

Increase in antibiotic prescriptions in Out of Hours primary care in contrast to in hours primary care prescriptions: service evaluation in a population of 600,000 patients

Hayward GN^{*1}, Fisher RFR¹, Spence GT¹, Lasserson DS²

1. Nuffield Department of Primary Care Health Sciences, Radcliffe Primary Care Building, Radcliffe Observatory Quarter, Woodstock Road, Oxford, OX2 6GG, UK.
2. Nuffield Department of Medicine, Wellcome Trust Centre for Human Genetics, Roosevelt Drive, Oxford, OX3 7BN, and NIHR Oxford Biomedical Research Centre, John Radcliffe Hospital, Headley Way, Headington, Oxford, OX3 9DU, UK.

*Corresponding Author: Dr Gail Hayward, Nuffield Department of Primary Care Health Sciences, Radcliffe Observatory Quarter, Woodstock Road, Oxford, OX2 6GG Tel: 01865 289357, email gail.hayward@phc.ox.ac.uk

Running title: Antibiotic prescribing in out-of-hours primary care

22

23 **Synopsis**

24 **Objectives:** To describe the frequency and nature of antibiotic prescriptions issued by a primary care
25 Out-of-Hours (OOH) service and compare time trends in prescriptions between OOH and In-hours
26 primary care

27 **Methods:** We performed a retrospective audit of 496931 patient contacts with the Oxfordshire OOH
28 primary care service. Comparison of time trends in antibiotic prescriptions from OOH primary care
29 and in-hours primary care for the same population was made using multiple linear regression
30 models fitted to the monthly data for out of hours prescriptions, out of hours contacts and in hours
31 prescriptions between September 2010 and August 2014.

32 **Results:** Compared to the overall population contacting the OOH service, younger age, female sex,
33 and patients who were less deprived were independently correlated with an increased chance of a
34 contact resulting in prescription of antibiotics. The majority of antibiotics were prescribed to
35 patients contacting the service at weekends. Despite a reduction in patient contacts with the OOH
36 service (an estimated decrease of 486.5 monthly contacts each year (95%CI: -676.3 to -296.8) 5.0%
37 of the average monthly contacts), antibiotic prescriptions from this service rose during the study
38 period (increase of 37.1 monthly prescriptions each year (95%CI: 10.6 to 63.7) 2.5% of the average
39 monthly prescriptions). A matching increase was not seen for in-hours antibiotic prescriptions; the
40 difference between the year trends was significant (Z test, $p = 0.002^{**}$).

41 **Conclusions:**

42 We have demonstrated trends in prescribing which could represent a partial displacement of
43 antibiotic prescribing from in hours to OOH primary care. The possibility that the trends we describe
44 are evident nationally should be explored.

Introduction

Antimicrobial resistance is associated with both poorer patient outcomes, and increased healthcare costs.^{1,2} Since antimicrobial consumption is a major driver of antimicrobial resistance,³ ensuring that antibiotics are prescribed only where clinically appropriate is key to successful antimicrobial stewardship. Whilst incidence of antimicrobial resistant infection continues to rise, total antibiotic prescribing (measured using daily defined doses) has risen too.⁴ As the largest contributor to medical antimicrobial prescribing in the UK (74% of antibiotics in 2014),⁴ General Practice has a key role to play in antimicrobial stewardship. Although primary care antibiotic consumption has increased in terms of daily defined doses, the total levels of antibiotic prescription items prescribed per patient have started to decrease in the past two years (suggesting that the amount of antibiotic given per course has increased by either duration or dosage).⁴ What is unclear, however, is the contribution of out of hours (OOH) primary care to this problem.

