

The role of the unfolded protein response in dengue virus pathogenesis

Nilanka Perera^{1,2}, Joanna L. Miller¹, Nicole Zitzmann^{1*}

¹ Antiviral Research Unit, Oxford Glycobiology Institute, Department of Biochemistry, University of Oxford, South Parks Road, Oxford OX1 3QU, UK

² Department of Medicine, Faculty of Medical sciences, University of Sri Jayewardenepura, Nugegoda, Sri Lanka

*Corresponding author: nicole.zitzmann@bioch.ox.ac.uk

Tel 01865 275341
Fax 01865 275771

Running title:

Role of the unfolded protein response in dengue virus pathogenesis

Word count : 5422 (including references)

Summary : 139 words

Summary

Symptomatic dengue virus (DENV) infections range from mild fever to severe haemorrhagic disease and death. Host-viral interactions play a significant role in deciding the fate of the infection. The unfolded protein response (UPR) is a pro-survival cellular reaction induced in response to DENV mediated ER stress. The UPR has complex interactions with the cellular autophagy machinery, apoptosis and innate immunity. DENV has evolved to manipulate the UPR to facilitate its replication and to evade host immunity. Our knowledge of this intertwined network of events is continuously developing. A better understanding of the UPR mediated antiviral and pro-viral effects will shed light on dengue disease pathogenesis and may help development of anti-DENV therapeutics. This review summarizes the role of the UPR in viral replication, autophagy and DENV induced inflammation to describe how a host response contributes to DENV pathogenesis.

1. Introduction

Dengue virus (DENV) causes a reemerging arthropod borne viral infection transmitted by the *Aedes* mosquito. Approximately half of the global population is at risk of infection from this *Flavivirus* which poses a significant health burden (Gubler, 2011). Infection by DENV serotypes 1-4 causes symptoms ranging from asymptomatic illness to severe haemorrhagic fever and death. Severe dengue disease is characterized by increased vascular permeability leading to hypovolaemic shock and multi-organ failure (Basu and Chaturvedi, 2008). Pathogenesis of severe manifestations is poorly understood and the variable presentation is presumed to be due to complex host-viral interactions modulating viral replication and the host immune response. There have been significant advances in vaccine development against DENV, but an effective antiviral is still not available.

DENV utilizes the host cellular machinery for the production of viral proteins. Endoplasmic reticulum (ER) stress caused by DENV infection mounts a pro-survival cellular reaction known as the unfolded protein response (UPR). This cascade of events, important not just in viral but also bacterial and non-pathogenic conditions, maintains cell survival and facilitates eradication of the virus. The UPR has complex interactions with the cellular autophagy machinery, apoptosis mediators, innate immunity and pro-inflammatory reactions. DENV selectively modifies this host process to enhance viral replication and has the ability to evade host innate immunity. As such, host-viral interactions play an important role in deciding the fate of the infection in affected individuals. Our understanding of the highly complex intersection of and interplay between the UPR, induction and effector mechanisms of innate immunity and autophagy with the DENV life cycle in human host cells is continuously evolving. It is important to explore the role of the UPR and its multiple cellular effects during DENV infection for a better understanding of its pathophysiology and to explore potential dengue therapeutic targets. This review summarizes the current knowledge of the role of the UPR in DENV pathogenesis with special emphasis on its effects on viral replication, autophagy and DENV induced inflammation.

2. The unfolded protein response and its pathways of activation

The UPR is a host cellular reaction which counteracts and alleviates ER stress. Viral or bacterial infections, changes in cellular redox status, changes in calcium homeostasis and nutrient starvation all cause accumulation of unfolded and misfolded proteins which exceed the capacity of ER protein handling (Smith, 2014; Celli and Tsolis, 2015). This results in ER stress which leads to activation of the UPR for cell survival. Once activated, the ensuing cascade of events results in attenuated mRNA translation which limits protein load in the ER, an increase in the ER protein folding capacity and ER associated degradation (ERAD) of misfolded proteins. However, increased synthesis of ER chaperones BiP/GRP78, GRP94, protein disulphide isomerase (PDI) and CHOP/GADD135 amidst inhibition of global protein production, highlights the specificity of this process (Diehl, Fuchs, and Koumenis, 2011). Furthermore, activation of the UPR is known to potentiate the host antiviral response creating an inflammatory milieu (Smith, 2014; Diwaker, Mishra, and Ganju, 2015). Inability of these mechanisms to restore cellular homeostasis results in apoptosis.

The UPR initiation molecules, inositol requiring kinase 1 (IRE1 or ERN1), PKR-like endoplasmic reticulum kinase (PERK or EIF2AK3) and activating transcription factor 6 (ATF6) reside in the ER membrane and are maintained in an inactive state by the folding chaperone immunoglobulin heavy-chain binding protein (BiP/GRP78) (Smith, 2014) (Figure 1). BiP, a member of the Hsp70 chaperone family is an inhibitory regulator of the three ER-membrane sensors and is bound to the luminal domains of these molecules. When ER stress occurs, BiP is competitively sequestered by misfolded proteins in the ER and released from the initiation molecules. This triggers homodimerisation and phosphorylation of IRE1 and PERK with subsequent activation of the downstream signaling cascades (Smith, 2014). Other potential mechanisms of activation are direct sensing of misfolded proteins by IRE1 (Ron and Walter, 2007) and dissociation of stable BiP-ATF6 complex by an undefined mechanism (Shen, Snapp, Lippincott-Schwartz, and Prywes, 2005).

