNPs which segregate among the classical laboratory strains of
658 the Mouse Genomes Project ¹¹ (2011 release REL-1211) on all chromosomes except
659 chromosome Y. Transversion ratios (TsTv) and recalibration tables were generated at 14
660 sensitivities (100.0, 99.9, 99.0, 97.0, 95.0, 90.0, 85.0, 80.0, 75.0, 70.0, 65.0, 60.0, 55.0,

50.0) to training sets for runs of VQSR utilizing different sets of annotations. A final set of annotations for VQSR and sensitivities to known sites were chosen to maximize TsTv at both known and novel sites to reduce the rate of false positive calls. Sensitivity of 97% for known sites was selected for a total of 8,597,879 SNPs (6,430,809 known and 2,177,070 novel, TsTv of 2.13 at known sites and 1.56 at novel sites). We then further removed sites that were fixed alternative allele variants (hence non-polymorphic in our study) or were multi-allelic, leaving 7,073,398 (5,701,865 known, 1,371,533 novel, TsTv of 2.13 at known sites and 1.56 at novel sites) biallelic SNPs. The annotations used for VQSR were HaplotypeScore, BaseQualityRankSumTest, ReadPosRankSumTest, MappingQualityRankSumTest, RMSMappingQuality, DepthOfCoverage, FisherStrand, HardyWeinberg, HomopolymerRun.

We used the 7M biallelic SNPs in the mice cohort for imputation, using the method described below. To ensure quality of the imputed SNPs used for downstream genetic analysis, we first extracted those SNPs imputed with high certainty using IMPUTE2-style INFO scores. We observed from inspecting allele distributions that an INFO score greater than 0.4 indicated markers where the three genotype classes were clearly separable. Thus we included only sites that met this criterion. We also discarded sites where more than 10% of mice had maximum genotype probability smaller than 0.9, and on autosomal chromosomes we discarded sites where the P-value for violation of Hardy Weinberg equilibrium was smaller than 10^{-6} . This resulted in a final set of 5.76M SNPs that we used for genetic mapping. Lastly, we used the most current release of the Sanger mouse genomes database (2016, REL-1505, comprising 36 genomes, almost twice the original number) to refine the set of novel SNPs. The number of novel sites among the 5.76M dropped from 799,133 (13.8%) to 152,671 (2.6%) (Supplemental

685 Table S3). However, the TsTv ratios for the novel SNPs remained little changed, at 1.74
686 and 1.73 respectively.

687

688 *Imputation*

689 We developed a novel imputation algorithm, STITCH, described in a separate publication
690 (Davies et al). This employed a hidden Markov model (HMM) that extended the
691 population genetic methods of Li and Stephens⁵⁷, and more specifically the fastPHASE
692 algorithm of Scheet and Stephens⁵⁸. We assume that the CFW population was founded
693 with K unknown ancestral haplotypes and that the chromosomes of each sequenced
694 CFW mice are mosaics of the founder haplotypes. After some experimentation with
695 different values of K we found that K=4 was optimal (ie the population was modeled as
696 being founded from two individuals).

697 Simulating under the model (hidden ancestral states and sequencing reads) consists of:
698 (i) choosing initial state probabilities (π_k) from one of the k haplotypes (ii) choosing
699 where to recombine between ancestral haplotypes assuming $G=100$ of generations since
700 the population's founding and a genetic distance between SNPs t and $t+1$ (σ_t) (iii)
701 choosing the ancestry within each segment with respect to the frequencies of each
702 founder haplotype at that location ($\alpha_{t,k}$), and (iv) sampling read locations, base qualities,
703 underlying unobserved bases and observed sequenced bases, based on the relative
704 probability that ancestral haplotype k emits a reference or ancestral base at SNP t ($\theta_{t,k}$).
705 Together, these represent the parameters of the model $\lambda = (\pi, \sigma, \alpha, \theta)$.

