

RUNNING HEAD: THE TASTE OF TYPEFACE

The taste of typefaces in different countries and languages

DATE: OCTOBER 2016

RESUBMITTED TO: *PSYCHOLOGY OF AESTHETICS, CREATIVITY, AND THE ARTS*

Abstract

People associate tastes and taste words (e.g., “bitter”, “sweet”, etc.) with shape features in predictable ways. In the present study, we evaluate how the curvature and boldness of typeface influences the gustatory taste (i.e., bitter, salty, sour, and sweet) associated with the typefaces of words written in three languages (Spanish, English, and Chinese). The study also included participants from three countries: Colombia, the United Kingdom, and China. Consistent with previous research, rounder typefaces were reliably associated with the word sweet, whereas more angular typefaces were associated with the other tastes in all three languages and countries. These results provide robust support for the notion that shape curvature is differentially matched to tastes, in a manner that is similar, across countries. Moreover, the results also indicate that all of the participants evaluated the angular typefaces in Spanish and English as more bitter, salty, and sour than the round typefaces in Spanish and English, but not in Chinese. Additionally, the rounder typefaces were evaluated as sweeter than the angular typefaces in all languages and countries. Given that the Chinese round and angular characters differed only in terms of the perceived curvature (not liking, familiarity, and clarity), we cannot conclude that liking accounts for all the correspondences that we report. Possible mechanisms and directions for future research are discussed.

Keywords: typeface, curvature, boldness, taste, crossmodal correspondences.

The taste of typeface in different countries and languages

Introduction

Visual cues typically provide important information about foods and drinks before they are tasted and consumed (e.g., Spence, 2015; Spence, Okajima, Cheok, Petit, & Michel, in press). Therefore, what we see before we eat and drink, such as the appearance of a fruit or product, can exert a significant influence over what we expect and hence subsequently what we perceive (Delwiche, 2012; Michel, Velasco, Gatti, & Spence, 2014; Piqueras-Fiszman & Spence, 2015). Here, we focus on typeface as it is a ubiquitous element of design that people are often exposed to before they eat (e.g., just think of reading a menu, or a product label/packaging, etc.) but which has received relatively little research interest to date. In particular, we explore how typeface features, namely their curvature and boldness, are associated with tastes (in the gustatory meaning of the term).

Typeface conveys meaning over-and-above the actual content of the words written (Doyle & Bottomley, 2009; Juni & Gross, 2008; Karnal, Machiels, Orth, & Mai, 2016; Kastl & Child, 1968; Poffenberger & Franker, 1923; Tannenbaum, Jacobson, & Norris, 1964). Just think of the typefaces that can be found in everything from book fonts through to the title of a movie and advertising messages (e.g., Garfield, 2011; Hyndman, 2015). There is evidence to suggest that the spatial structure and aesthetic properties of fonts can influence visual preference and prime specific concepts (e.g., Doyle & Bottomley, 2004, 2006, 2009; Grohmann, 2014; Grohmann, Giese, & Parkman, 2013; Henderson, Giese, & Cote, 2004; van Leeuwen, 2005, 2006).

Typeface may very well influence people's expectations concerning food and drink and may prime specific associations (even without conscious awareness) prior to consumption. Nowadays, most products are contained within packaging whose (often branded) labelling (e.g., think of the distinctive typeface of *Coca Cola*) can influence

people's expectations, perception, and behaviour towards the product (e.g., Celhay, Boysselle, & Cohen, 2015; Silayoi & Speece, 2007; Velasco, Salgado-Montejo, Marmolejo-Ramos, & Spence, 2014). That said, the expectations we may have about the taste of a product can be influenced by the curvature of the typeface of, for example, the brand of the product. Indeed, Velasco et al. (2014) demonstrated that people categorize a product as sweet or sour depending on whether it is written in a round (sweet; Swis721 B1kRnd BT—Black, 44 pt) or angular font (sour; Hollywood Hills—Regular, 53 pt). This effect is consistent with the literature suggesting that round shapes tend to be associated with sweetness whereas more angular shapes tend to be associated with the other basic tastes (see Velasco, Woods, Petit, Cheok, & Spence, 2016b, for a review).

The idea that round versus angular typefaces can lead to different taste associations has recently been further explored by Velasco, Woods, Hyndman, and Spence (2015b). In two experiments, these researchers demonstrated that rounder typefaces are evaluated as sweeter than more angular typefaces (the latter being rated as more salty, bitter, and sour). In addition, rounder typefaces were judged as easier to read and were liked more than their more angular counterparts. These results would appear to be consistent with the notion that people generally tend to prefer round as compared to angular shapes (see Gómez-Puerto, Munar, & Nadal, 2016, for a review). In turn, and given the fact that people tend to prefer sweet tastes over the other basic tastes (Birch, 1999), Velasco et al.'s (2015b) results would appear to suggest a possible hedonic mediation when it comes to the matching of shape curvature and taste (Velasco, Woods, Deroy, & Spence, 2015a; Velasco, Woods, Marks, Cheok, & Spence, 2016b).

At least some associations between features and dimensions across the senses, such as those between tastes and shapes, may be in part based on a common feeling evoked by the

stimuli that people associate¹ (e.g., Collier, 1996; Marks, 1996; Palmer, Schloss, Xu, & Prado-León, 2013). Therefore, people's liking for one typeface or another may, theoretically at least, influence the extent to which they match them to a taste. Here, however, it is worth mentioning that previous research has also suggested that the preference for curvature can be influenced by contextual variables (Carbon, 2010; Gómez-Puerto, Munar, & Nadal, 2016; Silvia & Barona, 2009). In that sense, both the language that one speaks and the typefaces in which different written languages are written may provide different contexts that may modulate curvature preference and thus influence matches between tastes and typeface features.

