

Cell Systems

Shotgun Metagenomics of 250 Adult Twins Reveals Genetic and Environmental Impacts on the Gut Microbiome

--Manuscript Draft--

Manuscript Number:	CELL-SYSTEMS-D-16-00034R3
Full Title:	Shotgun Metagenomics of 250 Adult Twins Reveals Genetic and Environmental Impacts on the Gut Microbiome
Article Type:	Research Article
Keywords:	Microbiome; SNPs; heritability; metabolic diseases
Corresponding Author:	Huijue Jia BGI-Shenzhen Shenzhen, Guangdong CHINA
First Author:	Huijue Jia
Order of Authors:	Huijue Jia Hailiang Xie Ruijin Guo Huanzi Zhong Bingcai Qin Qiang Feng Zhou Lan Kirsten J. Ward Matthew Jackson Yan Xia Xu Chen Bing Chen Huihua Xia Changlu Xu Fei Li Xun Xu Jumana Yousuf Al-Aama Huanming Yang Jian Wang Karsten Kristiansen Jun Wang Claire J. Steves Jordana T. Bell Junhua Li Timothy D. Spector
Abstract:	The gut microbiota has been typically viewed as an environmental factor for human health. Twins are well-suited for investigating the concordance of their gut microbiome and decomposing the genetic and environmental influences. However, existing twin studies utilizing metagenomic shotgun-sequencing have included only a few samples.

	<p>Here we sequenced fecal samples from 250 adult twins in the TwinsUK registry and constructed a comprehensive gut microbial reference gene catalog. We demonstrate heritability of many microbial taxa and functional modules in the gut microbiome, including those associated with diseases. Moreover, we identified 8 million single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) in the gut microbiome, and observe a high similarity in microbiome SNPs between twins that slowly decreases after decades of living apart. The results shed new light on the genetic and environmental influences on the composition and function of the gut microbiome that could relate to risk of complex diseases.</p>
--	--

SUPPLEMENTAL FIGURES

Figure S1 related to Figure 1. Construction of the TwinsUK gut microbial reference gene catalog.

Metagenomic sequencing data from the 250 TwinsUK samples were processed with the MOCAT pipeline and the resulting gene catalog was merged with IGC using CD-HIT as previously described (Li et al., 2014), leading to a non-redundant catalog of 11.4 million genes. The pipeline in the dashed box was adapted from Figure 1 of the IGC publication (Li et al., 2014). M, number of male samples; F, number of female samples; U, number of gender unknown samples.

Figure S2 related to Figure 2. Greater resemblance of the gut microbiome between twins. (A) Bray-Curtis and (B) Jensen-Shannon distances of the gut microbial gene abundance profile between samples. MZ, between paired MZ twins; DZ, between paired DZ twins; unpaired, between any two unrelated samples. **, $p < 0.01$; *, $p < 0.05$, Wilcoxon rank sum test.

Figure S3 related to Figure 4. Heritability of KO pathways. KEGG metabolic pathways identified in the TwinsUK cohort are colored to their ACE calculations. Blue, present in less than 50% of the samples; green, ICC MZ < DZ; pink, ICC MZ > DZ; red, ICC MZ > DZ and $p < 0.1$ between ACE and CE models (Table S3B). Pathways were drawn in the order: blue, green, pink and red.

Figure S4 related to Figure 6. Distribution of SNPs in *A. muciniphila*. (A) Total number of SNPs in all samples in each BMI group, and their distribution in protein coding and non-coding regions. The number of samples in each BMI group (obese, overweight, lean) is shown on the right. All subjects in the lean group have a normal BMI (18.50 ~ 24.99) except for 4 underweight subjects (< 18.5). (B) Cumulative coverage of the *A. muciniphila* draft genome by sequencing data from all 250 samples, and distribution of SNPs in different genomic regions.

Figure S5 related to Figure 7. slightly higher SNP similarity score between MZ than DZ twins. (A) To compare all samples at the same sequencing coverage, data in each sample was down-sampled to 10x coverage (Schloissnig et al., 2012). $p = 0.1085$ before and $p = 0.3411$ after down-sampling, one-tailed Wilcoxon rank sum test. (B) After correcting influences related to live apart, $p = 0.2134$ for age-started living apart and $p = 0.1689$ for years lived apart.

SUPPLEMENTAL TABLES

Please see the following Supplemental Tables in the Excel files provided.

Table S1 related to Figure 1. The TwinsUK cohort for metagenomic sequencing.

- (A) Phenotypic information for the twins.
- (B) Statistics for the sequencing data. 1.9 TB of raw Illumina sequencing reads led to 1.8 TB of high-quality non-human reads. Percentage of reads commonly or uniquely mapped to IGC (Li et al., 2014) or the 250 twins gene catalog is also shown (**Fig. 1**).
- (C) The updated reference gene catalog compared to IGC.

Table S2 related to Figure 2. Potential influences of phenotypes on the gut microbiome.

- (A) PERMANOVA for the influence of phenotypes on the gut microbial gene profile. 9999 permutations, Bray-Curtis distance. As one PERMANOVA test was performed for each phenotype, multiple testing was controlled using the Benjamini-Hochberg procedure. Twin pair information used for permutation of the 'order of birth' only.
- (B) Correlation between continuous phenotypes and Bray-Curtis distance between the gut microbial gene profiles of paired twins. These phenotypes are available for most of the 34 MZ and 89 DZ pairs. P-values from Pearson, Spearman, or Kendall's correlation coefficients.

Table S3 related to Figure 3. Heritability of gut microbial taxa. The p-values of the ICC-filtered ($r(\text{MZ}) > r(\text{DZ})$; $r(\text{MZ}) > 0$ and $p < 0.01$) taxa were controlled for multiple testing according to Storey's FDR method.

- (A) Phyla;
- (B) Genera;
- (C) mOTU;
- (D) Species.

Table S4 related to Figure 4. Heritability of gut microbial functions. The p-values of the ICC-filtered ($r(\text{MZ}) > r(\text{DZ})$; $r(\text{MZ}) > 0$ and $p < 0.01$) KOs, modules or pathways were controlled for multiple testing according to Storey's FDR method.

- (A) KOs;
- (B) KO modules;
- (C) KO pathways.

Table S5 related to Figure 5. Heritability of T2D or obesity related strains or functions. The p-values of the ICC-filtered MLGs ($r(\text{MZ}) > r(\text{DZ})$; $r(\text{MZ}) > 0$ and $p < 0.01$) were controlled for multiple testing according to Storey's FDR method.

- (A) T2D MLGs from Qin et al. (Qin et al., 2012).
- (B) Pathways for butyrate synthesis.
- (C) GMMs enriched in low microbial gene richness, i.e. low gene count (LGC), or high gene count (HGC) individuals according to Le Chatelier et al. (Le Chatelier et al., 2013).

Table S6 related to Figure 6. Non-redundant references genomes and SNP counts.

Table S7 related to Figure 7. Correlation between age-related phenotypes and SNP similarity score of paired twins. These phenotypes are available for most of the 34 MZ and 89 DZ pairs. P-values from Pearson, Spearman, or Kendall's correlation coefficients. * for twin pairs that separated between 16-24 years old only.

SUPPLEMENTAL REFERENCES

Le Chatelier, E., Nielsen, T., Qin, J., Prifti, E., Hildebrand, F., Falony, G., Almeida, M., Arumugam, M., Batto, J.-M., Kennedy, S., et al. (2013). Richness of human gut microbiome correlates with metabolic markers. *Nature* 500, 541–546.

Li, J., Jia, H., Cai, X., Zhong, H., Feng, Q., Sunagawa, S., Arumugam, M., Kultima, J.R., Prifti, E., Nielsen, T., et al. (2014). An integrated catalog of reference genes in the human gut microbiome. *Nat. Biotechnol.* 32, 834–841.


Qin, J., Li, Y., Cai, Z., Li, S.S., Zhu, J., Zhang, F., Liang, S., Zhang, W., Guan, Y., Shen, D., et al. (2012). A metagenome-wide association study of gut microbiota in type 2 diabetes. *Nature* 490, 55–60.

Schloissnig, S., Arumugam, M., Sunagawa, S., Mitreva, M., Tap, J., Zhu, A., Waller, A., Mende, D.R., Kultima, J.R., Martin, J., et al. (2012). Genomic variation landscape of the human gut microbiome. *Nature* 493, 45–50.

TABLE FOR AUTHOR TO COMPLETE
KEY RESOURCES TABLE

REAGENT or RESOURCE	SOURCE	IDENTIFIER
Antibodies		
Chemicals, Peptides, and Recombinant Proteins		
Critical Commercial Assays		
BOX,TruSeq PE CLUSTER KIT v3-cBot-HS, BOX 1 of 2	Illumina(Hiseq 2000)	PE-401-3001-1
BOX,TruSeq PE CLUSTER KIT v3-cBot-HS, BOX2 of 2	Illumina(Hiseq 2000)	PE-401-3001-2
FC, PE HiSeq Flow Cell v3 - Grafted	Illumina(Hiseq 2000)	PE-401-3001-FC
TruSeq SBS KIT-HS v3 (200 CYCLES) BOX 1 of 2	Illumina(Hiseq 2000)	FC-401-3001-1
TruSeq SBS KIT-HS v3 (200 CYCLES) BOX 2 of 2	Illumina(Hiseq 2000)	FC-401-3001-2
Deposited Data		
Shotgun-sequenced reads with human sequences removed	This paper	EBI: ERP010708
Reference catalog of 11.4 million genes and other related data	This paper	N/A
Reference catalog of 9.9 million genes	Li et al., 2014	GigaDB: 100064
Experimental Models: Cell Lines		
Experimental Models: Organisms/Strains		

Recombinant DNA		
Sequence-Based Reagents		
Software and Algorithms		
Blast	NCBI	https://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov
Soap2	Li et al., 2009	http://soap.genomics.org.cn/
Samtools	Li et al., 2009	http://samtools.sourceforge.net/
BWA	Li et al., 2009	http://bio-bwa.sourceforge.net/
mOTU	Sunagawa et al., 2013	www.bork.embl.de/software/mOTU/
Other		



Shotgun Metagenomics of 250 Adult Twins Reveals Genetic and Environmental Impacts on the Gut Microbiome

Hailiang Xie^{1,2,11}, Ruijin Guo^{1,2,3,4,11}, Huanzi Zhong^{1,2,11}, Qiang Feng^{1,2,3,11}, Zhou Lan¹, Bingcai Qin¹, Kirsten J. Ward⁵, Matthew A. Jackson⁵, Yan Xia^{1,6}, Xu Chen^{1,7}, Bing Chen^{1,2}, Huihua Xia^{1,2,8}, Changlu Xu^{1,7}, Fei Li^{1,2,6}, Xun Xu^{1,2}, Jumana Yousuf Al-Aama¹, Huanming Yang^{1,2,9}, Jian Wang^{1,2,9}, Karsten Kristiansen^{1,10}, Jun Wang^{1,4,8}, Claire J Steves⁵, Jordana T. Bell⁵, Junhua Li^{1,2,8,†}, Timothy D. Spector^{5,†}, Huijue Jia^{1,2,4,8,12,†}

¹BGI-Shenzhen, Shenzhen 518083, China.