IRE1 has a stress sensing ER-luminal N-terminal and an effector C-terminal tail (protein kinase domain and RNase domain) in the cytoplasm (Diehl *et al.*, 2011; Bhattacharyya, 2014). Activation of IRE1 cleaves XBP1 (Diehl *et al.*, 2011; Chan, 2014; Iranpour *et al.*, 2016), activates the regulated IRE1

dependent-decay pathway (RIDD) and promotes expansion of the ER membrane (Iranpour *et al.*, 2016). Activation of c-Jun N-terminal kinase (JNK) and the effect of IRE1 on apoptosis is shown in Figure 1A (Peña and Harris, 2011; Upton *et al.*, 2012; Smith, 2014; Chen *et al.*, 2016). PERK phosphorylates eIF2 α , which inhibits global protein translation except that of the activated transcription factor 4 (ATF4) reading frame (Figure 1B). ATF4 is a transcription factor which regulates amino acid metabolism, oxidative stress and modulates expression of ER chaperones and foldases (Iranpour *et al.*, 2016). Phosphorylation and activation of eIF2 α by protein kinase R (PKR), general control nonderepressible-2-kinase (GCN2) and heme-regulated eIF2 α kinase are alternative pathways of eIF2 α activation (Janssens, Pulendran, and Lambrecht, 2014). Activated ATF6 is cleaved to produce the activated transcription factor ATF6p50 which translocates to the nucleus to regulate the expression of genes in the ERAD pathway (Grootjans, Kaser, Kaufman, and Blumberg, 2016). Overlap of the branches of the UPR is demonstrated by activation of XBP-1 by ATF6, enhancing the pro-survival factors and upregulation of ER chaperones (Yoshida, Matsui, Yamamoto, Okada, and Mori, 2001). Both ATF6 and IRE1-XBP1s cascades independently and in combination help to relieve ER protein burden by enhancing ERAD. Low level of ER stress is presumed to be handled by the ATF6 pathway, medium to high levels by both ATF6 and IRE1-XBP1 and extremely high levels by multiple rounds of activation of the XBP1 cycle (Yoshida *et al.*, 2001). However, prolonged ER stress results in activation of the cell death pathways.

3. UPR potentiates virus-induced inflammation

3.1 The inflammatory pathways activated in response to viral infections

Viral infections induce a potent inflammatory response in the infected cells. The complex network activates type 1 interferons (IFN) and other antiviral mediators to eradicate the offending virus and limit its dissemination (Chang, Liao, and Lin, 2006). Pro-inflammatory mediators such as tumour necrosis factor α (TNF α), IL-6, IL-8 and RANTES recruit other cell types, induce oxidative stress and contribute to inflammation (Valadao *et al.*, 2016). In addition, several cell death pathways are

activated in the infected cells to limit infection. Viral components are detected by toll like receptors (TLR) and cytoplasmic helicases (Nasirudeen *et al.* 2011; Olganier *et al.*, 2014; Valadao, Aguiar, and de Arruda, 2016). The ensuing cascade of events (Green *et al.*, 2014; Dalrymple, Cimica, and Mackow, 2015) is shown schematically in Figure 2 .

3.2 The role of UPR in the inflammatory response against viral infection

There is evidence that the UPR plays a crucial role in inflammatory mediator production during viral infections (Grootjans *et al.*, 2016). It potentiates the production of inflammatory mediators which limit viral replication and facilitate cell survival. The UPR and its downstream inflammatory cascade is activated by virally induced TLR signaling, NOX-2 derived reactive oxygen species (ROS) produced during viral infection and ER stress related to misfolded and unfolded proteins. Type 1 IFN and ISG produced in response to viral infection play a major role in limiting dissemination of the virus. Here we have summarized the role of the UPR in activating inflammatory pathways in response to viral infections. We also highlight how certain viruses utilize the UPR in a pro-viral manner to inhibit IFN signaling.

Viral infection-induced TLR signaling can play a role in UPR activation. TLR signaling activates the IRE1 pathway leading to splicing of XBP1 (Figure 1A). XBP1 is crucial in inducing the production of TNF α , interferon- β (IFN β) and IL-6 in macrophages following TLR stimulation (Martinon, Chen, Lee, and Glimcher, 2010; Kim *et al.*, 2015). Macrophages deficient in XBP1 have an impaired cytokine response to pathogens (Martinon *et al.*, 2010). In addition, IRE1 is able to increase IL-1 β directly by inducing glycogen synthase kinase 3 β (GSK3 β) (Kim *et al.*, 2015). The IRE1-TRAF2 complex activates JNK (Figure 1A) and recruits IKK to induce NF- κ B activation (Walter and Ron, 2011)(Figure 2). Translational activation of RIG-I by the IRE1-RIDD pathway further activates NF- κ B induced inflammation (Lencer, DeLuca, Grey, and Cho, 2015)(Figure 2). Activation of the PERK pathway and the subsequent attenuation of protein translation increases the ratio of NF- κ B/I κ B resulting in increased NF- κ B activity (Tam, Mercado, Hoffmann, and Niwa., 2012). Both JNK and NF- κ B lead to induction of

cytokines and inflammatory mediators. ER stress potentiates induction of TNF α , IL-1, IL-6 and chemokines such as CXCL1 and CXCL2. ATF6 also plays a role in activating NF- κ B (Grootjans *et al.*, 2016)(Figure 2). GADD34 is important in overcoming the translation inhibition induced by phosphorylated eIF2 α (Figure 1). The recovery of protein synthesis by expression of GADD34 plays a crucial role in producing IFN β in response to viral dsRNA sensing (Clavarino *et al.*, 2012; Dalet *et al.*, 2017). GADD34 deficiency inhibited IFN β production and increased chickungunya viral replication in mouse embryonic fibroblasts. Despite such evidence on the role of GADD34 in enhancing innate immunity, reversing the translation attenuation could be hijacked by viruses to produce viral proteins. This will be further discussed in section 4.1 in the context of DENV.

In addition, viral infections produce NOX2 derived ROS. The oxidative stress cause accumulation of misfolded and unfolded proteins resulting in ER stress and activation of UPR. ROS are essential for XBP1 induced cytokine production (Grootjans *et al.*, 2016). Concomitant activation of TLR and UPR pathways has the potential to amplify the cytokine response and determine cell survival during viral infections.