706 To generate the probabilistic genotype of an individual CFW outbred mouse, we first
707 calculate the probability of observing a given sequencing read given membership in

ancestral haplotype k , as follows. We first removed SNPs with low base quality (<17) and SNPs in reads with low mapping quality (<17). For an individual read R_r indexed by r , let J_r be the number of SNPs in the read and $P(s_{r,j} \mid g_i = i) = \phi_{r,j}^i$ the base-quality scaled emission probability of sequencing read $s_{r,j}$ given true underlying genotype i . Let SNP j in read R_r correspond to SNP $u_{r,j}$. We assume the probability of a recombination within a read is low, so we assign each read as having been emitted from a central SNP $t=c_r$. Therefore, the probability of read R_r given it came from ancestral haplotype k is

$$P(R_r \mid q_t = k) = \prod_{j=1}^{J_r} \left(\theta_{u_{r,j},k} \phi_{r,j}^1 + (1 - \theta_{u_{r,j},k}) \phi_{r,j}^0 \right)$$

and the probability of observing all reads at SNP t in a diploid sample given diploid hidden state at SNP t of $q_t = (k_1, k_2)$ is

$$P(O_t \mid q_t = (k_1, k_2)) = \prod_{r:c_r=t} \left(\frac{1}{2} P(R_r \mid q_t = k_1) + \frac{1}{2} P(R_r \mid q_t = k_2) \right)$$

The full chromosome diploid probability is then calculated using the initial, recombination and transition probabilities in the normal manner.

We ran the method for 40 Expectation-Maximisation (EM) iterations, where in each iteration, during the expectation step, state probabilities are calculated for each mouse using the current parameters of the model, while in the maximization step, new initial, transition, recombination and emission parameters are estimated based on state probabilities. Upon completion, haplotype and genotype probabilities, as well as dosages, are calculated. For example, the dosage of the number of Alt alleles is $1 * P(G = (Ref, Alt) \mid O, \lambda) + 2 * P(G = (Alt, Alt) \mid O, \lambda)$ for a given mouse and SNP site.

Selection of tagging SNPs

727 We then identified a subset of 359,559 (353,697 autosomal) tagging SNPs with MAF >
728 0.1% and LD $r^2 < 0.98$. Genotypes at these sites were called based on maximum genotype
729 probability from imputation; genotypes were only called based on maximum genotype
730 probabilities of higher than 0.9, mice with maximum genotype probability of smaller
731 than 0.9 at a particular site would have a missing genotype at the site.

732 *Sample selection based on estimation of Identity by Descent (IBD) between samples*

733 Pairwise Identity by Descent (IBD) was estimated by calculation of pairwise Identity by
734 State (IBS) using PLINK (v1.07) at the tagging SNPs located on the autosomal
735 chromosomes. Mice were excluded from further analysis if they had estimated PIHAT of
736 higher than 0.5 with at least one other mice, or percentage IBS=1 of higher than 0.75
737 with at least one other mice, or percentage of IBS=0 of smaller than 0.25 with at least one
738 other mice. 135 mice were excluded by the above criteria.

739 *Sample Selection based on Principal Component Analysis (PCA)*

740 Linkage Disequilibrium Adjusted Kinship (LDAK, version 5.9) ⁵⁹ was used to estimate
741 local linkage disequilibrium (LD) by calculation of local pairwise correlations between
742 SNPs and generating weightings of each SNP in the calculation of a genetic relatedness
743 matrix (GRM) adjusted for local LD. The GRM was generated using hard-called genotypes
744 at the tagging SNPs of MAF > 5% from all autosomes. Principal component analysis
745 (PCA) was performed on the GRM to derive the top 20 principal components (PCs). PC2
746 separates out four mice from the rest; these four mice were excluded from further
747 analysis.

748 *Estimation of whole-genome SNP-based heritability*

749 LDAK (version 5.9) was used to generate a new GRM using hard-called genotypes of MAF
750 > 5% at the same tagging SNPs in mice remaining in the analysis. Restricted maximum
751 likelihood (REML) was used to estimate h^2 of each of the 200 phenotypes measured.

752

753 *QTL mapping*

754 We mapped quantitative trait loci (QTLs) at the tagging SNPs using purpose-written
755 software in R. For each phenotype k , we used the quantile-normalised residuals \mathbf{y}_k for
756 QTL mapping and heritability analysis. Although we found little evidence of unequal
757 degrees of relatedness between the CFW mice, as a precaution we used mixed models to
758 control for cryptic relatedness and to avoid false positive QTL calls. We first used the
759 imputed dosages of the tagging SNPs on the autosomal chromosomes to compute
760 genome wide kinship matrices (K). Thus, if a_{ip} is the imputed reference allele dosage of
761 SNP p in individual i then the genetic relationship K_{ij} between individuals i, j is defined
762 to be the Pearson correlation coefficient of the vectors a_{ip}, a_{jp} across all autosomal
763 tagging SNPs p . The i, j 'th element of the population-wide genetic relationship matrix K
764 is K_{ij} . We also computed leave-one-out kinship matrices K_c for each chromosome c ,
765 using all tagging SNPs not on chromosome c .