²China National Genebank-Shenzhen, BGI-Shenzhen, Shenzhen 518083, China.

³Shenzhen Engineering Laboratory of Detection and Intervention of Human Intestinal Microbiome, BGI-Shenzhen, Shenzhen 518083, China.

⁴Macau University of Science and Technology, Macau, China.

⁵Department of Twin Research and Genetic Epidemiology, King's College London, London SE1 7EH, UK.

⁶BGI Education Center, University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Shenzhen 518083, China.

⁷Qingdao University-BGI Joint Innovation College, Qingdao University, Qingdao 266071, China.

⁸Shenzhen Key Laboratory of Human Commensal Microorganisms and Health Research, BGI-Shenzhen, Shenzhen 518083, China.

⁹James D. Watson Institute of Genome Sciences, Hangzhou 310058, China.

¹⁰Department of Biology, University of Copenhagen, Ole Maaløes Vej 5, 2200 Copenhagen, Denmark.

¹¹Co-first authors.

¹²Lead contact: H. J. (jiahuijue@genomics.cn).

[†]Correspondence should be addressed to H. J. (jiahuijue@genomics.cn), T. D. S. (tim.spector@kcl.ac.uk) or J. L. (lijunhua@genomics.cn).

Summary

The gut microbiota has been typically viewed as an environmental factor for human health. Twins are well-suited for investigating the concordance of their gut microbiomes and decomposing genetic and environmental influences. However, existing twin studies utilizing metagenomic shotgun-sequencing have included only a few samples. Here we sequenced fecal samples from 250 adult twins in the TwinsUK registry and constructed a comprehensive gut microbial reference gene catalog. We demonstrate heritability of many microbial taxa and functional modules in the gut microbiome, including those associated with diseases. Moreover, we identified 8 million single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) in the gut microbiome, and observe a high similarity in microbiome SNPs between twins that slowly decreases after decades of living apart. The results shed new light on the genetic and environmental influences on the composition and function of the gut microbiome that could relate to risk of complex diseases.

Introduction

The gut microbiota is central to host metabolism and immune homeostasis, and has been implicated in diseases from colorectal cancer, diabetes, to autism spectrum disorders (Clemente et al., 2012; Kamada et al., 2013). The gut microbiota has typically been viewed as an environmental factor, which responds to long-term as well as short-term dietary changes (Cotillard et al., 2013; David et al., 2013; Sommer and Bäckhed, 2013; Wu et al., 2011). On the other hand, gut microbial taxa and genes have been shown to stably associate with an individual (Faith et al., 2013; Li et al., 2014; Schloissnig et al., 2012). Human genes such as *Fut2* interact with the gut microbiota and play a role in Crohn's disease (Goto et al., 2014; Rausch et al., 2011; Wacklin et al., 2011). It remains unclear, however, to what extent the gut microbiome is determined by human genetics.

Twins, with their high genetic and environmental resemblance, are well-suited for investigating the role of the gut microbiome and decomposing the genetic and environmental factors. However, existing twin studies were mainly based on 16S rRNA gene amplicon sequencing or included only a few metagenomic shotgun-sequenced samples (Goodrich et al., 2014; Reyes et al., 2010; Smith et al., 2013; Turnbaugh et al., 2009), limiting their interpretation, especially regarding gut microbial species or strains and functional capacity.

A high-quality reference gene catalog is a starting point for metagenomic, metatranscriptomic and metaproteomic studies, representing both cultured and uncultured entities in the microbiome (Li et al., 2014; Nielsen et al., 2014; Qin et al., 2010, 2012; Sunagawa et al., 2015). The Metagenomics of the Human Intestinal Tract (MetaHIT) project sequenced 760 samples from Denmark and Spain, but important regions such as the United Kingdom remain uncharted, and the sampling scheme offered little chance to infer host genetic influences (Li et al., 2014).

Single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs), short insertions or deletions (indels), and copy number variations (CNVs) based on mapping to reference bacterial genomes have been reported in the gut microbiome (Greenblum et al., 2015; Hu et al., 2013; Schloissnig et al., 2012). An early study on isolated strains of the methanogen, *Methanobrevibacter smithii*, revealed greater sharing of its SNPs between twins than with their mothers or other individuals (Hansen et al., 2011). In general, however, it is not known how such microbiome variations are shared or differ between twins.

Here we sequenced fecal samples from 250 adult twins in the TwinsUK registry (Goodrich et al., 2014; Moayyeri et al., 2013), leading to a microbial reference gene catalog from 1517 samples as well as sequenced microbial genomes. We identified SNPs from the gut microbiome, and observed a high degree of microbial SNP sharing between twins, especially monozygotic twins. We demonstrated moderate to high heritability of many microbial taxa

and functional modules in the gut microbiome, and identified heritable markers previously associated with type 2 diabetes (T2D), rheumatoid arthritis and colorectal cancer.

Results

Gut microbiome of the TwinsUK cohort

We collected fecal samples from 35 monozygotic (MZ) and 92 dizygotic (DZ) female twin pairs from the United Kingdom (Goodrich et al., 2014; Moayyeri et al., 2013), and performed metagenomic shotgun-sequencing on 250 samples (1 MZ and 3 DZ samples failed to yield an Illumina HiSeq library and thus could not be sequenced, **Table S1A**). An average of 74 million high-quality non-human reads were obtained per sample, totaling 1.8 TB sequences (**Table S1B**). *De novo* assembly, gene prediction and annotation (Li et al., 2014) of these sequences led to a total of 5,901,478 non-redundant genes (**Fig. S1A**). We then merged this gene set with a high-quality reference catalog of 9,879,896 gut microbial genes (IGC for Integrated reference Gene Catalog), compiled from 1267 Danish, Spanish, Chinese and American samples and 511 sequenced prokaryotic genomes or draft genomes present in the cohort (Li et al., 2014) (**Fig. S1, Table S1C**). The updated reference catalog contained 11,446,577 genes, ensuring a saturated mapping ratio of the sequencing reads to gene-coding regions (on average 80.21%, **Table S1B**) (Li et al., 2014).

The previously published reference gene catalog already allowed for mapping of an average 77.96% of the reads (**Fig. 1, Table S1B**), confirming the utility of the reference even for samples from a country different from the original reference collection (Li et al., 2014). However, genes from the 250 newly sequenced metagenomes uniquely enabled 1.41 - 13.34% mapping in individual samples (3.47% on average, **Fig. 1, Table S1B**), suggesting identification of rare microbial genes that might be specific to this cohort (Li et al., 2014).

15.3% of the 11,446,577 genes were uniquely annotated to a genus, and 42.0% of the genes could be functionally annotated to Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) orthologous groups (KOs), similar to previous studies (Arumugam et al., 2011; Li et al., 2014; Qin et al., 2012) (**Table S1C**).

Consistent with reported differences in the gut microbiota between people from different countries (Li et al., 2014; The Human Microbiome Project Consortium., 2012; Tyakht et al., 2013; Yatsunen et al., 2012), current location (when divided into 4 main regions across the UK) influenced the abundance profile of gut microbial genes in the cohort ($p = 0.0369$, Bray-Curtis distance in PERMANOVA, but $p = 0.0912$ after Benjamini-Hochberg (BH) correction for multiple testing, **Fig. 2A, Table S1A,2A**). The gut microbiome between people in the same region was more similar than between people from different regions ($p = 1.99 \times 10^{-18}$, Wilcoxon rank-sum test, **Fig. 2A-C**). This location effect may have been confounded by host genetics where twins inhabit a similar region, therefore to reduce this effect (and the influence of common environment before separating), we compared the gut microbiome between twin sisters. Although involving a small number of twin pairs, the results indicated that pairs living in different regions are more different than pairs living in the same region ($p = 0.0436$, one-tailed Wilcoxon rank-sum test, **Fig. 2B-D**). There was no major difference between the relative number of MZ and DZ twins living in the same or different regions ($p > 0.05$, Fisher's exact test) and many combinations of different regions were covered (**Table S1A**). Whilst current location appears to be a relevant environmental factor for the gut microbiome in this cohort, we were unable to determine if this effect was further confounded by non-twin genetics and other geographic differences, for instance diet, between regions of the UK (Hellenthal et al., 2015).

Factors including year of birth ($p = 0.0348$, Bray-Curtis distance in PERMANOVA, $p = 0.0912$ after BH, **Table S2A**), age at sampling ($p = 0.0429$ before and $p = 0.0912$ after BH), body mass index (BMI, $p = 0.0020$ before and $p = 0.0170$ after BH), and vegetarian or vegan

diet ($p = 0.0410$ before and $p = 0.0912$ after BH) also impacted the gut microbiome in our cohort, while order of birth, menopause, smoking, drinking, and levels of exercise were not significant ($p > 0.05$, Bray-Curtis distance in PERMANOVA, **Table S2A**). None of these factors were nearly as important as twin pair information ($p = 0.0001$ with 9999 permutations in PERMANOVA, $p = 0.0017$ after BH, pseudo- $R^2 = 0.5426$, **Table S2A**), and the factor that explained the second largest proportion of variances was current location (pseudo- $R^2 = 0.0141$, **Table S2A**).

Concordance of the twins' gut microbiome

The difference within MZ or DZ twin pairs was significantly smaller than between unrelated samples, according to gut microbial gene abundance profiles (**Fig. S2**). The MZ twins were slightly more similar than the DZ twins (**Fig. S2**), consistent with previous results from 16S rRNA gene amplicon sequencing (Goodrich et al., 2014; Turnbaugh et al., 2009)

We then explored environmental factors that might contribute to the divergence between twins. The Bray-Curtis distance between twin pairs did not associate with age ($p > 0.05$ for Pearson's, Spearman's and Kendall's correlation, **Table S2B**). This distance did, however, negatively correlate with the age the twins started living apart (no longer share a household, $p = 0.0029$, 0.0208 , 0.0212 for Pearson's, Spearman's and Kendall's correlation, respectively), and to a lesser extent positively correlated with years they lived apart ($p = 0.0629$ and correlation coefficient (cc) = 0.168 for Pearson's correlation, but $p > 0.05$ for Spearman's and Kendall's correlation, **Table S2B**). As most of the twins lived separately since they were 16-24 years old (**Table S1A**), the results suggest that adolescence and early adulthood may be critical periods for establishing or maintaining the similarity between the twins' gut microbiome.