Although the UPR facilitates innate immune responses against viral infections, the literature reveals that viruses inhibit type 1 IFN signaling in a PERK-dependent manner (Liu *et al.*, 2009). Activation of the PERK arm due to ER stress phosphorylates IFN- α/β -receptor 1 (IFNAR1) leading to its degradation. Liu *et al.* revealed that vesicular stomatitis virus and hepatitis C virus infections cause PERK-dependent ubiquitination of IFNAR1 and subsequent reduced type 1 IFN signaling.

4. Modulation of unfolded protein response by DENV for its pathogenesis

4.1 The role of the UPR in facilitating viral replication in DENV infection

Increased production of viral proteins in dengue infection leads to accumulation of unfolded and misfolded proteins in the ER (Diwaker *et al.*, 2015). This results in ER stress and thus activation of the UPR as a host response. The ensuing cascade of events described above relieves ER stress, determines cell survival and mounts an inflammatory response to eliminate the virus. DENV, similar to many other

viruses, has been found to manipulate the host UPR pathways to enhance its survival. In this section, we describe how DENV facilitates viral protein production and inhibits apoptosis to ensure enhanced viral replication.

Dengue infection induces the three arms of the UPR (Umareddy *et al.*, 2007; Klomporn, Panyasrivanit, Wikan, and Smith, 2011; Peña and Harris, 2011). Activation of this host response is observed in immune (Klomporn *et al.*, 2011) and non-immune cells (Yu, Hsu, Liao, and Lin, 2006; Umareddy *et al.*, 2007; Peña and Harris, 2011) infected with DENV. Activation of the UPR is time dependent (Peña and Harris, 2011) and also strain and serotype specific (Umareddy *et al.*, 2007). The PERK arm is activated early in DENV infection followed by IRE1-XBP1 in mid-infection and ATF6 later in the infection (Peña and Harris, 2011). Serotype specific differences in UPR activation is seen in dengue infected A549 cells and similarly in antibody dependent enhancement (ADE) infection of THP-1 monocytic cells (Umareddy *et al.*, 2007; Paradkar, Ooi, Hanson, Gubler, and Vasudevan, 2011). DENV 1 and 3 serotypes cause a stronger induction of BiP, phosphorylation of eIF2 α , higher GADD34 and CHOP mRNA levels than DENV 1 and 4 (Paradkar *et al.*, 2011). In addition, ADE infection of monocytes using different strains of DENV 2 clinical isolates (Indonesian outbreak) showed higher levels of BiP, CHOP and GADD34 levels in strains causing severe disease (Paradkar *et al.*, 2011). Fast replicating viral strains demonstrated higher ER stress. This suggests a possible role for UPR in DENV pathogenesis and severity.

Activation of the PERK arm is antiviral due to attenuation of protein translation. Pena *et al.* demonstrated that DENV transiently activated PERK-dependent eIF2 α phosphorylation at 6 hours post-infection which reversed rapidly causing suppression of eIF2 α activation thereafter. DENV ensures continuous production of its proteins and facilitates virion production by rapidly reversing PERK activation (Figure 1B). This is presumed to be due to an increased expression of GADD34, which acts in a feedback loop to inhibit further phosphorylation of eIF2 α (Umareddy *et al.*, 2007; Peña and Harris, 2011). Pharmacological activation of the PERK-arm (via nuclear transporter 4-HPR) or removing the GADD34 mediated dephosphorylation of eIF2 α (using Salubrinal) result in significant inhibition of

DENV viral replication (Umareddy *et al.*, 2007; Fraser *et al.*, 2014). It is apparent that DENV modulates the PERK pathway to overcome translation attenuation for survival, and pharmacological upregulation of this process results in enhanced antiviral activity.

Infection with DENV activates the IRE1-XBP1 pathway and a subset of XBP1-activated genes involved in ERAD which helps to alleviate ER stress (Yu *et al.*, 2006). XBP1 pathway activation is induced by ER associated DENV glycoproteins (prM, E, NS1) and smaller hydrophobic ER anchored proteins (NS2A, NS2B, NS4B). In addition, DENV is able to inhibit apoptosis mediators downstream of IRE1-XBP1 (Figure 1A) which results in increased cell survival and enhanced viral replication (Peña and Harris, 2011). Inhibition of XBP1 using siRNA, in conjunction with DENV infection, results in reduced ER expansion, increased cytopathic effects of the virus and increased levels of the apoptosis marker pro-caspase 3 (Yu *et al.*, 2006). This is further evidence that activation of the IRE1-XBP1 pathway in dengue infection protects the cells against apoptosis, and alleviates ER stress contributing to DENV pathogenesis.

4.2 Modulation of autophagy via the UPR facilitates DENV production and inhibits cellular apoptosis

Autophagy is a cellular catabolic process which promotes formation of autophagic vacuoles to degrade and recycle cellular constituents. It plays an important role in regulating cell growth and development and is implicated in certain disease pathologies (Lee *et al.*, 2008). Dengue NS4A is an important mediator of autophagy (McLean, Wudzinska, Datan, Quaglino, and Zakeri, 2011). Activation of this antiviral response promotes removal of viral constituents and facilitates viral antigen presentation. However, DENV is known to modulate the process in a pro-viral manner. DENV induces autophagy resulting in protection of infected cells against apoptosis (Lee *et al.*, 2008; Fischl and Bartenschlager, 2011; Datan *et al.*, 2016). Lee *et al.* demonstrated that activation of autophagy during dengue infection results in an increase in intracellular and extracellular viral load. Double membrane vesicles formed during autophagy provide a platform for viral replication (Jain, Chaturvedi, and Jain, 2014). In

addition, autophagy promotes viral transmission by evasion of antibody dependent neutralisation (Wu *et al.*, 2016). DENV induced autophagy is able to facilitate β -oxidation of cellular lipids to provide ATP for viral replication (Heaton and Randall, 2011). It is evident that autophagy is utilised by DENV for its advantage.