The present study builds on the results reported recently by Velasco et al. (2014, 2015b). First, we wanted to replicate previous studies assessing whether shape curvature, in this case, the shapes of typeface, are similarly matched to taste words in different countries (Wan et al., 2014). Our prediction was that, at least those typefaces that are liked more, will be rated as significantly sweeter, and less bitter, salty, and sour, than those that are liked less. Second, we wanted to test whether the difference between typefaces that are distinctively perceived as either round and angular would vary as a function of both the language of the participants (Colombian, British, and Chinese participants) and the language of the words presented in specific typefaces (Spanish, English, and Chinese). Given that typefaces can be used to adorn written language (Doyle & Bottomley, 2006), conducting this study across languages can potentially provide information as to whether it is a typeface feature and not specific speech sound themselves, that drives the correspondence between taste words and typeface features. Moreover, we wanted to evaluate the strength of our results by conducting the same experiment across countries (in part, motivated by the recent discussion on

¹ Crossmodal correspondences are thought to provide complementary information about sensory events in the environment, in that, people can potentially infer something about a stimulus in one sensory modality (e.g., a taste) from a seemingly unrelated stimulus in another sensory modality (e.g., shape; Walker, in press). Thus, when the only available information is a shape, people may potentially be able to infer something about a taste that matches the emotional connotation of the shape.

replicability issues in psychology, see Open Science Collaboration, 2015) and in participants with different backgrounds (not only from Western, educated, and from industrialized, rich, and democratic countries, see Henrich, Heine, & Norenzayan, 2010).

Lastly, we include an additional factor in our study, namely, the boldness of the typeface. We tested whether ‘bold’ as opposed to regular, typefaces impact taste-typeface associations – note that initial evidence from Velasco et al. (2015b) suggested that boldness did not influence which taste people associated to a typeface. Nevertheless, it is another critical visual feature of typefaces which can denote ‘potency’ (Henderson, Giese, & Cote, 2004). This is a dimension that relates to how strong or powerful people perceive a typeface as being (Morrison, 1986). Given that taste-shape associations may be influenced by the ‘potency’ of visual attributes (Velasco et al., 2016b), we further tested such prediction in this study, by including a larger and more varied set stimuli than used previously by Velasco and colleagues.

Methods and materials

Participants

In total, 322 participants took part in the study in Colombia, China, and the UK. However, the data from some of the participants had to be excluded from the analyses either because they failed to complete the task and/or because they indicated that they were not fluent in Spanish, English, or Chinese, respectively ($n = 57$). In total, the data from 82 participants from Colombia, 97 from the UK, and 86 from China, were analyzed (see Table 1, for a summary of the demographic data of these participants). All of the participants took part in the experiment through the Adobe Flash based Xperiment software (<http://www.xperiment.mobi>; for considerations regarding research online see Woods, Velasco, Levitan, Wan, & Spence, 2015). The Colombian participants were recruited via a database of participants from a Colombian University (Bogotá, Colombia), the UK

participants were recruited via Prolific Academic (<http://prolificacademic.co.uk/>) and were compensated with £1.50 for their time, and the Chinese participants were recruited through the subject pool of a Laboratory in a university in Beijing, China.

INSERT TABLE 1 ABOUT HERE

Apparatus and materials

The images of 12 typefaces in Spanish, English, and Chinese, respectively, were selected to have a distinct curvature (3 round and 3 angular) and boldness (in their regular and bold formats, see Figure 1). The typefaces were carefully chosen based on the recommendations of a designer based in Colombia and another based in China. The English and Spanish rounded typefaces comprised Eras Light ITC, Jasmine UPC, and Segoe Script. The angular English and Spanish typefaces, on the other hand, included the following fonts: Bell MT, Nueva Std, メイリオ. For the rounded Chinese characters, the following typefaces were used: Round sans, SimYou, and SimLi. The angular Chinese characters included: Simsun, Slim Simsun, Imitated Simsun. Note that the Chinese characters used in the present study are Simplified Chinese Characters currently used in mainland China; and that 5 of the 6 Chinese typefaces (except for the Simyou) were selected from Hanyi Fonts Library (Beijing Hanyi Keyin Information Technology Co. Ltd., www.hanyi.com.cn). Multiple typefaces that were intuitively thought of as angular or round and bold and not bold were included in order to guarantee some variability in the stimuli that would likely boost the generalizability of the results. That said, as it will be described later, perceived curvature ratings were included in order to confirm that the typefaces were distinctively perceived as either round or angular. The participants in Colombia, China, and the UK were presented with the words in the

different typefaces of the three respective languages. The experiment was reviewed and approved by the Central University Research Ethics.

 INSERT FIGURE 1 ABOUT HERE

Procedure

At the beginning of the study, the participants were presented with a general overview of the experiment and a standard consent form, to which they agreed before taking part. The experiment proper started after the participant had answered some demographic questions (age, gender, country of origin, proficiency level of Spanish, English, and Chinese). The experiment consisted of three blocks (one per language) of eight trials. Both block and trial order were randomized. Throughout the eight trials, the participants were asked to arrange, into various box-type visual analogue scales anchored with ‘not at all’ and ‘very much’ (as used in Velasco, Woods, Hyndman, & Spence, 2015), the different typefaces in terms of the extent to which they thought they associated them with sweet, sour, salty, and bitter tastes (see Figure 2 for a sample trial). The participants also organized the font stimuli as a function of their perceived familiarity, curvature, liking, and clarity. We included curvature in order to confirm that our experimental manipulation of this variable was perceivable by the participants. The other variables were included as they may influence (and possibly mediate) the way in which people associate a taste with a shape feature (Velasco et al., 2015b, c). At the end of the experiment, one additional trial was included in which the participants arranged the different taste words (sweet, sour, bitter, and salty) in order to indicate how much they liked them. All of the variables were scored from 0 to 100.

