

Perspective Piece

Malaria Elimination: Time to Target All Species

Andrew A. Lover,^{1*} J. Kevin Baird,^{2,3} Roly Gosling,¹ and Ric N. Price^{3,4}

¹Malaria Elimination Initiative at the University of California, San Francisco, San Francisco, California; ²Eijkman-Oxford Clinical Research Unit, Eijkman Institute of Molecular Biology, Jakarta, Indonesia; ³Centre for Tropical Medicine and Global Health, Nuffield Department of Medicine, University of Oxford, Oxford, United Kingdom; ⁴Global and Tropical Health Division, Menzies School of Health Research and Charles Darwin University, Darwin, Australia

Abstract. Important strides have been made within the past decade toward malaria elimination in many regions, and with this progress, the feasibility of eradication is once again under discussion. If the ambitious goal of eradication is to be achieved by 2040, all species of *Plasmodium* infecting humans will need to be targeted with evidence-based and concerted interventions. In this perspective, the potential barriers to achieving global malaria elimination are discussed with respect to the related diversities in host, parasite, and vector populations. We argue that control strategies need to be reorientated from a sequential attack on each species, dominated by *Plasmodium falciparum* to one that targets all species in parallel. A set of research themes is proposed to mitigate the potential setbacks on the pathway to a malaria-free world.

INTRODUCTION

In 2015, the United Nations with the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation published a new framework for malaria eradication¹; and the World Health Organization published a technical strategy for *Plasmodium vivax* elimination.² Central to these documents is a combination of new strategies, tools, and financing mechanisms that will need to be delivered from a robust organizational structure that can act as a launchpad to ensure rapid acceleration of interventions and result in impact on the ground. If malaria elimination is to be achieved by 2040, the essential infrastructure of this launchpad will need to be created within the next 3–5 years, to allow sufficient time for implementation and scale-up toward impact. Because the significant progress observed in the last decade appears to have stalled in many endemic regions,³ the time is opportune to reexamine the global strategy.

Global estimates of malaria burden are dominated by infections due to *Plasmodium falciparum* in sub-Saharan Africa. The recent frameworks prioritize the challenges to overcome this particular malaria problem, but downplay the significance of the other five parasite species causing human malaria, which dominate the epidemiology in other regions. If global eradication of human malaria is the goal, then compared with *P. falciparum*, these other pathogens pose problems of greater complexity, geographic range, and technical difficulty, with fewer tools available to tackle them and more unknowns. The major challenges that arise on the pathway to malaria eradication have been stated before, by the then director of the Global Malaria Eradication Campaign:

...but it was thought useful to draw attention [...] to the commonplace truth that if malaria eradication is technically possible, it is and will always remain a very serious task, and that governments should not embark on it underestimating its difficulties, or hoping that as the years pass

some of the problems will automatically be cleared⁴
(Emilio Pampana, 1958)

Plasmodium vivax in particular is highly prevalent across endemic Asia and South America but also occurs in Africa.⁵ Vivax malaria is associated with severe morbidity and with mortality, but our current clinical and public health tools for its diagnosis, prevention, treatment, and control are suboptimal in many endemic areas.⁶ Malaria due to *Plasmodium malariae* is present in most malaria-endemic settings and associated with greatly prolonged parasite carriage, severe anemia and renal impairment. In several regions in Asia, zoonotic knowlesi malaria is now the predominant cause of clinical and severe malaria.⁷ Similar to *P. vivax*, the two sympatric species of *Plasmodium ovale* produce dormant liver stages that can relapse months after the initial infection and are also associated with severe and life-threatening syndromes.

Eliminating malaria one species at a time may increase the time and resources required. Rather than initially targeting the species of greatest ease to eliminate, a strategy targeting all species in parallel may be the more efficient and successful strategy. A focus on all-species elimination should not come at the expense of progress in reducing global mortality from falciparum malaria, but eradication is not just simply minimizing burden, and moreover, all species cause morbidity and mortality.⁸ Conversely, if the ultimate aim is indeed limited to eliminating the most harmful species, then the global community must reformulate and express this goal in more specific terms.

THE CHALLENGE OF THE FINAL STAGES

Eradication is a biological process whereby a living entity no longer exists in the natural world as a consequence of sustained and deliberate human interventions.⁹ The simple phrase “malaria eradication” obscures the complex reality of all six species of *Plasmodium* being driven to extinction. For a single one (*P. falciparum*), a well-defined path has been charted and for a second (*P. vivax*), a strategic plan is rapidly evolving,² but there are no technical agendas for *P. malariae*, either *P. ovale* subspecies or for *Plasmodium knowlesi*. It is recognized that current tools are inadequate for elimination¹⁰

* Address correspondence to Andrew A. Lover, Malaria Elimination Initiative at the University of California, San Francisco, 550 16th St., 3rd Floor, San Francisco, CA 94158. E-mail: andrew.lover@ucsf.edu

and until now, little thought and attention has been given toward *P. malariae*, *P. ovale* sp., or *P. knowlesi*, and understanding of the biology and transmission dynamics of each of these species will be required. Moreover, the burden of morbidity and mortality from these species is poorly understood and almost certainly underestimated.^{7,11,12}

All disease eradication programs have encountered surprising complexities in the final stages. As Bruce-Chwatt suggested decades ago, "Malaria eradication has been compared with high-mountain climbing: more obstacles appear as one approaches the summit, and at that stage every step demands an increased effort."¹³ Examples of challenges in the end game include the identification of human monkey pox infections in the final phases of the smallpox campaign, the recognition of sylvatic reservoirs of yellow fever virus, and the recent detection of canine hosts and potential aquatic reservoirs of Guinea worm.^{14,15} Furthermore, nearly all elimination efforts have been directed toward a *single species* of pathogen and most had the advantage of a vaccine providing sterilizing immunity, including polio and measles. Malaria raises issues of far greater complexity as it involves at least 10 intermediate host/reservoir species globally, and more than 40 major anopheline vector species. Diverse systems may need to be considered to achieve total elimination and subsequent eradication of the malarias from humanity—a one-size approach certainly will not fit all.