Two of the mediators activating autophagy in DENV infection are ER stress and the UPR (Datan *et al.*, 2016). The PERK pathway activated in response to ER stress upregulates ROS production and increases autophagy turnover during DENV infection. Although the contribution of ROS to autophagosome formation is modest, PERK plays an important role in maintaining high autophagy levels and contributes to production of mature and infective viral particles (Datan *et al.*, 2016). Thus, the UPR plays an important role in mediating and activating autophagy which facilitates viral replication.

4.3 The role of the UPR in DENV induced inflammation

DENV, similar to many viruses, activates signaling pathways leading to production of antiviral mediators. These pro-inflammatory cytokines and chemokines aid eradication of DENV. Evasion of these defenses by DENV will result in enhanced viral replication. Simultaneously, overexpression of these mediators can cause harm to the host (Jaiyen, Masrinoul, Kalayanarooj, Pulmanusahakul, and Ubol, 2009; Valadao *et al.*, 2016) and possibly contribute to the cytokine storm which is associated with increased vascular permeability seen in severe dengue disease. The UPR is an important mediator of virus induced inflammatory signaling. However, the role of the UPR in DENV mediated inflammation is under-investigated. The literature supporting this area is complicated to interpret due to the different cell systems used and the pathways investigated. We bring together what is currently understood to summarize for the field how interactions between DENV and the UPR can affect, with both pro- and antiviral outcomes, innate immune pathways such as $\text{IFN}\alpha/\beta$, $\text{NF-}\kappa\text{B}$, IRF3 and $\text{TNF-}\alpha$ (Table 1).

Activation of the UPR by VER-155008 (VER) treatment in DENV infection activates innate immune factors such as PKR, IRF3, $\text{IL-1}\beta$ and $\text{NF-}\kappa\text{B}$ (Diwaker *et al.*, 2015). VER causes pharmacological

inhibition of GRP78 (BiP) and the enhanced innate immune activation achieved by upregulation of IRE1, PERK and ATF6 results in increased DENV clearance. This suggests that the UPR can potentiate innate immune responses in DENV to facilitate viral clearance.

A critical antiviral mechanism against DENV is the type 1 IFN response. Patients with severe disease have lower serum IFN α levels and suppression of ISG during early DENV infection, which highlights the role of type 1 IFN in dengue pathogenesis (De La Cruz Hernandez *et al.*, 2014; Medina *et al.*, 2015; Singla *et al.*, 2016). DENV similar to many viruses, has developed strategies to evade IFN activation, signaling and effector mechanisms. DENV inhibits IFN induction and its signaling pathways to facilitate its replication and survival (Green *et al.*, 2014). Both TLR induced inflammatory pathways and RIG-I/MDA-5 induced pathways are inhibited by DENV in an attempt to reduce type 1 IFN and cytokine production (Chang *et al.*, 2012; Borsa *et al.*, 2015; Dalrymple *et al.*, 2015). One host response exploited by DENV to evade innate immunity is the UPR-autophagy pathway. The role of UPR-autophagy in suppressing innate immunity is well studied in infection with hepatitis C virus (HCV), another *Flavivirus*. Activation of the UPR is required for upregulating autophagy in HCV infected cells and this activation significantly reduces the HCV pathogen-associated molecular pattern (PAMP)-mediated cytoplasmic RIG-I signaling. RIG-I activation leads to phosphorylation, dimerization and nuclear translocation of IRF-3 (Figure 2) which induces IFN β promoter activation. Ke *et al.* demonstrated that UPR-autophagy mediators (CHOP, ATG5, LC3B, IRE1, PERK, ATF6) negatively regulated HCV PAMP-mediated IFN β mRNA levels and IFN β promoter activation. Interference with the UPR-autophagy pathway by stable gene knockdown (ATG5 and CHOP gene silencing) in human hepatoma cells led to increased IFN β promoter activation and IFN β mRNA levels in HCV. Furthermore, IFN mediated downstream signaling detected by IFN α stimulated interferon stimulated gene response element (ISRE) promoter activity and interferon-induced protein with tetratricopeptide repeats 1 (IFIT1/ISG56) expression was upregulated in ATG5 and CHOP gene knockdown cells in HCV infection (Ke and Chen, 2011). In the same study, the authors showed that the negative effect of UPR-autophagy on innate immune responses is not limited to HCV but extended to DENV. IFN β promoter activity and ISG56

expression was enhanced in cells following ATG5 and CHOP gene silencing and in *Atg5*^{-/-} mouse embryonic fibroblasts in DENV infection (Ke and Chen, 2011). It is evident that UPR-autophagy plays a crucial role in inhibiting type 1 IFN activation and its downstream signaling cascades in DENV infection. Further investigation of the role of UPR-autophagy pathway in DENV mediated inflammation is useful as repression of this cascade is an attractive antiviral therapeutic option.

DENV infection induces production of pro-inflammatory mediators, such as TNF α , implicated in causing severe disease (Cardier *et al.*, 2005; Chakravarti and Kumaria, 2006). Activation of the PERK arm of the UPR in DENV infection was recently shown to upregulate Nrf2-CLEC5A mediated TNF α production (Cheng *et al.*, 2016). Pharmacological inhibition of Nrf2 *in vivo* results in reduced TNF α production in the brain of DENV-infected mice and a better clinical outcome.

The UPR has complex interactions with the anti-inflammatory and pro-inflammatory cascades during DENV infection. Manipulation of induction of UPR pathways during DENV infection shows that they can mediate suppression and induction of innate immune and inflammatory pathways, affecting both viral replication and immunopathology. Further investigation of these interactions would lead to a better understanding of DENV pathogenesis and help explore antiviral therapeutic targets.