OOH services are an integral part of primary care provision in the UK, providing primary care outside of 'core' contracted hours during weekday evenings and nights, and on weekends and bank holidays. In 2013-14, OOH primary care in England handled around 5.8 million cases, 3.3 million of which were face to face consultations, including 800,000 home visits.⁵ Patients presenting to OOH primary care are more likely to present with serious illness,⁶ a context in which antibiotic prescribing may be integral to good patient care. In addition greater use of telephone consultations may affect antibiotic prescribing practices.⁷ Over-prescribing of antibiotics according to national guidelines has been reported in the Dutch OOH service,⁸ but little is known about antibiotic prescribing practices in out of hours services in the UK. Concerns exist that governmental drives to decrease antimicrobial prescribing in in-hours primary care may displace antibiotic prescribing to the OOH service but there is, as yet, little evidence to substantiate these fears.

This descriptive study of an OOH service in Oxfordshire, UK, analyses 4 years of antibiotic prescribing data. We compared antibiotic prescribing data from Oxfordshire OOH service with in-hours antibiotic prescriptions for the same geographical population. We aimed to describe the demographics of antibiotic prescribing in the OOH service and to explore relationships between in- and out-of-hours antibiotic prescribing over time.

Methods

In an Oxford Health NHS Foundation Trust service evaluation, a database of all patients presenting to the Oxfordshire Out of Hours service over the four years from June 2010 – August 2014 was created from the electronic medical record used by Out of Hours clinicians (SystemOne). Patients without an NHS number were excluded. All patient identifiers were removed upon entry to the database. Antibiotics were defined as all medications listed for bacterial infections within the Oxfordshire primary care antimicrobial prescribing guidelines.⁹ Service data included call volume and time period. Days of the week were calculated using calendar days beginning at midnight, and timings of contacts were classified as follows: ‘evening’ – 19:00 – 00:00, ‘overnight’ – 00:01 – 08:00am, ‘day-time’ – 08:01 – 18:59 (weekends and bank holidays). The Oxfordshire OOH service reported no significant changes in the population served (approximately 600000 patients) over the four years of the study.

Indications for antibiotic prescription were derived from codes indicating the clinical problem. These are attached to each contact by the consulting physician at the end of the contact and are limited to codes available in the SystemOne operating system. Index of multiple deprivation scores were derived from the patients postcode¹⁰ and compared to deciles constructed from the Index of Multiple Deprivation (IMD) scores of all patients contacts with the OOH service over the same time period in

order to compare deprivation levels to the local population. Data analysis was performed in SPSS Version 22.

Comparison of trends in antibiotic prescriptions in hours and out of hours

Data on in-hours dispensed prescriptions by GP surgeries in Oxfordshire for September 2010 – August 2014 were obtained from the Oxfordshire Clinical Commissioning Group, with the total monthly prescriptions summed across all listed General Practices.

We aimed to extract information on the underlying prescribing trends over time, in both in and out of hours general practice, accounting for seasonal variations, and with corrections for weekends and bank holidays, and random fluctuations. As such, multiple linear regression models were fitted to the monthly data for *out of hours prescriptions*, *out of hours contacts* and *in hours prescriptions* between September 2010 and August 2014 (three different response variables). The models assumed linear trends in *year averages* (defined as Sept–Aug), consistent adjustments away from the year average for each different *month* of the year, and discrete corrections for the number of *weekend days* and the number of *bank holidays* (all included as explanatory variables). Model fitting was assessed using goodness-of-fit measures and residual error diagnostics, and individual variable significance was judged by coefficient significance. We also determined if there was a change in the antibiotic prescribing rate before and after the introduction of the ‘111 service’ (which standardised telephone triage and may have altered the case mix of patients subsequently treated in OOH primary care).

For linear regression models with different response scales and ranges, it is not possible to compare the statistical significance of variable coefficients directly between models. For example, the range of out of hours prescribing is 1,017–2,342 items per month, whilst in hours is 26,180–42,490. Hence, additional models were fitted for *standardised out of hours prescriptions* and *standardised in hours*

prescriptions. Standardisation consisted of subtracting the variable mean and then dividing by the standard deviation, and allows comparison of variable coefficients between independent models on a more appropriate, unit-less scale.¹¹ Linear regression data analysis was performed in R (Version 3.2.2).

Ethics

Ethical approval was not required for this study. Oxford Health NHS Foundation Trust evaluated the protocol and prospectively approved the work as a service evaluation and quality improvement project, conducted by GH who was part of the direct care team.

