

Rates of adverse outcomes and revision surgery following anterior cruciate ligament (ACL) reconstruction: a study of 104,255 procedures using the national hospital episodes database for England, UK

1. S.G.F. Abram^{1,2} *BMBCh MA(Oxon) MRCS*
2. A. Judge^{1,2,3,4} *M.Sc.(Soton.) PhD*
3. D.J. Beard^{1,2} *GDPHys MCSP MA MSc DPhil*
4. A.J. Price^{1,2} *BA MBBS FRCS(Tr&Orth) DPhil*

Author addresses:

¹Nuffield Department of Orthopaedics, Rheumatology and Musculoskeletal Sciences, University of Oxford, OX3 7LD

²NIHR Biomedical Research Centre, Oxford, OX3 9DU

³Musculoskeletal Research Unit, University of Bristol, BS8 1QU

⁴NIHR Biomedical Research Centre, Bristol, BS8 1QU

Author titles:

SA: NIHR Doctoral Research Fellow

AJ: Professor of Translational Statistics

DB: Professor of Musculoskeletal Sciences

AP: Professor of Orthopaedic Surgery

Corresponding author:

Simon Abram

simon.abram@ndorms.ox.ac.uk

T: +44 1865 223425

NDORMS, University of Oxford, Botnar Research Centre, Windmill Road, Oxford OX3 7LD.

Main institution:

Nuffield Department of Orthopaedics, Rheumatology and Musculoskeletal Sciences, University of Oxford

Word count:

3433

Keywords:

cruciate ligament; risk; revision; complications; adverse events; acl; anterior cruciate ligament

40 **ABSTRACT**

41

42 **BACKGROUND**

43 Following ACL injury, ACL reconstruction is an elective procedure and therefore an understanding of the
44 attributable risk from undergoing ACL reconstruction is necessary for patients to make a fully informed
45 treatment decision.

46 **PURPOSE**

47 To determine the absolute risk of adverse outcomes including reoperation following ACL reconstruction with
48 comparison, where possible, to the rate of adverse events reported in the general population.

49 **STUDY DESIGN**

50 Cohort study

51 **METHODS**

52 National hospital data on all ACL reconstructions performed in England between April 1, 1997 and March 31,
53 2017 were analysed. Revision cases, bilateral procedures within 6 months, and cases with concurrent cartilage
54 or multiple ligament surgery were excluded. The primary outcome was the occurrence of at least one serious
55 complication (myocardial infarction, stroke, pulmonary embolism, infection requiring surgery, fasciotomy,
56 neurovascular injury, or death) within 90-days. Additionally, 5-year rates of revision ACL reconstruction,
57 contralateral reconstruction, and meniscal surgery were investigated.

58 **RESULTS**

59 133,270 ACL reconstructions were performed of which 104,255 were eligible for analysis. Within 90 days,
60 serious complications occurred in 675 (0.65%; 95% confidence interval [CI] 0.60-0.70), including 494
61 reoperations for infection (0.47%; 95% CI 0.43-0.52) and 129 pulmonary embolisms (0.12%; 95% CI 0.10-
62 0.15). Of 54,275 patients with at least 5 years follow-up, 1746 (3.22%; 95% CI 3.07-3.37) underwent revision
63 ACL reconstruction in the same knee, 1553 contralateral ACL reconstruction (2.86%; 95% CI 2.72-3.01), and
64 340 (0.63%; 0.56-0.70) subsequent meniscal surgery. The overall risk of serious complications fell over time
65 (adjusted odds ratio [OR] 0.96 per year; 95% CI 0.95-0.98) however older patients (adjusted OR 1.11 per 5-
66 years; 95% CI 1.07-1.16) and patients with a greater modified Charlson comorbidity index (adjusted OR 2.41
67 per 10-units; 95% CI 1.65-3.51) were are higher risk. For every 850 (95% CI 720-1039) ACL reconstructions

68 done, one pulmonary embolism could be provoked. For every 213 (95% CI 195-233), one native knee joint
69 infection could be provoked.

70 **CONCLUSION**

71 The overall risk of adverse events following ACL reconstruction is low, however some rare but serious
72 complications, including infection or pulmonary embolism, may occur. Around 3% of patients undergo a
73 further same side or opposite side ACL reconstruction within five years. These data will inform shared
74 decision making between clinicians and patients considering their treatment options.

75

76 **What is known about this subject**

- 77 • Before this study, several systematic reviews have attempted to estimate the risk of adverse events
78 after ACL reconstruction however the findings have been limited by small numbers of studies, small
79 number of patients, non-representative data sources, and concerns about incomplete data capture.
- 80 • For infection rates, a systematic review of level 2 studies published in 2017 reported a 0.43% deep
81 infection rate (58/13401; 95% CI 0.32 to 0.56). For pulmonary embolism, a systematic review of 47
82 included studies published in 2016 reported a rate of 0.14% (1/704; 95% CI 0.00 to 0.79). A rate of
83 revision ACL reconstruction of 3.59% (587/16336; 95% CI 3.31 to 3.89) was reported from a large
84 military health database series in 2016. A systematic review published in 2015 previously estimated a
85 12.5% (335/2682) risk of contralateral ACL injury.

86

87 **What this study adds to existing knowledge**

- 88 • ACL reconstruction was associated with a 0.65% risk of serious complications within 90 days
89 (pulmonary embolism, infection requiring surgery, myocardial infarction, stroke, fasciotomy,
90 neurovascular injury, death).
- 91 • Using comparative general population data, it was determined that for every 850 ACL reconstructions
92 performed, one pulmonary embolism and four native knee joint infections could be provoked.
- 93 • Within 5-years, 3.2% patients require revision ACL reconstruction in the same knee, 0.63% undergo
94 meniscal surgery in the same knee, and 2.9% undergo ACL reconstruction in the opposite knee.
95 Women are at lower risk of revision surgery and meniscal surgery but not opposite side
96 reconstruction, in comparison to men.

- Although the risk of adverse events after ACL reconstruction is greater than after arthroscopic partial meniscectomy, the overall risk from this type of surgery remains low.
- Nevertheless, as ACL reconstruction may be an avoidable intervention for many people following ACL injury, this new understanding of risk and reoperation rates is crucial for patients to be able to make an informed treatment decision.

103 INTRODUCTION

104

105 The incidence of anterior cruciate ligament (ACL) injury in the population is approximately 0.03%
106 per year, rising to over 3% in studies of certain professional athletes.²⁶ Reconstruction of the ACL is
107 frequently recommended due to functional impairment and concerns about the development of
108 meniscal or articular cartilage damage from recurrent instability of the knee.^{15,35} The population rate
109 of ACL reconstruction ranges from approximately 8 to 52/100,000 people between countries;^{5,26} in
110 England, the intervention rate was 24/100,000 in 2016-17.²

111

112 In 2010, a clinical trial indicated that for many patients with an ACL injury, a strategy of
113 rehabilitation with optional delayed ACL reconstruction may avoid the need for surgery.¹⁶ Other
114 groups, however, continue to advocate early reconstruction due the risks of cartilage and meniscal
115 damage from recurrent knee instability.^{11,14,16,19,25} As ACL reconstruction is an elective procedure,
116 besides the anticipated benefits of undergoing the procedure, it is crucially important for patients and
117 clinicians to have knowledge of the specific risks.⁶ Before this study, several studies have attempted
118 to estimate the risk of adverse events after ACL reconstruction.^{4,13,21,22,24,32-34} The findings, however,
119 have generally been limited by small numbers of studies, small number of patients, non-
120 representative data sources, and concerns about incomplete data capture.