Heritability of the gut microbiome

To explore genetic contributions to a person's gut microbiome, we assessed the correlation between gut microbiota compositions in twin pairs. Of the 14 phyla and 109 genera detected in at least 50% of the samples, 11 phyla and 64 genera (79% and 59%) displayed an intraclass correlation coefficient (ICC) higher in MZ than in DZ pairs (**Fig. 3A, Table S3A,B**), i.e. their abundances were more similar in MZ than in DZ twins.

We then estimated heritability of these gut microbial taxa by variance components analysis using the ACE model, which partitions the total variance into genetic effects (A), common environment (C), and unique environment (E) effects (Eaves et al., 1978; Goodrich et al., 2014; Neale and Maes, 1992). After Box-Cox transformation, correction for sequencing amount, age, and age started living apart, and filtering according to ICC (detailed in **STAR Methods**), 11 of the genera unequivocally showed heritability, i.e. $p < 0.1$ between ACE and CE models (**Fig. 3A, Table S3B**). Genera in the class Clostridia, especially *Dorea* displayed a heritability $A = 0.422$, i.e. 42.2% of its abundance variations could be explained by host genetic effects (90% confidence interval (CI) = 0.094 ~ 0.583). In contrast, the C component (common environmental effect) was zero for these genera. Other notable genera with host genetic effects included the common Bacteroidetes, *Prevotella*, and the oxalate-degrading Proteobacteria, *Oxalobacter* (Liebman and Al-Wahsh, 2011). The major gut commensal, *Bifidobacterium*, showed a modest genetic component but the lower bound of confidence limits was close to zero ($A = 0.309$, 90% CI = 0 ~ 0.486) (**Fig. 3A, Table S3B**). This was also true for the mucin-degrading bacterium, *Akkermansia* ($A = 0.223$, 90% CI = 0 ~ 0.394), the inflammatory bowel disease-associated bacterium, *Bilophila* ($A = 0.378$, 90% CI = 0 ~ 0.531), and the most common archaeon in the human gut, *Methanobrevibacter* ($A = 0.377$, 90% CI = 0 ~ 0.527). The family Christensenellaceae reported to be the most heritable taxon from a 16S rRNA gene study of the twins ($A = 0.39$, 95% CI = 0.21 ~ 0.49) (Goodrich et al., 2014) was not identified here, because no genome is currently available in this family for metagenomic annotation. Although the sample size of the current study is smaller and the

lower bound of 90% CI for the heritability estimates is often close to zero, in general the heritabilities of the gut microbiome were greater than those previously reported using 16S profiles, suggesting that greater power can be achieved with the resolution made possible by the metagenomic analyses. In conclusion, the abundances of a sizable portion of the human gut microbial taxa are likely to be influenced by host genetics.

To better delineate the heritable bacteria or archaea species, we used the mOTU method that is based on universal single-copy marker genes (Mende et al., 2013; Sunagawa et al., 2013). Of the 143 mOTUs present in at least 50% of the samples, 91 mOTUs (64%) showed a higher ICC correlation in MZ than in DZ twins, and 11 mOTUs unequivocally showed heritability ($p < 0.1$ between ACE and CE models, **Fig. 3B, Table S3C**). These heritable mOTUs included *Bacteroides caccae* involved in celiac disease (Viitasalo et al., 2014), *Dorea longicatena* that could metabolize amygdalin, aesculin, inulin, sorbitol, etc. (Taras et al., 2002), a butyrate-producing bacterium from Lachnospiraceae and 8 more unnamed species in the classes Clostridia and Bacteroidia. For their closely related species, results were less clear-cut. For example, *B. fragilis* and *B. xylanisolvens* were more correlated in MZ than in DZ twins (**Fig. 3B, Table S3C**), and would likely be heritable in a larger cohort.

We next examined genetic contributions to gut microbial functions. Among the 5118 KOs present in 50% or more of the samples, 3479 KOs had a higher ICC in MZ than in DZ twins (68%), and 443 KOs showed heritability, i.e. $p < 0.1$ between ACE and CE models ($A = 0.3 \sim 0.6$, **Table S4A**). We further identified 38 modules and 31 pathways whose abundances were heritable (**Fig. S3, Table S4B,C**). These included biosynthesis of branched-chain amino acids as well as proline, tyrosine, histidine and lysine, biosynthesis of the B vitamins biotin and riboflavin, as well as transporters in fructose and mannose metabolism (**Fig. 4, Fig. S3, Table S4A-C**). Thus, a number of important functions of the gut microbiome are clearly heritable.

Heritability of disease-associated microbes

After demonstrating host genetic impact on gut microbial taxa and functions, we explored links with the gut microbiota implicated in diseases. We chose to investigate type 2 diabetes (T2D), for which extensive genetic, epigenetic, metabolomic and gut microbial studies were available (Karlsson et al., 2013; Mahajan et al., 2014; Manning et al., 2012; Menni et al., 2013; Morris et al., 2012; Qin et al., 2012; Scott et al., 2012; Yuan et al., 2014). Metagenome-wide association study (MWAS) on a Chinese cohort of T2D had identified 47 metagenomic linkage groups (MLGs, > 100 genes) (Qin et al., 2012). All the 47 MLGs could be found in our TwinsUK cohort, although 4 MLGs were seen in less than 50% of the samples, and 7 MLGs more abundant in the Chinese T2D patients were more abundant in the non-diabetic TwinsUK samples (**Fig. 5, Table S5A**, 10 diabetic vs. 212 non-diabetic, irrelevant for heritability analysis). Thus, the Chinese T2D-associated gut microbiome was largely corroborated by this UK cohort.

Control-enriched MLGs such as *Eubacterium rectale*, an unclassified *Faecalibacterium* sp., *Roseburia intestinalis* and *R. inulinivorans*, and T2D-enriched MLGs such as *Clostridium ramosum*, *C. boltae*, *Eggerthella lenta* and *Bacteroides* sp. 20_3 displayed a higher ICC in MZ compared to DZ twins (49% of the 43 MLGs); T2D-79 showed significant heritability ($p < 0.1$ between ACE and CE models, **Fig. 5, Table S5A**). In contrast, *Clostridium* sp. SS3/4, *Faecalibacterium prausnitzii*, *Haemophilus parainfluenzae*, etc. were similarly correlated in these DZ and MZ twins. We conclude that many of the T2D-associated gut microbiome components may be partly influenced by host genetics.

Functionally, 873 of the reported 1345 T2D KO markers had a higher correlation in MZ than in DZ, of which 143 KO markers showed a significant heritability, e.g. the module for sulfur reduction enriched in T2D (Qin et al., 2012) (**Fig. S3, Table S3A**). Enzymes for the biosynthesis of branched-chain amino acids including valine and isoleucine were also heritable (EC 2.2.1.6, EC 1.1.1.86 and EC 2.6.1.66, **Fig. 4A**). High concentrations of circulating branched-chain amino acids were reported to be associated with insulin resistance

and future diabetes risk, and may partially be determined by genetic factors (Ridaura et al., 2013; Tikkanen et al., 2013; Wang et al., 2011). Butyrate production, a major function depleted in individuals with T2D and a number of other conditions, also showed heritability in the 4-aminobutyrate pathway, i.e. production of butyryl-CoA from 4-aminobutyrate (**Fig. 5B, Table S5B**). Other butyryl-CoA production pathways originating from acetyl-CoA, lysine and glutarate had higher concordance in MZ than in DZ twins (**Fig. 5B, Table S5B**). Bacterial bile salt metabolizing genes had been found to decrease in ulcerative colitis and T2D (Labbé et al., 2014). The bile salt hydrolase (BSH, EC 3.5.1.24) which functions as the first enzyme for deconjugation of bile acids, was significantly heritable in our cohort (**Table S4A**). These data indicate that the abundance of some of T2D-associated gut microbial functions might have been influenced by host genetics.

Besides the T2D-associated gut microbiome, *Prevotella copri* reported to be elevated in new-onset rheumatoid arthritis (NORA) patients (Scher et al., 2013; Zhang et al., 2015) also appeared heritable ($A = 0.419$, 90% CI = 0.031 ~ 0.564, **Table S3D**), consistent with heritability of the *Prevotella* genus (**Fig. 3A, Table S3B**). *Peptostreptococcus stomatis* found to be more abundant in patients with colorectal cancer (Feng et al., 2015; Yu et al., 2015; Zeller et al., 2014) also showed evidence of heritability ($A = 0.496$, 90% CI = 0.210 ~ 0.639, $p = 0.017$ between ACE and CE, **Table S3D**), consistent with previous estimates for the Peptostreptococcaceae family (Davenport et al., 2015; Goodrich et al., 2016; O'Connor et al., 2014; Org et al., 2015). Functionally, 31 of the 51 previously reported gut microbial modules (GMMs) differentially enriched in individuals with a low or high gut microbial gene richness were more concordant (higher ICC) in MZ compared to DZ twins 6 of the GMMs showed clear heritability ($p < 0.1$ between ACE and CE models), including high richness-associated modules such as cysteine biosynthesis/homocysteine degradation, and low richness-associated modules such as N-acetylglucosamine degradation (**Table S5C**). These

results demonstrate heritability in the abundance of taxa and functions of the gut microbiome, which have previously been associated with complex diseases.

Concordance in microbial SNPs

To explore the twins' gut microbiome at higher resolution, we looked for SNPs in the microbiome (**Fig. 6**), which could offer further information on the extent to which the gut microbiome remained concordant between adult twins.

After removing redundancy within species (**STAR Methods**), 152 bacterial or archaeal genomes were present in the cohort with a cumulative sequencing depth of at least 10x (the criterion used in a previous study (Schloissnig et al., 2012); **Table S6**). Between one to dozens of SNPs/kb were identified for each genome, increasing with the cumulative sequencing depth until around 500x (Schloissnig et al., 2012) (**Fig. 6A**). A high cumulative SNP density was found for bacteria such as *Akkermansia muciniphila*, *Ruminococcus bromii*, *Bacteroides uniformis* and *Roseburia hominis*. These results demonstrate a high degree of sequence variations in the gut microbiome that could only be captured by metagenomic shotgun sequencing and would be useful for future analysis of microbial strains.