Results

Between June 2010 and August 2014 there were 496,931 contacts with the out of hours service in Oxfordshire (excluding 14,572 contacts without an NHS number). A total of 77,148 antibiotic prescriptions were issued at 74,739 contacts during this period. The proportion of contacts resulting in a prescription of antibiotics was 15%. There were 2384 patient contacts (0.5%) where 2 antibiotics were issued and 25 contacts where 3 antibiotics were issued.

Demographics

The median age of all patient contacts with the out of hours service during this period was 35, with an interquartile range of 13-66. In contrast the median age of patient contacts resulting in an antibiotic prescription was 30, with an interquartile range of 9-52. Table 1 compares the ages of the overall patient contacts with the out of hours service with the ages of those contacts resulting in antibiotic prescription.

60.8% of patient contacts where antibiotics were prescribed were for females and 39.2% were for males. This was a higher proportion of females than the overall population contacting the out of hours service (58.3% female 41.7% male).

Index of Multiple Deprivation (IMD) scores¹⁰ for the home address of patients presenting to the out of hours service who were prescribed antibiotics were grouped by local deciles of deprivation (where lower decile is less deprived), and are shown in Figure 1. In a multivariable logistic regression, younger age, female sex and lower deprivation were independently correlated with an increased likelihood of a contact with the out of hours service resulting in prescription of antibiotics (Age, OR = 0.990, 95% CI 0.989 to 0.990, $p < 0.001$, Sex, OR = 0.814, 95% CI 0.800 to 0.827, $p < 0.001$, IMD: OR = 0.995, 95% CI = 0.994 to 0.996, $p < 0.001$).

Repeat antibiotic prescriptions

The majority of patients receiving antibiotics OOH had only one contact resulting in a prescription in the 4 years of the study (61,260, 82%). 9708 (13%) had two contacts resulting in antibiotic prescriptions and 3770 (5%) had 3 or more separate antibiotic prescriptions from the service, (range 3-17).

Timing of antibiotic prescriptions

Antibiotics were prescribed at 19.9% of all day time contacts (including weekends and bank holidays), 8.2% of all evening contacts and 8.7% of all overnight contacts. Thus the majority (76.4%) of antibiotic prescriptions were issued during day time hours (16.5% in the evenings and 7.1% overnight). Most antibiotics (44%) were issued on Saturday, 31.2% were issued on Sundays. The monthly out of hours prescriptions and contacts, and in hours prescriptions data are shown in Figure

2. Out of hours antibiotics prescriptions and contact rates appear to follow similar seasonal trends over the period of the study with a primary peak in December, and one or two secondary peaks in the Spring (between March and June). In comparison, the seasonal trend for in hours prescriptions more closely matches a single yearly cycle with a peak in Winter and an approximate trough in Summer.

What is being prescribed

The most commonly prescribed antibiotics were penicillins, with amoxicillin accounting for 28.2% of total antibiotic prescriptions, and flucloxacillin and phenoxymethylpenicillin accounting for 13.7% and 11.9% of total antibiotic prescriptions respectively.

Amoxicillin was the most frequently prescribed antibiotic in all age groups, with 8.5% of contacts resulting in a prescription in children aged 0 – 4. However the top five most frequent prescriptions differed between age groups. Chloramphenicol and erythromycin only featured in the top five for young children. Antibiotics targeting urinary infection were in the top five for adults and older adults. Penicillin V, which is only used first-line for acute tonsillitis, was in the top five for all age groups except older adults. Table 2 shows the distribution of antibiotic prescriptions by age group and antibiotic.