121

122 The purpose of this study was to determine the risks of adverse outcomes following anterior cruciate
123 ligament reconstruction within 90-days of surgery, with comparison, where possible, to the rate of
124 adverse events reported in the general population. The secondary aim was to examine the risk of
125 subsequent ipsilateral and contralateral ACL reconstruction within 5-years.

126

127

128 **METHODS**

129

130 Data source

131 National Hospital Episode Statistics (HES) data was obtained from NHS Digital (application DARS-
132 NIC-68703) and linked with the Office for National Statistics (ONS) mortality dataset. HES contains
133 a record of all patient attendances at NHS hospitals in England, covering episodes of care delivered
134 in treatment centres (including those in the independent sectors) funded by the NHS, episodes of care
135 in England where patients are resident outside of England, and privately funded patients treated
136 within NHS England hospitals.²⁸ The information recorded in the HES database includes patient
137 demographic and residence data, primary and secondary diagnoses including comorbidities, and all
138 procedures undertaken. The ONS mortality dataset contains national death certificate data,
139 irrespective of whether the death occurred in hospital or in the community.

140

141 Procedures

142 All HES records between 1 April 1997 and 31 March 2017 were extracted for patients undergoing
143 ACL reconstruction. Episodes were identified from the Classification of Surgical Operations and
144 Procedures (OPCS-4) codes in the procedure fields within the HES data (W742 [excluding repair or
145 synthetic graft procedures W841, W842, W723, W724]).²⁹ Simultaneous or staged (within 6 months)
146 bilateral cases were excluded along with cases with concurrent articular cartilage or multiple
147 ligament surgery. Per patient, per side, only the first (primary) ACL procedure was included as an
148 index procedure. For each patient identified as undergoing an index ACL reconstruction, all the
149 patient's prior and subsequent hospital episodes were identified for the entire data extraction period.
150 Subsequent revision procedures in the same patient were not eligible for analysis as index procedures
151 but were analysed as reoperation outcomes (see Outcomes, below). Cases missing essential data
152 (age, sex, procedure date, procedure laterality) were excluded from the study. Cases missing non-
153 essential data (index of multiple deprivation, ethnicity, rurality) were included except for analyses
154 adjusting for these specific variables.

155

156 Outcomes

157 Complications were identified by a review of a combination of the twenty International Statistical
158 Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems (ICD-10) diagnosis fields per hospital
159 episode and twenty-four OPCS operation fields per episode.^{29,39} Complications identified from the
160 ICD-10 diagnosis fields were as follows: pulmonary embolism (PE), myocardial infarction, stroke,
161 lower respiratory tract infection, acute kidney injury, urinary tract infection, and neurovascular
162 injury. Complications identified from the OPCS operation codes were confirmed to match with the
163 laterality (left versus right) of the index procedure using the OPCS laterality codes. The procedure-
164 based complications were as follows: joint infection, fasciotomy, general reoperation (any surgical
165 procedure performed in the same knee within 90 days), revision ACL reconstruction, meniscal
166 surgery, contralateral ACL reconstruction. Mortality data was extracted from the ONS mortality
167 fields. The primary outcome was the occurrence of at least one serious complication within 90-days
168 of an index ACL reconstruction. A serious complication was defined as either myocardial infarction,
169 stroke, pulmonary embolism, infection requiring surgery (open or arthroscopic irrigation and lavage
170 or debridement [excluding haemarthrosis]), fasciotomy, neurovascular injury, or death. The rate of
171 each individual complication was then evaluated secondarily, with each complication counted
172 whether in isolation or in combination with other adverse outcomes. The secondary outcomes were
173 revision ACL reconstruction procedures, subsequent meniscal surgery procedures in the same knee,
174 and contralateral knee ACL reconstruction procedures within 5-years.

175

176 Statistical analysis

177 Stata v15.1 (StataCorp, College Station, Texas, USA) was used to perform all analysis. Descriptive
178 statistics were used to report demographic data. Complication rates were reported with confidence
179 intervals corresponding to the proportion of the study sample. Logistic regression methods were used
180 to first calculate the unadjusted odds of each complication occurring within 90-days by age, sex,
181 index of multiple deprivation (quintile derived from regional factors in England including average

182 income, employment, education, housing, and crime; 1=least deprived area, 5=most deprived),³⁰
183 ethnicity, modified Charlson comorbidity index (Summary Hospital-level Mortality Indicator
184 Specification; derived with a maximum 5-year ICD-10 diagnosis code lookback period),^{7,20,41} year of
185 treatment, ethnicity, and rurality. The odds ratios were then adjusted including all these variables in
186 the same statistical model. Cox proportional hazards modelling, adjusted in the same manner, was
187 used to identify associations with same side revision ACL reconstruction, contralateral ACL
188 reconstruction, and same side meniscal surgery. Long-term rates of revision ACL reconstruction
189 were also assessed using a mortality adjusted Kaplan-Meier survival analysis (not undergoing
190 revision surgery).

191

192 In order to guide patients and clinicians regarding the relative risk of undergoing the procedure, age-
193 sex matched general population study data was reviewed where available in published data.
194 Population and adverse event numbers were extracted from the ONS national mortality report and
195 from publications reporting population rates of myocardial infarction, pulmonary embolus, stroke,
196 and septic arthritis respectively.^{10,12,17,36,38} Annualised rates were adjusted directly to estimate 90-day
197 complication rates. The relative risk (risk ratio) of adverse events was calculated, where possible, by
198 comparing the adverse event rate in an age-sex matched sample of patients in the general population.
199 The number needed to harm (NNH) was calculated from the risk difference between the study cohort
200 and the general population data (attributable risk).

201

202 Role of the funding source

203 The sponsors of the study had no role in the design or conduct of the study. All authors take
204 responsibility for the contents of the study and the decision to proceed to publication.


205 **RESULTS**

206

207 Between 1 April 1997 and 31 March 2017, 133 270 ACL reconstructions (124 489 patients) were
208 identified of which 104 255 (102 309 patients) were included (Figure 1). The mean age of the case
209 cohort was 29.2 years (SD 9.68) and 23 435 (22.5%) were performed in female patients (Table 1).
210 The majority of patients had no record of comorbidities (modified Charlson index zero; 90.65%),
211 were of white ethnicity (75.62%), and from urban regions (79.97%) (Table 1). For five-year follow-
212 up ipsilateral ACL and meniscal surgery and contralateral ACL reconstruction, data was available
213 for 54,275 procedures (Table 2).