 INSERT FIGURE 2 ABOUT HERE

Analysis

The results are presented for each country separately. The different typefaces were collapsed across curvature and boldness for each language block. We evaluated whether language, typeface curvature, and the boldness of the typeface influenced the participants' ratings for each of the variables. For that purpose, we used a Gaussian Generalized Estimating Equations (GEE) method (with an exchangeable correlation matrix, see Liang & Zeger, 1986), as implemented in the *r* statistics package {geepack} (Halekoh, Højsgaard, & Yan, 2006). The advantage of using a GEE over, for example, a standard regression is that it is more robust when controlling for multiple responses and particularly when the data is not independent (as is the case with many repeated measures designs). With the latter in mind, for the type of data we have there is a lower chance of incurring in a type-II error with a GEE (see Hanley et al., 2003; Salgado-Montejo, Alvarado et al., 2015). The significant main effects and interactions were subsequently analysed using Bonferroni-corrected pairwise paired Wilcoxon Rank Sum Tests, as implemented in R statistics.

Results

Colombia

Curvature, familiarity, liking, and clarity ratings in Colombia. The GEE analysis of curvature, familiarity, liking, and clarity, is presented in Table 2 (see also Figure 3, for a visualization). In Colombia, the round typefaces were considered rounder, clearer, more familiar, and were liked more, than the angular typefaces ($ps < .001$). The participants rated the bold typefaces as significantly rounder and less clear, than those without bold ($p \leq .001$, for both comparisons). Notably, the participants rated the typefaces in English ($p = .014$) and Spanish ($p = .020$) as significantly clearer and more familiar ($p < .001$, for both comparisons) than those in Chinese.

INSERT TABLE 2 ABOUT HERE

An interaction between language and curvature was found for all ratings. The participants liked the round typefaces more and rated them as more familiar and as clearer than the angular typefaces when they were presented in Spanish and English ($ps < .001$), but not in Chinese ($p > .999$). In contrast, the angular typefaces were considered as more angular than the round typefaces, in all three languages ($ps < .001$). Pairwise comparisons performed after the interaction between language and bold revealed that, overall, the participants rated the typefaces in Chinese without bold as more angular and clearer than the typefaces in Chinese with bold ($ps < .001$).

INSERT FIGURE 3 ABOUT HERE

Taste ratings in Colombia. GEE analysis revealed a significant effect of typeface curvature and a significant interaction of language and typeface curvature for all taste ratings (see Table 3, for a summary of the statistics, and Figure 4 for a visualization of the mean taste ratings obtained in Colombia). The participants considered the rounder typefaces as sweeter than the angular typefaces, and the angular typefaces as more bitter, sour, and salty, than the round typefaces ($ps < .001$). As for the interaction term, the participants considered the angular typefaces in Spanish and English to be more bitter, salty, and sour than the round typefaces ($ps < .001$). Note that this was not the case for those typefaces presented in Chinese ($ps > .2$). The round typefaces were considered as significantly sweeter than the angular typefaces in all three languages ($ps < .001$).

INSERT TABLE 3 ABOUT HERE

The interaction between language and bold for the sour ratings was not significant in terms of the pairwise comparisons ($ps \geq .098$). Finally, a three-way interaction between language, curvature, and bold, for the salty ratings was obtained. Closer inspection of Figure 4 suggests that the interaction may be attributable to differences between text with and without bold in the angular and round typefaces in Chinese and Spanish, respectively.

INSERT FIGURE 4 ABOUT HERE

UK

Curvature, familiarity, liking, and clarity ratings in the UK. The summary of the GEE analyses on the curvature, familiarity, liking, and clarity ratings are presented in Table 4 (see also Figure 5 for a visualization of the mean ratings). The participants from the UK rated the Chinese typefaces as significantly more angular than the Spanish and English typefaces ($ps < .001$). The participants from the UK also rated the English typefaces as being significantly more familiar than the Chinese ($p < .001$) and Spanish typefaces ($p = .003$), and the Spanish typefaces as more familiar than the Chinese typefaces ($p < .001$). In addition, the participants liked the round typefaces more, and rated them as significantly rounder, more familiar, and clearer, than the angular typefaces ($p < .001$). The participants rated the bold typefaces as significantly rounder ($p < .001$), and less clear ($p = .007$), than the typefaces without bold.

INSERT TABLE 4 ABOUT HERE

An interaction between language and curvature was found for all variables. As for the Colombian participants, those from the UK also liked the round typefaces more and rated them as more familiar and clear than the angular typefaces when they were in Spanish and English ($p < .001$), but not when they were in Chinese ($p > .999$). The angular typefaces, on the other hand, were considered as more angular than the round typefaces, in the three languages ($ps < .001$). The two-way interaction between language and bold, and the three-way interaction between language, curvature, and bold, also reached significance for the curvature ratings. The participants rated the Chinese typefaces without bold as more angular than those with bold ($p < .001$). This did not happen for the English and Spanish typefaces ($ps \geq .999$). As for the three-way interaction, visual inspection of Figure 5 indicates that the participants rated both the Chinese round and angular typefaces without bold as significantly more angular than their counterparts with bold.

