

**Neurocognitive processes in d-cycloserine augmented single-session exposure therapy
for anxiety: a randomized placebo-controlled trial**

Andrea Reinecke^a, PhD, Alecia Nickless^{b,c}, PhD, Michael Browning^{a,d}, MD, DPhil,
& Catherine J. Harmer^{a,d}, DPhil

^aDepartment of Psychiatry, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK

^bNuffield Department of Primary Care Health Sciences, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK

^cSchool of Chemistry, University of Bristol, Bristol, UK

^dOxford Health NHS Foundation Trust, Warneford Hospital, Oxford

Corresponding author: Andrea Reinecke, University of Oxford, Department of Psychiatry,
Warneford Hospital, Oxford OX37JX, UK.

Abstract

Drugs targeting N-methyl-D-aspartate (NMDA) receptors and the ability to learn new associations have been proposed as adjunct treatments to boost the success of exposure therapy for anxiety disorders. However, the effects of the NMDA partial agonist d-cycloserine on psychological treatment have been mixed. We investigated potential neurocognitive mechanisms underlying the clinical effects of d-cycloserine-augmented exposure, to inform the optimal combination of this and similar agents with psychological treatment. Panic disorder patients were randomised to single-dose d-cycloserine (250mg; N=17) or matching placebo (N=16) 2hrs before one session of exposure therapy. Neurocognitive markers were assessed one day after treatment, including reaction-time based threat bias for fearful faces (primary outcome) and amygdala response to threat (secondary outcome). Clinical symptom severity was measured the day before and after treatment, and at 1- and 6-months follow-up (secondary outcome). D-cycloserine was associated with greater clinical recovery at 1-month follow-up than placebo (d-cycloserine 71 % vs placebo 25%), with the placebo group matching the clinical gains of the d-cycloserine group during 6-months follow-up (d-cycloserine 71% vs placebo 44%). One day after treatment, threat bias for fearful faces and amygdala threat response was lower in the drug compared to placebo group. Lower amygdala magnitude predicted greater clinical improvement during follow-up across groups. While this experimental study is of a preliminary nature due to the limited sample size, these findings highlight a neurocognitive potential mechanism by which d-cycloserine may exert its augmentative effects on psychological treatment and bring forward a marker that may help understand and facilitate development of combination treatments for anxiety. (D-cycloserine Augmented CBT for Panic Disorder; [clinicaltrials.gov; NCT01680107](https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/study/NCT01680107))

Keywords: amygdala, anxiety, cognitive-behaviour therapy, exposure, d-cycloserine, mechanisms of action, MRI

Introduction

There has been increasing interest in the combination of exposure-based cognitive-behaviour therapy (CBT) for anxiety disorders with drugs targeting synaptic plasticity, to improve clinical outcome. The N-methyl-D-aspartate (NMDA) receptor partial agonist d-cycloserine has been shown to significantly facilitate clinical response to exposure under some conditions in large clinical trials and meta-analyses (Hofmann, Smits, Rosenfield, Simo, Otto, Meuret, Marques, Fang, Tart, & Pollack, 2013; Mataix-Cols et al., 2017; McGuire, Wu, Piacentini, McCracken, & Storch, 2017; Otto, Pollack, Dowd, Hofmann, Pearlson, Szuhany, Krystal, Simon, & Tolin, 2016), but the optimal methods for combination remain to be further identified and could be informed by characterisation of neurocognitive processes involved in the clinical effects of intervention.

We have recently developed a single-dose CBT test paradigm that allows the assessment of early effects of treatment on such neurocognitive markers and their contribution to clinical improvement (Reinecke, Waldenmaier, Cooper, & Harmer, 2013). Administering a single session of exposure therapy for panic disorder led to no clinical changes on the day after treatment, but was associated with a reduced threat bias for fearful faces. At 1-month follow-up and without additional interim treatment, clinical improvement was apparent, with 1/3 of treated patients fulfilling criteria for recovery. Importantly, clinical improvement was driven by the magnitude of threat bias measured on the day after treatment, with lower bias predicting improved clinical outcome. These findings suggest that a reduction of threat bias may be a key mechanism of action in exposure therapy, and they bring forward a single-dose CBT methodology that may help ascertain the mechanisms of action underlying pharmacological-psychological combination treatments. Such a focus on the mechanisms underpinning recovery with pharmacological exposure therapy can inform optimal combination of different treatment components, and it can provide an experimental medicine model for identification of novel agents.