214

215 **Figure 1: Case selection**



231 ** Same side or contralateral surgical procedures (not mutually exclusive). Other procedures included knee arthroplasty, fusion,*
232 *interposition.*

233

234 **TABLE 1: Demographics**

235

	n	%
All procedures		
Total	104 255	100%
Sex		
Male	80820	77.52% (77.27, 77.77)
Female	23435	22.48% (22.23, 22.73)
Age group (years)		
<20	15357	14.73% (14.52, 14.95)
20-39	72331	69.38% (69.10, 69.66)
40-59	16069	15.41% (15.19, 15.63)
60-79	475	0.46% (0.42, 0.50)
80+	23	0.02% (0.01, 0.03)
Charlson index		
0	94503	90.65% (90.47, 90.82)
1 - 15	9711	9.31% (9.14, 9.49)
16 - 30	39	0.04% (0.03, 0.05)
31 - 50	2	0.00% (0.00, 0.01)
IMD		
1	21761	20.87% (20.63, 21.12)
2	20853	20.00% (19.76, 20.25)
3	20902	20.05% (19.81, 20.29)
4	20173	19.35% (19.11, 19.59)
5	18860	18.09% (17.86, 18.33)
Missing	1706	
Rurality		
Urban	83376	79.97% (79.73, 80.22)
Rural	20064	19.25% (19.01, 19.49)
Missing	815	
Ethnicity		
White	78839	75.62% (75.36, 75.88)
Asian	4658	4.47% (4.34, 4.60)
Black	2025	1.94% (1.86, 2.03)
Mixed	1245	1.19% (1.13, 1.26)
Other	1821	1.75% (1.67, 1.83)
Missing	15667	

236

237 The rates of adverse medical and surgical outcomes within 90-days, and ligamentous or meniscal
238 reoperation within 5-years, are summarised in Table 2. Overall, 0.97% underwent any reoperation
239 with 90-days (1013/104255; 95% CI 0.91 to 1.03) and 0.65% developed a serious complication

(675/104255; 95% CI 0.60 to 0.70) including a rate of 0.47% reoperations for presumed deep infection (494/104255; 95% CI 0.43 to 0.52). The rate of pulmonary embolism was 0.12% (129/104255; 95% CI 0.10 to 0.15), of neurovascular injury was 0.02% (19/104255; 95% CI 0.01 to 0.03), and of fasciotomy was 0.02% (17/104255; 95% CI 0.01 to 0.03). Within 5-years, 3.22% (1746/54275; 95% CI 3.07 to 3.37) underwent a revision ACL reconstruction in the same knee, 2.86% (1553/54275; 95% CI 2.72 to 3.01) underwent ACL reconstruction to the opposite knee, and 0.63% (340/54275; 95% CI 0.56 to 0.70) underwent subsequent meniscal surgery in the same knee. The longer-term rates of revision ACL reconstruction are summarised in the mortality adjusted Kaplan-Meier chart, Figure 2, estimating revision rates out to 15-years by age group. Younger patients were at greater risk of revision as shown (Figure 2, Table 4).

250


251 **TABLE 2: Adverse outcomes within 90-days and reoperation rates within 5-years**

	n	% (95% CI)
90-day adverse outcomes (n=104 255)		
Any re-operation‡	1013	0.97% (0.91, 1.03)
Serious complication †	675	0.65% (0.60, 0.70)
Infection*	494	0.47% (0.43, 0.52)
Lower respiratory tract infection	102	0.10% (0.08, 0.12)
Urinary tract infection	44	0.04% (0.03, 0.06)
Pulmonary embolism	129	0.12% (0.10, 0.15)
Myocardial infarction	4	< 0.01% (0.00, 0.01)
Mortality	14	0.01% (0.01, 0.02)
Stroke	2	< 0.01% (0.00, 0.01)
Acute kidney injury	13	0.01% (0.01, 0.02)
Neurovascular injury	19	0.02% (0.01, 0.03)
Fasciotomy	17	0.02% (0.01, 0.03)
Fatal pulmonary embolus	0	0.00% (0.00, 0.00)
5-year reoperation (n = 54 275)		
Revision ACLr	1746	3.22% (3.07, 3.37)
Subsequent contralateral ACLr	1553	2.86% (2.72, 3.01)
Meniscal surgery	340	0.63% (0.56, 0.70)

252

† = At least one serious complication within 90 days, defined as either pulmonary embolism, myocardial infarction, stroke, infection requiring surgery, fasciotomy, neurovascular injury, or death; * = Infection requiring surgery (open or arthroscopic lavage and irrigation or debridement of wound or joint); ‡ = Any procedure performed in the same knee (e.g. washout, meniscal repair, repeat meniscectomy, chondroplasty, ligamentous surgery, fasciotomy); ACLr = ACL reconstruction

Figure 2: Long-term survival curve (not undergoing subsequent revision ACL reconstruction)



* Age group > 59 years suppressed due to small numbers

Patient factors associated with adverse outcomes within 90-days are summarised in Table 3. Female patients were at lower odds of serious complications and infection; the overall odds of serious complications and of infection fell slightly over time (Table 3). Older age groups (under 20-years vs. 20-39-years vs. 40-59-years) were at greater risk of serious complications and pulmonary embolism as were patients with a greater modified Charlson comorbidity index (Table 3). Index of multiple deprivation and rurality did not affect the odds of complications. Patients of black ethnicity were found to be at greater odds of serious complications (adjusted OR 1.61; 95% CI 1.03 to 2.52) mainly

269 due to greater odds of infection in comparison to patients in White ethnicity groups (adjusted OR
 270 1.88; 95% CI 1.16 to 3.05). The adjusted odds of serious complications by each patient factor
 271 (including patient age) is shown graphically in the forest plot, Figure 3.

272

273 **TABLE 3: Unadjusted and adjusted odds[‡] of serious complication, pulmonary embolism, infection**