5. Manipulation of ER stress and the UPR as therapeutic targets

Utilising the antiviral effects of the UPR provides attractive options for developing antiviral therapy. We herein discuss four such examples in the literature. Salubrinal inhibits the protein phosphatase 1-GADD34 complex and thereby maintains eIF2 α induced protein attenuation in DENV infection. Treatment with salubrinal causes an antiviral effect in DENV-infected cells. The BiP inhibitor, VER, activates all three arms of the UPR causing reduced viral replication in DENV (Diwaker *et al.*, 2015). 4-HPR, a nuclear transporter activating the PERK pathway, inhibits DENV replication in monocytes and in a lethal ADE mouse model (Fraser *et al.*, 2014). Celgosivir is an antiviral iminosugar which is undergoing phase 2 clinical trials for DENV. It exerts antiviral effects by interfering with N-linked glycosylation of glycoproteins in the ER. In addition, celgosivir modulates the UPR to reduce

phosphorylation of eIF2 α , CHOP mRNA expression and increases EDEM-1 transcripts to repress ER stress and facilitate cell survival (Rathore *et al.*, 2011). These are some examples of how manipulation of the UPR and its pathways could be utilised for antiviral therapy. Additional investigation into UPR-autophagy and UPR-inflammatory pathways could provide further options of developing anti-DENV therapeutics.

6. Concluding remarks:

DENV infection causes ER stress which activates the cellular UPR as a host response. The overall antiviral effect of the UPR pathways against DENV is highlighted by evidence that pharmacological activation of the UPR is antiviral. The UPR has complex interactions with apoptosis, autophagy and viral mediated inflammatory pathways. DENV exploits this host response to enhance viral replication by inhibiting apoptosis, upregulating autophagy and suppressing innate immune responses. These proviral outcomes are due to both the time dependent activation of different pathways and to modulation of different downstream regulators, such as DENV-induced upregulation of GADD34. The UPR exerts both pro-inflammatory and anti-inflammatory effects in DENV infection. These are mostly *in vitro* studies or *in vivo* data in animal models. It is unclear how the overall effects add up to disease pathogenesis in humans during DENV infection. We can assume that the variable disease severity seen in DENV could be due to the individual balance between these different effects. Further studies are needed to understand the complex nature of these interactions which would provide useful targets for antiviral therapy and expand our knowledge on DENV pathogenesis.

References:

- Basu, A., and Chaturvedi, U. C. (2008). Vascular endothelium: the battlefield of dengue viruses. *FEMS Immunol Med Microbiol*, 53, 287-299. doi:10.1111/j.1574-695X.2008.00420.x
- Bhattacharyya, S. (2014). Can't RIDD off viruses. *Front Microbiol*, 5, 292. doi:10.3389/fmicb.2014.00292
- Borsa, M., Ferreira, P. L., Petry, A., Ferreira, L. G., Camargo, M. M., Bou-Habib, D. C., and Pinto, A. R. (2015). HIV infection and antiretroviral therapy lead to unfolded protein response activation. *Virology*, 53, 77. doi:10.1016/j.virus.2015.02.008
- Cardier, J. E., Marino, E., Romano, E., Taylor, P., Liprandi, F., Bosch, N., and Rothman, A. L. (2005). Proinflammatory factors present in sera from patients with acute dengue infection induce activation and apoptosis of human microvascular endothelial cells: possible role of TNF- α in endothelial cell damage in dengue. *Cytokine*, 30, 359-365. doi:10.1016/j.cyto.2005.01.021
- Celli, J., and Tsolis, R. M. (2015). Bacteria, the endoplasmic reticulum and the unfolded protein response: friends or foes? *Nat Rev Microbiol*, 13(2), 71-82. doi:10.1038/nrmicro3393
- Chakravarti, A., and Kumaria, R. (2006). Circulating levels of tumour necrosis factor- α & interferon- γ in patients with dengue & dengue haemorrhagic fever during an outbreak. *Indian J Med Res*, 123(1), 25-30. Retrieved by <http://www.ijmr.org.in/>
- Chan, S. W. (2014). Unfolded protein response in hepatitis C virus infection. *Front Microbiol*, 5, 233. doi:10.3389/fmicb.2014.00233
- Chang, T. H., Liao, C. L., and Lin, Y. L. (2006). Flavivirus induces interferon- β gene expression through a pathway involving RIG-I-dependent IRF-3 and PI3K-dependent NF- κ B activation. *Microbes Infect.* 8, 157–171. doi: 10.1016/j.micinf.2005.06.014
- Chang, T.-H., Chen, S.-R., Yu, C.-Y., Lin, Y.-S., Chen, Y.-S., Kubota, T., ...Lin, Y.-L. (2012). Dengue virus serotype 2 blocks extracellular signal-regulated kinase and nuclear factor- κ B activation to downregulate cytokine production. *PLoS ONE*, 7(8), e41635. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0041635

Chen, H., Yang, H., Pan, L., Wang, W., Liu, X., Ren, X., . . . Wang, L.-x. (2016). The molecular mechanisms of XBP-1 gene silencing on IRE1 α -TRAF2-ASK1-JNK pathways in oral squamous cell carcinoma under endoplasmic reticulum stress. *Biomed Pharmacother*, *77*, 108-113. doi: 10.1016/j.biopha.2015.12.010

Cheng, Y. L., Lin, Y. S., Chen, C. L., Tsai, T. T., Tsai, C. C., Wu, Y. W., . . . Lin, C. F. (2016). Activation of Nrf2 by the dengue virus causes an increase in CLEC5A, which enhances TNF-alpha production by mononuclear phagocytes. *Sci Rep*, *6*, 32000. doi:10.1038/srep32000

Dalrymple, N. A., Cimica, V., and Mackow, E. R. (2015). Dengue Virus NS Proteins Inhibit RIG-I/MAVS Signaling by Blocking TBK1/IRF3 Phosphorylation: Dengue Virus Serotype 1 NS4A Is a Unique Interferon-Regulating Virulence Determinant. *mBio*, *6*(3), e00553-15. doi:10.1128/mBio.00553-15.

