

## Real-life and RCT participants: Alendronate users versus FITs' trial eligibility criterion

**AUTHORS:** Carlen Reyes<sup>1</sup>, Anton Pottegård<sup>2</sup>, Peter Schwarz<sup>3</sup>, M Kassim Javaid<sup>4,5</sup>, Tjeerd P Van Staa<sup>6,7</sup>, Cyrus Cooper<sup>4,5,8</sup>, Adolfo Diez-Perez<sup>9</sup>, Bo Abrahamsen<sup>10,11\*</sup>, and Daniel Prieto-Alhambra<sup>1,4,5,9\*</sup>

\* Joint senior authors

### AFFILIATIONS:

1- GREMPAL Research Group, Institut Universitari d'Investigació en Atenció Primària Jordi Gol [IDIAP Jordi Gol], Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain. Address: Gran Via Corts Catalanes 587, 08007, Barcelona, Spain.

2- Clinical Pharmacology, Department of Public Health, University of Southern Denmark, Odense, Denmark. Address: Department of Public Health, University of Southern Denmark, J.B. Winsløvs Vej 9B, 2nd floor, DK-5000 Odense C, Denmark)

3- Research Centre for Ageing and Osteoporosis, Dept Endocrinology, Rigshospitalet-Glostrup Hospital, Copenhagen, Denmark. Address: Nordre Ringvej 69, 2600, Glostrup, Denmark.

4- Oxford NIHR Musculoskeletal Biomedical Research Unit, Nuffield Department of Orthopaedics, Rheumatology and Musculoskeletal Sciences, University of Oxford. Address: Nuffield Orthopaedic Centre, Windmill Road, Oxford OX3 7LD, United Kingdom.

5- MRC Lifecourse Epidemiology Unit, University of Southampton. Address: Southampton General Hospital, MRC lifecourse epidemiology unit, Southampton, SO16 6YD United Kingdom.

6- Farr Institute, University of Manchester, Manchester, United Kingdom. Address: Health eResearch Centre, Farr Institute for Health Informatics Research, University of Manchester, 1.003 Vaughan House, Portsmouth Road, Manchester M13 9PL, UK

7- Department of Pharmacoepidemiology, Utrecht University, Utrecht, the Netherlands.

8- National Institute for Health Research Nutrition Biomedical Research Centre, University of Southampton and University Hospital Southampton NHS Foundation Trust. Address: Southampton General Hospital, Southampton, SO16 6YD United Kingdom.

9-Musculoskeletal Research Unit and RETICEF, IMIM Research Foundation, Parc de Salut Mar and Instituto de Salut Carlos III, Barcelona, Spain. Address: Dr Aiguader 88, 08003, Barcelona, Spain.

10- Research Centre for Ageing and Osteoporosis, Dept of Medicine, Glostrup Hospital, Copenhagen, Denmark, Address: Nordre Ringvej 57, 2600 Glostrup, Denmark.

11- Odense Patient Data Explorative Network, Institute of Clinical Research, University of Southern Denmark, Odense, Denmark. Address: OPEN Odense Patient data Explorative Network, Department 1 of Clinical Research, University of Southern Denmark, J.B. Winsløvs Vej 9 A, 3. Sal. DK-5000 Odense C, Denmark).

### ABSTRACT:

**Purpose:** We aimed to characterize incident users of alendronate from Denmark and Spain, and investigate their eligibility for participation in the pivotal Fracture Intervention Trial (FIT).

**Methods:** Design: International cross-sectional study. Setting: Data was obtained from the SIDIAP database (Sistema d'Informació per al Desenvolupament de l'Investigació en Atenció Primària) from Catalonia (Spain) and the Danish Health Registries (DHR). Patients: Incident users of alendronate, ≥ 40 years old with no history of Paget's disease. Measurements: The proportion of incident users of alendronate that would not have been eligible for participation in FIT.