274

	Serious complication † (90 days)		Pulmonary embolism (90 days)		Infection* (90 days)	
	Crude OR (95% CI)	Adjusted OR (95% CI)	Crude OR (95% CI)	Adjusted OR (95% CI)	Crude OR (95% CI)	Adjusted OR (95% CI)
Sex						
Male	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Female	0.63 (0.51, 0.77)	0.61 (0.49, 0.77)	0.96 (0.63, 1.45)	0.91 (0.58, 1.41)	0.49 (0.38, 0.64)	0.48 (0.36, 0.65)
Age group						
<20	0.61 (0.47, 0.79)	0.60 (0.45, 0.80)	0.35 (0.15, 0.81)	0.42 (0.18, 0.98)	0.65 (0.48, 0.87)	0.64 (0.47, 0.88)
20-39	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
40-59	1.20 (0.98, 1.46)	1.26 (1.02, 1.56)	2.25 (1.54, 3.30)	2.50 (1.66, 3.76)	0.88 (0.68, 1.13)	0.90 (0.68, 1.18)
60-79	2.25 (1.06, 4.77)	1.94 (0.84, 4.45)	5.74 (1.81, 18.24)	5.32 (1.58, 17.93)	0.82 (0.20, 3.32)	0.45 (0.06, 3.22)
80+	6.83 (0.92, 50.79)	7.63 (1.01, 57.56)	-	-	-	-
Year						
Per year	0.98 (0.96, 0.99)	0.96 (0.95, 0.98)	0.97 (0.94, 1.01)	0.97 (0.93, 1.01)	0.98 (0.96, 1.00)	0.97 (0.94, 0.99)
Modified Charlson comorbidity index						
Per unit	1.11 (1.07, 1.14)	1.10 (1.05, 1.14)	1.16 (1.10, 1.23)	1.11 (1.04, 1.19)	1.07 (1.02, 1.12)	1.08 (1.03, 1.14)
Index of multiple deprivation (quintile)						
1 = least	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
2	1.08 (0.86, 1.36)	1.05 (0.82, 1.34)	0.73 (0.43, 1.24)	0.62 (0.35, 1.09)	1.16 (0.88, 1.52)	1.18 (0.89, 1.58)
3	0.95 (0.75, 1.21)	0.95 (0.74, 1.22)	0.79 (0.47, 1.33)	0.66 (0.37, 1.15)	0.99 (0.74, 1.31)	1.02 (0.76, 1.37)
4	0.93 (0.73, 1.18)	0.83 (0.64, 1.09)	0.56 (0.31, 1.00)	0.48 (0.25, 0.90)	1.02 (0.77, 1.36)	0.91 (0.67, 1.24)
5 = most	1.02 (0.80, 1.29)	0.98 (0.75, 1.27)	1.05 (0.64, 1.72)	1.06 (0.62, 1.78)	1.05 (0.79, 1.40)	0.97 (0.71, 1.32)
Rurality						
Urban	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Rural	1.08 (0.89, 1.30)	1.06 (0.86, 1.31)	0.90 (0.57, 1.42)	0.90 (0.54, 1.49)	1.08 (0.86, 1.34)	1.06 (0.83, 1.36)
Ethnicity						
White	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Asian	1.11 (0.79, 1.56)	1.13 (0.80, 1.60)	1.15 (0.53, 2.48)	1.18 (0.54, 2.56)	1.13 (0.76, 1.69)	1.13 (0.75, 1.69)
Black	1.53 (0.99, 2.38)	1.61 (1.03, 2.52)	0.76 (0.19, 3.06)	0.75 (0.18, 3.09)	1.81 (1.13, 2.91)	1.88 (1.16, 3.05)
Mixed	0.35 (0.11, 1.10)	0.40 (0.13, 1.23)	-	-	0.49 (0.16, 1.52)	0.53 (0.17, 1.65)
Other	1.13 (0.67, 1.93)	1.19 (0.70, 2.03)	1.26 (0.40, 3.98)	1.31 (0.41, 4.15)	1.23 (0.67, 2.24)	1.27 (0.69, 2.32)

275

276 [‡] = procedure level multi-variable logistic regression model including sex, age group, year, Charlson comorbidity index, index of
 277 multiple deprivation, rurality, and ethnicity; OR = odds ratio; CI = confidence interval; † = At least one serious complication within
 278 90 days, defined as either pulmonary embolism, myocardial infarction, stroke, infection requiring surgery, fasciotomy, neurovascular
 279 injury, or death; * = open or arthroscopic irrigation and lavage or debridement; - = suppressed.

280 **Figure 3: Forest plot of adjusted‡ odds of any serious complication within 90-days**



281

282 ‡ = procedure level multi-variable logistic regression model including sex, age group, year, modified Charlson
283 comorbidity index, index of multiple deprivation (quintile), rurality, and ethnicity

284

285 The patient factors associated with the risk of revision ACL reconstruction, contralateral ACL
286 reconstruction, and same side meniscal surgery is summarised in Table 4. Female patients were at
287 lower risk of revision ACL reconstruction (adjusted hazard ratio [HR] 0.81; 95% CI 0.74 to 0.89)
288 and subsequent meniscal surgery (adjusted HR 0.61; 95% CI 0.49 to 0.75), but not contralateral ACL
289 reconstruction (adjusted HR 1.06; 95% CI 0.95 to 1.18; Table 4). Older age groups (under 20-years
290 vs. 20-39-years vs. 40-59-years vs 60-79-years), patients from rural regions or greater deprivation,
291 and patients of Asian and Black ethnicity were less likely to undergo revision ACL reconstruction
292 (Table 4). Patients of Asian ethnicity were also of lower risk of meniscus surgery but increased risk

of contralateral ACL reconstruction (Table 4). All three outcomes were slightly more common over the duration of the study by year of treatment. Patients with a greater modified Charlson comorbidity index were slightly more likely to undergo revision ACL reconstruction (adjusted HR 1.03 per unit; 95% CI 1.01 to 1.06) but not meniscal surgery (adjusted HR 0.99; 95% CI 0.93 to 1.05) or contralateral reconstruction (adjusted HR 0.99; 95% CI 0.96 to 1.03; Table 4).

The rate of mortality was lower in the ACL reconstruction cohort than reported in the general population (risk ratio [RR] 0.09; 95% CI 0.05 to 0.14) (Table 5). For male patients (under the age of 80 years) undergoing ACL reconstruction, the risk of myocardial infarction was lower than in the general population (RR 0.09; 95% CI 0.03 to 0.23). No myocardial infarction was identified for this age-group in a female patient after ACL reconstruction. The rate of stroke (under the age of 75 years) was also lower in the ACL reconstruction cohort than the general population (RR 0.06; 95% CI 0.01 to 0.23). In comparison, the risk of pulmonary embolism (RR 20.60; 95% CI 15.68 to 27.06) and infection (RR 385.91; 95% CI 282.60 to 526.98) was elevated in comparison to general population data. These data correspond to an estimated number needed to harm of 850 (95% CI 720-1039) for one pulmonary embolism and 213 (95% CI 195-233) for one knee joint infection.

TABLE 4: Unadjusted and adjusted hazard ratios for subsequent ipsilateral revision reconstruction, contralateral ligament reconstruction, ipsilateral meniscal surgery

	Revision ACLr		Subsequent Contralateral ACLr		Subsequent meniscal surgery	
	Crude HR (95% CI)	Adjusted HR (95% CI)	Crude HR (95% CI)	Adjusted HR (95% CI)	Crude HR (95% CI)	Adjusted HR (95% CI)
Sex						
Male	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Female	0.79 (0.73, 0.87)	0.81 (0.74, 0.89)	1.05 (0.95, 1.15)	1.06 (0.95, 1.18)	0.62 (0.50, 0.76)	0.61 (0.49, 0.75)
Age group						
<20	1.78 (1.64, 1.93)	1.75 (1.61, 1.91)	2.28 (2.08, 2.51)	2.12 (1.92, 2.35)	2.22 (1.88, 2.61)	2.11 (1.78, 2.50)
20-39	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
40-59	0.52 (0.46, 0.59)	0.51 (0.44, 0.58)	0.44 (0.37, 0.52)	0.42 (0.35, 0.51)	0.36 (0.26, 0.50)	0.34 (0.24, 0.48)
60-79	0.23 (0.07, 0.70)	0.15 (0.04, 0.61)	0.23 (0.06, 0.93)	0.13 (0.02, 0.89)	-	-
80+	-	-	-	-	-	-
Year						