Organ systems clinically coded as associated with antibiotic prescriptions

Primary codes relating to four different organ systems accounted for over 80% of the contacts which resulted in antibiotic prescription. These were: 'Ophthalmic or ENT' (17247 contacts, 23%), 'Genito-Urinary' (15835 contacts, 21%), 'Respiratory' (15018, 20%), and 'Dermatology or Local infection' (13020 contacts, 17%)

187 *Trends in antibiotic prescribing: comparison of In-hours and Out-of-Hours*

188 The fitting of linear regression models to the data for Oxfordshire OOH antibiotic prescriptions and
189 patient contacts, and in hours antibiotic prescriptions is shown in Figure 3, with the details given in
190 Table 3 and Table S1. Overall goodness-of-fit was satisfactory for all models, with 85-90% of
191 variation in the data explained by the models. Patient contacts with the OOH service reduced over
192 the 4 year period; a statistically significant year trend was fitted with an estimated decrease of 486.5
193 monthly contacts each year (95% confidence interval, CI: -676.3 to -296.8). This corresponds to
194 5.0% of the average number of monthly OOH contacts. Despite this decrease in contacts, antibiotic
195 prescriptions from the out of hours service rose during the study period; a statistically significant
196 year trend was fitted with an estimated increase of 37.1 monthly prescriptions each year (95%CI:
197 10.6 to 63.7), 2.5% of the average number of monthly OOH prescriptions). Over the three years from
198 2010/11 to 2013/14, this would correspond to a 7.7% (95%CI 2.2 to 13.2) increase in antibiotic
199 prescribing. A matching increase was not seen for in-hours antibiotic prescriptions; the year trend
200 was non-significant, with an estimated decrease of 294.0 antibiotic prescriptions per month (CI:
201 -701.1 to 113.0).

202 Using the year coefficients and standard errors from the models fitted to the standardised
203 prescription data (given in Table 3), the difference between the year trend for out of hours and in
204 hours prescriptions was significant (Z test, ¹² $p = 0.002^{**}$).

205 The trend in out of hours prescription proportion (antibiotic prescriptions per contact) was explored,
206 specifically to assess any impact of the introduction of the '111 service' which began in July 2012.
207 This suggested an increase in the number of prescriptions per OOH contact from the first two years
208 of the data (0.138 prescriptions per contact for September 2010 to August 2012) to the last two

years of the data (0.168 prescriptions per contact for September 2012 to August 2014) (Figure 4, Table 4).

Discussion

We did not observe a significant change in the total number of antibiotic prescriptions dispensed in Oxfordshire over the last four years. However, over the same time period we found an increase in issued antibiotic prescriptions in the OOH service, despite a slight reduction in OOH patient contacts, a trend which was significantly different to the in hours data. The fitted increase in annual OOH antibiotic prescribing corresponds to 446 (CI: 127 to 764) additional prescriptions *each year*.

Antibiotics were prescribed in 15% of all contacts with OOH primary care. Younger age, female sex, and lower deprivation score were all independently correlated with an increased chance of a contact with the out of hours service resulting in prescription of antibiotics. Amoxicillin was the most frequently prescribed antibiotic across all age groups. Almost 75% of antibiotic prescriptions issued by the OOH service were dispensed at weekends.

Strengths and limitations

To the best of our knowledge this is the first UK based evaluation of antibiotic prescribing in OOH primary care, and the first to compare trends over time with in-hours primary care antibiotic prescribing. Our study benefits from the robustness of the dataset used. However, limitations of the electronic record constrained our ability to analyse the type of consultation in which antibiotics were prescribed. Furthermore our data on antibiotic prescribing from the OOH service consists of issued prescriptions, whereas our in-hours prescribing data is based on dispensed prescriptions, which may have resulted in additional 'delayed' antibiotic prescriptions counting toward the total in OOH but

not in-hours time trends. However, this is unlikely to affect the overall trends observed. Finally, limitations of both in-hours and OOH data meant that we could not formulate prescribing as daily defined doses or compare the proportion of broad spectrum antibiotics prescribed in each group. Recent evidence⁴ suggests that these measures are increasing in primary care despite a reduction in overall number of prescriptions issued.