To explore the possible link between gut microbiome SNPs and host phenotype, we divided the subjects into different BMI groups (Lean, BMI < 25; overweight, 25 ≤ BMI < 30; obese, BMI ≥ 30), a significant influencing factor on the gut microbiome (**Fig. 6, Table S2A**). Interestingly, the lean group shared a greater proportion of gut microbiome SNPs as analyzed by SNP similarity score between individuals (Schloissnig et al., 2012), than the overweight or the obese groups ($p = 7.80e-07$ and $p = 3.10e-06$, Wilcoxon rank-sum test, **Fig. 6B**). For the normal weight-related bacterium, *A. muciniphila* (Chevalier et al., 2015; Everard et al., 2013; Lukovac et al., 2014) showed decreased SNP similarity in the obese group compared to the overweight group (**Fig. 6E,F**). The overweight group had the largest number of total SNPs in

1 *A. muciniphila*, with on average more than 300 SNPs per sample (**Fig. S4A**). Overall, the
2 SNPs were distributed evenly across the *A. muciniphila* genome except for the repeat regions
3 (**Fig. S4B**). Besides BMI, samples from the same geographic region showed greater sharing
4 of SNPs than samples from different regions (**Fig. 6D,G**), possibly reflecting strain-level
5 differences. For the bacterium *D. longicatena* (**Fig. 2, Table S3C**), in contrast, a different
6 trend was observed for BMI, while no difference could be seen for geographic regions (**Fig.**
7 **6H-J**).

8 We next compared twin siblings, and found that the similarity score for gut microbial SNPs
9 was significantly higher between twins than between unpaired samples ($p = 0.0142$ with MZ,
10 $p = 0.0328$ with DZ, one-tailed Wilcoxon rank-sum test, **Fig. 7A**), and slightly higher
11 between MZ than between DZ pairs both before and after downsizing to the same sequencing
12 amount, and after correcting for age-started living apart or years lived apart ($p = 0.1085$,
13 0.3144 , 0.2134 , 0.1689 , one-tailed Wilcoxon rank-sum test, **Fig. S5A,B**). For some microbes
14 like the bile-resistant bacteria *Alistipes shahii* and *A. putredinis*, the difference was significant
15 between MZ and DZ twins (**Fig. 7B,C**). Thus, although we found variation, overall
16 genetically identical twins have very similar SNPs in their gut microbiome even as
17 middle-aged or senior adults, suggesting host genetic contributions to the fine structures of
18 the gut microbiome.

19 A recent analysis on SNPs in the Human Microbiome Project (HMP) samples suggested
20 drifting of gut microbial strains in healthy adults (Li et al., 2016). We explored this over a
21 much longer time scale. While age did not show a significant correlation with SNP similarity
22 score between twins, (consistent with results from gene abundances (**Table S2B**)) age started
23 living apart positively correlated with SNP similarity score, and years lived apart negatively
24 correlated with SNP similarity score ($p < 0.05$ for Spearman's and Kendall's but not for
25 Pearson's cc, **Table S7**). This is also true when we only look at twins that started living apart
26 between 16-24 years old, the range which most of the samples fall into (**Table S1A,S7**). Thus,

no longer sharing a household, perhaps in a critical time period, appeared to contribute more to the divergence of gut microbial strains between twins than chronological age.

Discussion

We report an updated gut microbial reference gene catalog containing 11.4 million genes from 1517 fecal samples and 511 sequenced gut-related bacteria or archaeal genomes, a comprehensive resource for metagenomic, metatranscriptomic and metaproteomic studies on the human or mouse-associated microbiome around the world (Li et al., 2014; Qin et al., 2010; Thaïss et al., 2014; Wang and Jia, 2016).

Furthermore, we demonstrate a widespread concordance in the composition, SNPs and functional capacity of the gut microbiome between twins, and specifically an increased concordance among MZ twins over DZ twins, consistent with host genetic influence. To the best of our knowledge, this is the only large cohort of twins surveyed by metagenomic shotgun sequencing to date, providing high-resolution information for taxa and potential functions. Compared to a previous 16S rRNA gene amplicon sequencing on twins, however, the sample size is relatively small and no replication cohort is available (Goodrich et al., 2014). Early work on cross-bred mice (another important and more controlled source of genetic associations), has identified a number of quantitative trait loci (QTL) associated with bacteria taxa in the gut (Benson et al., 2010; O'Connor et al., 2014; Org et al., 2015). It is likely that several microbes reported as non-heritable here will attain significance with a larger cohort with greater power. For example, a previous study in mice identified a chromosomal region associated with *Roseburia* spp. abundance, which overlapped with liver and adipose eQTLs (expression QTLs) of the *Irak4* gene in mice fed a (high-fat, high-sucrose) HF/HS diet (Org et al., 2015). The upper limit of 90% CI for three *Roseburia* species ranged

1 between 0.2 and 0.5 in our cohort (**Table S3D**), and a larger cohort is expected to raise the
2 lower limit of 90% CI further above zero.

3 As in other studies of the gut microbiome, diet is a confounding factor. Aspects of dietary
4 preference are heritable (Teucher et al., 2007), and it remains to be explored how much these
5 are influenced by heritable components of the gut microbiome and vice versa.

6 Immune traits are also highly heritable and human genetic associations have been found for
7 all major immune cell types (Roederer et al. 2015). Although the gut microbiota is known to
8 interact with host metabolism and immune function, only a handful of human genes, most
9 notably *Fut2*, *Nod2* and the MHC locus, have been implicated in differences in the gut
10 microbiota (Frank et al., 2011; Goodrich et al., 2014; Goto et al., 2014; Khachatryan et al.,
11 2008; Lukovac et al., 2014; Maslowski et al., 2009; McKnite et al., 2012; Pickard et al., 2014;
12 Rausch et al., 2011; Rehman et al., 2011; Scher et al., 2013; Trompette et al., 2014; Wacklin
13 et al., 2011). Interestingly, *P. copri* was reported to be more abundant in patients lacking the
14 major susceptibility allele, *HLA-DRB1* (Scher et al., 2013), suggesting that its host genetic
15 association may involve non-MHC loci, or MHC alleles analyzed by higher resolution
16 methods than is commonly employed (Zhou et al., 2016). Although metagenome-wide
17 association studies (MWAS) currently explore associations between the relative abundance of
18 microbial genes with diseases (Le Chatelier et al., 2013; Cotillard et al., 2013; Feng et al.,
19 2015; Karlsson et al., 2013; Qin et al., 2012, 2014; Zhang et al., 2015), high-resolution
20 analyses of the SNPs and structural variations both in microbial genomes and in the human
21 genome are expected to fully realize the power of this method. Further studies on the
22 interaction between host genes and the gut microbiome could help explain the missing
23 heritability in many complex diseases, although this would involve much larger sample sizes.

24 With the current cohort, a portion of the disease-associated gut microbiome appear to be
25 explained by environmental factors, and thus in theory may be readily amenable to

microbiome-based therapeutics. Individual-specific features of the gut microbiome are determined not only by genes, but also by factors such as geographic location (or geographically associated environmental and lifestyle effects) and the time and duration of sharing a home environment, which deserve further study in larger and younger cohorts. All of these are important to consider in studies on human diseases, and could be useful in microbiome-based forensics and disease treatments (Franzosa et al., 2015; Lax et al., 2014; Ridaura et al., 2013). Our results suggest that heritable components of the gut microbiome are worth investigating for a number of complex diseases, and controlling for microbiome factors might facilitate future explorations in disease genetics. Similarly, investigations of the gut microbiota need to account for genetic influences which are an important component of the differences between individuals and could influence response to therapies such as probiotics or non-autologous fecal transplants.

Commented [HJ1]: No conflicts of interest. Shown on a separate sheet instead of in the main text.

STAR★ METHODS

Detailed methods are provided in the online version of this paper and include the following:

● CONTACT FOR REAGENT AND RESOURCE SHARING

● EXPERIMENTAL MODEL AND SUBJECT DETAILS

● METHOD DETAILS

○ Metagenomic sequencing and assembly

○ Gene catalog construction

- 1 ○ Taxonomic annotation and abundance calculation
- 2 ○ mOTU and MLG profiling
- 3 ○ Functional annotation according to KEGG
- 4 ● **QUANTIFICATION AND STATISTICAL ANALYSIS**
- 5 ○ PERMANOVA on the influence of phenotypes
- 6 ○ Correlation between numerical phenotypes and microbial distance between twins
- 7 ○ Heritability analysis
- 8 ○ Phylogenetic tree for gut microbial genera
- 9 ○ SNP identification and similarity score
- 10

11 **SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION**

12 Supplemental Information includes five figures and seven tables and can be found with this

13 article online at ****.

14

15 **Author contributions**

T.D.S., J.T.B. and K.W. oversaw the sample collection and provided phenotypic information according to the TwinsUK database. T.D.S. directed the project at KCL and H.J., J.L. and Q.F. directed the project at BGI-Shenzhen. H.X., R.G., H.Z., Z.L., B.Q. and X.C. performed the bioinformatic analyses, and prepared figures and texts for manuscript. B.C. and H. Xia also helped checking the phenotypes. H.J., T.D.S., H.Z., J.T.B. and M.A.J. wrote the manuscript. All authors contributed to the revision of the manuscript.

Acknowledgments

The TwinsUK resource is funded by the Wellcome Trust and from the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) BioResource Clinical Research Facility and Biomedical Research Centre based at Guy's and St Thomas' NHS Foundation Trust and King's College London. T.D.S. is an NIHR Senior Investigator. The sample collection and DNA extraction were supported by NIH grants RO1 DK093595 and DP2 OD007444 to Ruth E. Ley and her team at Cornell University. Collections in the UK were handled by Ms. Gabriela Surdulescu and the TwinsUK lab and administration teams.

At BGI, the metagenomic study was supported by the Shenzhen Municipal Government of China (JSGG20140702161403250, DRC-SZ[2015]162, CXB201108250098A, JSGG20160229172752028, JCYJ20160229172757249), the Fund for Science and Technology Development (FDCT) from Macao (grant 077/2014/A2). We gratefully acknowledge colleagues at BGI-Shenzhen for DNA quality control, library construction, sequencing, and helpful discussions. We also thank Drs. Ruth E. Ley, Andrew G. Clark and

Julia K. Goodrich from Cornell University for DNA preparation and commenting on the manuscript.