**Results:** 14,316 and 21,221 subjects initiated alendronate in 2006-2007 (SIDIAP) and 2005-2006 (DHR) respectively. SIDIAP and DHR alendronate user cohorts had 2,347 (16.4%) and 5,275 (24.9%) subjects aged >80 years old, reported 9 (0.1%) and 91 (0.4%) diagnoses of myocardial infarction, 423 (3%) and 368 (1.7%) of erosive gastro-intestinal disease, 200 (1.4%) and 1,109 (5.2%) of dyspepsia, and 349 (2.4%) and 149 (0.7%) of metabolic bone disease, all of which were exclusion criteria in FIT. Men (3,818 (26.7%) in SIDIAP and 3,885 (18.3%) in DHR) and glucocorticoid users (1,229 (8.6%) in SIDIAP and 4,716 (22.2%) in DHR) were also excluded from the FIT trial.

Overall, 3,447 (35.4%) SIDIAP and 6,228 (44.5%) (when not considering men and glucocorticoid users) DHR of incident alendronate users would have been excluded from FIT.

**Conclusion:** One in two real-life users of alendronate exhibited one or more clinical characteristics that would have led to them being excluded from the FIT trial.

## KEY WORDS:

Osteoporosis, randomized controlled trial, population characteristics, alendronate, observational study.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS:

We would like to acknowledge the health professionals [general practitioners and nurses] responsible for the collection of these data, as well as to the patients involved.

DPA receives funding from the National Institute for Health Research Clinician Scientist award scheme.

ADP affirms that he has listed everyone who contributed significantly to the work. All authors had access to all the study data, take responsibility for the accuracy of the analysis, and had authority over manuscript preparation and the decision to submit the manuscript for publication.

## INTRODUCTION:

Randomized controlled trials (RCT) are the backbone of evidence-based medicine and are considered the gold standard study design to determine the effect of new or already commercialized medications. While medical doctors are familiar with these studies, the challenge lies in being able to summarize and apply this evidence in their day-to-day practice. The rigorous designs inherent to most RCT confer them high internal validity. However, when applied in a real-world setting, results have been shown on occasion to be much less favorable than expected [1,2].

Despite considerations about external validity being a pre-requisite for every well-conducted trial [3], this remains a common limitation in RCTs [1], limiting the value of the findings obtained through these studies in real-life practice settings. This has been illustrated in a number of therapeutic areas, including cardiac rehabilitation, where an observational study [2] reported a much less optimistic reduction in mortality than previous Cochrane reviews [4].

Fracture reduction therapies [also called anti-osteoporosis medications] are not an exception; since the first RCT of alendronate [the Fracture Intervention Trial, FIT [5,6], observational studies have detected discrepancies between the fracture reduction expected from the RCT findings and what is actually observed in real-life patients [7-9,10]. Such discrepancies contribute to the debate on the differences between efficacy and effectiveness as well as on potential safety issues not seen in RCTs but possibly present in real life drug users. The main cause of these discrepancies is likely the strict selection criteria used in most clinical trials.

To illustrate the differences between RCT participants and real-life drug users, we used routinely collected data from two nationwide databases, and compared the FIT's exclusion and inclusion criteria with the baseline characteristics of incident users of alendronate. We hypothesize that a relevant proportion of these subjects will not be eligible for their inclusion in the FIT.

## METHODS:

**Study design and setting:** We conducted an international cross-sectional register-based study using data obtained from the SIDIAP (Sistema d'Informació per al Desenvolupament de l'Investigació en Atenció Primària) Database from Catalonia (Spain), and the DHR (Danish Health Registries) from Denmark.