Four broad and interconnected ideas frame the specific scientific issues that need to be addressed for malaria elimination: diversities within a single parasite species, zoonotic and anthroponotic parasite transfers, the diverse bioecology of each parasite species, and the extensive diversities within both human hosts and vectors. Strategies for elimination and eradication that do not incorporate and address these complexities may lead to delays and even failure as each of these directly impact the themes described in the following paragraphs.

THE SHIFTING BURDEN OF DISEASE

Recent malaria control efforts have reduced significantly the global burden of malaria,¹⁶ yet in many regions where *P. falciparum* and other *Plasmodium* are co-endemic, there has been a relative increase in the proportion of infections due to the five other species, especially where PCR-based testing is performed.^{3,17} *Plasmodium vivax* is estimated to cause approximately 14 million clinical episodes of malaria each year,¹² but much larger estimates also exist.¹⁸ Once considered a benign infection, vivax malaria is now recognized to cause severe and fatal outcomes across a range of epidemiological settings.¹⁹ This parasite also causes extensive indirect mortality attributable to recurrent infections and a cumulative risk of severe anemia.²⁰ Outside sub-Saharan Africa, *P. vivax* is now often the predominant cause of malaria; however, this shift in the burden of disease has yet to be appropriately weighted in research prioritization.

In Malaysia, *P. knowlesi* is the leading cause of malaria morbidity and mortality.⁷ *Plasmodium malariae* contributes significant morbidity in sub-Saharan Africa and elsewhere.²¹ In areas of stable malaria transmission, almost 10% of clinical malaria can be attributed to *P. malariae* and its ability to maintain prolonged low-level parasitemias is associated with a high burden of anemia and hospitalization,^{22,23} tropical

splenomegaly,²⁴ and with the potential for irreversible kidney damage.²⁵ The two sympatric species of *P. ovale* have differing epidemiology²⁶ and are often misidentified as other species in the clinic by microscopy, suggesting underreporting. Finally, all five of these species, including *P. knowlesi*, have been associated with fatal outcomes in well-resourced settings.^{8,27} The common distinction between harmful and harmless *Plasmodium* species has been discredited by available evidence. Priority assigned to *P. falciparum* on this basis dangerously minimizes the clinical and public health importance of the other *Plasmodium* species.

SYLVATIC MALARIAS

Over the past decade, compelling evidence has revealed continual interactions between malaria species in human and nonhuman primate reservoirs, driven largely by the discovery of widespread *P. knowlesi* cases in Southeast Asia.²⁸ Several zoonotic reservoirs of malaria have been confirmed,²⁹ and most recently, a common parasite of howler monkeys *Plasmodium simium*,³⁰ was found to have regular spillover into humans with initial misidentification as *P. vivax* in Brazil,³¹ from which it is nearly indistinguishable.³² Genetic evidence also suggests regular exchange of *P. malariae* between humans and nonhuman primates in the Venezuelan Amazon.³³ Finally, possibly anthroponotic *P. malariae*, *P. ovale*, and *P. vivax* have been found in wild "pristine" nonhuman primate populations in Central Africa.³⁴

Major challenges exist in studying parasites in these primate reservoirs, and currently, there are limited tools or sampling strategies to estimate the frequency of parasite transfer between humans and primates in these populations. This lack of evidence for a stable animal reservoir of human malaras cannot be considered as evidence of absence. The geographic extents and magnitude of animal-associated malaria in humans (whether zoonotic and anthroponotic) need to be quantified to ensure that malaria eradication is an evidence-based undertaking,⁹ a challenge identified during the Global Malaria Eradication era, which remains unaddressed.^{35,36} The potential burden of disease attributable to the transfer of parasites between humans and primate reservoirs is unknown, the assumption that this is negligible needs to be explored further to ensure evidence-based eradication programs.

BIOLOGY OF THE PARASITES

Plasmodium vivax has evolved an ability to sustain transmission in environments inhospitable to *P. falciparum*. Central to this survival strategy is the dormant liver stage (hypnozoite) capable of relapsing weeks, months, and even several years after the initial mosquito-borne infection. Furthermore, this species can persist with much lower peripheral parasitemias, with all stages of the parasite, including sexual stages (gametocytes—the parasite life stage infectious to mosquitoes), circulating before patients develop symptoms.

Gametocytes of *P. vivax* are able to transmit to a larger range of vectors and complete the parasite stages in the mosquito over a much wider range of temperatures than *P. falciparum*.³⁷ Conversely, the sexual stages in *P. falciparum* infection tend to occur days to weeks after clinical presentation, allowing

transmission-blocking interventions such as administration of a single dose of primaquine (PQ).³⁸ Unlike the gametocytes of *P. falciparum*, those of *P. vivax* are inherently sensitive to most blood schizontocidal treatments and do not persist for any significant length of time following clearance of asexual parasitemia.

The main driving force of *P. vivax* transmission is its ability to relapse, a property that accounts for most of the subsequent recurrent infections following an initial infection.^{39,40} Hence, good coverage of *P. vivax* radical cure is likely to reduce transmission to a far greater extent than strategies focused solely on treatment of symptomatic patients.⁴¹ Numerous studies have shown that similar investments in malaria control measures have a large differential impact on the incidence of *P. falciparum* relative to *P. vivax*.⁴² In some instances, hotspots of *P. vivax* transmission may persist for years with a significant risk of resurgence.^{43–45} The primary reason for this is the inability to deliver safe, effective, and acceptable radical cure of the hypnozoite reservoir due to the risk of PQ-induced hemolysis in glucose 6-phosphate dehydrogenase (G6PD)-deficient patients along with the necessity of prolonged dosing (2 weeks) and attendant barriers to adherence.