Our modelling of time trends in antibiotic prescribing assumed that the monthly prescription data were independent observations and that their variation could be adequately described by the linear regression models outlined in the methods section. Most notably, linear year trends were included in the models for interpretation purposes – assuming a constant change in the prescription numbers each year. However, not unexpectedly, the data exhibited some deviation away from this ideal behaviour. For example, the fitted values (red line in Figure 3) for OOH contacts were consistently below the observed figures (black line) for the second year of the data. Whilst model fitting could be improved slightly by allowing non-linear year trends, the overall fit of the models was sufficient to retain the linear year trends as this facilitated interpretation and does not alter the overall conclusions of the analysis.

Comparison with other literature

A retrospective Danish study describing antibiotic prescribing practices in their OOH primary care service reported an identical 15% of contacts resulting in antibiotic prescription.⁷ Increased frequency of antibiotic prescribing amongst the young and women is consistent with previously observed trends in in-hours antibiotic prescribing.¹³ A recent European evaluation of paediatric outpatient antibiotic prescribing confirmed our finding that rates of antibiotic prescribing were highest in children aged under 4¹⁴ and showed that rates were similar in Denmark and the UK.

Our finding that patients receiving antibiotics from OOH were relatively less deprived than the overall population contacting the service is in contrast with a recent suggestions that In-hours GPs in the UK prescribe more antibiotics in more deprived areas¹⁵ but in line with research from the USA suggesting that children from low income homes are less likely to use antibiotics.¹⁶ The reasons for this trend are unclear and could relate to issues of access¹⁷ or to patient expectations when attending an urgent care service.

We noted a decrease in overall contacts with the Out Of Hours service during the study period and an increase in the proportion of contacts resulting in an antibiotic prescription. Since this proportion increases most markedly after the summer of 2012 it is plausible that this is related to the introduction of the 'NHS 111' service, a central telephone triage service for healthcare needs outside office hours, which began in Oxfordshire in a limited form in July 2012 and was fully introduced in October 2012. This is consistent with an overall national trend for a reduction in the number of OOH contacts since the introduction of the NHS 111 service.⁵ It is possible that pre-triaging patients could have resulted in lower overall contacts but increased morbidity in the population assessed. To our knowledge there have been no evaluations of the impact of introducing the 111 service on the severity of illness in patients contacting OOH care.

Implications

'Antimicrobial stewardship' encourages a focus not just on absolute reduction in number of antibiotic prescriptions issued, but also on ensuring that when an antibiotic is needed, an appropriate one is prescribed, for an appropriate duration. This study was not designed to test compliance of GP prescribers with local antibiotic guidelines, though we note that almost 4000 prescriptions for topical chloramphenicol were issued (4.97% of all antibiotic prescriptions). As acute conjunctivitis can usually be considered a self-limiting condition¹⁸ this may provide a targeted

279 opportunity for reducing antibiotic prescribing within the service. More than 2% of all patient
280 contacts resulted in a prescription of co-amoxiclav. The use of broad spectrum antibiotics is a
281 concern as it is thought to result in greater levels of resistance.¹⁹ In OOH these levels might suggest
282 that patients are contacting OOH when they feel their initial antibiotic prescription has failed, as
283 guidelines would suggest this is usually a second line prescription.

284 We have demonstrated trends in prescribing which could represent a partial displacement of
285 antibiotic prescribing from in hours to OOH, for example due to patients' antibiotic-seeking
286 behaviour after refusal by the in hours GP. The significant increase in prescriptions in Oxfordshire – a
287 fitted absolute increase of 446 (CI: 127 to 764) prescriptions each year – would be equivalent to
288 43,600 (CI: 12,400 to 74,800) annually if we assume this is a national trend. However there are a
289 number of alternative explanations for higher prescribing, including the increased prevalence of
290 serious pathology in the population attending OOH,⁶ lower thresholds for prescribing by OOH
291 clinicians who do not have access to previous history or the ability to follow up the patient, and a
292 lack of targets or incentives for reducing antimicrobials imposed by the government or local
293 commissioners. If antibiotic prescribing is being displaced, this may be appropriate: in a system
294 which restricts antibiotic prescribing in-hours, the OOH service could act as a safety net offering
295 antibiotics to the smaller percentage where they would be of benefit. However, if this is the case
296 then it is important to consider the implications in terms of access to the service by more deprived
297 patient groups.