The SIDIAP database is a comprehensive collection of longitudinal records from 274 primary care practices, with the participation of 3,414 GPs. It includes primary care electronic medical records for approximately 5 million patients in Catalonia (80% of the total population in this region). SIDIAP comprises the clinical and referral events registered by primary care health professionals (GPs and nurses) and administrative staff in e-records, comprehensive demographic information, prescriptions and corresponding pharmacy invoicing data, specialist referrals, primary care laboratory test results, hospital admissions, and their major outcomes [11]. All this information is anonymized and encoded using the ICD-10 codes and structured forms designed for the collection of variables relevant to primary care professionals. Only GPs who achieve quality control standards can contribute to the SIDIAP database [12].

The DHR is comprised by the National Prescriptions Database [13] (Lægemiddelregistret) which contains all filled prescriptions in the country since 1995, the National Hospital Discharge Register [14] (NHDR) (Landspatientregistret) comprising of all diagnosis codes (ICD-8 until the end of 1993 and ICD-10 afterwards)

for contacts for inpatients (since 1977) and outpatients (since 1995) and the date of death from the Danish Civil Register [15] (Centrale Personregister, CPR).

**Participants:** We included all subjects >40 year old registered in the SIDIAP and DHR database between 1/1/2006 to 12/31/2007 and between 1/1/2005 to 12/31/2006 respectively, with an incident use of alendronate within these periods (only naïve subjects to any anti-osteoporosis treatment were included). Incident use of alendronate was defined as no previous alendronate prescription registered from 1/1/2005 for SIDIAP database and from 1/1/1995 for DHR database.

We excluded those with a diagnosis of Paget disease, previous use of any anti-osteoporosis drug in the year prior to the first prescription of alendronate as well as those receiving high dose bisphosphonates treatment (high dose risedronate basically for Paget treatment).

**Variables:** The main study measurements were the inclusion and exclusion criteria reported in the FIT trial: age, sex, bone mineral density (BMD), major illnesses (myocardial infarction, erosive gastrointestinal disease, dyspepsia, metabolic bone disease, cancer, hypertension, unstable angina, malabsorption), drug treatments affecting bone turnover (glucocorticoids, oestrogen, anabolic steroids, calcitonin, progestin, fluoride) among 1 other variables such as levels of creatinine in blood sample, changes in thyroid hormone dosage, unexplained weight loss, unsuitable anatomy on spinal radiographs, not being ambulatory, history of bilateral hip replacement and alcohol abuse. For each variable, an operational definition was created adapted to the SIDIAP [16] and DHR databases. When a variable was not available in the participating data sources (eg BMD), the related inclusion criteria was assumed to be fulfilled, in order to provide a conservative estimate of non-eligibility. The primary analysis addressed the similarity of real world alendronate users to the patients permitted to participate in the FIT trial, while a sensitivity analysis broadened the inclusion criteria to allow glucocorticoid users and men to allow for the fact that successful clinical trials with alendronate have been conducted in these patient groups after completion of the original FIT trial.

**Statistical methods:** Numbers and proportions of participants fulfilling each of the eligibility criteria were reported for both Spanish and Danish incident alendronate users separately. Further sensitive analyses were carried out without considering male gender and steroid use as criteria of non-eligibility, as these patient groups were included in subsequent alendronate trials [17,18] where an increase in vertebral BMD was reported.

## RESULTS:

A total of 14,316 (SIDIAP) and 21,214 (DHR) incident users of bisphosphonates were analyzed which was reduced to 9,725 (SIDIAP) and 14,006 (DHR) if not considering men and glucocorticoid users. Baseline characteristics of the real-life incident users of alendronate compared with the exclusion criteria of the FIT pivotal trial are summarized in Table 1 and 2.

When analyzing strictly the exclusion criteria reported in the FIT trial, among the incident users of alendronate included in the SIDIAP and DHR database, 9.8% and 21.5% of the subjects respectively were either under 55 or over 80 years old, falling outside the age-range of the FIT trial. The SIDIAP and DHR population also frequently displayed comorbidities such as a history of myocardial infarction, erosive gastro-intestinal disease, dyspepsia and metabolic bone disease, all of which were exclusion criteria of the FIT trial. Men (26.7% and 18.3% of the Spanish and Danish participants) and systemic glucocorticoid users (8.6% and 22.2% alendronate users in SIDIAP and DHR respectively) were also excluded from the FIT trial. Overall, 8,038 (56.2%) and 13,443 (63.3%) incident alendronate users in the SIDIAP and DHR respectively, had at least one of the previously mentioned exclusion criteria (including men and glucocorticoid users).