Datan, E., Roy, S. G., Germain, G., Zali, N., McLean, J. E., Golshan, G., . . . Zakeri, Z. (2016). Dengue-induced autophagy, virus replication and protection from cell death require ER stress (PERK) pathway activation. *Cell Death Dis*, *7*, e2127. doi:10.1038/cddis.2015.409

De La Cruz Hernandez, S. I., Puerta-Guardo, H., Flores-Aguilar, H., Gonzalez-Mateos, S., Lopez-Martinez, I., Ortiz-Navarrete, V., . . . Del Angel, R. M. (2014). A strong interferon response correlates with a milder dengue clinical condition. *J Clin Virol*, *60*, 196–199. doi:10.1016/j.jcv.2014.04.002

Diehl, J. A., Fuchs, S. Y., and Koumenis, C. (2011). The cell biology of the unfolded protein response. *Gastroenterology*, *141*(1), 38-41. doi:10.1053/j.gastro.2011.05.018

Diwaker, D., Mishra, K. P., and Ganju, L. (2015). Effect of modulation of unfolded protein response pathway on dengue virus infection. *Acta Biochim Biophys Sin (Shanghai)*, *47*(12), 960-968. doi:10.1093/abbs/gmv108

Fischl, W., and Bartenschlager, R. (2011). Exploitation of cellular pathways by Dengue virus. *Curr Opin Microbiol*, *14*(4), 470-475. doi:10.1016/j.mib.2011.07.012

Fraser, J. E., Watanabe, S., Wang, C., Chan, W. K., Maher, B., Lopez-Denman, A., . . . Jans, D. A. (2014). A nuclear transport inhibitor that modulates the unfolded protein response and provides in vivo protection against lethal dengue virus infection. *J Infect Dis*, *210*(11), 1780-1791. doi:10.1093/infdis/jiu319

Green, A. M., Beatty, P. R., Hadjilaou, A., and Harris, E. (2014). Innate immunity to dengue virus infection and subversion of antiviral responses. *J Mol Biol*, 426(6), 1148-1160. doi:10.1016/j.jmb.2013.11.023

Grootjans, J., Kaser, A., Kaufman, R. J., and Blumberg, R. S. (2016). The unfolded protein response in immunity and inflammation. *Nat Rev Immunol*, 16(8), 469-84. doi:10.1038/nri.2016.62

Gubler, D. J. (2011). Dengue, Urbanization and Globalization: The Unholy Trinity of the 21(st) Century. *Tropical Medicine and Health*, 39(4 Suppl), 3–11. doi:10.2149/tmh.2011-S05

Heaton, N. S., and Randall, G. Dengue virus and autophagy. (2011). *Viruses*, 3, 1332-1341. doi:10.3390/v3081332

Iranpour, M., Moghadam, A. R., Yazdi, M., Ande, S. R., Alizadeh, J., Wiechec, E., . . . Ghavami, S. (2016). Apoptosis, autophagy and unfolded protein response pathways in Arbovirus replication and pathogenesis. *Expert Rev Mol Med*, 18, e1. doi:10.1017/erm.2015.19

Jain, B., Chaturvedi, U. C., and Jain, A. (2014). Role of intracellular events in the pathogenesis of dengue; an overview. *Microb Pathog*, 69-70, 45-52. doi:10.1016/j.micpath.2014.03.004

Jaiyen, Y., Masrinoul, P., Kalayanarooj, S., Pulmanusahakul, R., and Ubol, S. (2009). Characteristics of dengue virus-infected peripheral blood mononuclear cell death that correlates with the severity of illness. *Microbiol Immunol*, 53, 442–450. doi:10.1111/j.1348-0421.2009.00148.x

Janssens, S., Pulendran, B., and Lambrecht B.N. (2014). Emerging functions of the unfolded protein response in immunity. *Nat immunol*, 15(10): 910-9. doi:10.1038/ni.2991.

Ke, P. Y., and Chen, S. S. (2011). Activation of the unfolded protein response and autophagy after hepatitis C virus infection suppresses innate antiviral immunity in vitro. *J Clin Invest*, 121(1), 37–56. doi:10.1172/JCI41474.

Kim, S., Joe, Y., Kim, H. J., Kim, Y. S., Jeong, S. O., Pae, H. O., . . . Chung, H. T. (2015). Endoplasmic reticulum stress-induced IRE1alpha activation mediates cross-talk of GSK-3beta and XBP-1 to regulate inflammatory cytokine production. *J Immunol*, 194(9), 4498-506. doi:10.4049/jimmunol.1401399.

Klomporn, P., Panyasrivanit, M., Wikan, N., and Smith, D. R. (2011). Dengue infection of monocytic cells activates ER stress pathways, but apoptosis is induced through both extrinsic and intrinsic pathways. *Virology*, *409*(2), 189-197. doi:10.1016/j.virol.2010.10.010

Lee, Y.-R., Lei, H.-Y., Liu, M.-T., Wang, J.-R., Chen, S.-H., Jiang-Shieh, Y.-F., . . . Liu, H.-S. (2008). Autophagic machinery activated by dengue virus enhances virus replication. *Virology*, *374*(2), 240-248. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.virol.2008.02.016

Lencer, W. I., DeLuca, H., Grey, M. J., and Cho, J. A. (2015). Innate immunity at mucosal surfaces: the IRE1-RIDD-RIG-I pathway. *Trends Immunol*, *36*(7), 401–409. doi:10.1016/j.it.2015.05.006.

Liu, J., HuangFu, W. C., Kumar, K. G., Qian, J., Casey, J. P., Hamanaka, R. B., . . . Fuchs, S. Y. (2009). Virus-induced unfolded protein response attenuates antiviral defenses via phosphorylation-dependent degradation of the type I interferon receptor. *Cell Host Microbe*, *5*(1), 72-83. doi:10.1016/j.chom.2008.11.008

Martinon, F., Chen, X., Lee, A. H., and Glimcher, L. H. (2010). TLR activation of the transcription factor XBP1 regulates innate immune responses in macrophages. *Nat Immunol*, *11*(5), 411-418. doi:10.1038/ni.1857

McLean, J. E., Wudzinska, A., Datan, E., Quaglino, D., and Zakeri, Z. (2011). Flavivirus NS4A-induced autophagy protects cells against death and enhances virus replication. *J Biol Chem*, *286*(25), 22147-59. doi:10.1074/jbc.M110.192500.