References

- Anderson, M.J. (2001). A new method for non-parametric multivariate analysis of variance. *Aust. Ecol.* 26, 32–46.
- Arumugam, M., Raes, J., Pelletier, E., Le Paslier, D., Yamada, T., Mende, D.R., Fernandes, G.R., Tap, J., Bruls, T., Batto, J.-M., et al. (2011). Enterotypes of the human gut microbiome. *Nature* 473, 174–180.
- Benson, A.K., Kelly, S.A., Legge, R., Ma, F., Low, S.J., Kim, J., Zhang, M., Oh, P.L., Nehrenberg, D., Hua, K., et al. (2010). Individuality in gut microbiota composition is a complex polygenic trait shaped by multiple environmental and host genetic factors. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 107, 18933–18938.
- Le Chatelier, E., Nielsen, T., Qin, J., Prifti, E., Hildebrand, F., Falony, G., Almeida, M., Arumugam, M., Batto, J.-M., Kennedy, S., et al. (2013). Richness of human gut microbiome correlates with metabolic markers. *Nature* 500, 541–546.
- Chevalier, C., Stojanović, O., Colin, D.J., Suarez-Zamorano, N., Tarallo, V., Veyrat-Durebex, C., Rigo, D., Fabbiano, S., Stevanović, A., Hagemann, S., et al. (2015). Gut Microbiota Orchestrates Energy Homeostasis during Cold. *Cell* 163, 1360–1374.
- Clemente, J.C., Ursell, L.K., Parfrey, L.W., and Knight, R. (2012). The impact of the gut microbiota on human health: An integrative view. *Cell* 148, 1258–1270.
- Cotillard, A., Kennedy, S.P., Kong, L.C., Prifti, E., Pons, N., Le Chatelier, E., Almeida, M., Quinquis, B., Levenez, F., Galleron, N., et al. (2013). Dietary intervention impact on gut microbial gene richness. *Nature* 500, 585–588.
- Davenport, E.R., Cusanovich, D.A., Michelini, K., Barreiro, L.B., Ober, C., and Gilad, Y. (2015). Genome-Wide Association Studies of the Human Gut Microbiota. *PLoS One* 10, e0140301.
- David, L. a, Maurice, C.F.C., Carmody, R.N.R.R.N., Gootenberg, D.B., Button, J.E., Wolfe, B.E., Ling, A. V, Devlin, A.S., Varma, Y., Fischbach, M.A., et al. (2013). Diet rapidly and reproducibly alters the human gut microbiome. *Nature* 505, 559–563.
- Deloger, M., El Karoui, M., and Petit, M.-A. (2009). A genomic distance based on MUM indicates discontinuity between most bacterial species and genera. *J. Bacteriol.* 191, 91–99.

1 Eaves, L.J., Last, K.A., Young, P.A., and Martin, N.G. (1978). Model-fitting approaches to
2 the analysis of human behaviour. *Heredity (Edinb)*. *41*, 249–320.

3 Everard, A., Belzer, C., Geurts, L., Ouwerkerk, J.P., Druart, C., Bindels, L.B., Guiot, Y.,
4 Derrien, M., Muccioli, G.G., Delzenne, N.M., et al. (2013). Cross-talk between *Akkermansia*
5 *muciniphila* and intestinal epithelium controls diet-induced obesity. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*
6 *110*, 9066–9071.

7 Faith, J.J., Guruge, J.L., Charbonneau, M., Subramanian, S., Seedorf, H., Goodman, A.L.,
8 Clemente, J.C., Knight, R., Heath, A.C., Leibel, R.L., et al. (2013). The long-term stability of
9 the human gut microbiota. *Science* *341*, 1237439.

10 Feng, Q., Liang, S., Jia, H., Stadlmayr, A., Tang, L., Lan, Z., Zhang, D., Xia, H., Xu, X., Jie,
11 Z., et al. (2015). Gut microbiome development along the colorectal adenoma–carcinoma
12 sequence. *Nat. Commun.* *6*, 6528.

13 Frank, D.N., Robertson, C.E., Hamm, C.M., Kpadeh, Z., Zhang, T., Chen, H., Zhu, W., Sartor,
14 R.B., Boedeker, E.C., Harpaz, N., et al. (2011). Disease phenotype and genotype are
15 associated with shifts in intestinal-associated microbiota in inflammatory bowel diseases.
16 *Inflamm. Bowel Dis.* *17*, 179–184.

17 Franzosa, E.A., Huang, K., Meadow, J.F., Gevers, D., Lemon, K.P., Bohannan, B.J.M., and
18 Huttenhower, C. (2015). Identifying personal microbiomes using metagenomic codes. *Proc.*
19 *Natl. Acad. Sci.* *112*, E2930–E2938.

20 Goodrich, J.K., Davenport, E.R., Beaumont, M., Jackson, M.A., Knight, R., Ober, C., Spector,
21 T.D., Bell, J.T., Clark, A.G., and Ley, R.E. (2016). Genetic Determinants of the Gut
22 Microbiome in UK Twins. *Cell Host Microbe* *19*, 731–743.

23 Goodrich, J.K.K., Waters, J.L.L., Poole, A.C.C., Sutter, J.L.L., Koren, O., Blekhman, R.,
24 Beaumont, M., Van Treuren, W., Knight, R., Bell, J.T.T., et al. (2014). Human Genetics
25 Shape the Gut Microbiome. *Cell* *159*, 789–799.

26 Goto, Y., Obata, T., Kunisawa, J., Sato, S., Ivanov, I.I., Lamichhane, A., Takeyama, N.,
27 Kamioka, M., Sakamoto, M., Matsuki, T., et al. (2014). Innate lymphoid cells regulate
28 intestinal epithelial cell glycosylation. *Science* *345*, 1254009.

29 Greenblum, S., Carr, R., Greenblum, S., Carr, R., and Borenstein, E. (2015). Extensive
30 Strain-Level Copy-Number Variation across Human Gut Microbiome Species Article
31 Extensive Strain-Level Copy-Number Variation across Human Gut Microbiome Species. *Cell*
32 *1–12*.

33 Hansen, E.E., Lozupone, C.A., Rey, F.E., Wu, M., Guruge, J.L., Narra, A., Goodfellow, J.,
34 Zaneveld, J.R., McDonald, D.T., Goodrich, J.A., et al. (2011). Pan-genome of the dominant
35 human gut-associated archaeon, *Methanobrevibacter smithii*, studied in twins. *Proc. Natl.*
36 *Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* *108 Suppl*, 4599–4606.

- 1 Hellenthal, G., Leslie, S., Winney, B., Davisona, D., Boumertit, A., Day, T., Hutn k, K.,
2 Royrvik, E.C., Cunliffes, B., Trust, W., et al. (2015). Fine scale genetic structure of the
3 British population. *Nature* 519, 309–314.
- 4 Hu, Y., Yang, X., Qin, J., Lu, N., Cheng, G., Wu, N., Pan, Y., Li, J., Zhu, L., Wang, X., et al.
5 (2013). Metagenome-wide analysis of antibiotic resistance genes in a large cohort of human
6 gut microbiota. *Nat. Commun.* 4, 2151.
- 7 Kamada, N., Seo, S.-U., Chen, G.Y., and N  nez, G. (2013). Role of the gut microbiota in
8 immunity and inflammatory disease. *Nat. Rev. Immunol.* 13, 321–335.
- 9 Karlsson, F.H., Tremaroli, V., Nookaew, I., Bergstr  m, G., Behre, C.J., Fagerberg, B.,
10 Nielsen, J., and B  ckhed, F. (2013). Gut metagenome in European women with normal,
11 impaired and diabetic glucose control. *Nature* 498, 99–103.
- 12 Khachatryan, Z.A., Ktsoyan, Z.A., Manukyan, G.P., Kelly, D., Ghazaryan, K.A., and Aminov,
13 R.I. (2008). Predominant role of host genetics in controlling the composition of gut
14 microbiota. *PLoS One* 3.
- 15 Kulima, J.R., Sunagawa, S., Li, J., Chen, W., Chen, H., Mende, D.R., Arumugam, M., Pan,
16 Q., Liu, B., Qin, J., et al. (2012). MOCAT: a metagenomics assembly and gene prediction
17 toolkit. *PLoS One* 7, e47656.
- 18 Lab  , A., Ganopolsky, J.G., Martoni, C.J., Prakash, S., and Jones, M.L. (2014). Bacterial
19 Bile Metabolising Gene Abundance in Crohn’s, Ulcerative Colitis and Type 2 Diabetes
20 Metagenomes. *PLoS One* 9, e115175.
- 21 Lax, S., Smith, D.P., Hampton-Marcell, J., Owens, S.M., Handley, K.M., Scott, N.M.,
22 Gibbons, S.M., Larsen, P., Shogan, B.D., Weiss, S., et al. (2014). Longitudinal analysis of
23 microbial interaction between humans and the indoor environment. *Science* (80-.). 345,
24 1048–1052.
- 25 Li, H. (2011). A statistical framework for SNP calling, mutation discovery, association
26 mapping and population genetical parameter estimation from sequencing data. *Bioinformatics*
27 27, 2987–2993.
- 28 Li, J., Jia, H., Cai, X., Zhong, H., Feng, Q., Sunagawa, S., Arumugam, M., Kulima, J.R.,
29 Prifti, E., Nielsen, T., et al. (2014). An integrated catalog of reference genes in the human gut
30 microbiome. *Nat. Biotechnol.* 32, 834–841.
- 31 Li, R., Yu, C., Li, Y., Lam, T.-W., Yiu, S.-M., Kristiansen, K., and Wang, J. (2009). SOAP2:
32 an improved ultrafast tool for short read alignment. *Bioinformatics* 25, 1966–1967.
- 33 Li, S.S., Zhu, A., Benes, V., Costea, P.I., Hercog, R., Hildebrand, F., Huerta-Cepas, J.,
34 Nieuwdorp, M., Salojarvi, J., Voigt, A.Y., et al. (2016). Durable coexistence of donor and
35 recipient strains after fecal microbiota transplantation. *Science* (80-.). 352, 586–589.
- 36 Liebman, M., and Al-Wahsh, I.A. (2011). Probiotics and other key determinants of dietary
37 oxalate absorption. *Adv. Nutr.* 2, 254–260.

1 Lukovac, S., Belzer, C., Pellis, L., Keijser, B.J., de Vos, W.M., Montijn, R.C., and Roeselers,
2 G. (2014). Differential Modulation by *Akkermansia muciniphila* and *Faecalibacterium*
3 *prausnitzii* of Host Peripheral Lipid Metabolism and Histone Acetylation in Mouse Gut
4 Organoids. *MBio* 5.

5 Mahajan, A., Go, M.J., Zhang, W., Below, J.E., Gaulton, K.J., Ferreira, T., Horikoshi, M.,
6 Johnson, A.D., Ng, M.C.Y., Prokopenko, I., et al. (2014). Genome-wide trans-ancestry
7 meta-analysis provides insight into the genetic architecture of type 2 diabetes susceptibility.
8 *Nat. Genet.* 46, 234–244.

9 Manning, A., Hivert, M.-F., Scott, R.A., Grimsby, J.L., Bouatia-Naji, N., Chen, H., Rybin,
10 D., Liu, C.-T., Bielak, L., Prokopenko, I., et al. (2012). A genome-wide approach accounting
11 for body mass index identifies genetic variants influencing fasting glycemic traits and insulin
12 resistance.