Given that men and glucocorticoid users have been included in subsequent RCTs on alendronate [17,18] a sensitivity analysis was carried out broadening the inclusion criteria to include both variables. When not considering male gender and use of systemic glucocorticoids as exclusion criteria the proportion of subjects with at least one of the previously mentioned exclusion criteria was reduced to 3,447 (35.4%) and 6,228 (44.5%) in Spain and Denmark.

## DISCUSSION:

Real-life patients that initiated oral alendronic acid in Spanish or Danish actual practice settings do not resemble the patients included in the alendronate pivotal trial FIT, as just over half of these incident users would have been eligible for this randomized controlled trial.

The population selected for the first RCT of alendronate published in the 90s' [19,5] included a large number of exclusion criteria. These limitations were partially compensated, with the publication of further RCTs [17,18] that included men and oral glucocorticoid users. However, in spite of the proven efficacy for fracture reduction of alendronate [5,6], some studies have detected differences between the expected effect and the actual effectiveness when applied to the general population [7-9,10]. Differences in the inclusion-exclusion criteria between trials and these observational studies could partly explain these uneven results.

The real-life population in this study reported many of the FIT's exclusion criteria, mostly considering age and comorbidities. The older subjects are frequently excluded from RCT; in a review published in 2011[20], the majority of the RCT excluded the aged population either through direct age-exclusion criteria or indirectly by non age-exclusion criteria focused on comorbidities highly frequent among these subjects. Our population accounted for a large proportion of old people (subjects over 80 years old), which are a target population for bisphosphonate treatment due to the increased risk of fracture with older age, as well as common comorbidities such as gastrointestinal disorders or cancer, which could further increase the risk of fracture. Furthermore, the FIT trial excluded subjects with high alcohol consumption [5] which is considered a risk factor for fragility fracture included in the WHO fracture risk assessment tool FRAX [21].

Lastly, the FIT also included a "run in period" before randomization, during which those subjects with a good drug adherence or those with fewer side effects were selected for participation. In summation, these choices in the design of the FIT trial are likely to have led to a highly selected population that is very different from the incident real-life users of alendronate, thus limiting the external validity of the findings [1].

The differences between the population selected for RCTs and real-world drug users have been reported previously in other diseases, such as hypertension, cardiovascular disease or chronic obstructive pulmonary disease [22-24]. In a Canadian study published in 2006 [22], only 34-38% of the real-world population that were taking anti-hypertensive medication would have been selected for the RCTs of these same treatments. This underrepresentation also affects patients with chronic kidney disease, who are systematically excluded from most of cardiovascular disease trial, in spite of the great cardiovascular mortality within this particular population [23]. The differences between the final target population and the one selected for the RCT has proven to lead to dangerous results; higher rates of hyperkalemia and mortality due to the increase in the rates of prescription of spironolactone were found in hospitalized patients with heart failure after the aldactone 1 randomized trial was published [24].

We arrive at the same conclusion; real-life users of alendronate in the SIDIAP and DHR databases are markedly different to those included in the FIT trial, even when broadening the inclusion criteria to include men and glucocorticoid users.. This underlines the importance that the final population used to study bisphosphonates the end-users, so that medical doctors can predict the effect and properly apply the evidence-based medicine in their daily practice.

Although RCT are the best method to assess the (effectiveness) efficacy of medical interventions suffers from limitations of external validity, especially regarding the population included. Observational studies, while with different limitations, can however address this issue [25].