Finally, although generally regarded as not posing important barriers to elimination, the highly complex and currently obscure biology and epidemiology of both species *P. ovale* and *P. malariae*, especially concerning quiescent parasite stages, should not be ignored.^{26,46}

SPECIES-SPECIFIC INTERACTIONS WITH ANOPHELINE VECTORS

A wider range of vectors is capable of transmitting *P. vivax* relative to *P. falciparum*,⁴⁷ with two important consequences. First, transmission can persist in areas with multiple potential vectors after control of the primary vector species. Second, persistent foci may be highly resilient in spite of changing ecological conditions, because of both changes in vector composition (e.g., Kenya⁴⁸ and Amazonia⁴⁹) and in biting behavior (e.g., Solomon Islands⁴²).

Many of the vector complexes outside of sub-Saharan Africa are less impacted by insecticide-treated nets because of exophagy and exophily,⁵⁰ and the evidence base for many key entomological interventions outside of sub-Saharan Africa (especially in *P. vivax*-endemic regions) is remarkably sparse.^{51–53} In addition, proposed interventions for areas with exophilic vectors have had limited or no impact at scale, including treated hammocks⁵⁴ and topical repellants.⁵⁵

Vector populations in sub-Saharan Africa hide unexpected complexity,⁵⁶ and many cryptic or presumed secondary vectors may in fact be major contributors to transmission.^{57,58} The presence of urban vectors (including *Anopheles stephensi* on the Indian subcontinent and the Horn of Africa⁵⁹), and adaptation of *Anopheles* spp. larvae to polluted water both represent another potentially important barrier to elimination. Regular large-scale field surveys and concurrent laboratory studies will be required to ensure that these adaptive malaria vectors do not become both globally dispersed or resistant to interventions as *Aedes* spp. have become in many dengue-endemic areas.^{60,61}

The efforts required to identify cryptic vector populations in residual transmission is highlighted by work in Borneo during

the 1940s.⁶² While field work captured ample female *Anopheles*, the sporozoite rates of all suspected vectors were too low to support the observed malaria prevalence, and 2 years of dedicated study was required to identify miniscule jungle breeding sites for *Anopheles leucosphyrus*.⁶² Rationally targeting residual transmission may require similar levels of intensive entomology to define individual disease ecotypes.⁶³ For subsequent targeting of these niches, housing improvements and the generally neglected, historical interventions focused around environmental modifications need be closely reexamined.^{64–66}

REDUCING TRANSMISSION BY TARGETING THE PARASITE RESERVOIRS

The proportion of all parasites in the human reservoir that are subpatent is far greater in *P. vivax* than in *P. falciparum*, because of inherently lower parasitemias, the relative insensitivity of both microscopy and rapid diagnostic tests, and the presence of undetectable parasites in the liver, bone marrow, and spleen.⁶⁷ Recent studies suggest that parasite densities are approximately 5-fold lower in *P. vivax* infections than in *P. falciparum* infections,⁶⁸ although the exact magnitude varies with methodology.^{69,70} This problem is compounded by the species' transmissibility to mosquito vectors at very low levels of parasitemia, greatly increasing the size of the infectious reservoir relative to *P. falciparum*.⁷¹

Greater genetic diversity of global parasite populations highlights the need for interventions to be tailored to specific epidemiological settings, particularly with respect to relapse patterns and incubation periods.^{72,73} Even in very low-transmission settings, *P. vivax* genetic diversity remains significantly higher than that of *P. falciparum*, a likely reflection of the underlying contribution of hypnozoites,^{74,75} and such intrinsic genetic diversity provide the parasite with an increased ability to respond to challenges to its survival.⁷⁶

HOST SUSCEPTIBILITY

The impact of host genetics on risk of malaria infection is poorly understood, although there are important differences in host susceptibility to infection.⁷⁷ The genetic diversity of host populations across *P. vivax*-endemic areas is extensive (including Central and South America, northern and eastern Africa, southern and southeastern Asia, and much of Oceania). Evidence suggests that *P. vivax* may be rapidly evolving alternate invasion pathways.⁷⁸ Parasite populations have been identified capable of "invading" Duffy-negative human populations along with increasing virulence^{79,80} and genomic studies suggests that these non-Duffy antigen invasion pathways appeared very recently. Identification of novel invasion pathways in Duffy-negative individuals suggest the potential for expansion of populations to be at risk from *P. vivax* to include much of sub-Saharan Africa.⁸¹

THERAPEUTIC REGIMENS

Chloroquine remains the first-line treatment of *P. vivax* in most endemic areas because of its widespread availability, sustained efficacy, low cost, and excellent safety and

tolerability profile. However, resistant strains have been identified across the vivax-endemic world, especially in Southeast Asia.⁸² Given widespread resistance to chloroquine and difficulties of malaria species identification, several countries have changed national policy to ACTs for all malaria infections (including Indonesia, Malaysia, Cambodia, Papua New Guinea, the Solomon Islands, and Vanuatu).^{83,84} Although both *P. ovale* and *P. malariae* are assumed to be sensitive to chloroquine, data on drug susceptibility of these species are lacking.⁸⁵ The dearth of research into these issues is highlighted by the total number of registered trials currently listed as “recruiting” or “completed” by species: “radical cure” for vivax (15 trials) or ovale (one); “treatment” for malariae (four), and “treatment” for knowlesi (four trials).⁸⁶

Primaquine, an 8-aminoquinoline, is the only currently available hypnozoiticide but causes severe drug-induced hemolysis in G6PD-deficient patients. To improve safety and tolerability, PQ is usually administered as a 14-day regimen, but this is associated with poor adherence to a prolonged course of treatment with consequent poor effectiveness.^{87–89} Further complexities also exist in the use of PQ. There are wide variations in prevalence and severity of G6PDd, which typically range from 1% to 30% (mean 8%) in malaria-endemic regions.⁹⁰ Glucose 6-phosphate dehydrogenase deficiency in males are usually associated with an enzyme activity of less than 30% of normal levels, with some variants being 10% or lower. These “severe” (low activity) variants are highly susceptible to PQ-associated hemolytic toxicity, which may require immediate clinical management, including blood transfusion. Primaquine is also contraindicated in pregnant women as the G6PD status of the fetus is unknown, and in infants less than 6 months of age. However, these exact groups are the most vulnerable to the serious adverse health effects of recurrent vivax malaria.