299 **Future directions**

300 National antimicrobial prescribing data for OOH services is not available, but our analysis suggests
301 there would be merit in examining this more closely across a range of OOH service providers to see if
302 the trends we describe are generalised across the UK. A detailed assessment of the diagnoses and

the severity of presenting illness in those patients prescribed antibiotics OOH would help to contextualise any potential difference in prescribing rates. In the absence of data from other services we are unable to set our figure of antibiotic prescription in 15% of contacts with the OOH service within any broader context. We do suggest however that this context is needed. Effective strategies to tackle antimicrobial resistance require targeting – and that would best be achieved by a nuanced understanding of prescribing behaviour across sectors.

Acknowledgements

We would like to acknowledge the input and assistance of Ms Helen Hunt, Urgent and Ambulatory Care Service Clinical Lead for Oxford Health NHS Foundation Trust, in this project.

Sources of funding

This study was conducted as part of our routine work. GH was funded by a National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Academic Clinical Fellowship and Academic Clinical Lectureship whilst working on this study. DL is funded by the NIHR Oxford Biomedical Research Centre and NIHR Oxford Collaboration for Leadership in Applied Health Research and Care (CLAHRC). RF is funded by an NIHR Academic Clinical Fellowship. GS is funded by the NIHR Trainees Coordinating Centre (Research Methods Fellowship).

This paper presents independent research and the views expressed are those of the authors and not necessarily those of the NHS, the NIHR or the Department of Health. No additional funding was sought for this study.

326 **Transparency declaration**

327 None to declare

328

329 **References**

- 330 1. Cosgrove SE. The relationship between antimicrobial resistance and patient outcomes:
331 mortality, length of hospital stay, and health care costs. *Clin Infect Dis* 2006; **42**:S82-S9
- 332 2. Butler CC, Hillier S, Roberts Z *et al*. Antibiotic-resistant infections in primary care are
333 symptomatic for longer and increase workload: outcomes for patients with E. coli UTIs. *Br J Gen*
334 *Pract* 2006; **56**: 686-92
- 335 3. Costelloe C, Metcalfe C, Lovering A *et al*. Effect of antibiotic prescribing in primary care on
336 antimicrobial resistance in individual patients: systematic review and meta-analysis. *Bmj* 2010; **340**:
337 2096
- 338 4. Public Health England. English surveillance programme for antimicrobial utilisation and
339 resistance (ESPAUR) report.
340 [https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/477962/ESPAUR](https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/477962/ESPAUR_Report_2015.pdf)
341 [Report_2015.pdf](https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/477962/ESPAUR_Report_2015.pdf).
- 342 5. National Audit Office Out-of-hours GP services in England. London: Department of Health
343 and NHS England 2014
- 344 6. Huibers LA, Moth G, Bondevik GT *et al*. Diagnostic scope in out-of-hours primary care
345 services in eight European countries: an observational study. *BMC Fam Pract* 2011; **12**
- 346 7. Huibers L, Moth G, Christensen MB *et al*. Antibiotic prescribing patterns in out-of-hours
347 primary care: A population-based descriptive study. *Scand J Prim Health Care* 2014; **32**: 200-7
- 348 8. Willekens M, Giesen P, Plat E *et al*. Quality of after-hours primary care in the Netherlands:
349 adherence to national guidelines. *BMJ Qual Saf* 2011: **QSHC-2010**
- 350 9. Oxfordshire Clinical Commissioning Group. Oxfordshire Adult Antimicrobial Prescribing
351 Guidelines for Primary Care. 2012.
352 [http://www.oxfordshireccg.nhs.uk/wp-content/uploads/2013/08/Oxfordshire-ADULT-Antimicrobial-](http://www.oxfordshireccg.nhs.uk/wp-content/uploads/2013/08/Oxfordshire-ADULT-Antimicrobial-Prescribing-Guidelines-for-Primary-Care.pdf)
353 [Prescribing-Guidelines-for-Primary-Care.pdf](http://www.oxfordshireccg.nhs.uk/wp-content/uploads/2013/08/Oxfordshire-ADULT-Antimicrobial-Prescribing-Guidelines-for-Primary-Care.pdf)
- 354 10. Department for Communities and Local Government. The English Indices of Deprivation
355 2015 London 2015.
356 <https://www.gov.uk/government/statistics/english-indices-of-deprivation-2015>
- 357 11. Bring J. How to standardize regression coefficients. *Am Stat*, 1994; **48**: 209-13
- 358 12. Paternoster R, Brame R, Mazerolle P *et al*. Using the correct statistical test for the equality of
359 regression coefficients. *Criminology* 1998; **36**: 859-66
- 360 13. Majeed A, Moser K. Age-and sex-specific antibiotic prescribing patterns in general practice in
361 England and Wales in 1996. *British J Gen Pract* 1999; **49**: 735-6
- 362 14. Holstiege J, Schink T, Molokhia M *et al*. Systemic antibiotic prescribing to paediatric
363 outpatients in 5 European countries: a population-based cohort study. *BMC Pediatr* 2014;**14**
- 364 15. Wise J. Antibiotic prescribing is higher in deprived areas of England. *BMJ* 2015; **351**
- 365 16. Sarpong EM, Miller GE. Narrow-and Broad-Spectrum Antibiotic Use among US Children.
366 *Health Serv Res* 2015; **50**: 830-46
- 367 17. Marmot MG, Allen J, Goldblatt P *et al*. Fair society, healthy lives: Strategic review of health
368 inequalities in England post-2010.
369 <http://www.instituteofhealthequity.org/projects/fair-society-healthy-lives-the-marmot-review>