The main strength of our study lies in its large, validated and representative population included in the SIDIAP and DHR databases. Secondly, to our knowledge this is the first study that carries out an in-depth analysis of the differences between the populations included in the alendronate pivotal trial FIT and the final target population that received this medication. Nevertheless, this study must be analyzed in the light of some limitations; due to the nature of the administrative data in both databases, we were not able to capture some of the exclusion criteria from the FIT trial as, for example, BMD levels (although it would have likely not altered our results), those exclusion inclusion criterion related to the methodology of RCT (eg pre-randomization procedures, informed consent) or certain illness and treatments not routinely collected in primary care. Considering that the missing exclusion criteria such as severe hypertension, unexplained weight loss or changes in the thyroid hormone dosage are frequent reasons for medical consultation in primary care, our results are likely underestimating the proportion of users that would have been excluded from the FIT trial, limiting even more its external validity. We were also not able to account for subjects who had low eGFR, which would contraindicate the initiation of alendronate. Finally, this study used European routinely collected data and since the FIT trial was carried out in the United States further differences in the population could not be accounted, hence our conclusions should be extrapolated with caution to this population.

## CONCLUSION:

Patient characteristics used as exclusion criteria in FIT were commonly found among real-life users of alendronate. This severely limits the external validity of this trial. While subsequent RCTs have established the

efficacy of alendronate in men and glucocorticoid users, efficacy data is needed for octogenarians, as well as for patients with other common co-morbidities.

#### CONFLICT OF INTEREST:

P.S, C.R and A.P declare that they have no conflict of interest; D.P.A: Scientific Coordinator of the SIDIAP Database. Unrestricted research grants from Amgen and Bioiberica; A.D.P: speaker or advisor for Lilly, Amgen, UCB, Active Life Sci; C.C: received consultancy, lecture fees and honoraria from Alliance for Better Bone Health, AMGEN, Eli Lilly, GSK, Medtronic, Merck, MSD, Novartis, Pfizer, Roche, Servier and Takeda. (outside the submitted work); K.J: personal fees from consultancy, lecture fees and/or honoraria from AMGEN, GSK, Eli Lilly, Novartis, Servier, Medtronic and Roche outside the submitted work; B.A: research grants from or served as an investigator in studies for Novartis, Takeda, NPS Pharmaceuticals and Amgen; T.V.S: advisory boards for GSK and Boehringer and advice to Laser Rx on epidemiological and pragmatic trial methods outside the submitted work.

#### CONTRIBUTORS STATEMENT

DPA, BA, and CR: Data extraction, statistical analysis and manuscript preparation. DPA: Study design, data analysis and interpretation and manuscript preparation. CR: data interpretation and manuscript preparation. MKJ, CC, ADP: study design and manuscript preparation. AP, PS and TVS: manuscript preparation. . All authors revised the paper critically for intellectual content and approved the final version. All authors agree to be accountable for the work and to ensure that any questions relating to the accuracy and integrity of the paper are investigated and properly resolved.