Medina, F. A., Torres-Malave, G., Chase, A. J., Santiago, G. A., Medina, J. F., Santiago, L. M., and Munoz-Jordan, J. L. (2015). Differences in type I interferon signaling antagonism by dengue viruses in human and non-human primate cell lines. *PLoS Negl Trop Dis*, *9*(3): e0003468. doi:10.1371/journal.pntd.0003468

Nasirudeen, A. M., Wong, H.H., Thien, P., Xu, S., Lam, K.-P., and Liu, D. X. (2011). RIG-I, MDA5 and TLR3 synergistically play an important role in restriction of dengue virus infection. *PLoS Negl Trop Dis*, *5*(1): e926. doi:10.1371/journal.pntd.0000926

Olagnier, D., Peri, S., Steel, C., van Montfoort, N., Chiang, C., Beljanski, V., . . . Hiscott, J. (2014). Cellular oxidative stress response controls the antiviral and apoptotic programs in dengue virus-infected dendritic cells. *PLoS Pathog*, *10*(12), e1004566. doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1004566

Paradkar, P. N., Ooi, E. E., Hanson, B. J., Gubler, D. J., and Vasudevan, S. G. (2011). Unfolded protein response (UPR) gene expression during antibody-dependent enhanced infection of cultured monocytes correlates with dengue disease severity. *Biosci Rep*, *31*(3), 221-230. doi:10.1042/BSR20100078

Peña, J., and Harris, E. (2011). Dengue virus modulates the unfolded protein response in a time-dependent manner. *J Biol Chem*, *286*(16), 14226-14236. doi:10.1074/jbc.M111.222703

Rathore, A. P., Paradkar, P. N., Watanabe, S., Tan, K. H., Sung, C., Connolly, J. E., . . . Vasudevan, S. G. (2011). Celgosivir treatment misfolds dengue virus NS1 protein, induces cellular pro-survival genes and protects against lethal challenge mouse model. *Antiviral Res*, *92*(3), 453-460. doi:10.1016/j.antiviral.2011.10.002

Ron, D., and Walter, P. (2007). Signal integration in the endoplasmic reticulum unfolded protein response. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol*, *8*(7), 519-529. doi:10.1038/nrm2199

Shen, J., Snapp, E. L., Lippincott-Schwartz, J., and Prywes, R. (2005). Stable Binding of ATF6 to BiP in the Endoplasmic Reticulum Stress Response. *Mol Cell Biol*, *25*(3), 921-932. doi:10.1128/mcb.25.3.921-932.2005

Singla, M., Kar, M., Sethi, T., Kabra, S. K., Lodha, R., Chandele, A., and Medigeshi, G. R. (2016). Immune Response to Dengue Virus Infection in Pediatric Patients in New Delhi, India--Association of Viremia, Inflammatory Mediators and Monocytes with Disease Severity. *PLoS Negl Trop Dis*, *10*(3), e0004497. doi:10.1371/journal.pntd.0004497

Smith, J. A. (2014). A new paradigm: innate immune sensing of viruses via the unfolded protein response. *Front Microbiol*, *5*, 222. doi:10.3389/fmicb.2014.00222

- Tam, A. B., Mercado, E.L., Hoffmann, A., and Niwa, M. (2012). ER stress activates NF-kappaB by integrating functions of basal IKK activity, IRE1 and PERK. *PLoS ONE*, 7(10): e45078. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0045078
- Umareddy, I., Pluquet, O., Wang, Q. Y., Vasudevan, S. G., Chevet, E., and Gu, F. (2007). Dengue virus serotype infection specifies the activation of the unfolded protein response. *Virology*, 4, 91. doi:10.1186/1743-422X-4-91
- Upton, J. P., Wang, L., Han, D., Wang, E. S., Huskey, N. E., Lim, L., . . . Oakes, S. A. (2012). IRE1alpha cleaves select microRNAs during ER stress to derepress translation of proapoptotic Caspase-2. *Science*, 338(6108), 818-822. doi:10.1126/science.1226191
- Valadao, A. L., Aguiar, R. S., and de Arruda, L. B. (2016). Interplay between Inflammation and Cellular Stress Triggered by Flaviviridae Viruses. *Front. Microbiol*, 7, 1233. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2016.01233
- Walter, P., and Ron, D. (2011). The unfolded protein response: from stress pathway to homeostatic regulation. *Science*, 334(6059), 1081-1086. doi:10.1126/science.1209038
- Wu, Y. W., Mettling, C., Wu, S. R., Yu, C. Y., Perng, G. C., Lin, Y. S., and Lin, Y. L. (2016). Autophagy-associated dengue vesicles promote viral transmission avoiding antibody neutralization. *Sci Rep*, 6, 32243. Doi:10.1038/srep32243.
- Yoshida, H., Matsui, T., Yamamoto, A., Okada, T., and Mori, K. (2001). XBP1 mRNA Is Induced by ATF6 and Spliced by IRE1 in Response to ER Stress to Produce a Highly Active Transcription Factor. *Cell*, 107(7), 881-891. doi:10.1016/S0092-8674(01)00611-0
- Yu, C. Y., Hsu, Y. W., Liao, C. L., and Lin, Y. L. (2006). Flavivirus infection activates the XBP1 pathway of the unfolded protein response to cope with endoplasmic reticulum stress. *J Virol*, 80(23), 11868-11880. doi:10.1128/JVI.00879-06

Figure legends

Figure 1: Activation of UPR pathways in response to ER stress and manipulation of UPR by DENV.