The WHO recommends that all *P. vivax* patients be tested for G6PD deficiency before treatment with PQ; however, testing is not standard-of-care in most settings. Although rapid tests for G6PD deficiency have been developed, expansion into routine use will require considerable effort and finances. Furthermore, most qualitative G6PD assays usually return a normal result for enzyme activity greater than 30% normal, missing heterozygous females, who may have mixtures of G6PD normal and deficient red blood cells and are therefore at risk of hemolysis.

Hypnozoite carriage is asymptomatic and there are currently no diagnostics able to detect their presence—hence the only reliable way to attempt to clear out the hypnozoite reservoir in populations is through presumptive treatment

to those at risk and/or mass drug administration (MDA). Mass drug administration using PQ for radical cure has been implemented at a massive scale, giving doses to millions of people in the former Soviet Republics, People’s Republic of China, and the Democratic Republic of Korea (North Korea); but differences exist in reporting and G6PDd prevalence.⁹¹ More recently, an MDA campaign including PQ radical cure in Greece achieved good coverage (76%) in ≈1,200 people, but required enormous resources, and one patient required hospitalization for PQ-induced hemolysis after a false-normal *laboratory-based* G6PD test.⁹² Although high compliance can be achieved, it is a major and expensive undertaking—the Greek endeavor required multiple teams making regular household visits for all enrolled persons.⁹²

The efficacy of PQ varies by parasite populations, which may require different dosing to prevent relapses,⁹³ and the relative risk-benefit of PQ radical cure may vary in different epidemiological settings.⁹⁴ The biological activity of PQ against hypnozoites may require the formation of reactive intermediates generated by the cytochrome P-450 isozyme 2D6 (CYP2D6). Recent clinical trials identified a spectrum of clinical responses to PQ based on the host’s CYP2D6 isoform ranging from null- to ultra-metabolizers.⁹⁵ These polymorphisms are widely variable among ethnic groups, but there are currently no population-level data to assess the potential impact on PQ efficacy. One study in Indonesia found that 20 of 21 PQ treatment failures among 171 patients were indeed associated with impaired CYP2D6 genotypes and phenotypes (J. K. Baird, personal communication). Interactions between parasite and host genetics may also play a role.

In summary, PQ is the only hypnozoitocidal drug now available; it has the potential for severe and fatal adverse events, major issues in patient adherence, and is contraindicated in the most vulnerable populations in need of radical cure. Hence, a safe and effective therapy for the radical cure of vivax malaria is needed. A drug currently in Phase III trials, tafenoquine, may simplify adherence, but this will require routine testing for G6PD deficiency.

CONCLUSION

The diversity and complexity of the human malarias are great, presenting huge challenges to the ambitious goal of eradicating malaria by 2040. The tools currently available have been focused on *P. falciparum*, focused on reducing the high burdens of this parasite and its associated morbidity and mortality. However, in both a practical and technical sense,

TABLE 1

A proactive agenda to promote elimination of all species of human malaria

1. Surveillance of *Plasmodium vivax* in new populations and expansion of the *P. vivax* elimination agenda to include sub-Saharan Africa
2. Geospatial mapping of glucose 6-phosphate dehydrogenase deficiencies and CYP2D6 to gauge populations at risk of primaquine-induced hemolysis and poor efficacy
3. Optimizing drug treatment of *P. vivax*, *Plasmodium ovale*, *Plasmodium malariae*, and *Plasmodium knowlesi*
4. Ensure effective radical cure of malaria through improved adherence or short-duration treatment regimens
5. Reestablish field entomology as a core component of malariology
6. Incorporation of ecology (including environmental control methods) into malaria planning, where appropriate
7. Increase sampling of parasite reservoirs in areas with potential zoonotic transmission (human and nonhuman primate) and phylogeny of parasite populations to assess “spillover”
8. Identification and optimization of strategies to target zoonotic malaria infections
9. Greater emphasis on the basic biology of *P. knowlesi*, *P. ovale*, and *P. malariae*

none of these tools are ideally suited to either the distinct task of bringing low-level transmission to zero or dealing with all of the *Plasmodium* parasites and their anopheline vectors. Because all malaria species in humans contribute severe morbidity and mortality, a roadmap attacking the easiest target species first and then moving on to the next needs to be reexamined. A strategy that addresses the combination of *Plasmodium* species where they exist will decrease the time, resources, and energy required to achieve global malaria eradication.

A focus on all species of *Plasmodium* should not come at the expense of progress in reducing global mortality from falciparum malaria; however, the goal of malaria eradication does not equate to simply minimizing disease burden. As all species can cause morbidity and mortality,⁸ malaria eradication must by definition encompass them all. However, if the ultimate goal is indeed limited to eliminating the most harmful species, then the global community must reformulate and express this goal in more specific terms. A more comprehensive research agenda is required to ensure continued progress (Table 1). The current eradication environment is built on optimism and a belief that the ecological or epidemiological subtleties will not impede our progress. We are therefore at an opportune moment where we can embrace these barriers and integrate their solutions into the new global eradication program.