#### REFERENCES:

- [1]- Rothwell PM (2005) External validity of randomised controlled trials: "to whom do the results of this trial apply?". *Lancet* 365:82-93.
- [2]- Taylor RS, Bethell HJN, Brodie DA (2007) Clinical Trials Versus the Real World: The Example of Cardiac Rehabilitation. *Br J Cardiol* 14:175-178.
- [3]- Moher D, Schulz KF, Altman DG (2001) The CONSORT statement: revised recommendations for improving the quality of reports of parallel-group randomised trials. *Lancet* 357:1191-94.
- [4]- Jolliffe JA, Rees K, Taylor RS, Thompson D, Oldridge N, Ebrahim S (2001) Exercise-based rehabilitation for coronary heart disease. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 1:CD001800.
- [5]- Black DM, Cummings SR, Karpf DB, Cauley JA, Thompson DE, Nevitt MC, Bauer DC, Genant HK, Haskell WL, Marcus R, Ott SM, Torner JC, Quandt SA, Reiss TF, Ensrud KE (1996) Randomised trial of effect of alendronate on risk of fracture in women with existing vertebral fractures. Fracture Intervention Trial Research Group. *Lancet* 348:1535-41.
- [6]- Cummings SR, Black DM, Thompson DE, Applegate WB, Barrett-Connor E, Musliner TA, Palermo L, Prineas R, Rubin SM, Scott JC, Vogt T, Wallace R, Yates AJ, LaCroix AZ (1998) Effect of alendronate on risk of fracture in women with low bone density but without vertebral fractures: results from the Fracture Intervention Trial. *JAMA* 280:2077-82.
- [7]- Cadarette SM, Katz JN, Brookhart MA, Stürmer T, Stedman MR, Solomon DH (2008) Relative effectiveness of osteoporosis drugs for preventing nonvertebral fracture. *Ann Intern Med* 148:637-46.
- [8]- Feldstein AC, Weycker D, Nichols GA, Oster G, Rosales G, Boardman DL, Perrin N (2009) Effectiveness of bisphosphonate therapy in a community setting. *Bone* 44:153-9.
- [9]- Erviti J, Alonso A, Gorricho J, López A (2013) Oral bisphosphonates may not decrease hip fracture risk in elderly Spanish women: a nested case-control study. *BMJ Open* 3.
- [10]- Abrahamsen B, Eiken P, Eastell R (2010) Cumulative alendronate dose and the long-term absolute risk of subtrochanteric and diaphyseal femur fractures: a register-based national cohort analysis. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 95:5258-65.
- [11]- Ramos R, Balló E, Marrugat J, Elosúa R, Sala J, Grau M, Vila J, Bolívar B, García-Gil M, Martí R, Fina F, Hermosilla E, Rosell M, Muñoz MA, Prieto-Alhambra D, Quesada M (2012) Validity for use in research on vascular diseases of the SIDIAP [Information System for the Development of Research in Primary Care]: the EMMA study. *Rev Esp Cardiol [Engl Ed]* 65:29-37.

- [12]- Garcia-Gil M, Hermosilla E, Prieto-Alhambra D, Fina F, Rossell M, Ramos R, Rodriguez 1 J, Williams T, Van Staa T, Bolibar B (2011) Construction and Validation of a Scoring System for Selction of High Quality Data in a Spanish Population Primary Care Database [SIDIAP]. *Inform Prim Care* 19:135-45.
- [13]- Kildemoes HW, Sørensen HT, Hallas J (2011) The Danish National Prescription Registry. *Scand J Public Health* 39:38-41
- [14]- Lynge E, Sandegaard JL, Rebolj M (2011) The Danish National Patient Register. *Scand J Public Health* 39:30-3.
- [15]- Pedersen CB (2011) The Danish Civil Registration System. *Scand J Public Health* 39:22-5.
- [16]- Quan H, Sundararajan V, Halfon P, Fong A, Burnand B, Luthi JC, Saunders LD, Beck CA, Feasby TE, Ghali WA (2005) Coding algorithms for defining comorbidities in ICD-9-CM and ICD-10 administrative data. *Med Care* 43:1130-9.
- [17]- Orwoll E, Ettinger M, Weiss S, Miller P, Kendler D, Graham J, Adami S, Weber K, Lorenc R, Pietschmann P, Vandormael K, Lombardi A (2000) Alendronate for the treatment of osteoporosis in men. *N Engl J Med* 343:604-10.
- [18]- Saag KG, Emkey R, Schnitzer TJ, Brown JP, Hawkins F, Goemaere S, Thamsborg G, Liberman UA, Delmas PD, Malice MP, Czachur M, Daifotis AG (1998) Alendronate for the prevention and treatment of glucocorticoid induced osteoporosis. Glucocorticoid-Induced Osteoporosis Intervention Study Group. *N Engl J Med* 339:292-9.
- [19]- Liberman UA, Weiss SR, Bröll J, Minne HW, Quan H, Bell NH, Rodriguez-Portales J, Downs RW Jr, Dequeker J, Favus M (1995) Effect of oral alendronate on bone mineral density and the incidence of fractures in postmenopausal osteoporosis. The Alendronate Phase III Osteoporosis Treatment Study Group. *N Engl J Med* 333:1437-43.
- [20]- Zulman DM, Sussman JB, Chen X, Cigolle CT, Blaum CS, Hayward RA (2011) Examining the evidence: a systematic review of the inclusion and analysis of older adults in randomized controlled trials. *J Gen Intern Med* 26:783-90.
- [21]- Kanis JA, Johnell O, Oden A, Johansson H, McCloskey E (2008) FRAX and the assessment of fracture probability in men and women from the UK. *Osteoporos Int* 19:385-97.
- [22]- Fortin M, Dionne J, Pinho G, Gignac J, Almirall J, Lapointe L (2006) Randomized controlled trials: do they have external validity for patients with multiple comorbidities? *Ann Fam Med* 4:104-8.
- [23]- Coca SG, Krumholz HM, Garg AX, Parikh CR (2006) Underrepresentation of renal disease in randomized controlled trials of cardiovascular disease. *JAMA* 296:1377-84.
- [24]- Juurlink DN, Mamdani MM, Lee DS, Kopp A, Austin PC, Laupacis A, Redelmeier DA (2004) Rates of hyperkalemia after publication of the Randomized Aldactone Evaluation Study. *N Engl J Med* 351:543-51.
- [25]- Grapow MT, von Wattenwyl R, Guller U, Beyersdorf F, Zerkowski HR (2006) 1 Randomized controlled trials do not reflect reality: real-world analyses are critical for treatment guidelines! *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg* 132:5-7.