13 Maslowski, K.M., Vieira, A.T., Ng, A., Kranich, J., Sierro, F., Yu, D., Schilter, H.C., Rolph,
14 M.S., Mackay, F., Artis, D., et al. (2009). Regulation of inflammatory responses by gut
15 microbiota and chemoattractant receptor GPR43. *Nature* 461, 1282–1286.

16 McKnite, A.M., Perez-Munoz, M.E., Lu, L., Williams, E.G., Brewer, S., Andreux, P.A.,
17 Bastiaansen, J.W.M., Wang, X., Kachman, S.D., Auwerx, J., et al. (2012). Murine gut
18 microbiota is defined by host genetics and modulates variation of metabolic traits. *PLoS One*
19 7.

20 Mende, D.R., Sunagawa, S., Zeller, G., and Bork, P. (2013). Accurate and universal
21 delineation of prokaryotic species. *Nat. Methods* 10, 881–884.

22 Menni, C., Fauman, E., Erte, I., Perry, J.R.B., Kastenmüller, G., Shin, S.Y., Petersen, A.K.,
23 Hyde, C., Psatha, M., Ward, K.J., et al. (2013). Biomarkers for type 2 diabetes and impaired
24 fasting glucose using a nontargeted metabolomics approach. *Diabetes* 62, 4270–4276.

25 Moayyeri, A., Hammond, C.J., Valdes, A.M., and Spector, T.D. (2013). Cohort Profile:
26 TwinsUK and healthy ageing twin study. *Int. J. Epidemiol.* 42, 76–85.

27 Morris, A.P., Voight, B.F., Teslovich, T.M., Ferreira, T., Segrè, A. V., Steinthorsdottir, V.,
28 Strawbridge, R.J., Khan, H., Grallert, H., Mahajan, A., et al. (2012). Large-scale association
29 analysis provides insights into the genetic architecture and pathophysiology of type 2 diabetes.
30 *Nat. Genet.* 44, 981–990.

31 Neale, M.C., and Maes, H.H.M. (1992). Methodology for genetic studies of twins and
32 families (Kluwer, Dordrecht, the Netherlands).

33 Nielsen, H.B., Almeida, M., Juncker, A.S., Rasmussen, S., Li, J., Sunagawa, S., Plichta, D.R.,
34 Gautier, L., Pedersen, A.G., Le Chatelier, E., et al. (2014). Identification and assembly of
35 genomes and genetic elements in complex metagenomic samples without using reference
36 genomes. *Nat. Biotechnol.* 32, 822–828.

1 O'Connor, A., Quizon, P.M., Albright, J.E., Lin, F.T., and Bennett, B.J. (2014).
2 Responsiveness of cardiometabolic-related microbiota to diet is influenced by host genetics.
3 *Mamm. Genome* 25, 583–599.

4 Org, E., Parks, B.W., Joo, J.W.J., Emert, B., Schwartzman, W., Kang, E.Y., Mehrabian, M.,
5 Pan, C., Knight, R., Gunsalus, R., et al. (2015). Genetic and environmental control of host-gut
6 microbiota interactions. *Genome Res.* 25, 1558–1569.

7 Pickard, J.M., Maurice, C.F., Kinnebrew, M. a., Abt, M.C., Schenten, D., Golovkina, T. V.,
8 Bogatyrev, S.R., Ismagilov, R.F., Pamer, E.G., Turnbaugh, P.J., et al. (2014). Rapid
9 fucosylation of intestinal epithelium sustains host – commensal symbiosis in sickness. *Nature*
10 514, 638–641.

11 Qin, J., Li, R., Raes, J., Arumugam, M., Burgdorf, K.S.S., Manichanh, C., Nielsen, T., Pons,
12 N., Levenez, F., Yamada, T., et al. (2010). A human gut microbial gene catalogue established
13 by metagenomic sequencing. *Nature* 464, 59–65.

14 Qin, J., Li, Y., Cai, Z., Li, S.S., Zhu, J., Zhang, F., Liang, S., Zhang, W., Guan, Y., Shen, D.,
15 et al. (2012). A metagenome-wide association study of gut microbiota in type 2 diabetes.
16 *Nature* 490, 55–60.

17 Qin, N., Yang, F., Li, A., Prifti, E., Chen, Y., Shao, L., Guo, J., Le Chatelier, E., Yao, J., Wu,
18 L., et al. (2014). Alterations of the human gut microbiome in liver cirrhosis. *Nature* 513,
19 59–64.

20 Rausch, P., Rehman, A., Kunzel, S., Hasler, R., Ott, S.J., Schreiber, S., Rosenstiel, P., Franke,
21 A., and Baines, J.F. (2011). Colonic mucosa-associated microbiota is influenced by an
22 interaction of Crohn disease and FUT2 (Secretor) genotype. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 108,
23 19030–19035.

24 Rehman, A., Sina, C., Gavrilova, O., Häslar, R., Ott, S., Baines, J.F., Schreiber, S., and
25 Rosenstiel, P. (2011). Nod2 is essential for temporal development of intestinal microbial
26 communities. *Gut* 60, 1354–1362.

27 Reyes, A., Haynes, M., Hanson, N., Angly, F.E., Heath, A.C., Rohwer, F., and Gordon, J.I.
28 (2010). Viruses in the faecal microbiota of monozygotic twins and their mothers. *Nature* 466,
29 334–338.

30 Ridaura, V.K., Faith, J.J., Rey, F.E., Cheng, J., Duncan, A.E., Kau, A.L., Griffin, N.W.,
31 Lombard, V., Henrissat, B., Bain, J.R., et al. (2013). Gut microbiota from twins discordant for
32 obesity modulate metabolism in mice. *Science* 341, 1241214.

33 Scher, J.U., Sczesnak, A., Longman, R.S., Segata, N., Ubeda, C., Bielski, C., Rostron, T.,
34 Cerundolo, V., Pamer, E.G., Abramson, S.B., et al. (2013). Expansion of intestinal *Prevotella*
35 *copri* correlates with enhanced susceptibility to arthritis. *Elife* 2, e01202--e01202.

36 Schloissnig, S., Arumugam, M., Sunagawa, S., Mitreva, M., Tap, J., Zhu, A., Waller, A.,
37 Mende, D.R., Kultima, J.R., Martin, J., et al. (2012). Genomic variation landscape of the
38 human gut microbiome. *Nature* 493, 45–50.

1 Scott, R.A., Lagou, V., Welch, R.P., Wheeler, E., Montasser, M.E., Luan, J., Mägi, R.,
2 Strawbridge, R.J., Rehnberg, E., Gustafsson, S., et al. (2012). Large-scale association
3 analyses identify new loci influencing glycemic traits and provide insight into the underlying
4 biological pathways.

5 Smith, M.I., Yatsunenko, T., Manary, M.J., Trehan, I., Mkakosya, R., Cheng, J., Kau, A.L.,
6 Rich, S.S., Concannon, P., Mychaleckyj, J.C., et al. (2013). Gut microbiomes of Malawian
7 twin pairs discordant for kwashiorkor. *Science* 339, 548–554.

8 Sommer, F., and Bäckhed, F. (2013). The gut microbiota--masters of host development and
9 physiology. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* 11, 227–238.

10 Storey, J.D. (2002). A direct approach to false discovery rates. *J. R. Stat. Soc. Ser. B*
11 (Statistical Methodol. 64, 479–498.

12 Sunagawa, S., Mende, D.R., Zeller, G., Izquierdo-Carrasco, F., Berger, S.A., Kultima, J.R.,
13 Coelho, L.P., Arumugam, M., Tap, J., Nielsen, H.B., et al. (2013). Metagenomic species
14 profiling using universal phylogenetic marker genes. *Nat. Methods* 10, 1196–1199.

15 Sunagawa, S., Coelho, L.P., Chaffron, S., Kultima, J.R., Labadie, K., Salazar, G.,
16 Djahanschiri, B., Zeller, G., Mende, D.R., Alberti, A., et al. (2015). Structure and function of
17 the global ocean microbiome. *Science* (80-.). 348, 1261359–1261359.

18 Taras, D., Simmering, R., Collins, M.D., Lawson, P.A., and Blaut, M. (2002).
19 Reclassification of *Eubacterium formicigenerans* Holdeman and Moore 1974 as *Dorea*
20 *formicigenerans* gen. nov., comb. nov., and description of *Dorea longicatena* sp. nov., isolated
21 from human faeces. *Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol.* 52, 423–428.

22 Teucher, B., Skinner, J., Skidmore, P.M.L., Cassidy, A., Fairweather-Tait, S.J., Hooper, L.,
23 Roe, M. a, Foxall, R., Oyston, S.L., Cherkas, L.F., et al. (2007). Dietary patterns and
24 heritability of food choice in a UK female twin cohort. *Twin Res. Hum. Genet.* 10, 734–748.

25 Thaïss, C.A., Zeevi, D., Levy, M., Zilberman-Schapira, G., Suez, J., Tengeler, A.C.,
26 Abramson, L., Katz, M.N., Korem, T., Zmora, N., et al. (2014). Transkingdom control of
27 microbiota diurnal oscillations promotes metabolic homeostasis. *Cell* 159, 514–529.

28 The Human Microbiome Project Consortium. (2012). Structure, function and diversity of the
29 healthy human microbiome. *Nature* 486, 207–214.

30 Tikkanen, E., Lyytikäinen, L., Kangas, A.J., and Soininen, P. (2013). Genome-wide
31 association study identifies multiple loci influencing human metabolite levels. *Nat. Genet.* 44,
32 269–276.

33 Trompette, A., Gollwitzer, E.S., Yadava, K., Sichelstiel, A.K., Sprenger, N., Ngom-Bru, C.,
34 Blanchard, C., Junt, T., Nicod, L.P., Harris, N.L., et al. (2014). Gut microbiota metabolism of
35 dietary fiber influences allergic airway disease and hematopoiesis. *Nat. Med.* 20, 159–166.

36 Turnbaugh, P.J., Hamady, M., Yatsunenko, T., Cantarel, B.L., Duncan, A., Ley, R.E., Sogin,
37 M.L., Jones, W.J., Roe, B. a, Affourtit, J.P., et al. (2009). A core gut microbiome in obese and
38 lean twins. *Nature* 457, 480–484.

1 Tyakht, A. V, Kostryukova, E.S., Popenko, A.S., Belenikin, M.S., Pavlenko, A. V, Larin,
2 A.K., Karpova, I.Y., Selezneva, O. V, Semashko, T.A., Ospanova, E.A., et al. (2013). Human
3 gut microbiota community structures in urban and rural populations in Russia. *Nat. Commun.*
4 *4*, 2469.