 INSERT FIGURE 5 ABOUT HERE

Taste ratings in the UK. A visualization of the mean taste ratings as a function of language, curvature, and bold, in the UK, is presented in Figure 6 (see also Table 5 for the GEE results). Here, a significant effect of typeface curvature and an interaction between language and typeface curvature were also found for all taste ratings (see Table 3, for a summary of the results). The participants from the UK also considered the rounder typefaces to be sweeter than the angular typefaces, and the angular typefaces to be more bitter, sour, and salty, than the round typefaces ($p < .001$). The results from the interaction term between language and curvature were also similar to those obtained in Colombia. The participants rated the angular typefaces in Spanish and English as more bitter, salty, and sour than the round typefaces ($ps < .001$). Note that this was not the case for those typefaces in Chinese (ps

> .18). In contrast, the round typefaces were considered as significantly sweeter than the angular typefaces in the three languages ($p < .001$).

 INSERT TABLE 5 ABOUT HERE

Pairwise comparisons conducted in order to assess the interaction between language and bold for the sour ratings failed to reach statistical significance. For bitter, there was also a three-way interaction between language, curvature, and bold. Visual inspection of Figure 6 reveals that it may be the case that a difference between typefaces with and without bold in the angular Chinese typefaces but not in Spanish and English.

 INSERT FIGURE 6 ABOUT HERE

China

Curvature, familiarity, liking, and clarity ratings in China. The GEE analyses of curvature, familiarity, liking, and clarity ratings are also presented in Table 6 (see also Figure 7, for a visualization of the mean ratings). The main effect of language on the clarity ratings did not reach statistical significance after correcting for multiple comparisons. The round typefaces were considered rounder, clearer, more familiar, and were liked more, than the angular typefaces ($ps < .001$). What is more, the participants liked the bold typefaces ($p < .001$) more, and considered them as rounder ($p < .001$) and clearer ($p = .002$) than the angular typefaces. The interaction between language and curvature was significant for all variables but curvature. Consistent with the results from both Colombia and the UK, the participants also liked the round typefaces more and rated them as more familiar and clear than the

angular typefaces when they were in Spanish and English ($ps < .001$), but not in Chinese ($p > .999$).

 INSERT TABLE 6 ABOUT HERE

An interaction between language and bold was found for all variables but liking. The participants rated the typefaces with bold as less angular than those without bold in Spanish ($p < .001$), English ($p = .005$), and Chinese ($p = .05$). Participants also considered the Spanish typefaces with bold as more familiar than those without bold in the same language ($p = .02$). The bold typefaces were considered as clearer than those without bold in English ($p = .003$) and Spanish ($p < .001$), but not in Chinese ($p = .47$). In addition, a significant interaction between curvature and bold was found for familiarity. However, after comparing bold vs. no bold within the angular and round typefaces, the comparisons were not significant ($ps > .05$). Three-way interactions between language, curvature, and bold, were found for curvature and liking ratings. Visual inspection of Figure 7 indicates that both the Chinese round and angular typefaces without bold were rated as more angular than their non-bold counterparts but not in the other languages. Moreover, the participants seemed to like the Spanish round typefaces with bold more than those without bold. However, such differences do not appear to be as salient for neither the angular typefaces, nor the round or angular in the other languages.

 INSERT FIGURE 7 ABOUT HERE

Taste ratings in China. The analyses of the taste ratings were also similar to those obtained in Colombia and the UK (see Figure 8, and Table 7 for the GEE results). A significant main effect of curvature was found for all taste ratings. The participants

considered the angular typefaces as significantly more bitter, sour, and salty, whilst considering them to be less sweet, than the round typefaces ($ps < .001$). In addition, a significant main effect of bold was found for bitter, salty, and sweet. The bold typefaces were considered more bitter, salty, and sweet than the typefaces without bold ($ps < .001$).

 INSERT TABLE 7 ABOUT HERE

The results of the Chinese participants were similar to those from the participants of both Colombia and the UK in terms of the interaction between language and curvature. Here, the angular typefaces in Spanish and English were considered as more bitter, salty, and sour than the round typefaces ($ps < .001$). Not that this was not the case for those in Chinese ($ps > .45$). On the other hand, the round typefaces were considered as significantly sweeter than the angular typefaces in the three languages ($ps < .001$). Finally, the interaction between curvature and bold, found for the bitter ratings, revealed that the angular typefaces without bold were rated as less bitter than the angular typefaces with bold ($p = .002$).

 INSERT FIGURE 8 ABOUT HERE

Discussion

We conducted an experiment in Colombia, the UK, and China, where the participants were asked to match the words “eat me”, in different typefaces varying in terms of curvature and boldness, and whether this was written in Spanish, English, and Chinese, to four basic taste words, namely, sweet, sour, bitter, and salty. Moreover, the participants also had to rate the same typefaces in terms of how much they liked them and also how round/angular, familiar, and clear, they considered them to be.

Typeface curvature, familiarity, clarity, and liking evaluation

Each group of participants rated the different typefaces somewhat differently as a function of language and differences were found between the Colombian, Chinese, and British participants. In particular, the Colombian participants rated the Spanish and English words as clearer and as more familiar than the Chinese words. The British participants rated the English words as more familiar than the Spanish and Chinese, and the Spanish as more familiar than the Chinese. Moreover, they also rated the Chinese words as more angular than the Spanish and English words. In contrast, no effect of language was found for the Chinese participants. Whilst the participants were asked to rate the typeface and not the words it is possible that the participants' responses were somewhat influenced by whether or not the typefaces were presented in the participants' language (cf. Walker, 2008; Warren & Lasher, 1974).