766 We modified the standard mixed model formulation for mapping QTLs by computing
767 separate mixed models for each chromosome, in order to ameliorate the reduction in
768 statistical significance of a locus caused by the same information being present in the
769 kinship matrix. To test association between the phenotype k and tagging SNP p resident
770 on chromosome c , we estimated the phenotypic covariance matrix $V_{kc} = \sigma_{gkc}^2 K_c + \sigma_{ekc}^2 I$

771 where the genetic and environmental variance components $\sigma_{gkc}^2, \sigma_{ekc}^2$ are estimated as
 772 above, and factorized it into its square root using the eigen-decomposition

$$V_{kc} = E'_{kc} \Lambda_{kc} E_{kc} = (E'_{kc} \Lambda_{kc}^{1/2} E_{kc})^2 = A_{kc}^2$$

773 where E_{kc} is the orthogonal matrix of eigenvectors and Λ_{kc} the diagonal matrix of
 774 eigenvalues of V_{kc} . Then we fitted the transformed mixed model

$$\mathbf{z}_{kc} = A_{kc}^{-1} \mathbf{y}_k = \mu + \alpha(A_{kc}^{-1} \mathbf{a}_p) + \mathbf{e}$$

775 where μ, α are parameters to be estimated, and the error vector \mathbf{e} is uncorrelated so the
 776 model can be fit efficiently by computing the correlation coefficient of $\mathbf{z}_{kc}, A_{kc}^{-1} \mathbf{a}_p$.

777 Nominal statistical significance at a locus was measured as the logP (the negative log₁₀ of
 778 the P-value of the ANOVA comparing the fit of the allele model to the null model). We
 779 defined a candidate QTL as any locus such that the logP was at a local maximum
 780 compared to the tests at neighbouring loci, and no other locus within 3Mb had a larger
 781 logP.

782 We estimated separate genome-wide thresholds for each phenotype, aiming to control
 783 the per-phenotype false discovery rate (FDR). We made $Q = 100$ permutations of each
 784 transformed phenotype vector \mathbf{z}_{kc} , keeping the transformed allele dosages fixed, and
 785 refitted the model. This is efficient because most of the computational effort in fitting a
 786 mixed model is reusable when fitting the permuted phenotypes. We found candidate
 787 QTLs in the permuted data in the same way and estimated the per-phenotype FDR of a
 788 QTL as

$$FDR_k(x) = \frac{P_k(x)}{QN_k(x)}$$

789 where $N_k(x)$, $P_k(x)$ are the numbers of QTLs with $\log P \geq x$ observed for phenotype k in
790 the unpermuted and permuted data respectively, and $Q = 100$ is the number of
791 permutations. Custom R code for QTL mapping, written specifically for this project, is
792 available from R.M.

793

794 *Fine mapping*

795 Once a QTL had been mapped using the tagging SNPs and exceeded the FDR threshold,
796 association was re-calculated with all imputed SNPs (from the 5.7M set) in a 20Mb
797 window around the peak using the same mixed model.

798 *Confidence Interval Estimation*

799 Confidence intervals were estimated by simulation. First, at each QTL, a residual
800 phenotype was constructed by removing the effect of the top SNP at the QTL from the
801 phenotype vector used in the QTL mapping above. This ablated the QTL whilst
802 maintaining genetic contributions from elsewhere in the genome. Next, 1000 SNPs were
803 selected at random, subject to the constraint that they were within 2.5Mb of the top SNP
804 and were polymorphic in the subset of individuals phenotyped for the trait (where the
805 95% interval estimate was 2.0 Mb or greater, we repeated the analysis using SNPs up to
806 10Mb from the top SNP). A causal variant was simulated at the SNP, with effect size
807 matching that of the top SNP, taking account of the allele frequency, and its trait value
808 added to the residual phenotype. A local scan of the region using the same mixed model
809 but the simulated phenotype was performed and the location and $\log P$ of the top SNP
810 recorded. Across the 1000 simulations, we estimated the distribution of the drop Δ in
811 $\log P$ between the simulated top SNP and the simulated causal SNP (this was zero when