- 370 18. Jefferis J, Perera R, Everitt H *et al*. Acute infective conjunctivitis in primary care: who needs
371 antibiotics? An individual patient data meta-analysis. *British J Gen Pract* 2011;**61**: e542-e8
372 19. Rao GG. Risk factors for the spread of antibiotic-resistant bacteria. *Drugs* 1998; **55**: 323-30
373

Tables and Figures:

Table 1: Age comparison between overall patient contacts with out of hours service, and the patient contacts resulting in an antibiotic prescription.

Age	Number of OOH contacts (% of all contacts)	Number of contacts resulting in an antibiotic prescription (% of all antibiotic prescription contacts)	Percentage of all contacts resulting in an antibiotic prescription
0 – 4	87,315 (17.6)	14,434 (19.3)	16.5
5 – 17	51,039 (10.3)	10,519 (14.1)	20.6
18 – 59	215,585 (43.4)	36138 (48.4)	16.8
60+	142,992 (28.7)	13648 (18.3)	9.5
All ages	496,931	74,739	15.0

	0-4		5-17		18-59		60+		N (total)	Per 1000 contacts across all age groups
	N	Per 1000 contacts	N	Per 1000 contacts	N	Per 1000 contacts	N	Per 1000 contacts		
Amoxicillin	7434	85.14	3451	67.61	8103	37.59	2793	19.53	21781	43.83
Azithromycin	0	0	9	0.18	101	0.47	32	0.22	142	0.29
Benzylpenicillin	0	0	2	0.04	5	0.02	0	0	7	0.01
Cefalexin	232	2.66	296	5.80	1353	6.28	634	4.43	2515	5.06
Cefuroxime	1	0.01	3	0.06	0	0	0	0	4	0.01
Chloramphenicol	1651	18.91	475	9.31	1282	5.95	425	2.97	3833	7.71
Ciprofloxacin	0	0	21	0.41	373	1.73	251	1.76	645	1.29
Clarithromycin	182	2.08	154	3.02	898	4.17	389	2.72	1623	3.27
Clindamycin	0	0	4	0.08	95	0.44	39	0.27	138	0.28
Co-Amoxiclav	745	8.53	917	17.97	4811	22.31	1880	13.15	8353	16.81
Doxycycline	0	0	25	0.49	628	2.91	186	1.3	839	1.68
Erythromycin	1340	15.35	763	14.95	1592	7.38	458	3.2	4153	8.36
Flucloxacillin	925	10.59	1394	27.31	6380	29.59	1875	13.11	10574	21.28
Lymecycline	0	0	1	0.02	8	0.04	1	0.01	10	0.02
Metronidazole	8	0.09	44	0.86	1010	4.68	230	1.61	1292	2.59
Nitrofurantoin	10	0.11	202	3.96	3050	14.15	1611	11.27	4873	9.81
Ofloxacin	0	0	1	0.02	32	0.15	0	0	33	0.07
Phenoxymethyl penicillin	1934	22.15	2339	45.83	4656	21.60	236	1.65	9165	18.44
Rifampicin	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0.02	3	0.01
Trimethoprim	370	4.24	656	12.85	3626	16.82	2505	17.51	7157	14.40