**Table 1 Comparison of the exclusion criteria in the FIT trial with the incident users of alendronate in the SIDIAP and DHR database:**

FIT exclusion criteria*	Operational definition /ICD-10 Codes	Incident users of Alendronate <sup>§</sup>	
		SIDIAP N=14,316 (%)	DHR N= 21,214 (%)
Men	Sex according to administrative data	3,818 (26.7%)	3,885 (18.3%)
Age <55 years old	Age at first ALD dispensation	1,844 (12.9%)	1,654 (7.8%)
Age >80 years old	Age at first ALD dispensation	2,347 (16.4%)	5,275 (24.9%)
<b>Major Illnesses</b>			
Myocardial Infarction †	MI †† (6 months before alendronate initiation date)	9 (0.1%)	91 (0.4%)
Serum creatinine >1.6 mg/dl	CKD†† (Anytime before alendronate initiation date)	300 (2.1%)	182 (0.9%)
Erosive gastrointestinal disease within 5 years	K21 (previous 5 years)	423 (3.0%)	368 (1.7%)
Dyspepsia requiring daily	K25/K26/K27	200 (1.4%)	1109 (5.2%)

treatment			
Metabolic bone disease	Any of the following at any time before or on date of alendronate initiation: • Hyperparathyroidism: E21 • OI: Q78.0 • Osteopetrosis: Q78.2 • Osteomalacia: M83	349 (2.4%)	142 (0.7%)
History of cancer	Malignancy <sup>††</sup> (Anytime before or on therapy initiation date)	438 (3.1%)	2561 (12.1%)
<b>Treatment affecting bone turnover</b>			
Glucocorticoid <sup>†</sup>	Any use of glucocorticoids <sup>†</sup>	1,229 (8.6%)	4,716 (22.2%)
<b>Other exclusion criteria</b>			
Alcohol abuse	Lifestyle factors in primary care records	99 (0.7%)	NA

\* DM Black et al. OI 1993 Suppl. 3:S29-39. "Design of the Fracture Intervention Trial"

<sup>†</sup> Within 6 months

<sup>††</sup> Quan H, Sundararajan V, Halfon P, Fong A, Burnand B, Luthi JC, Saunders LD, Beck CA, Feasby TE, Ghali WA (2005) Coding algorithms for defining comorbidities in ICD-9-CM and ICD-10 administrative data. Med Care 43:1130-9.