5 Viitasalo, L., Niemi, L., Ashorn, M., Ashorn, S., Braun, J., Huhtala, H., Collin, P., Mäki, M.,
6 Kaukinen, K., Kurppa, K., et al. (2014). Early Microbial Markers of Celiac Disease. *J. Clin.*
7 *Gastroenterol.*

8 Vital, M., Howe, A.C., and Tiedje, J.M. (2014). Revealing the bacterial butyrate synthesis
9 pathways by analyzing (meta)genomic data. *MBio* *5*, e00889.

10 Wacklin, P., Mäkiyuokko, H., Alakulppi, N., Nikkilä, J., Tenkanen, H., Rabinä, J., Partanen,
11 J., Aranko, K., and Mättö, J. (2011). Secretor genotype (FUT2 gene) is strongly associated
12 with the composition of bifidobacteria in the human intestine. *PLoS One* *6*.

13 Wang, J., and Jia, H. (2016). Metagenome-wide association studies: fine-mining the
14 microbiome. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* *14*, 508–522.

15 Wang, T.J., Larson, M.G., Vasan, R.S., Cheng, S., Rhee, E.P., McCabe, E., Lewis, G.D., Fox,
16 C.S., Jacques, P.F., Fernandez, C., et al. (2011). Metabolite profiles and the risk of developing
17 diabetes. *Nat. Med.* *17*, 448–453.

18 Wu, G.D., Chen, J., Hoffmann, C., Bittinger, K., Chen, Y.-Y., Keilbaugh, S.A., Bewtra, M.,
19 Knights, D., Walters, W.A., Knight, R., et al. (2011). Linking long-term dietary patterns with
20 gut microbial enterotypes. *Science* *334*, 105–108.

21 Xie, H., Guo, R., Zhong, H., Feng, Q., Lan, Z., Qin, B., Ward, K.J., Jackson, M.A., Xia, Y.,
22 Chen, X., et al. (2016). Supporting data for the paper: Shotgun Metagenomics of 250 Adult
23 Twins Reveals Genetic and Environmental Impacts on the Gut Microbiome. *GigaScience*
24 Database.

25 Yamada, T., Letunic, I., Okuda, S., Kanehisa, M., and Bork, P. (2011). iPath2.0: interactive
26 pathway explorer. *Nucleic Acids Res.* *39 Suppl 2*, W412-5.

27 Yatsunenko, T., Rey, F.E., Manary, M.J., Trehan, I., Dominguez-Bello, M.G., Contreras, M.,
28 Magris, M., Hidalgo, G., Baldassano, R.N., Anokhin, A.P., et al. (2012). Human gut
29 microbiome viewed across age and geography. *Nature* *486*, 222–227.

30 Yu, J., Feng, Q., Wong, S.H., Zhang, D., Liang, Q.Y., Qin, Y., Tang, L., Zhao, H., Stenvang,
31 J., Li, Y., et al. (2015). Metagenomic analysis of faecal microbiome as a tool towards targeted
32 non-invasive biomarkers for colorectal cancer. *Gut* *gutjnl-2015-309800*.

33 Yuan, W., Xia, Y., Bell, C.G., Yet, I., Ferreira, T., Ward, K.J., Gao, F., Loomis, A.K., Hyde,
34 C.L., Wu, H., et al. (2014). An integrated epigenomic analysis for type 2 diabetes
35 susceptibility loci in monozygotic twins. *Nat. Commun.* *5*, 5719.

36 Zapala, M.A., and Schork, N.J. (2006). Multivariate regression analysis of distance matrices
37 for testing associations between gene expression patterns and related variables. *Proc. Natl.*
38 *Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* *103*, 19430–19435.

- 1 Zeller, G., Tap, J., Voigt, A.Y., Sunagawa, S., Kultima, J.R., Costea, P.I., Amiot, A., Böhm,
2 J., Brunetti, F., Habermann, N., et al. (2014). Potential of fecal microbiota for early-stage
3 detection of colorectal cancer. *Mol. Syst. Biol.* *10*, 766.
- 4 Zhang, X., Zhang, D., Jia, H., Feng, Q., Wang, D., Liang, D., Wu, X., Li, J., Tang, L., Li, Y.,
5 et al. (2015). The oral and gut microbiomes are perturbed in rheumatoid arthritis and partly
6 normalized after treatment. *Nat. Med.* *21*, 895–905.
- 7 Zhou, F., Cao, H., Zuo, X., Zhang, T., Zhang, X., Liu, X., Xu, R., Chen, G., Zhang, Y., Zheng,
8 X., et al. (2016). Deep sequencing of the MHC region in the Chinese population contributes
9 to studies of complex disease. *Nat. Genet.* *48*, 740–746.

10

Figure Captions

Figure 1 Representation of TwinsUK samples by the gene catalogs.

High-quality non-human metagenomic reads uniquely (green, red) or commonly (blue) aligned to genes from the 250 twins gene catalog and the IGC (**Table S1B**). The average alignment ratio to each part is shown in the middle. The updated TwinsUK reference gene catalog (1517 samples + 511 genomes, **Table S1C**) allows on average 80.21% mapping of the reads (unique + common). This is close to saturation because the percentage of gene-coding regions in all prokaryotic genomes is ~87%, and an estimated 7.25% of sequencing reads with an average length of 77 base pairs could not be mapped reliably as they only partially overlapped with genes (Li et al., 2014). Age and location of the samples are shown for reference.

Figure 2 Effect of current location on the gut microbiome.

(A) Distribution of the subjects among geographic locations (**Table S1A**). The longitude and latitude of each location were hierarchically clustered to yield 4 regions (geo-clusters), represented by different colors, except for one sample from Ireland (not shown in panels B and C). The size of each circle scales with the number of subjects (samples) from that county. (B) Venn diagram for the number of the twin pairs in the same geo-cluster, and in two different geo-clusters. (C) Bray-Curtis distance of the gut microbial gene profile between any two samples in the same region (intra-clusters), or in different regions (inter-clusters). Plotted

are interquartile ranges (IQRs; boxes), medians (dark lines in the boxes), the lowest and highest values within 1.5 times IQR from the first and third quartiles (whiskers above and below the boxes), and outliers beyond the whiskers (circles). $p = 1.99 \times 10^{-18}$, Wilcoxon rank sum test. **(D)** Bray-Curtis distance of the gut microbial gene profile between paired twins in the same or different geo-clusters. $p = 0.0363$, one-tailed Wilcoxon rank sum test. As the volunteer in Ireland has a twin sister in geo-cluster 2 (**Table S1A**), this pair is included in this panel.

Figure 3 Heritability of gut microbial taxa.

(A) A phylogenetic tree was drawn for the 90 genera seen in at least 50% of the samples. The heritability (A component in the ACE model) was plotted as a bar for each genus. Outer circle, green, ICC MZ < DZ; pink, ICC MZ > DZ; red, ICC MZ > DZ and $p < 0.1$ between ACE and CE models. Middle circle, colored according to phyla. Inner circle, light to dark blue according to mean relative abundance of each genus. Genera that contained less than 10 genes in 97% of the samples were not plotted. More detailed data are available in **Table S3B** for genera and **Table S3A** for phyla. **(B)** Heritability of mOTUs. The rank of relative abundance difference between each MZ or DZ twin pair, normalized to be between zero and 1, was shown as box plots for *Bacteroides*, *Bifidobacterium*, *Dorea*, butyrate-producing bacterium and unnamed mOTUs. Class information for all the plotted mOTUs was shown as colored bar on the left. Heritability of these mOTUs according to the ACE model were plotted to the right

and color-coded: pink, ICC MZ > DZ; red, ICC MZ > DZ and $p < 0.1$ between ACE and CE models. Detailed results for all MOTUs with more than 50% occurrence are available in **Table S3C**.

Figure 4 Heritability of KOs in the biosynthesis of branched chain amino acids (A), arginine and proline metabolism (B), phenylalanine, tyrosine, tryptophan and histidine biosynthesis (C), and riboflavin metabolism (D). pink, filtered KOs and ICC MZ > ICC DZ; red, ICC MZ > ICC DZ and $p < 0.1$ between ACE and CE models. EC1.4.1.9, EC2.6.1.42, EC4.2.1.10, EC1.1.1.282, EC1.1.1.25, EC5.4.99.5, EC4.2.1.51, EC2.6.1.1, EC2.6.1.57, and EC4.1.1.48 are bidirectional enzymes. EC4.2.1.9, EC4.3.1.19, EC4.2.1.19, EC3.1.3.15 and EC3.5.4.25 are mapped by two or more KOs for multiple metabolic reactions. The primary pathways for L-proline (EC2.7.2.11, EC1.2.1.41, EC1.5.1.2) and L-arginine (EC6.3.4.5, EC3.5.3.6) biosynthesis are significantly heritable (**B**). More detailed data are available in **Table S4A** for individual KOs.

Figure 5 Heritability of T2D MLGs.

(**A**) MLGs (> 100 genes) from (Qin et al., 2012) were profiled in the TwinsUK cohort. Blue nodes, present in less than 50% of the samples; green nodes, ICC MZ < DZ; pink nodes, ICC MZ > DZ; red nodes, ICC MZ > DZ and $p < 0.1$ between ACE and CE models (**Table S5A**). Light to dark blue edges, Spearman's correlation > 0.4; light to dark red edges, Spearman's

correlation < -0.4. **(B)** Pathways for butyrate biosynthesis were drawn according to Vital et al. (Vital et al., 2014). Green arrows, ICC MZ < DZ; pink arrows, ICC MZ > DZ; red arrows, ICC MZ > DZ and $p < 0.1$ between ACE and CE models (**Table S5B**). The black line from crotonoyl-CoA to butyryl-CoA was not analyzed, because it was shared by all four pathways leading to crotonoyl-CoA.

Figure 6 Gut microbiome SNPs detected in the TwinsUK cohort.

(A) SNP density in the 152 reference bacterial genomes with a cumulative coverage of at least 10x in the 250 samples. The bacterial genomes were ordered according to the cumulative coverage (green circles, **Table S6**). The coverage in each sample (black circles) was also plotted, with the maximum coverage among samples highlighted in beige. **(B,E,H)** SNP similarity score within lean, overweight and obese groups, calculated from all 152 reference genomes **(B)**, for *A. muciniphila* **(E)** or *D. longicatena* only **(H)**. P-values from wilcoxon rank sum tests. 130 subjects in the lean group have a normal BMI (18.50 - 24.99) except for 4 underweight subjects (< 18.5). **(C,F,I)** SNP similarity score between the different BMI groups, calculated from all 152 reference genomes **(C)**, for *A. muciniphila* **(F)** or *D. longicatena* only **(I)**. P-values from wilcoxon rank sum tests. **(D,G,J)** SNP similarity score within and between geographic regions (**Fig. 2**) calculated from all 152 reference genomes **(D)**, for *A. muciniphila* **(G)** or *D. longicatena* only **(J)**. P-values from wilcoxon rank sum tests.