Vancomycin	0	0	0	0	3	0.01	5	0.03	8	0.02
Totals	14832		10757		38006		13553		77148	

Table 2: Number of antibiotic prescriptions issued by out of hours primary care by age group and antibiotic name. Bold text indicates the top 5 most frequently prescribed antibiotics for each age group and overall

Table 3. Linear regression model details. Coefficients correspond to changes in monthly prescription/contact numbers. Model goodness-of-fit is given by R^2 values. Details for variables marked with † are given in Table S1. All models had at least one significant month coefficient ($p < 0.05$).

Response variable	Explanatory variables	Coeff. (std. error)	Conf. Int.	p-value
OOH prescriptions ($R^2 = 0.90$)	Year	37.1 (13.1)	[10.6, 63.7]	0.008
	Weekend days	110.3 (20.7)	[68.3, 152.3]	<0.001
	Bank holidays	212.5 (40.2)	[130.6, 294.4]	<0.001
	(Intercept, months [†])	-	-	-
OOH contacts ($R^2 = 0.85$)	Year	-486.5 (93.3)	[-676.3, -296.8]	<0.001
	Weekend days	545.5 (147.6)	[245.2, 845.7]	<0.001
	Bank holidays	1062.6 (287.6)	[477.5, 1647.7]	<0.001
	(Intercept, months [†])	-	-	-
IH prescriptions ($R^2 = 0.85$)	Year	-294.0 (200.1)	[-701.1, 113]	0.151
	Weekend days	-1162.8 (316.5)	[-1806.8, -518.8]	<0.001
	Bank holidays	-758.5 (616.9)	[-2013.5, 496.6]	0.228
	(Intercept, months [†])	-	-	-
Standardised OOH prescriptions ($R^2 = 0.90$)	Year	0.137 (0.048)	[0.039, 0.234]	0.008
	(Intercept, months, weekend days, bank holidays [†])	-	-	-
Standardised IH prescriptions ($R^2 = 0.85$)	Year	-0.087 (0.059)	[-0.208, 0.034]	0.151
	(Intercept, months, weekend days, bank holidays [†])	-	-	-

holidays[†])

Table 4. Changes in the out of hours prescription proportion over the time span of the data. Confidence intervals calculated assuming a binomial distribution.

	Prescription Proportion	95% Confidence Interval
Sept '10 – Aug '11	13.8%	13.6–14.0%
Sept '11 – Aug '12	13.8%	13.6–14.0%
Sept '12 – Aug '13	17.0%	16.8–17.3%
Sept '13 – Aug '14	16.4%	16.2–16.7%

Figure 1: IMD scores of patients prescribed antibiotics by the out of hours service.




Figure 2. Monthly data for out of hours prescriptions and contacts and in hours prescriptions in Oxfordshire.




Figure 3. Out of hours monthly prescriptions and contacts and in hours monthly prescriptions for Oxfordshire between September 2010 and August 2014. Black solid lines correspond to the observed

data. Grey solid lines correspond to the fitted regression models. Dashed grey lines represent the modelled change in the yearly averages.




Figure 4. Changes in the out of hours prescription proportion. Grey region corresponds to the introduction of the 'NHS 111' service in Oxfordshire.

Out of Hours: Prescription Proportion