As expected, the participants rated the round typefaces as rounder than the angular typefaces in all languages, in the three countries tested in the present study. In addition, the round typefaces were liked more and thought of as clearer than their angular counterparts. This is largely consistent with the idea that people generally prefer round over angular visual objects (Gómez-Puerto, Munar, & Nadal, 2015, for a review); it is also possible that round objects may be easier to process than angular objects (LoBue, 2014, Song & Schwartz, 2008), resulting in increased preference (Velasco et al., 2015b). On a similar note, it has been suggested that the level of horizontal autocorrelation of the image of words in a given font can influence reading speed (Jainta, Jaschinski, & Wilkins, 2012, Wilkins et al., 2007). Given that that round fonts have lower horizontal autocorrelation than angular fonts, people may process them faster, regardless of their subject experience (as captured in the present research) of how 'clear' they appear to be.

The participants in Colombia and the UK, but not the Chinese, considered the round typefaces to be more familiar than their angular counterparts. Whereas feelings of familiarity may be linked with memory, such feelings may also arise from the fluency with which a stimulus is processed (Whittlesea, 1993). That said, one possibility is that the perceived ease with which the round typefaces are processed led to increased feelings of familiarity (see also Westerman, Lanska, & Olds, 2015; though see Bernard, Chaparro, Mills, & Halcomb, 2003). Alternatively, however, the participants may have found round typefaces to be more familiar as they are more prototypical of commonly used typefaces compared to angular typefaces (Velasco et al., 2016b).

In terms of the effect of boldness, bold typefaces were considered as rounder in Colombia (where they were also considered to be less clear) and the UK. In China, bold typefaces were not only considered rounder, but were also rated as clearer, and were liked more. The idea that bold typefaces were considered as rounder than regular typefaces suggests that boldness may have strengthened ratings on a dimension that can also denote intensity, namely, curvature (Lyman, 1979; Morrison, 1986; Poffenberger & Barrows, 1924). Note, however, that the increased ‘roundness’ ratings of the bold typefaces did not necessarily mean that the participants always liked the bold typefaces more, at least, that was not the case for Colombia and the UK.

Here, it is worth mentioning that the many reported interaction effects of, for example, curvature and language or boldness and language on the curvature, liking, familiarity, and clarity ratings in all three countries indicate that the different written languages used are, in some cases similarly, but in others differently evaluated. For example, no difference was found in terms of liking, familiarity, or clarity between the round and angular Chinese characters in any country, though a difference in terms of curvature was

found in all three. These results point to the idea that the context, in this case provided by specific languages, may shape people's evaluations of the typefaces (cf. Carbon, 2010).

The association between typeface features and taste

The participants in all three countries evaluated the round typefaces as sweeter than the angular typefaces, and the angular typefaces as more salty, sour, and bitter, than the round typefaces. These results are largely consistent with the literature in suggesting that shape curvature is differentially associated with both tastes and taste words, with sweetness being associated with round shapes and the other tastes with angular shapes instead (see Spence & Deroy, 2013; Velasco et al., 2016b, for reviews). Bold in itself only exerted an effect on the taste ratings provided by the Chinese participants. The latter rated the bold typefaces as significantly more bitter, salty, and sweet. Our initial hypothesis was that bold typefaces would signal 'potency' (Henderson, Giese, & Cote, 2004) and thus influence people's associations with tastes. If that had been the case one may have expected an increase of taste ratings, as well. However, we did not find evidence in this direction in our data. Here, it is interesting to note that even if bold typefaces were perceived as rounder in all countries, only the Chinese participants considered them as sweeter (and also more bitter and salty).

The interaction between language and curvature was also present for the taste ratings in the three languages and countries. The participants evaluated the angular typefaces in Spanish and English as more bitter, salty, and sour than the round typefaces in these languages, but not in Chinese. However, the round typefaces were evaluated as sweeter than the angular typefaces in the three languages and three countries. The latter parallels with the results of the curvature, familiarity, liking, and clarity ratings. That is, the liking, familiarity, and clarity ratings of the Chinese characters did not differ as a function of round vs. angular typefaces in any country, though the curvature ratings did. In other words, when the characters matched in terms of liking, familiarity, and clarity, and not curvature, the Chinese

participants still rated the round typefaces as sweeter than the angular ones. This did not extend to the other tastes. The aforesaid results are consistent with the idea that curvature influences the taste that people match with a given typeface (Spence & Deroy, 2013). Nevertheless, there is no evidence in our data to suggest that that liking, familiarity, or clarity (an alternative word of easiness to process) can fully account for taste/shape matches (see Velasco et al., 2016a).