Received November 16, 2017. Accepted for publication March 14, 2018.

Published online May 14, 2018.

Acknowledgments: A. A. L. and R. G. are employees of the Malaria Elimination Initiative, which has a goal of global malaria elimination in support of eradication goals. R. N. P. is a Wellcome Trust Senior Fellow in Clinical Science (200909). J. K. B. is supported by Wellcome Trust grant 106680/Z/14/Z.

Disclosure: All authors receive funding for malaria control and elimination, including grants from the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation (A. A. L., J. K. B., R. G., and R. N. P.) and the Wellcome Trust (J. K. B. and R. N. P.); all authors declare no other conflicts of interest.

Financial support: Publication support was provided by the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation (OPP1160129, to The Malaria Elimination Institute). The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Authors' addresses: Andrew A. Lover and Roly Gosling, Malaria Elimination Initiative, University of California, San Francisco, San Francisco, CA, E-mails: andrew.a.lover@gmail.com and roly.gosling@ucsf.edu. J. Kevin Baird, Eijkman-Oxford Clinical Research Unit, Oxford University, Jakarta, Indonesia, E-mail: jkevinbaird@yahoo.com. Ric N. Price, Nuffield Department of Medicine, Centre for Tropical Medicine and Global Health, University of Oxford, Oxford, United Kingdom, E-mail: ric.price@menzies.edu.au.

This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

REFERENCES

1. Gates B, Chambers R, 2015. *From Aspiration to Action*. Available at: <http://endmalaria2040.org/assets/Aspiration-to-Action.pdf>. Accessed November 19, 2015.
2. World Health Organization, 2015. *Control and Elimination of Plasmodium vivax Malaria: A Technical Brief*. Geneva, Switzerland: WHO. Available at: <http://www.who.int/iris/handle/10665/181162>. Accessed September 8, 2016.
3. World Health Organization, 2017. *World Malaria Report 2017*. Geneva, Switzerland: Global Malaria Program, WHO.
4. Pampana EJ, 1958. *Unexpected Cost Increases of Malaria Eradication Programmes*. Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization. Available at: <http://www.who.int/iris/handle/10665/64589>. Accessed August 25, 2017.
5. Howes RE et al., 2015. *Plasmodium vivax* transmission in Africa. *PLoS Negl Trop Dis* 9: e0004222.
6. Mueller I, Galinski MR, Baird JK, Carlton JM, Kochar DK, Alonso PL, del Portillo HA, 2009. Key gaps in the knowledge of *Plasmodium vivax*, a neglected human malaria parasite. *Lancet Infect Dis* 9: 555–566.
7. Barber BE, William T, Grigg MJ, Menon J, Auburn S, Marfurt J, Anstey NM, Yeo TW, 2013. A prospective comparative study of knowlesi, falciparum, and vivax malaria in Sabah, Malaysia: high proportion with severe disease from *Plasmodium knowlesi* and *Plasmodium vivax* but no mortality with early referral and artesunate therapy. *Clin Infect Dis* 56: 383–397.
8. Hwang J, Cullen K, Kachur SP, Arguin PM, Baird JK, 2014. Severe morbidity and mortality risk from malaria in the United States, 1985–2011. *Open Forum Infect Dis* 1: ofu034.
9. Dowdle WR, Hopkins D, 1998. *The Eradication of Infectious Diseases*, Vol. 24. Chichester, United Kingdom: John Wiley & Sons.
10. Tanner M et al., 2015. Malaria eradication and elimination: views on how to translate a vision into reality. *BMC Med* 13: 167.
11. Roucher C, Rogier C, Sokhna C, Tall A, Trape J-F, 2014. A 20-year longitudinal study of *Plasmodium ovale* and *Plasmodium malariae* prevalence and morbidity in a West African population. *PLoS One* 9: e87169.
12. Howes RE, Battle KE, Mendis KN, Smith DL, Cibulskis RE, Baird JK, Hay SI, 2016. Global epidemiology of *Plasmodium vivax*. *Am J Trop Med Hyg* 95 (6 Suppl): 15–34.
13. Bruce-Chwatt LJ, 1965. Malaria research for malaria eradication. *Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg* 59: 105–144.
14. Arita I, Jezek Z, Khodakevich L, Ruti K, 1985. Human monkeypox: a newly emerged orthopoxvirus zoonosis in the tropical rain forests of Africa. *Am J Trop Med Hyg* 34: 781–789.
15. Eberhard ML et al., 2014. The peculiar epidemiology of dracunculiasis in Chad. *Am J Trop Med Hyg* 90: 61–70.
16. World Health Organization, 2015. *World Malaria Report 2015*. Geneva, Switzerland: WHO. Available at: <http://www.who.int/malaria/publications/world-malaria-report-2015/report/en/>. Accessed December 9, 2015.
17. Sattabongkot J, Tsuboi T, Zollner GE, Sirichaisinthop J, Cui L, 2004. *Plasmodium vivax* transmission: chances for control? *Trends Parasitol* 20: 192–198.
18. Price RN, Tjitra E, Guerra CA, Yeung S, White NJ, Anstey NM, 2007. Vivax malaria: neglected and not benign. *Am J Trop Med Hyg* 77 (6 Suppl): 79–87.
19. Anstey NM, Douglas NM, Poespoprodjo JR, Price RN, 2012. *Plasmodium vivax*: clinical spectrum, risk factors and pathogenesis. Hay SI, Price RN, Baird JK, eds. *Advances in Parasitology*, Vol. 80. Oxford, United Kingdom: Academic Press, 151–201. Available at: <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/B9780123979001000037>. Accessed September 28, 2014.
20. Price RN, Douglas NM, Anstey NM, 2009. New developments in *Plasmodium vivax* malaria: severe disease and the rise of chloroquine resistance. *Curr Opin Infect Dis* 22: 430–435.
21. Camargo-Ayala PA, Cubides JR, Niño CH, Camargo M, Rodríguez-Celis CA, Quiñones T, Sánchez-Suárez L, Patarroyo ME, Patarroyo MA, 2016. High *Plasmodium malariae* prevalence in an endemic area of the Colombian Amazon region. *PLoS One* 11: e0159968.
22. Douglas NM, Lampah DA, Kenangalem E, Simpson JA, Poespoprodjo JR, Sugiarto P, Anstey NM, Price RN, 2013. Major burden of severe anemia from non-falciparum malaria species in southern Papua: a hospital-based surveillance study. *PLoS Med* 10: e1001575.
23. Langford S, Douglas NM, Lampah DA, Simpson JA, Kenangalem E, Sugiarto P, Anstey NM, Poespoprodjo JR, Price RN, 2015. *Plasmodium malariae* infection associated with a high burden of anemia: a hospital-based surveillance study. *PLoS Negl Trop Dis* 9: e0004195.
24. Leoni S, Buonfrate D, Angheben A, Gobbi F, Bisoffi Z, 2015. The hyper-reactive malarial splenomegaly: a systematic review of the literature. *Malar J* 14: 185.