Per year	1.03 (1.02, 1.04)	1.01 (1.00, 1.02)	1.07 (1.06, 1.09)	1.06 (1.04, 1.07)	1.14 (1.11, 1.17)	1.12 (1.09, 1.15)
Modified Charlson comorbidity index						
Per unit	1.03 (1.01, 1.05)	1.03 (1.01, 1.06)	1.00 (0.97, 1.04)	0.99 (0.96, 1.03)	1.01 (0.95, 1.07)	0.99 (0.93, 1.05)
Index of multiple deprivation (quintile)						
1 = least	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
2	0.87 (0.78, 0.96)	0.88 (0.79, 0.97)	0.93 (0.82, 1.06)	0.95 (0.82, 1.09)	0.98 (0.79, 1.20)	0.94 (0.75, 1.16)
3	0.79 (0.71, 0.88)	0.80 (0.72, 0.89)	1.01 (0.89, 1.15)	1.00 (0.87, 1.15)	0.82 (0.66, 1.03)	0.83 (0.66, 1.04)
4	0.78 (0.70, 0.87)	0.74 (0.66, 0.82)	0.99 (0.87, 1.13)	0.96 (0.83, 1.11)	0.79 (0.63, 0.99)	0.75 (0.60, 0.95)
5 = most	0.68 (0.61, 0.77)	0.64 (0.56, 0.72)	1.03 (0.91, 1.18)	0.96 (0.83, 1.11)	0.58 (0.45, 0.74)	0.52 (0.40, 0.69)
Rurality						
Urban	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Rural	0.83 (0.75, 0.91)	0.76 (0.69, 0.84)	1.02 (0.92, 1.13)	0.98 (0.87, 1.11)	0.98 (0.81, 1.17)	0.89 (0.73, 1.08)
Ethnicity						
White	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Asian	0.75 (0.62, 0.90)	0.73 (0.61, 0.89)	1.34 (1.11, 1.61)	1.31 (1.09, 1.59)	0.59 (0.37, 0.91)	0.58 (0.37, 0.91)
Black	0.72 (0.55, 0.95)	0.74 (0.56, 0.97)	1.05 (0.78, 1.42)	1.00 (0.74, 1.35)	0.69 (0.38, 1.25)	0.73 (0.40, 1.34)
Mixed	1.15 (0.85, 1.55)	1.09 (0.81, 1.46)	1.26 (0.87, 1.84)	1.09 (0.75, 1.59)	1.17 (0.63, 2.19)	1.05 (0.56, 1.97)
Other	0.48 (0.33, 0.69)	0.50 (0.34, 0.72)	1.04 (0.75, 1.45)	1.07 (0.77, 1.48)	0.46 (0.21, 1.03)	0.49 (0.22, 1.09)

‡ = procedure level Cox proportional hazards model including sex, age group, year, Charlson comorbidity index, index of multiple deprivation, rurality, and ethnicity. HR = hazard ratio; CI = confidence interval; ACLr = anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction; - = suppressed.

318 **TABLE 5: 90-day adverse event rates in study cohort versus age and/or sex matched general population**
319 **data**

	General population risk % (95% CI) [publication reference]	Study cohort risk % (95% CI)	Risk ratio (95% CI)
Mortality			
Overall:	0.158% (0.157, 0.159) ¹⁰ *	0.013% (0.007, 0.023)	0.09 (0.05, 0.14)
Age < 20 years:	0.007% (0.007, 0.008) ¹⁰ *	0.007% (0.000, 0.036)	0.89 (0.12, 6.30)
Age 20 - 39 years:	0.011% (0.011, 0.012) ¹⁰ *	0.010% (0.004, 0.020)	0.84 (0.40, 1.77)
Age 40 - 59 years:	0.043% (0.042, 0.044) ¹⁰ *	0.031% (0.010, 0.073)	0.73 (0.30, 1.75)
Age 60 - 79 years:	0.233% (0.230, 0.236) ¹⁰ *	0.000% (0.000, 0.774)	-
Age 80 + years:	2.043% (2.026, 2.060) ¹⁰ *	4.348% (0.110, 21.949)	2.08 (0.31, 14.18)
Myocardial infarction			
Men (age < 80):	0.058% (0.049, 0.067) ³⁸	0.005% (0.001, 0.013)	0.09 (0.03, 0.23)
Women (age < 80):	0.028% (0.022, 0.035) ³⁸	0.000% (0.000, 0.016)	-
Pulmonary embolism			
Overall:	0.006% (0.005, 0.007) ³⁶	0.124% (0.103, 0.147)	20.60 (15.68, 27.06)
Stroke			
Age < 75 years:	0.034% (0.030, 0.039) ¹²	0.002% (0.000, 0.007)	0.06 (0.01, 0.23)
Septic arthritis (native knee joint infection)			
Overall:	0.001% (0.001, 0.002) ¹⁷ ‡	0.474% (0.433, 0.517)	385.91 (282.60, 526.98)

- = suppressed;

* = Office for National Statistics population level data for England (2016, excluding death due to cancer);

‡ = excluding iatrogenic causes.

326 **DISCUSSION**

327

328 Principal findings

329 Our study of 104 255 procedures shows that serious adverse events occur rarely following ACL
330 reconstruction and that the risk of serious complications has fallen slightly over time. Most medical
331 adverse events occur less frequently following ACL reconstruction than in the general population
332 which is likely a healthy-cohort observation, however there is an important attributable risk of a
333 pulmonary embolism and infection. For every 850 ACL reconstructions performed, one pulmonary
334 embolism and four knee infections could occur and be attributed to the procedure. Around 3.2% of
335 patients undergo revision ACL reconstruction and 2.9% contralateral ACL reconstruction within
336 five-years. These findings will be crucial to informing patients and clinicians when deciding whether
337 to proceed with elective ACL reconstruction. The recognised benefits of the procedure can now be
338 interpreted in the context of the associated potential risks.

339

340 Comparison with previous studies

341 Despite the number of ACL reconstruction procedures performed worldwide,^{2,5,26} the adverse
342 outcomes attributable to undergoing the procedure have previously been poorly defined. Several
343 studies have reported adverse events following “knee arthroscopy” but few have reported data
344 specifically for ACL reconstruction in comparison to more commonly performed purely
345 arthroscopic, procedures such as arthroscopic partial meniscectomy.^{4,13,21,22,24,32–34,37} In comparison,
346 anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction is a more major intervention, associated with greater
347 operative time and usually both open and arthroscopic incisions and techniques.³¹

348

349 In our study, only pulmonary embolism and infection were found to occur at a greater rate than
350 observed in comparative general population data. These findings are similar to those reported
351 recently for a cohort of 699 965 arthroscopic partial meniscectomy procedures.¹ In this
352 meniscectomy cohort, serious complications occurred in 0.32% (95% CI 0.30 to 0.33) in comparison

353 to 0.65% (95% CI 0.60 to 0.70) following ACL reconstruction using the same definitions. This
354 difference in the serious complication rate was driven in part by a higher reoperation rate for
355 infection following ACL reconstruction at 0.47% (95% CI 0.43 to 0.52) in comparison to 0.14%
356 (95% CI 0.13 to 0.14) following arthroscopic partial meniscectomy.¹ The infection rate identified in
357 our study was similar but statistically more precise with an estimated deep infection rate of 0.43%
358 (58/13401; 95% CI 0.32 to 0.56) ACL reconstruction cases reported in a systematic review of level 2
359 studies in 2017.⁴ It is, however, higher than a rate of 0.14% (7/4933; 95% CI 0.05 to 0.29) reported
360 in a study of the American College of Surgeons National Surgical Quality Improvement Program
361 (ACS NSQIP) database.⁹ With comparison to general population data, the estimated number needed
362 to harm for infection was 213 for our ACL reconstruction cohort versus 749 for the arthroscopic
363 partial meniscectomy cohort.¹