the top and causal SNPs coincided). We used the fraction of simulations $f(\Delta)$ within Δ to determine confidence intervals for the original phenotype data. Thus we identified the range of SNPs within 2.5Mb of the top SNP and with a logP drop less than Δ to define the 100 $f(\Delta)$ % confidence interval for the QTL. We did this using both the tagging SNPs and the fine-mapping SNPs.

Power Calculation

Since we applied an FDR approach to call QTLs we did not require a logP threshold that would be required in order to determine power. However, in order to estimate power and the effects of sample size and effect size, we determined approximate genome-wide thresholds based on permutations of the mixed-model transformed phenotypes $z = A^{-1}y$ keeping the genotypes fixed in order to preserve LD structure. For each of the 200 phenotypes, we performed 100 permutations and computed the genome-wide maximum logP across the 359,559 tagging SNPs in order to define genome-wide thresholds $T(p)$ at $p = 0.5, 0.1, 0.05$ levels of significance (e.g. the threshold $T(p)$ is such that in a fraction p of simulations the genomewide maximum logP exceeds $T(p)$). Thresholds vary slightly between phenotypes, so we used the thresholds obtained by pooling all 20,000 simulations to estimate power for sample sizes $N = 1000, 1732, 2000, 4000$ and apparent effect sizes $v = 0.01, 0.016, 0.02$. ($N = 1732$ and $v = 0.016$ are the median sample size and effect size in the current study). Power $\pi(N, v, T)$ to detect a QTL with effect size v and sample size N at genome-wide logP threshold T , was computed as $\pi(N, v, T) = \Pr(X > w(T) | X \sim \chi^2_{1, Nv})$ where $\chi^2_{1, Nv}$ is the noncentral chi-square distribution on 1df with noncentrality parameter Nv , and $w(T)$ is the quantile of a standard chi-squared distribution corresponding to logP T , ie $\Pr(X > w(T) | X \sim \chi^2_{1,0}) = 10^{-T}$.

836 References

- 837 49. Pruim, R.J. *et al.* LocusZoom: regional visualization of genome-wide association
838 scan results. *Bioinformatics* **26**, 2336-7 (2010).
- 839 50. R Core Team. R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. 3.1.3 edn
840 (R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria, 2015).
- 841 51. Lamble, S. *et al.* Improved workflows for high throughput library preparation
842 using the transposome-based Nextera system. *BMC Biotechnol* **13**, 104 (2013).
- 843 52. Li, H. & Durbin, R. Fast and accurate short read alignment with Burrows-Wheeler
844 transform. *Bioinformatics* **25**, 1754-60 (2009).
- 845 53. Lunter, G. & Goodson, M. Stampy: a statistical algorithm for sensitive and fast
846 mapping of Illumina sequence reads. *Genome Res* **21**, 936-9 (2011).
- 847 54. Li, H. *et al.* The Sequence Alignment/Map format and SAMtools. *Bioinformatics* **25**,
848 2078-9 (2009).
- 849 55. DePristo, M.A. *et al.* A framework for variation discovery and genotyping using
850 next-generation DNA sequencing data. *Nat Genet* **43**, 491-8 (2011).
- 851 56. Yalcin, B. *et al.* Sequence-based characterization of structural variation in the
852 mouse genome. *Nature* **477**, 326-9 (2011).
- 853 57. Li, N. & Stephens, M. Modeling linkage disequilibrium and identifying
854 recombination hotspots using single-nucleotide polymorphism data. *Genetics* **165**, 2213-
855 33 (2003).
- 856 58. Scheet, P. & Stephens, M. A fast and flexible statistical model for large-scale
857 population genotype data: applications to inferring missing genotypes and haplotypic
858 phase. *Am J Hum Genet* **78**, 629-44 (2006).
- 859 59. Speed, D., Hemani, G., Johnson, M.R. & Balding, D.J. Improved heritability
860 estimation from genome-wide SNPs. *Am J Hum Genet* **91**, 1011-21 (2012)