25. Collins WE, Jeffery GM, 2007. *Plasmodium malariae*: parasite and disease. *Clin Microbiol Rev* 20: 579–592.
26. Sutherland CJ, 2016. Persistent parasitism: the adaptive biology of malariae and ovale malaria. *Trends Parasitol* 32: 808–819.
27. Cox-Singh J et al., 2010. Severe malaria—a case of fatal *Plasmodium knowlesi* infection with post-mortem findings: a case report. *Malar J* 9: 10.
28. Singh B, Kim Sung L, Matusop A, Radhakrishnan A, Shamsul SSG, Cox-Singh J, Thomas A, Conway DJ, 2004. A large focus of naturally acquired *Plasmodium knowlesi* infections in human beings. *Lancet* 363: 1017–1024.
29. Prugnolle F et al., 2013. Diversity, host switching and evolution of *Plasmodium vivax* infecting African great apes. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 110: 8123–8128.
30. Costa DC, da Cunha VP, de Assis GMP, de Souza Junior JC, Hirano ZMB, de Arruda ME, Kano FS, Carvalho LH, de Brito CFA, 2014. *Plasmodium simium/Plasmodium vivax* infections in southern brown howler monkeys from the Atlantic Forest. *Mem Inst Oswaldo Cruz* 109: 641–653.
31. Brasil P et al., 2017. Outbreak of human malaria caused by *Plasmodium simium* in the Atlantic Forest in Rio de Janeiro: a molecular epidemiological investigation. *Lancet Glob Health* 5: e1038–e1046.
32. Lim CS, Tazi L, Ayala FJ, 2005. *Plasmodium vivax*: recent world expansion and genetic identity to *Plasmodium simium*. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 102: 15523–15528.
33. Lalremruata A, Magris M, Vivas-Martinez S, Koehler M, Esen M, Kempaiah P, Jeyaraj S, Perkins DJ, Mordmüller B, Metzger WG, 2015. Natural infection of *Plasmodium brasilianum* in humans: man and monkey share quartan malaria parasites in the Venezuelan Amazon. *EBioMedicine* 2: 1186–1192.
34. Kaiser M et al., 2010. Wild chimpanzees infected with 5 *Plasmodium* species. *Emerg Infect Dis* 16: 1956–1959.
35. Bruce-Chwatt LJ, 1968. Malaria zoonosis in relation to malaria eradication. *Trop Geogr Med* 20: 50–87.
36. Contacos PG, 1970. Primate malaria: man and monkeys. *J Wildl Dis* 6: 323–328.
37. World Health Organization, Global Malaria Programme, 2007. *Malaria Elimination: A Field Manual for Low and Moderate Endemic Countries*. Geneva, Switzerland: WHO. Available at: <http://apps.who.int/iris/handle/10665/43796>. Accessed November 13, 2014.
38. Steketee RW, ter Kuile F, 2013. Single low-dose primaquine to reduce malaria transmission. *Lancet Infect Dis* 14: 91–92.
39. White MT, Karl S, Battle KE, Hay SI, Mueller I, Ghani AC, 2014. Modelling the contribution of the hypnozoite reservoir to *Plasmodium vivax* transmission. *eLife* 3: e04692.
40. Robinson LJ et al., 2015. Strategies for understanding and reducing the *Plasmodium vivax* and *Plasmodium ovale* hypnozoite reservoir in Papua New Guinean children: a randomised placebo-controlled trial and mathematical model. *PLoS Med* 12: e1001891.
41. Douglas NM, John GK, von Seidlein L, Anstey NM, Price RN, 2012. Chemotherapeutic strategies for reducing transmission of *Plasmodium vivax* malaria. *Adv Parasitol* 80: 271–300.
42. Waltmann A et al., 2015. High rates of asymptomatic, sub-microscopic *Plasmodium vivax* infection and disappearing *Plasmodium falciparum* malaria in an area of low transmission in Solomon Islands. *PLoS Negl Trop Dis* 9: e0003758.
43. Kaneko A et al., 2014. Characteristic age distribution of *Plasmodium vivax* infections after malaria elimination on Aneityum Island, Vanuatu. *Infect Immun* 82: 243–252.
44. Danis K, Baka A, Lenglet A, Van Bortel W, Terzaki I, Tseroni M, Detsis M, Papanikolaou E, Balaska A, Gewehr S, 2011. Autochthonous *Plasmodium vivax* malaria in Greece, 2011. *Euro Surveill* 16: 20.
45. Iwagami M, Hwang S-Y, Kim S-H, Park S-J, Lee G-Y, Matsumoto-Takahashi ELA, Kho W-G, Kano S, 2013. Microsatellite DNA analysis revealed a drastic genetic change of *Plasmodium vivax* population in the Republic of Korea during 2002 and 2003. *PLoS Negl Trop Dis* 7: e2522.
46. Markus MB, 2017. Malaria eradication and the hidden parasite reservoir. *Trends Parasitol* 33: 492–495.
47. Daskova NG, Rasnitsyn SP, 1982. Review of data on susceptibility of mosquitos in the USSR to imported strains of malaria parasites. *Bull World Health Organ* 60: 893–897.