364

365 The rate of pulmonary embolism within 90-days was greater in our ACL reconstruction cohort at
366 0.12% (95% CI 0.10 to 0.15) in comparison to 0.08% (95% CI 0.07 to 0.09) in the recent
367 arthroscopic partial meniscectomy cohort.¹ No cases of fatal pulmonary embolism were identified
368 following ACL reconstruction. The pulmonary embolism rate was comparable but statistically much
369 more precise than the estimated rate of pulmonary embolism reported in a systematic review of
370 forty-seven ACL reconstruction studies published in 2016, which reported a mean pulmonary
371 embolism rate of 0.14% but with wide confidence intervals (1/704; 95% CI 0.00 to 0.79).²² The rate
372 is also the same as another 2016 study of 4933 patients reporting a PE rate of 0.12% within 30-days
373 (6/4933).⁹ With comparison to general population data, the number needed to harm for pulmonary
374 embolism was estimated to be 850 for ACL reconstruction in comparison to 1390 for arthroscopic
375 partial meniscectomy.¹

376

377 Prescribing data is unavailable in this database and therefore the type and duration of any antibiotic
378 or venous thromboembolic (VTE) prophylaxis given to the patients in this study is unknown and it is
379 likely that local practice varied. Previous work suggested that while antibiotic prophylaxis is routine

380 in most countries, VTE prophylaxis rates vary from around 17% Norway, 51% in the United States,
381 to 79% in Denmark.^{18,23} The findings in this study may support further investigation and
382 consideration of routine VTE prophylaxis in this population.

383

384 Other direct surgical complications such as neurovascular injury and fasciotomy could not be
385 compared to general population data. Both neurovascular injury and fasciotomy procedures were
386 identified rarely following ACL reconstruction, at 0.02% in both cases. It must be noted, however,
387 that these rates are approximately 5-10 times greater than reported following arthroscopic partial
388 meniscectomy.¹ The greater risk of these complications, infection, and pulmonary embolism,
389 associated with ACL reconstruction in comparison to arthroscopic partial meniscectomy is
390 unsurprising given the more invasive nature of ACL reconstruction, with additional surgical
391 incisions and more prolonged operative time.³¹

392

393 Regarding reoperation rates, the largest previous study published in 2016 reported an ACL revision
394 rate of 3.6% (587/16336; 95% CI 3.31 to 3.89), utilising US military health record data.³² Our study
395 reports a very similar rate of revision at 3.22% and adds importantly to these data, reporting
396 contralateral ACL reconstruction in 2.86% and same side meniscal surgery in 0.63% within five-
397 years. The risk of revision ACL reconstruction was considerably greater in younger age groups,
398 although it unknown if this reflects differences in injury rates or differences in propensity to re-
399 operate following injury.

400

401 It is interesting that the risk of revision ACL reconstruction in the same knee was similar to the risk
402 of contralateral ACL reconstruction, which suggests that both the reconstructed ligament and the
403 native opposite knee ligament are at comparable risk of rupture following an ACL reconstruction. A
404 systematic review of nine studies and a smaller number of patients previously reported an ipsilateral
405 graft re-rupture rate of 7.9% (211/2682) but a higher 12.5% (335/2682) risk of contralateral ACL
406 injury.²⁴ Rates of subsequent meniscal surgery after ACL injury have rarely been reported. Frobell

et. al. reported a 9.7% (6/62; 95% CI 3.6 to 19.9) following ACL reconstruction and up to 49.2% (29/59; 95% CI 35.9 to 62.5) following a non-operative treatment strategy. A much lower rate of subsequent meniscal surgery was observed in our population at 0.63% (95% CI 0.56 to 0.70) which may reflect higher thresholds for subsequent intervention or lower symptomatic injury rates.

Our study found revision reconstruction rates to be lower in women. This finding is similar to a recent systematic review of smaller studies which reported lower rates of ipsilateral graft rupture in women.²⁷ This review also found women had a higher rate of contralateral knee injury however in our study we found no difference in contralateral knee reconstruction rates in women versus men. Subsequent meniscal surgery rates were also lower in women than men. Our study provides clear reference data for reconstruction rates in men and women and further investigation into the physiological, anatomical, and biomechanical factors that underlie these differences is warranted, with specific focus on preventative interventions. These findings will be crucial to the education of patients following ACL injury and reconstruction and inform collaboration between patients, physiotherapists, and clinicians in optimising current and future rehabilitation strategies.

Strengths and limitations

Our study is strengthened by the inclusion of 104 255 ACL reconstruction cases over a twenty-year period, utilising data from the complete national health database for England, UK. To our knowledge, this is by far the largest reported series of ACL reconstruction cases and the largest to report adverse outcomes. Patients undergoing bilateral surgery (within 6 months), multiple ligament reconstruction, ACL repair, synthetic graft procedures, or articular cartilage surgery were excluded. Patients undergoing concurrent meniscal procedures were, however, included as these procedures were common (approximately 28%) and therefore including these cases makes the cohort more representative of normal practice. Our study is also the most comprehensive evaluation of adverse outcomes, including the broad range of surgical and medical complications that may occur and, where possible, risk has been compared to age-sex matched general population data. The comparison

434 to general population data is relative crude but together with adverse outcome data following
435 arthroscopic partial meniscectomy extracted from the same database, provides for the first time an
436 important estimate of the attributable risk associated with ACL reconstruction.

437

438 HES data includes diagnosis and intervention data from admitted hospital care episodes in the
439 national health service, England, and is collected routinely for hospital reimbursement, audit and
440 research purposes. Although HES comprehensively records diagnosis and procedural data for
441 patients admitted to hospital, there are some limitations. Although our data included a patient-
442 specific modified Charlson comorbidity index, there are some important patient specific factors such
443 as body mass index and smoking status that are not recorded in HES and are likely to impact upon
444 risk. Regarding the accuracy of data coding in the database, the Charlson comorbidity index as
445 calculated from HES diagnosis fields and records of serious vascular complications have been
446 validated against primary care data.^{8,40} Some patient variables such as ethnicity, however, may be
447 less reliably coded. It is also important to note that the OPCS-4 codes used to identify ACL
448 reconstruction procedures for our patient cohort will have captured some posterior cruciate ligament
449 reconstruction procedures. This is inevitable given the nature of the codes used for this procedure but
450 the proportion of the posterior cruciate ligament reconstruction procedures performed is very small
451 in comparison to ACL reconstruction and therefore we believe these procedures are unlikely to have
452 materially altered our findings.³ The first ACL reconstruction per patient, per knee, was considered
453 the primary procedure and revision procedures identified as any subsequent ACL reconstruction.