Limitations and future research

One of the key limitations of the present study relates to the idea that the round and angular, and bold and regular typefaces used in the present study, varied in more ways than just that of the perception of curvature. Consequently, pinpointing the key physical characteristics of typefaces that helps explain why is that certain typefaces would go better with some tastes, is made more difficult. Nevertheless, our results provide strong support for the idea that perceived roundness/angularity of fonts, at least in its extreme values, leads to different taste matches. Follow-up studies are thus called for, to control for the physical features of typeface (e.g., spacing, height, width). Yet, our results open up an interesting idea for the understanding of taste/shape associations, that is, even when there is no evidence for the alignment between liking and curvature (as in our data), people still match typefaces which are perceived as rounder to sweet tastes. This adds weight to the claim that these correspondences cannot entirely be thought of as affective correspondences, as suggested previously (Velasco et al., 2016). X

Furthermore, it is worth mentioning here that many previous studies in the context of taste/shape correspondences have similarly utilized taste words instead of actual tastants. As suggested by Velasco et al. (2016b), that can certainly be problematic given that the sound symbolic meaning of taste words may already convey specific notions that could lead to particular shape matchings (though e.g., Velasco et al., 2015a reported that people matched

taste words and tastants in a similar way). With that in mind, in this study we utilized taste words in different languages with different phonetic properties (“dulce” in English phonetics sounds as in /DOOL:seh/, “sweet” as in /swi:t/, and “甜” as in /ti:ən/ or /Ti:an/). If the results obtained in different countries were to follow the same pattern, this may provide initial evidence in line with the idea that it is the meaning of the words “sweet”, and not its sound symbolic meaning, which is what drives the association between taste words and shape features.

In a similar note, and as pointed by one of the reviewers, it may have been the case that for many Spanish and English speakers, the Chinese characters may have been not understandable, whereas for the Chinese participants, the Latin characters used in both Spanish and English would likely have been somewhat “understandable” (see Table 1). Therefore, it may be the case that the effect of the semantic content of the words (if it exists at all) is an unbalanced factor in our study. Whereas this is a limitation, there are still largely consistent results across countries and languages, thus suggesting that the results are nevertheless consistent with our claims.

One thing to consider here is that, as one of the oldest written languages worldwide, Chinese is figuratively referred to as “Square Characters”. That is, each Chinese character, as the written symbol of the Chinese language, represents only one spoken syllable and carries one basic meaning. Most importantly, each Chinese character occupies a more or less square area in which the components of every character, regardless of whether this character has a very simple or complex structure, are written to fit in order to maintain a uniform size, shape, and spacing. We propose that the Chinese characters might generally be in an “angular” global shape, whereas different typefaces might be considered angular or round only based on subtler, local features such as the start and/or the end of the strokes, the angularity of the joints, and so on. In this sense, the angularity/roundness of the Chinese characters that the

Chinese participants perceive might be very subtle and depend on their life experience. For example, those Chinese participants who have studied Chinese Calligraphy, the artistic form developed from the writing of Chinese characters, might be more sensitive to these subtle local features than others. Perhaps, the Chinese character might be less influenced by the angularity/roundness of the typefaces, partially because they are not that different from one another. No matter how round/angular local features are, the characters themselves are still “square”. That being said, bold might be a more important feature for the Chinese typefaces. One possible reason might be the black character typeface (which always in bold” is often used for banners and advertisements).

One last element to consider here relates to the types of scales used in the present study. As pointed by one of the reviewers, and discussed by Velasco et al. (2015b), in the box scales used in the present study, all typefaces are presented together and therefore their subtle differences may become more salient. For instance, it may be the case that for each language, the relative distance of the typefaces selected within the box may have been different and made more salient.

Conclusions

The results of the present research further corroborate the idea that round typefaces are more strongly associated with sweet tastes than angular typefaces, which are more strongly associated with the other tastes than round typefaces. This effect is robust across languages and countries. What is more, our results indicate that valence cannot be thought of as the sole mediator of the associations between taste words and shape features. Typefaces, just as is true of many other design elements, can be used to convey taste-related information in the context of food and beverages. Taking into account both common associations (taste and curvature) and some more context-specific (boldness and taste), it is possible to influence the taste that people associate with a given typeface.

“All procedures performed in studies involving human participants were in accordance with the ethical standards of the institutional and/or national research committee and with the 1964 Helsinki declaration and its later amendments or comparable ethical standards.”

REFERENCES

- Bernard, M. L., Chaparro, B. S., Mills, M. M., & Halcomb, C. G. (2003). Comparing the effects of text size and 3 at on the readability of computer-displayed Times New Roman and Arial text. *International Journal of Human Computer Studies*, 59, 823-835. doi: 10.1016/S1071-5819(03)00121-6.
- Birch, L. L. (1999). Development of food preferences. *Annual Review of Nutrition*, 19, 41-62. doi: 10.1146/annurev.nutr.19.1.41.
- Carbon, C. C. (2010). The cycle of preference: Long-term dynamics of aesthetic appreciation. *Acta Psychologica*, 134, 233-244. doi: 10.1016/j.actpsy.2010.02.004.
- Celhay, F., Boysselle, J., & Cohen, J. (2015). Food packages and communication through typeface design: The exoticism of exotypes. *Food Quality and Preference*, 39, 167-175. doi: 10.1016/j.foodqual.2014.07.009.
- Collier, G. L. (1996). Affective synesthesia: Extracting emotion space from simple perceptual stimuli. *Motivation and Emotion*, 20, 1-32. doi: 10.1007/BF02251005.
- Delwiche, J. F. (2012). You eat with your eyes first. *Physiology & Behavior*, 107, 502-504. doi: 10.1016/j.physbeh.2012.07.007.
- Doyle, J. R., & Bottomley, P. A. (2004). Font appropriateness and brand choice. *Journal of Business Research*, 57, 873-880. doi: 10.1016/S0148-2963(02)00487-3.
- Doyle, J. R., & Bottomley, P. A. (2006). Dressed for the occasion: Font-product congruity in the perception of logotype. *Journal of Consumer Psychology*, 16, 112-123. doi: 10.1207/s15327663jcp1602_2.s.