48. Bayoh MN, Mathias DK, Odiere MR, Mutuku FM, Kamau L, Gimnig JE, Vulule JM, Hawley WA, Hamel MJ, Walker ED, 2010. *Anopheles gambiae*: historical population decline associated with regional distribution of insecticide-treated bed nets in western Nyanza Province, Kenya. *Malar J* 9: 62.
49. da Silva-Nunes M, Moreno M, Conn JE, Gamboa D, Abeles S, Vinetz JM, Ferreira MU, 2012. Amazonian malaria: asymptomatic human reservoirs, diagnostic challenges, environmentally driven changes in mosquito vector populations, and the mandate for sustainable control strategies. *Acta Trop* 121: 281–291.
50. Smithuis et al., 2013. Entomological determinants of insecticide-treated bed net effectiveness in western Myanmar. *Malar J* 12: 364.
51. Dolan G, Ter Kuile FO, Jacoutot V, White NJ, Luxemburger C, Malankiri L, Chongsuphajaisiddhi T, Nosten F, 1993. Bed nets for the prevention of malaria and anaemia in pregnancy. *Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg* 87: 620–626.
52. Lengeler C, 2004. Insecticide-treated bed nets and curtains for preventing malaria. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2: CD000363.
53. Smithuis FM et al., 2013. The effect of insecticide-treated bed nets on the incidence and prevalence of malaria in children in an area of unstable seasonal transmission in western Myanmar. *Malar J* 12: 1.
54. Grietens KP, Nguyen Xuan X, Muela Ribera J, Ngo Duc T, van Bortel W, Truong Ba N, Van KP, Le Xuan H, D'Alessandro U, Erhart A, 2012. Social determinants of long lasting insecticidal hammock-use among the Ra-glai ethnic minority in Vietnam: implications for forest malaria control. *PLoS One* 7: e29991.
55. Chen-Hussey V, Carneiro I, Keomanila H, Gray R, Bannavong S, Phanalasy S, Lindsay SW, 2013. Can topical insect repellents reduce malaria? A cluster-randomised controlled trial of the insect repellent *n,n*-diethyl-*m*-toluamide (DEET) in Lao PDR. *PLoS One* 8: e70664.
56. Lobo NF et al., 2016. Unexpected diversity of *Anopheles* species in eastern Zambia: implications for evaluating vector behavior and interventions using molecular tools. *Sci Rep* 5: 17952.
57. Stevenson J, St. Laurent B, Lobo NF, Cooke MK, Kahindi SC, Oriango RM, Harbach RE, Cox J, Drakeley C, 2012. Novel vectors of malaria parasite in the Western Highlands of Kenya. *Emerg Infect Dis* 18: 1547–1549.
58. Stevenson JC, Norris DE, 2016. Implicating cryptic and novel Anophelines as malaria vectors in Africa. *Insects* 8: 1.
59. Faulde MK, Rueda LM, Khairah BA, 2014. First record of the Asian malaria vector *Anopheles stephensi* and its possible role in the resurgence of malaria in Djibouti, Horn of Africa. *Acta Trop* 139: 39–43.
60. Gunathilaka N, Fernando T, Hapugoda M, Wickremasinghe R, Wijeyerathne P, Abeyewickreme W, 2013. *Anopheles culicifacies* breeding in polluted water bodies in Trincomalee district of Sri Lanka. *Malar J* 12: 1.
61. Ramasamy R, Surendran SN, 2016. Mosquito vectors developing in atypical anthropogenic habitats: global overview of recent observations, mechanisms and impact on disease transmission. *J Vector Borne Dis* 53: 91.
62. McArthur J, 1947. The transmission of malaria in Borneo. *Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg* 40: 537–558.
63. Schapira A, Boutsika K, 2012. Malaria ecotypes and stratification. *Advances in Parasitology*, Rollinson D, Hay SI, eds. Vol. 78. London: Academic Press, 97–167. Available at: <http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/B9780123943033000013>. Accessed September 12, 2012.
64. Tusting LS, Ippolito MM, Willey BA, Kleinschmidt I, Dorsey G, Gosling RD, Lindsay SW, 2015. The evidence for improving housing to reduce malaria: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Malar J* 14: 209.
65. Boyd MF, 1949. *Malaria: A Comprehensive Survey of All Aspects of This Group of Diseases from a Global Standpoint*, 1st edition. Philadelphia and London: Saunders.
66. Keiser J, Singer BH, Utzinger J, 2005. Reducing the burden of malaria in different eco-epidemiological settings with environmental management: a systematic review. *Lancet Infect Dis* 5: 695–708.
67. Chen I, Clarke SE, Gosling R, Hamainza B, Killeen G, Magill A, O'Meara W, Price RN, Riley EM, 2016. "Asymptomatic" malaria: a chronic and debilitating infection that should be treated. *PLoS Med* 13: e1001942.