Figure 7 Greater sharing of gut microbiome SNPs between twins.

(A) SNP similarity score between twins compared to unrelated samples, calculated from all 152 reference genomes. $P = 0.0142$ between MZ and unpaired samples, $p = 0.1805$ between MZ and DZ, $p = 0.0328$ between DZ and unpaired, one-tailed wilcoxon rank sum test. (B,C) SNP similarity score between MZ twins compared to DZ twins for *A. shahii* (B) and *A. putredinis* (C). $P = 0.0408$ and 0.01144 , respectively, one-tailed wilcoxon rank sum test.

STAR Methods

STAR★ METHODS

CONTACT FOR REAGENT AND RESOURCE SHARING

All the remaining feces and DNA samples have been returned to Prof. Timothy D. Spector at King College London (tim.spector@kcl.ac.uk). Dr. Huijue Jia (jiahuijue@genomics.cn) and Dr. Junhua Li (lijunhua@genomics.cn) at BGI-Shenzhen will be responsible for questions regarding the metagenomic data.

EXPERIMENTAL MODEL AND SUBJECT DETAILS

The study included 35 MZ and 92 DZ female twin pairs with a mean age of 61 years (range 36-80 years of age), who were unselected for any phenotypes and are representative of the participants within the TwinsUK database. None of the women was pregnant or lactating at the time of sampling. Most of them were postmenopausal. Fecal samples were collected by the participants and refrigerated at home for no more than 2 days, before they were taken to King's College London for storage at -80°C. The samples were transported on dry ice to Cornell University for DNA extraction using the PowerSoil kit (MoBio), as in (Goodrich et al., 2014). The DNA samples were then transported on dry ice to BGI-Shenzhen. 1 MZ and 3 DZ samples failed to yield an Illumina library. The study was approved by the institutional review boards at King's College London and BGI-Shenzhen.

METHOD DETAILS

Metagenomic sequencing and assembly

Paired-end metagenomic sequencing was performed on the Illumina HiSeq2000 platform with a read length of 100 bp (insert size 350 bp). Low quality or human reads (according to

alignment to hg19) were removed, and the high-quality sequencing reads were then *de novo* assembled into contigs using SOAPdenovo v1.06 in the MOCAT pipeline (Kultima et al., 2012; Li et al., 2014). On average, 7.24 Gb of high-quality non-human sequences were obtained per sample (**Table S1B**).

Gene catalog construction

GeneMark v2.7d was used to predict genes from the assembled contigs. Redundant genes were removed using CD-HIT of the MOCAT pipeline (95% identity, 90% overlap) (Kultima et al., 2012), resulting in a non-redundant gene catalog of 5,901,478 non-redundant genes. This gene catalog was further integrated into an existing gut microbial reference catalog of 9,879,896 genes (IGC) using CD-HIT (Kultima et al., 2012; Li et al., 2014), resulting in a final catalog of 11,446,577 genes (**Fig. S1, Table S1B, C**). Relative abundances of the genes were computed by aligning high-quality sequencing reads to the reference gene catalog as previously described (Qin et al., 2012).

Taxonomic annotation and abundance calculation

Genes from the existing reference gene catalog inherited their original taxonomic annotation (Li et al., 2014). Taxonomic assignment of the newly included genes was performed using the same in-house pipeline, through BLASTN alignment to 3,449 bacterial or archaeal genomes or draft genomes from the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) and the European Molecular Biology Laboratory (EMBL) (Li et al., 2014; Mende et al., 2013). For each gene, only the top 10% highest-scoring alignments covering $\geq 80\%$ of gene length and identity $\geq 65\%$ were retained. Each gene was assigned the taxonomy of the alignment(s) with 50% or higher consensus above the similarity threshold for taxonomic rank ($> 65\%$ for phylum, $> 85\%$ for genus and $> 95\%$ for species). Our phylogenetic annotation pipeline ensures unique assignment to phylum, genus and species for each gene, and minimizes

ambiguity (Arumugam et al., 2011; Li et al., 2014; Qin et al., 2012). The relative abundance of a taxon was calculated from the relative abundance of its genes. Taxa containing less than 10 genes were removed.

mOTU and MLG profiling

High-quality reads in each sample were aligned to the 79268 sequences of mOTU reference with default parameters (Mende et al., 2013; Sunagawa et al., 2013), and 597 species-level mOTUs were identified.

Similarly, abundance of the 47 MLGs from the Chinese T2D study (Qin et al., 2012) in each TwinsUK sample was determined by aligning high-quality reads to genes in the MLGs. The co-occurrence network was constructed according to Spearman's correlation between the MLGs in the TwinsUK cohort, and visualized by Cytoscape 3.0.2.

Functional annotation according to KEGG

Genes from IGC inherited their original KO annotation (Li et al., 2014). KO assignment of the newly included genes was performed using the same procedure. Putative amino acid sequences were translated from the gene catalogues and aligned against the proteins/domains in the KEGG databases (release 59.0, with animal and plant genes removed) using BLASTP (v2.2.24, default parameter except that $-e1e-5a6-b50-FFm8$). Each protein was assigned to a KO by the highest scoring annotated hit(s) containing at least one high-scoring segment pair (HSP) scoring over 60 bits. KO pathways of different heritability were highlighted in iPath (Yamada et al., 2011).

QUANTIFICATION AND STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

PERMANOVA on the influence of phenotypes

We applied permutational multivariate analysis of variance (PERMANOVA) on the gene abundance profile of the samples to assess impact from each of the factors listed (Anderson, 2001; Feng et al., 2015) (**Table S2A**). We used Bray-Curtis distance and 9999 permutations in R (3.10, vegan package (Feng et al., 2015; Zapala and Schork, 2006)). Similar results were obtained for Jensen-Shannon distance.

Correlation between numerical phenotypes and microbial distance between twins

Bray-Curtis distance between the gut microbial gene abundance profile of paired twins was assessed for correlation with the difference between twins in the phenotypes listed (**Table S2B**). Both linear and non-linear correlations were considered using Pearson's, Spearman's and Kendall's correlation coefficients.

Heritability analysis

Heritability of traits including genera, MLGs, KOs, KO pathways or modules was estimated as previously described (Goodrich et al., 2014). Traits present in less than 50% of the individuals were not analyzed because they mostly could not fulfil the requirement for normal distribution even after Box-Cox transformation. Abundances of the remaining traits were scaled to make sure the minimum nonzero is 1 and then subjected to one-parameter Box-Cox transformation for normal distribution, and multiple linear regression to eliminate influence from the number of sequencing reads per sample, age, and age started living apart by using the powerTransform command from the R package 'car' and offset of 1. The traits were further filtered according to their ICCs using two criteria: 1) $r(MZ) > r(DZ)$; 2) $r(MZ) > 0$ and $p < 0.01$, i.e. $r(MZ)$ is significantly greater than 0. These steps were expected to satisfy the model-assumed conditions and increase the proportion of heritable traits in the pool. All ICC calculations were generated with the 'icc' command from the R package 'irr'.

Heritability is calculated by using the R package ‘OpenMx’. The twin-based ACE model and its submodels were used to estimate heritability of each trait, which were weighted to correct for the unequal number of MZ and DZ pairs. The equation of the objective function is:

$$O_T = \frac{n_{mz} + n_{dz}}{2n_{mz}} O_{MZ} + \frac{n_{mz} + n_{dz}}{2n_{dz}} O_{DZ}$$

n_{mz}, n_{dz} : number of MZ and DZ samples.

O_{mz}, O_{dz} : objective function of MZ and DZ samples.

The p value of the A component was regarded as the difference between the ACE and the CE models, i.e. inclusion of the genetic component better explains the data than using the common and unique environmental components only. Although heritability studies traditionally only report heritability and confidence intervals, here we controlled for multiple testing on the p-values of the ICC-filtered traits (977 total) according to Storey’s FDR method (Storey, 2002) as a stringent measure. For a p-value of 0.1 between ACE and CE, the q-value is 0.05 (Table S4-6).

Phylogenetic tree for gut microbial genera

The phylogenetic relationship according to the NCBI database (<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Taxonomy/Selector/taxse.cgi>) for the 120 genera detected in at least 50% of the samples was uploaded to the Interactive Tree of Life server (<http://itol.embl.de>) to plot a phylogenetic tree.

SNP identification and similarity score

Sequencing reads from the 250 TwinsUK samples were aligned to 983 reference genomes or draft genomes of gut bacteria or archaea (previously identified as human gut microbes from

all 3449 bacteria or archaea genomes or draft genomes available from NCBI (Li et al., 2014)) using SOAP2 with identity $\geq 90\%$ (Li et al., 2009). To eliminate redundancy, the genomes were clustered according to their MUMi distances (Deloger et al., 2009) into 343 species-level clusters (MUMi > 0.5). Representative genomes from each cluster were identified according to three criteria: i) The genome recruited the highest number of reads in its cluster; ii) The genome had a cumulative depth of at least 10-fold from all samples; iii) At least 40% of the genome was covered by reads from a single sample (Schloissnig et al., 2012). A total of 152 genomes fulfilled these criteria and were used as references for SNP calling.

An in-house script was used to call SNPs using SAMtools (Li, 2011). Single nucleotide variants were considered as SNPs if they had a frequency of at least 1% and were supported by at least 4 reads. Shared allele similarity score were calculated as previously described (Schloissnig et al., 2012).

DATA AND SOFTWARE AVAILABILITY

Metagenomic shotgun sequencing data for all 250 samples after removal of human sequences have been deposited to the European Bioinformatic Institute (EBI) database under the accession code ERP010708. Other relevant data have been deposited to the GigaScience Database (GigaDB) (Xie et al., 2016).

Figure 1





Figure 2


A

Cohort geographic distribution




B

Twin pairs



C



D





Figure 3

A



B






Figure 4


A




B




C



D



A



A





Figure 7





Click here to access/download
Supplemental File Sets
3.Supplemental.pdf





Click here to access/download
Supplemental File Sets
TabS1.xlsx



Click here to access/download
Supplemental File Sets
TabS3.xlsx



Click here to access/download
Supplemental File Sets
TabS4.xlsx



Click here to access/download
Supplemental File Sets
TabS5.xlsx





[Click here to access/download](#)

Supplemental File Sets

7.Supplemental Information.docx