(A) IRE1 pathway; (1) IRE1 is kept in an inactive state by BiP. (2) Dissociation of BiP results in dimerization and trans-autophosphorylation (by the kinase domain) of IRE1 which activates the RNase domain. (3) RIDD: The RNase domain causes degradation of several mRNA and microRNA (e.g. mir17). (4) The IRE1 RNase segment cleaves the XBP1mRNA transcript to produce the multifunctional transcriptional factor, XBP1s. XBP1s activates ERAD associated genes to degrade misfolded proteins and genes promoting protein folding. DENV induces activation of the IRE1-XBP1 pathway. (5) IRE1 recruits TNF receptor associated factor 2 (TRAF2) and apoptosis signal-regulating kinase-1 (ASK1) which activates JNK to induce apoptosis. XBP1s activates caspase 3, 9 and poly (ADP-ribose) polymerase PARP to promote apoptosis if ER stress persists. This activation is blocked by DENV. **(B) PERK pathway;** (1) PERK is kept in an inactive state by BiP. (2) Once dissociated from BiP, PERK dimerizes and auto-phosphorylates to activate its kinase activity. (3) Activated PERK catalyzes phosphorylation of eukaryotic translation initiation factor 2 α (eIF2 α) which inhibits protein translation. DENV transiently activates and then inhibits eIF2 α activation to overcome protein translation attenuation. (4) ATF4 induced by eIF2 α , induces activation of C/EBP homologous protein (CHOP) and growth arrest and DNA damage inducible protein 34 (GADD34) which mediates apoptosis. GADD34 dephosphorylates eIF2 α to maintain a negative feedback. DENV promotes GADD34 induced eIF2 α inhibition. (5) Phosphorylation of nuclear factor (erythroid derived 2 factor)-related factor (Nrf2) by PERK dissociates it from the cytoskeletal anchor, Kelch-like E2f-associated protein (KEAP1) to translocate into the nucleus. Nrf2 induces genes with an antioxidant response element (ARE) to maintain redox homeostasis. DENV induces phosphorylation and nuclear translocation of Nrf2. **(C) ATF6 pathway;** (1) ATF6 is maintained in an inactive state by BiP. (2) Dissociation of BiP from ATF6 uncovers the Golgi localization signal. DENV induces activation of ATF6. (3) The N-terminus of ATF6 is cleaved by site 1 and site 2 proteases (S1P and S2P). (4) Cleaved and activated transcription factor

ATF6p50 translocates to the nucleus to induce genes associated with ERAD and ER chaperones. Arrows in the diagram represent positive effects while blocked lines represent inhibition. Effects of DENV on the UPR are shown in red.

Figure 2: The role of the UPR in potentiating the inflammatory cascade induced by viral infection.




Viral RNA is recognized by TLR following endosomal acidification, leading to enhanced TNF receptor associated factor (TRAF) 3 and 6 signaling. Activation and nuclear translocation of activator protein-1 (AP-1), nuclear factor kappa B (NF- κ B) and interferon regulatory factor 3 (IRF3), by TRAF3 and TRAF6 result in production of interferon stimulated genes (ISG), IFN- α/β and pro-inflammatory mediators. (2) Cytoplasmic dsRNA is detected by retinoic acid-inducible gene I (RIG-I) and melanoma differentiation-associated protein 5 (MDA-5) which act synergistically with TLR to generate a type 1 IFN response. RIG-I triggers mitochondrial antiviral signaling protein (MAVS) and TRAF3 activation of TANK-binding kinase 1 (TBK1). TBK1 phosphorylates IRF3 and NF- κ B to activate downstream inflammatory pathways. Secreted IFN- α/β acts on the infected cells in an autocrine fashion and on adjacent uninfected cells in a paracrine fashion to activate antiviral responses and resist infection. (3) ER stress induced by ROS, TLR signaling and misfolded proteins in the ER, activates the IRE1 pathway to phosphorylate JNK. JNK activates NF- κ B. (4) The IRE1-RIDD pathway activates RIG-I signaling and the downstream activation of inflammatory mediators. (5) The PERK pathway and ATF6 activate NF- κ B to induce pro-inflammatory mediators. The effect of UPR mediators on the inflammatory cascade is shown in red.

Acknowledgements


We are grateful to Beatrice Tyrell for her comments and feedback on the manuscript. NP is funded by the commonwealth scholarship commission. JLM is supported by Oxford Glycobiology Institute Endowment and NZ is a Fellow of Merton College, Oxford. The authors do not declare any conflicts of interest.

Table 1**Summary of studies demonstrating the role of UPR in DENV mediated inflammation**

Citation	Study type	Cell type	Main findings
Cheng <i>et al</i> (2016)	In vitro	RAW (murine macrophages), human monocytes	DENV NS2B3 induces ER stress to cause PERK-regulated Nrf2 activation, CLEC5A expression and TNF- α production
	In vivo (mice)	Mouse brain tissue	Pharmacological inhibition of Nrf2 reduced CLEC5A expression and production of TNF- α , IL-6, IP-10 in brain tissue of DENV infected mice
Diwaker <i>et al</i> (2015)	In vitro	THP-1	Pharmacological inhibition of GRP78 (BiP) using VER treatment activated PERK, IRE1, ATF6 arms of UPR and led to increased expression of NF- κ B, IRF3, PKR and IL-1 β in DENV infected cells. VER treatment significantly reduced DENV viral load compared to mock treated cells.
Ke <i>et al</i> (2011)	In vitro	Human hepatoma cells (Huh 7)	UPR activates autophagy which downregulates PAMP-mediated IFN β promoter activation in HCV and DENV UPR-autophagy downregulates IFN mediated downstream signalling (ISRE promoter activity to IFN α stimulation, ISG56 expression) in HCV and DENV



Author	Perera
Title	Cellular Microbiology CMI-17-0014
Fig. no.	1
Date	08/02/17
ISBN	97....
Graeme Chambers email: graeme.chambers@btinternet.com tel: 02897 542 642	



Author	Perera
Title	Cellular Microbiology CMI-17-0014
Fig. no.	2
Date	08/02/17
ISBN	97....
Graeme Chambers email: graeme.chambers@btinternet.com tel: 02897 542 642	