454 Any primary procedure undertaken prior to 1st April 1997, however, would not be identified and
455 therefore it is possible a small number of revision procedures could have been included in our cohort
456 as no “revision” code is available. From HES data we are also unable to determine the impact from
457 operative factors, such as reconstruction technique and choice of graft on outcome as these operative
458 techniques are not recorded.

459

460 Diagnoses from primary care or the outpatient department are not available in the HES inpatient
461 dataset unless these diagnoses were associated with a later hospital admission for care or surgery.
462 This means, for example, that the reported revision ACL reconstruction and contralateral ACL
463 reconstruction rates in our study will be lower than the true ACL injury rates in the population.
464 Similarly, soft tissue infections managed non-operatively will not have been recorded. Another
465 limitation of the HES database is that laboratory and radiological data is not recorded. Therefore,
466 whilst cases of knee open or arthroscopic irrigation and lavage or debridement with an associated
467 'haemarthrosis' diagnosis code were not recorded as infections, from the remaining lavage and
468 debridement procedures we cannot distinguish procedures performed for a laboratory confirmed
469 infection from those with a suspected infection. The clinical implications in each case may be quite
470 different and an important limitation of this observational HES data. It must also be considered that
471 some patients may have undergone their primary ACL reconstruction in the national health service
472 but subsequent care in the private health sector. This is unlikely to have affected the record of
473 adverse events within 90-days, as patients are highly likely to have returned to a national health
474 hospital in these cases. In the longer term, however, some patients may have chosen to have revision
475 ACL reconstruction or contralateral ACL reconstruction in the private health sector and would not be
476 captured and this must be considered when interpreting our long-term reoperation data. Minor
477 adverse outcomes that may have been managed in primary care would also not be captured. Our
478 findings are, however, the most comprehensive to date regarding the true risk of serious
479 complications associated with undergoing ACL reconstruction and, we believe, should be broadly
480 generalisable to ACL reconstruction practice in developed countries, worldwide.

481

482 Conclusion

483 Whilst the risk of adverse events following ACL reconstruction is greater than following
484 arthroscopic partial meniscectomy, the overall risk is still low and most medical complications
485 (myocardial infarction, stroke, death) occur less frequently following ACL reconstruction than in the
486 general population. Nevertheless, rare but serious complications including pulmonary embolism,

487 infection, fasciotomy and neurovascular injury may be provoked by undergoing ACL reconstruction.
488 Same side and contralateral knee ACL reconstruction was performed in 3.2% and 2.9% of cases
489 within 5-years of primary ACL reconstruction respectively. Our findings will be crucial to informing
490 patients considering the relative risks and benefits of undergoing early ACL reconstruction in
491 comparison to the emerging treatment strategy of rehabilitation and optional delayed ACL
492 reconstruction. Further work is required to optimise not only the primary treatment selection but also
493 strategies to reduce reinjury rates.

494

495 REFERENCES

496

- 497 1. Abram SGF, Judge A, Beard DJ, Price AJ. Adverse outcomes after arthroscopic partial
 498 meniscectomy: a study of 700 000 procedures in the national Hospital Episode Statistics
 499 database for England. *Lancet*. 2018;392(10160):2194-2202. doi:10.1016/S0140-
 500 6736(18)31771-9.
- 501 2. Abram SGF, Price AJ, Judge A, Beard DJ. Anterior cruciate ligament (ACL) reconstruction
 502 and meniscal repair rates have both increased in the past 20 years in England: hospital
 503 statistics from 1997 to 2017. *Br J Sports Med*. January 2019:bjsports-2018-100195.
 504 doi:10.1136/bjsports-2018-100195.
- 505 3. Årøen A, Sivertsen EA, Owesen C, Engebretsen L, Granan LP. An isolated rupture of the
 506 posterior cruciate ligament results in reduced preoperative knee function in comparison with
 507 an anterior cruciate ligament injury. *Knee Surgery, Sport Traumatol Arthrosc*.
 508 2013;21(5):1017-1022. doi:10.1007/s00167-012-2132-1.
- 509 4. Bansal A, Lamplot JD, Vandenberg JT, Brophy RH. Meta-analysis of the Risk of Infections
 510 After Anterior Cruciate Ligament Reconstruction by Graft Type. *Am J Sports Med*.
 511 2017;036354651771445. doi:10.1177/0363546517714450.
- 512 5. Buller LT, Best MJ, Baraga MG, Kaplan LD. Trends in Anterior Cruciate Ligament
 513 Reconstruction in the United States. *Orthop J Sport Med*. 2015;3(1):232596711456366.
 514 doi:10.1177/2325967114563664.
- 515 6. Chan SW, Tulloch E, Cooper ES, Smith A, Wojcik W, Norman JE. Montgomery and
 516 informed consent: where are we now? *BMJ*. 2017;2224(May):j2224. doi:10.1136/bmj.j2224.
- 517 7. Charlson ME, Pompei P, Ales KL, MacKenzie R. A new method of classifying prognostic in
 518 longitudinal studies: development and validation. *J Chronic Dis*. 1987;40:373-383. doi:0021-
 519 9681/87.
- 520 8. Crooks CJ, West J, Card TR. A comparison of the recording of comorbidity in primary and
 521 secondary care by using the Charlson Index to predict short-term and long-term survival in a

- 522 routine linked data cohort. *BMJ Open*. 2015;5(6):1-9. doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2015-007974.
- 523 9. Cvetanovich GL, Chalmers PN, Verma NN, Cole BJ, Bach BR. Risk Factors for Short-term
524 Complications of Anterior Cruciate Ligament Reconstruction in the United States. *Am J*
525 *Sports Med*. 2016;44(3):618-624. doi:10.1177/0363546515622414.
- 526 10. *Deaths - Office for National Statistics*.; 2018.
527 <https://www.ons.gov.uk/peoplepopulationandcommunity/birthsdeathsandmarriages/deaths>.
528 Accessed January 16, 2018.
- 529 11. Evans S, Shaginaw J, Bartolozzi A. ACL reconstruction - it's all about timing. *Int J Sports*
530 *Phys Ther*. 2014;9(2):268-273.
531 <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24790787><http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=PMC4004131>
532 <http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=4004131&tool=pmcentrez&rendertype=abstract>.
- 534 12. Feigin VL, Forouzanfar MH, Krishnamurthi R, et al. Global and regional burden of stroke
535 during 1990-2010: findings from the Global Burden of Disease Study 2010. *Lancet (London,*
536 *England)*. 2014;383(9913):245-254. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24449944>.
537 Accessed January 16, 2018.
- 538 13. Figueiredo S, Sa Castelo L, Pereira AD, Machado L, Silva JA, Sa A. Use of MRI by
539 radiologists and orthopaedic surgeons to detect intra-articular injuries of the knee. *Rev Bras*
540 *Ortop*. 2018;53(1):28-32. doi:10.1016/j.rbo.2016.12.003.
- 541 14. Filbay SR, Roos EM, Frobell RB, Roemer F, Ranstam J, Lohmander LS. Delaying ACL
542 reconstruction and treating with exercise therapy alone may alter prognostic factors for 5-year
543 outcome: an exploratory analysis of the KANON trial. *Br J Sports Med*. 2017;51(22):1622-
544 1629. doi:10.1136/bjsports-2016-097124.
- 545 15. Frank CB, Jackson DW. The science of reconstruction of the anterior cruciate ligament. *J*
546 *Bone Joint Surg Am*. 1997;79(10):1556-1576. <http://jbjs.org/content/79/10/1556.abstract>.
- 547 16. Frobell RB, Roos EM, Roos HP, Ranstam J, Lohmander LS. A randomized trial of treatment
548 for acute anterior cruciate ligament tears. *N Engl J Med*. 2010;363(4):331-342.