This study aimed to characterise the effects of d-cycloserine with single-session CBT on neurocognitive markers important in panic disorder, including threat bias for fearful faces previously associated with the clinical effects of single-session CBT (Reinecke et al., 2013) and amygdala threat response previously shown to normalise after four sessions of exposure therapy in panic disorder (Reinecke, Thilo, Croft, & Harmer, in press). We hypothesised that i) the d-cycloserine group compared to placebo would show lower threat bias (primary outcome) and amygdala threat response on the day after single-session CBT, and ii) that the magnitude of these markers would predict clinical symptom changes during 1-month follow-up (secondary outcomes).

Methods and Materials

Participants

Thirty-three patients with a current panic disorder diagnosis and at least moderate agoraphobic avoidance were recruited from the community. Diagnosis was assessed using the Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-IV Axis I Disorders; avoidance was measured using the Structured Panic Assessment Interview ("yes" response to >2 situations listed under "(2) Avoidance") (Clark, 1989; First, Spitzer, Gibbon, & Williams, 1996). Exclusion criteria were insufficient English skills, current or past psychotic or bipolar disorder, substance abuse/dependence or epilepsy, current primary depressive disorder, CNS-active medication during the last 6 weeks, current medication with d-cycloserine, ethionamide or isoniazid, exposure-based CBT for panic during the last 3 months, pregnancy or lactation, and severe renal insufficiency or other serious medical conditions that may put the participant at risk. Occasional benzodiazepine or beta-blocker medication was not an exclusion criterion but patients refrained from these drugs 48 hours before treatment and testing sessions. Participants with MRI contraindications (e.g. metal implant) were included but not enrolled in MRI. (Table 1, Figure 1).

General Procedure

Figure 2 gives an overview of assessments. Participants were randomly allocated to a single oral dose of d-cycloserine (250 mg; King Pharmaceuticals) or placebo capsule (microcrystalline cellulose) (1:1 ratio), administered 2 h before single-session CBT. Previous work into the effects of d-cycloserine on CBT often used doses of 50mg, but different from our study these trials used longer CBT protocols, and healthy volunteer work indicates an effect of d-cycloserine on single-session hippocampal learning at 250mg but not 50mg (Onur et al., 2010). Considering that we only offered one session, and based on work showing no significant differences in clinical effects of ultra-brief CBT together with d-cycloserine between 50mg and 500mg, we chose a dose of 250mg (Ressler et al., 2004).

All sessions took place in a university setting. After screening, participants returned for four study visits, with baseline assessment and intervention taking place on day 1, and outcome testing taking place 1 day, 1 month, and 6 months after intervention. During the screening, sociodemographic (age, gender, years of education, verbal intelligence) and basic clinical data (primary panic diagnosis, comorbidity, panic duration) were acquired (Nelson, 1982). Clinical symptoms were assessed on all four visits. On the day after intervention, we also assessed emotional processing using behavioural computer tasks and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI). Recruitment, obtaining consent, eligibility screening, sending double-blind prescription requests to pharmacy, drug administration, exposure therapy and clinical and neurocognitive testing were carried out by a trained clinical psychologist researcher (AR). All procedures were in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki and approved by a research ethics committee. Participants gave written informed consent. Data were collected between November 2013 and April 2016.