68. Koepfli C et al., 2015. Blood-stage parasitaemia and age determine *Plasmodium falciparum* and *P. vivax* gametocytaemia in Papua New Guinea. *PLoS One* 10: e0126747.
69. Moreira CM, Abo-Shehadeh M, Price RN, Drakeley CJ, 2015. A systematic review of sub-microscopic *Plasmodium vivax* infection. *Malar J* 14: 360.
70. Imwong M et al., 2016. Numerical distributions of parasite densities during asymptomatic malaria. *J Infect Dis* 213: 1322–1329.
71. Gamage-Mendis AC, Rajakaruna J, Carter R, Mendis KN, 1991. Infectious reservoir of *Plasmodium vivax* and *Plasmodium falciparum* malaria in an endemic region of Sri Lanka. *Am J Trop Med Hyg* 45: 479–487.
72. Lover AA, Coker RJ, 2013. Quantifying effect of geographic location on epidemiology of *Plasmodium vivax* malaria. *Emerg Infect Dis* 19: 1058–1065.
73. Battle KE et al., 2014. Geographical variation in *Plasmodium vivax* relapse. *Malar J* 13: 144.
74. Gunawardena S, Ferreira MU, Kapilananda GMG, Wirth DF, Karunaweera ND, 2014. The Sri Lankan paradox: high genetic diversity in *Plasmodium vivax* populations despite decreasing levels of malaria transmission. *Parasitology* 141: 880–890.
75. Noviyanti R et al., 2015. Contrasting transmission dynamics of co-endemic *Plasmodium vivax* and *P. falciparum*: implications for malaria control and elimination. *PLoS Negl Trop Dis* 9: e0003739.
76. Neafsey DE, Galinsky K, Jiang RHY, Young L, Sykes SM, Saif S, Gujja S, Goldberg JM, Young S, Zeng Q, 2012. The malaria parasite *Plasmodium vivax* exhibits greater genetic diversity than *Plasmodium falciparum*. *Nat Genet* 44: 1046–1050.
77. Rosanas-Urgell A et al., 2012. Reduced risk of *Plasmodium vivax* malaria in Papua New Guinean children with southeast Asian ovalocytosis in two cohorts and a case-control study. *PLoS Med* 9: e1001305.
78. Pearson RD et al., 2016. Genomic analysis of local variation and recent evolution in *Plasmodium vivax*. *Nat Genet* 48: 959–964.
79. Ménard D et al., 2010. *Plasmodium vivax* clinical malaria is commonly observed in Duffy-negative Malagasy people. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 107: 5967–5971.
80. Luo Z, Sullivan SA, Carlton JM, 2015. The biology of *Plasmodium vivax* explored through genomics. *Ann N Y Acad Sci* 1342: 53–61.
81. Ntumngia FB, Thomson-Luque R, Torres L de M, Gunalan K, Carvalho LH, Adams JH, 2016. A novel erythrocyte binding protein of *Plasmodium vivax* suggests an alternate invasion pathway into Duffy-positive reticulocytes. *MBio* 7: e01261–16.
82. Price RN, von Seidlein L, Valecha N, Nosten F, Baird JK, White NJ, 2014. Global extent of chloroquine-resistant *Plasmodium vivax*: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Lancet Infect Dis* 14: 982–991.
83. Douglas NM, Anstey NM, Angus BJ, Nosten F, Price RN, 2010. Artemisinin combination therapy for vivax malaria. *Lancet Infect Dis* 10: 405–416.
84. William T, Menon J, Rajahram G, Chan L, Ma G, Donaldson S, Khoo S, Fredrick C, Jelip J, Anstey NM, 2011. Severe *Plasmodium knowlesi* malaria in a tertiary care hospital, Sabah, Malaysia. *Emerg Infect Dis* 17: 1248.
85. Mueller I, Zimmerman PA, Reeder JC, 2007. *Plasmodium malariae* and *Plasmodium ovale*—the “bashful” malaria parasites. *Trends Parasitol* 23: 278–283.
86. Home Page—ClinicalTrials.gov. Available at: <https://clinicaltrials.gov/>. Accessed August 25, 2017.
87. Takeuchi R et al., 2010. Directly-observed therapy (DOT) for the radical 14-day primaquine treatment of *Plasmodium vivax* malaria on the Thai-Myanmar border. *Malar J* 9: 308.
88. John GK, Douglas NM, von Seidlein L, Nosten F, Baird JK, White NJ, Price RN, 2012. Primaquine radical cure of *Plasmodium vivax*: a critical review of the literature. *Malar J* 11: 280.
89. Douglas NM, Poespoprodjo JR, Patriani D, Malloy MJ, Kenangalem E, Sugiarto P, Simpson JA, Soenarto Y, Anstey NM, Price RN, 2017. Unsupervised primaquine for the treatment of *Plasmodium vivax* malaria relapses in southern Papua: a hospital-based cohort study. *PLoS Med* 14: e1002379.
90. Howes RE et al., 2013. Spatial distribution of G6PD deficiency variants across malaria-endemic regions. *Malar J* 12: 418.
91. Newby G et al., 2015. Review of mass drug administration for malaria and its operational challenges. *Am J Trop Med Hyg* 93: 125–134.
92. Tseroni M et al., 2015. Prevention of malaria resurgence in Greece through the association of mass drug administration (MDA) to immigrants from malaria-endemic regions and standard control measures. *PLoS Negl Trop Dis* 9: e0004215.
93. Krotoski WA, 1980. Frequency of relapse and primaquine resistance in southeast Asian vivax malaria. *N Engl J Med* 303: 587.
94. John CC, 2016. Primaquine plus artemisinin combination therapy for reduction of malaria transmission: promise and risk. *BMC Med* 14: 65.
95. Bennett JW, Pybus BS, Yadava A, Tosh D, Sousa JC, McCarthy WF, Deye G, Melendez V, Ockenhouse CF, 2013. Primaquine failure and cytochrome p-450 2D6 in *Plasmodium vivax* malaria. *N Engl J Med* 369: 1381–1382.