□□ Subjects can be included in more than one category.

Abbreviations: NA: Data not available, assumed to be fulfilled; CKD: Chronic Kidney Disease, MI: Myocardial Infarction; OI: Osteogenesis imperfect.

Other NA: Severe hypertension, unstable angina, malabsorption, oestrogens, anabolic steroids, calcitonin, progestin, change in thyroid hormone dosage, fluoride treatment, unexplained weight loss, unsuitable anatomy on spinal radiographs, non-compliance with pre-randomizations study procedures, not ambulatory, history of bilateral hip replacement, unable to give informed consent, participating in another trial, intention to move within 4 years. Data not available: BMD at femoral neck over 3 SD.

**Table 2 Comparison of the exclusion criteria in the FIT trial with the incident users of alendronate in the SIDIAP and DHR database after excluding men and systemic steroid users:**

FIT exclusion criteria*	Operational definition /ICD-10 Codes	Incident users of Alendronate <sup>b</sup>	
		SIDIAP N=9,725	DHR N=14,006
Age <55 years old	Age at first ALD dispensation	1,442 (14.8%)	1,026 (7.3%)
Age >80 years old	Age at first ALD dispensation	1,525 (15.7%)	3,562(25.4%)
<b>Major Illnesses</b>			
Myocardial Infarction <sup>†</sup>	MI <sup>††</sup> (6 months before alendronate initiation date)	4 (0.04%)	39 (0.3%)
Serum creatinine >1.6 mg/dl	CKD <sup>††</sup> (Anytime before alendronate initiation date)	139 (1.4%)	79 (0.6%)
Erosive gastrointestinal disease within 5 years	K21 (previous 5 years)	255 (2.6%)	207 (1.5%)
Dyspepsia requiring daily treatment	K25/K26/K27	126 (1.3%)	645 (4.6%)
Metabolic bone disease	Any of the following at any time before or on date of alendronate initiation: • Hyperparathyroidism: E21 • OI: Q78.0	177 (1.8%)	107 (0.8%)

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Osteopetrosis: Q78.2</li> <li>• Osteomalacia: M83</li> </ul>		
History of cancer	Malignancy <sup>††</sup> (Anytime before or on therapy initiation date)	146 (1.5%)	1,675(12.0%)
<b>Other exclusion criteria</b>			
Alcohol abuse	Lifestyle factors in primary care records	34 (0.4%)	NA
ANY EXCLUSION CRITERIA	Any of the above	3,447 (35.4%)	6,228 (44.5%)

\* DM Black et al. OI 1993 Suppl. 3:S29-39. "Design of the Fracture Intervention Trial"

†† Quan H, Sundararajan V, Halfon P, Fong A, Burnand B, Luthi JC, Saunders LD, Beck CA, Feasby TE, Ghali WA (2005) Coding algorithms for defining comorbidities in ICD-9-CM and ICD-10 administrative data. Med Care 43:1130-9.

☐ Subjects can be included in more than one category.

Abbreviations: NA: Data not available, assumed to be fulfilled; CKD: Chronic Kidney Disease, MI: Myocardial Infarction; OI: Osteogenesis imperfect.

Other NA: Severe hypertension, unstable angina, malabsorption, oestrogens, anabolic steroids, calcitonin, progestin, change in thyroid hormone dosage, fluoride treatment, unexplained weight loss, unsuitable anatomy on spinal radiographs, non-compliance with pre-randomizations study procedures, not ambulatory, history of bilateral hip replacement, unable to give informed consent, participating in another trial, intention to move within 4 years. Data not available: BMD at femoral neck over 3 SD.