- Doyle, J. R., & Bottomley, P. A. (2009). The message in the medium: Transfer of connotative meaning from typeface to names and products. *Applied Cognitive Psychology*, 23, 396-409. doi: 10.1002/acp.1468.
- Garfield, S. (2011). *Just my type: A book about fonts*. London, UK: Profile Books.
- Gómez-Puerto, G., Munar, E., & Nadal, M. (2015). Preference for curvature: A historical and conceptual framework. *Frontiers in Human Neuroscience*, 9:712. doi: 10.3389/fnhum.2015.00712.
- Grohmann, B. (2014). Communicating brand gender through type fonts. *Journal of Marketing Communications*. doi: 10.1080/13527266.2014.918050
- Grohmann, B., Giese, J. L., & Parkman, I. D. (2013). Using type font characteristics to communicate brand personality of new brands. *Journal of Brand Management*, 20, 389-403. doi: 10.1057/bm.2012.23.
- Halekoh, U., Højsgaard, S., & Yan, J. (2006). The R package geepack for generalized estimating equations. *Journal of Statistical Software*, 15, 1-11.
- Hanley, J. A., Negassa, A., Edwardes, M. D. deB., & Forrester, J. E. (2003). Statistical analysis of correlated data using generalized estimating equations: An orientation. *American Journal of Epidemiology*, 157, 364-375. doi: 10.1093/aje/kwf215.
- Henderson, P. W., Giese, J. L., & Cote, J. A. (2004). Impression management using typeface design. *Journal of Marketing*, 68, 60-72. doi: jmkj.68.4.60.42736.
- Henrich, J., Heine, S. J., & Norenzayan, A. (2010). Most people are not WEIRD. *Nature*, 466: 29. doi: 10.1038/466029a.
- Hyndman, S. (2015). *The type taster: How fonts influence you*. London, UK: Type Tasting.
- Jainta, S., Jaschinski, W., & Wilkins, A. J. (2010). Periodic letter strokes within a word affect fixation disparity during reading. *Journal of Vision*, 10:2-2. doi: 10.1167/10.13.2.

- Juni, S., & Gross, J. S. (2008). Emotional and persuasive perception of fonts. *Perceptual and Motor Skills*, 106, 35-42. doi: 10.2466/pms.106.1.35-42.
- Karnal, N., Machiels, C. J., Orth, U. R., & Mai, R. (2016). Healthy by design, but only when in focus: Communicating non-verbal health cues through symbolic meaning in packaging. *Food Quality and Preference*, 52, 106-119. doi: 10.1016/j.foodqual.2016.04.004.
- Kastl, A. J., & Child, I. L. (1968). Emotional meaning of four typographical variables. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 52, 440-446. doi: 10.1037/h0026506.
- Liang, K.-Y., & Zeger, S. L. (1986). Longitudinal data analysis using generalized linear models. *Biometrika*, 73, 13-22. doi: 10.1093/biomet/73.1.13.
- LoBue, V. (2014). Deconstructing the snake: The relative roles of perception, cognition, and emotion on threat detection. *Emotion*, 14, 701-711. doi:10.1037/a0035898
- Lyman, B. (1979). Representation of complex emotional and abstract meanings by simple forms. *Perceptual and Motor Skills*, 49, 839-842. doi: 10.2466/pms.1979.49.3.839.
- Marks, L. E. (1996). On perceptual metaphors. *Metaphor and Symbol*, 11, 39-66. doi: 10.1207/s15327868ms1101_3.
- Michel, C., Velasco, C., Gatti, E., & Spence, C. (2014). A taste of Kandinsky: Assessing the influence of the artistic visual presentation of food on the dining experience. *Flavour*, 3:7. doi: 10.1186/2044-7248-3-7.
- Morrison G. R. (1986). Communicability of the emotional connotation of type. *Education, Communication and Technology Journal*, 34, 235-244. doi: 10.1007/BF02767404.
- Open Science Collaboration. (2015). Estimating the reproducibility of psychological science. *Science*, 349, aac4716. doi: 10.1126/science.aac4716.
- Palmer, S. E., Schloss, K. B., Xu, Z., & Prado-León, L. R. (2013). Music-color associations are mediated by emotion. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the USA*, 110, 8836-8841. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1212562110.

- Piqueras-Fiszman, B., & Spence, C. (2015). Sensory expectations based on product-extrinsic food cues: An interdisciplinary review of the empirical evidence and theoretical accounts. *Food Quality & Preference*, 40, 165-179. doi: 10.1016/j.foodqual.2014.09.013.
- Poffenberger, A. T., & Barrows, B. E. (1924). The feeling value of lines. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 8, 187-205. doi: 10.1037/h0073513.
- Poffenberger, A. T., & Franken, R. B. (1923). A study of the appropriateness of type faces. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 7, 312-329. doi: 10.1037/h0071591.
- Salgado-Montejo, A., Alvarado, J. A., Velasco, C., Salgado, C. J., Hasse, K., & Spence, C. (2015). The sweetest thing: The influence of angularity, symmetry, and the number of elements on shape-taste matches. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 6:1382. doi: 10.1111/j.1467-9280.2006.01759.x.
- Silayoi, P., & Speece, M. (2007). The importance of packaging attributes: A conjoint analysis approach. *European Journal of Marketing*, 41, 1495-1517. doi: 10.1108/03090560710821279.
- Silvia, P. J., & Barona, C. M. (2009). Do people prefer curved objects? Angularity, expertise, and aesthetic preference. *Empirical Studies of the Arts*, 27, 25-42. doi: 10.2190/EM.27.1.b.
- Song, H., & Schwarz, N. (2008). If it's hard to read, it's hard to do processing fluency affects effort prediction and motivation. *Psychological Science*, 19, 986-988. doi: 10.1111/j.1467-9280.2008.02189.x.
- Spence, C. (2015). On the psychological impact of food colour. *Flavour*, 4:21. doi: 10.1186/s13411-015-0031-3.
- Spence, C., & Deroy, O. (2013). On the shapes of flavours: A review of four hypotheses. *Theoria et Historia Scientiarum*, 10, 207-238. doi: 10.12775/ths-2013-0011,