- doi:10.1056/NEJMoa0907797.
17. Geirsson ÁJ, Statkevicius S, Víkingsson A. Septic arthritis in Iceland 1990-2002: Increasing incidence due to iatrogenic infections. *Ann Rheum Dis*. 2008;67(5):638-643. doi:10.1136/ard.2007.077131.
18. Granan LP, Forssblad M, Lind M, Engebretsen L. The scandinavian ACL registries 2004-2007: Baseline epidemiology. *Acta Orthop*. 2009;80(5):563-567. doi:10.3109/17453670903350107.
19. Hagino T, Ochiai S, Senga S, et al. Meniscal tears associated with anterior cruciate ligament injury. *Arch Orthop Trauma Surg*. 2015;135(12):1701-1706. doi:10.1007/s00402-015-2309-4.
20. HSCIC. *Summary Hospital-Level Mortality Indicator (SHMI). Indicator Specification. Version 1.25*. Vol v1.25.; 2017. <https://www.digital.nhs.uk/SHMI>.
21. Jameson SS, Downen D, James P, Serrano-Pedraza I, Reed MR, Deehan D. Complications following anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction in the English NHS. *Knee*. 2012;19(1):14-19. doi:10.1016/j.knee.2010.11.011.
22. Janssen RPA, Reijman M, Janssen DM, van Mourik JBA. Arterial complications, venous thromboembolism and deep venous thrombosis prophylaxis after anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction: A systematic review. *World J Orthop*. 2016;7(9):604. doi:10.5312/wjo.v7.i9.604.
23. Keller RA, Moutzouros V, Dines JS, Bush-Joseph CA, Limpisvasti O. Deep Venous Thrombosis Prophylaxis in Anterior Cruciate Ligament Reconstructive Surgery: What Is the Current State of Practice? *Sports Health*. 2018;10(2):156-159. doi:10.1177/1941738117730576.
24. Magnussen RA, Meschbach NT, Kaeding CC, Wright RW, Spindler KP. ACL Graft and Contralateral ACL Tear Risk within Ten Years Following Reconstruction. *JBJS Rev*. 2015;3(1):1. doi:10.2106/JBJS.RVW.N.00052.
25. Monk AP, Davies LJ, Hopewell S, Harris K, Beard DJ, Price AJ. Surgical versus conservative interventions for treating anterior cruciate ligament injuries. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*.

576 April 2016. doi:10.1002/14651858.CD011166.pub2.

577 26. Moses B, Orchard J, Orchard J. Systematic review: Annual incidence of ACL injury and
578 surgery in various populations. *Res Sport Med.* 2012;20(3-4):157-179.
579 doi:10.1080/15438627.2012.680633.

580 27. Nawasreh Z, Adams G, Pryzbylowski O, Logerstedt D. INFLUENCE OF PATIENT
581 DEMOGRAPHICS AND GRAFT TYPES ON ACL SECOND INJURY RATES IN
582 IPSILATERAL VERSUS CONTRALATERAL KNEES: A SYSTEMATIC REVIEW AND
583 META-ANALYSIS. *Int J Sports Phys Ther.* 2018;13(4):561-574.
584 <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30140550>. Accessed October 17, 2018.

585 28. NHS Digital. Hospital Episode Statistics. <http://content.digital.nhs.uk/hes>. Accessed
586 December 4, 2017.

587 29. NHS Digital. *National Clinical Coding Standards: OPCS-4 (2017)*. Stationery Office; 2017.

588 30. Noble M, Wright G, Smith G, Dibben C. Measuring multiple deprivation at the small-area
589 level. *Environ Plan A.* 2006;38:169-185. doi:10.1068/a37168.

590 31. Paschos NK, Howell SM. Anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction: principles of treatment.
591 *EFORT Open Rev.* 2016;1(11):398-408. doi:10.1302/2058-5241.1.160032.

592 32. Pullen WM, Bryant B, Gaskill T, Sicignano N, Evans AM, DeMaio M. Predictors of Revision
593 Surgery After Anterior Cruciate Ligament Reconstruction. *Am J Sports Med.*
594 2016;44(12):3140-3145. doi:10.1177/0363546516660062.

595 33. Ryan J, Magnussen RA, Cox CL, Hurbanek JG, Flanigan DC, Kaeding CC. ACL
596 reconstruction: Do outcomes differ by sex? A Systematic Review. *J Bone Jt Surg - Ser A.*
597 2014;96(6):507-512. doi:10.2106/JBJS.M.00299.

598 34. Sanders TL, Pareek A, Hewett TE, et al. Long-term rate of graft failure after ACL
599 reconstruction: a geographic population cohort analysis. *Knee Surgery, Sport Traumatol*
600 *Arthrosc.* 2017;25(1):222-228. doi:10.1007/s00167-016-4275-y.

601 35. Sherman MF, Lieber L, Bonamo JR, Podesta L, Reiter I. The long-term followup of primary
602 cruciate ligament repair. *Am J Sports Med.* 1991;19(3):243-255.

- 603 36. Spencer FA, Emery C, Joffe SW, et al. Incidence rates, clinical profile, and outcomes of
604 patients with venous thromboembolism. The Worcester VTE study. *J Thromb Thrombolysis*.
605 2009;28(4):401-409. doi:10.1007/s11239-009-0378-3.
- 606 37. Thorlund JB, Juhl CB, Roos EM, Lohmander LS. Arthroscopic surgery for degenerative knee:
607 systematic review and meta-analysis of benefits and harms. *Bmj*. 2015;350(jun16 3):h2747-
608 h2747. doi:10.1136/bmj.h2747.
- 609 38. Volmink JA, Newton JN, Hicks NR, Sleight P, Fowler GH, Neil HA. Coronary event and case
610 fatality rates in an English population: results of the Oxford myocardial infarction incidence
611 study. The Oxford Myocardial Infarction Incidence Study Group. *Heart*. 1998;80(1):40-44.
612 doi:10.1136/HRT.80.1.40.
- 613 39. World Health Organisation. International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related
614 Health Problems 10th Revision. WHO.
615 <http://apps.who.int/classifications/icd10/browse/2016/en>. Published 2016. Accessed January
616 12, 2018.
- 617 40. Wright FL, Green J, Canoy D, Cairns BJ, Balkwill A, Beral V. Vascular disease in women:
618 comparison of diagnoses in hospital episode statistics and general practice records in England.
619 *BMC Med Res Methodol*. 2012;12(1):161. doi:10.1186/1471-2288-12-161.
- 620 41. Zhang JX, Iwashyna TJ, Christakis NA. The performance of different lookback periods and
621 sources of information for Charlson comorbidity adjustment in Medicare claims. *Med Care*.
622 1999;37(11):1128-1139. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10549615>.
- 623