- Spence, C., Okajima, K., Cheok, A. D., Petit, O., & Michel, C. (in press). Eating with our eyes: from visual hunger to digital satiation. *Brain and Cognition*. doi: 10.1016/j.bandc.2015.08.006.
- Van Leeuwen, T. (2005). Typographic meaning. *Visual Communication*, 4, 137-143. doi: 10.1177/1470357205053749.
- Van Leeuwen, T. (2006). Towards a semiotics of typography. *Information Design Journal*, 14, 139-155. doi: 10.1075/idj.14.2.06lee.
- Velasco, C., Salgado-Montejo, A., Marmolejo-Ramos, F., & Spence, C. (2014). Predictive packaging design: Tasting shapes, typefaces, names, and sounds. *Food Quality and Preference*, 34, 88-95. doi: 10.1016/j.foodqual.2013.12.005.
- Velasco, C., Woods, A. T., Deroy, O., & Spence, C. (2015a). Hedonic mediation of the crossmodal correspondence between taste and shape. *Food Quality and Preference*, 41, 151-158. doi: 10.1016/j.foodqual.2014.11.010.
- Velasco, C., Woods, A. T., Hyndman, S., & Spence, C. (2015b). The taste of typeface. *i-Perception*, 6:1-10. doi: 10.1177/2041669515593040.
- Velasco, C., Woods, A. T., Marks, L. E., Cheok, A. D., Spence, C. (2016a). The semantic basis of taste-shape associations. *PeerJ*, 4:e1644. doi: 10.7717/peerj.1644.
- Velasco, C., Woods, A. T., Petit, O., Cheok, A. D., & Spence, C. (2016b). Crossmodal correspondences between taste and shape, and their implications for product packaging: A review. *Food Quality and Preference*, 52, 17-26. doi: 10.1016/j.foodqual.2016.03.005.
- Walker, P. (2008). Font tuning: A review and new experimental evidence. *Visual Cognition*, 16, 1022-1058. doi: 10.1080/13506280701535924.
- Walker, P. (2016). Cross-sensory correspondences: A theoretical framework and their relevance to music. *Psychomusicology: Music, Mind, and Brain*, 26, 103-116. doi: 10.1037/pmu0000130.

- Wan, X., Woods, A. T., van den Bosch, J. J., McKenzie, K. J., Velasco, C., & Spence, C. (2014). Cross-cultural differences in crossmodal correspondences between basic tastes and visual features. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 5:1365. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2014.01365.
- Warren, R. E., & Lasher, M. D. (1974). Interference in a typeface variant of the Stroop test. *Perception & Psychophysics*, 15, 128-130. doi: 10.3758/BF03205840.
- Westerman, D. L., Lanska, M., & Olds, J. M. (2015). The effect of processing fluency on impressions of familiarity and liking. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory, and Cognition*, 41, 426-438. doi: 10.1037/a0038356.
- Whittlesea, B. W. (1993). Illusions of familiarity. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory, and Cognition*, 19, 1235-1253. doi: 10.1037/0278-7393.19.6.1235.
- Wilkins, A. J., Smith, J., Willison, C. K., Beare, T., Boyd, A., Hardy, G, Mell, L, Peach, C., & Harper, S. (2007). Stripes within words affect reading. *Perception*, 36(12), 1788-1803. doi:10.1068/p5651.
- Woods, A. T., Velasco, C., Levitan, C. A., Wan, X., & Spence, C. (2015). Conducting perception research over the internet: A tutorial review. *PeerJ*, 3:e1058. doi: 10.7717/peerj.1058.

FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure 1. Stimuli utilized in the present study. The expression “eat me” is written in Spanish, English, and Chinese, respectively, in round and angular typefaces and their regular and bold versions. The typefaces used for the Spanish and English words include the following (from left to right): Eras Light ITC, Jasmine UPC, Segoe script, Bell MT, Nueva Std, and メイリオ. The typefaces used for the Chinese words comprise (also from left to right): Round sans, SimYou, SimLi, Simsun, SlimSimsun, and Imitated Simsun.

Figure 2. In this sample trial, the participants responded to the words written in Spanish (thus in they were in the “Spanish” block). Note that the position of the different words/fonts above the box varied randomly across participants.

Figure 3. Mean ratings for the curvature, familiarity, liking, and clarity ratings in Colombia as a function of language, and typeface curvature and boldness. Each variable was rated on a VAS from 0 (not at all) to 100 (very much). Larger (smaller) values in the variable ‘Curvature’ indicate that the participants associated the typefaces more with angularity (roundness). The error bars in this and the other figures included in the present study represent the standard error of the means.

Figure 4. Mean taste ratings in Colombia as a function of language, typeface curvature, and boldness.

Figure 5. Mean ratings for curvature, familiarity, liking, and clarity in the UK as a function of language, typeface curvature, and boldness.

Figure 6. Mean taste ratings in the UK as a function of language, typeface curvature, and boldness.

Figure 7. Mean ratings for the curvature, familiarity, liking, and clarity ratings in China as a function of language, and typeface curvature and boldness.

Figure 8. Mean taste ratings in China as a function of language, and typeface curvature and boldness.