Single-session Exposure Therapy

Treatment was delivered by an experienced clinical psychologist. The intervention followed a previously published protocol and was a condensed version of routine clinical care (Reinecke et al., 2013; Salkovskis, Clark, Hackmann, Wells, & Gelder, 1999). It involved one session of exposure-based CBT, based on the well-established cognitive-behavioural theory of panic (Clark et al., 1997). This approach assumes that anxiety develops as a consequence of normal physical sensations (e.g. increased heart rate) being misperceived as threatening (e.g. I am having a heart attack), and the use of maladaptive safety strategies (e.g. leaving situation) preventing corrective experiences (e.g. I will not die of a heart attack even if I am not leaving the situation.). Session ingredients: (i) cognitive preparation: explanation of individually relevant learning mechanisms underlying the maintenance of anxiety, especially the role of safety strategies (~15min), (ii) exposure to a fear- provoking situation (e.g. being locked in walk-in closet) and bodily sensations while dropping all safety strategies, to test out catastrophic expectations and break through stimulus-driven response cycles (15min exactly for each participant), (iii) cognitive debriefing, to discuss the patient's experience in a fear situation without safety strategies, and to consolidate new behaviour (~10min). A total session lasted about 60min. Patients were not given explicit homework.

Randomisation and Masking

Participants and the researcher responsible for treatment, data collection and outcome evaluation remained naïve to drug group allocation until completion of data analysis. Placebo was encapsulated in lactose capsules identical to d-cycloserine. Generation of the randomisation sequence, treatment allocation and drug dispensing were executed by an external pharmacy not in direct contact with study participants, and the allocation list remained in pharmacy until all steps of data blind-analysis had been completed. The randomization sequence was generated using a random number generator (www.random.org) and was based on blocked randomization (blocks of four) while stratifying for gender and

primary diagnosis (panic disorder either with or without agoraphobia).

Outcomes

Primary Outcome. Primary outcome measure was a faces dot probe task (FDOT). Stimuli were coloured photographs of 20 individual faces with a neutral, fearful and happy facial expression each (Matsumoto & Ekman, 1988). In each of 192 trials, a neutral-neutral, neutral-happy, or neutral-fearful face pair was presented, with one stimulus appearing above and one below a central fixation position. Eight blocks of unmasked versus masked trials each were presented alternatingly. Faces pairs were presented for 100ms in the unmasked and 16ms (plus scrambled face pair for 84ms) in the masked condition, followed by a dot probe that participants categorised as horizontal or vertical using two buttons. Position of an emotional face, probe position and type were fully counterbalanced. This task design involved congruent trials (dot at the position of an emotional face) and incongruent trials (dot replaces neutral face while emotional face is present).

Secondary Outcomes. *i) MRI.* Patients performed an emotion regulation task on the day after treatment during 3T MRI (Reinecke et al., 2015). IAPS images showing negatively valenced scenes of catastrophic expectations characteristic of panic disorder (e.g. image of a car crash reflecting the worry of losing control over the car during a heart attack) were presented in 8 blocks of 5 images (5s each), alternating with grey fixation baseline blocks (30s) (Lang, Bradley, & Cuthbert, 1997). For half of the blocks, participants were instructed to naturally experience the emotional state evoked by the images, without attempting to regulate it (Maintain blocks). For Reappraisal blocks, they were instructed to down-regulate the provoked negative affect by using previously demonstrated strategies of cognitive reappraisal (e.g. reframing, rationalising). These strategies were trained in a 20min one-to-one feedback session before the scan, using a different set of images. Individual contrast images were calculated for Maintain blocks, Reappraisal blocks, Maintain versus Reappraisal and

Reappraisal versus Maintain and then fed into exploratory mixed-effects whole-brain group analyses. In addition, we ran a region of interest analysis (ROI) in a 10mm radius spherical mask around a previously published peak voxel of a left amygdala region (-14/-6/-8) and its right-hemisphere counterpart (Phan, Fitzgerald, Nathan, Moore, Uhde, & Tancer, 2005). This choice was driven by the original study demonstrating that successful inhibition of negative affect is associated with attenuated activation in this region in healthy volunteers, and our follow-on work showing significant hyperactivation in this region and its right-hemisphere equivalent in patients with panic disorder in the Maintain versus Reappraisal contrast that was resolved after brief CBT (Reinecke et al., 2015; Reinecke et al., in press). Maintain BOLD % signal was the main outcome measure (see Reinecke et al., 2015 for image acquisition and analysis details).

ii) Clinical Symptom Measures. Before treatment, on the day after, and at 1-month and 6-month follow-up, anxiety and depression symptoms were monitored using established self-report measures: i) State-Trait Anxiety Inventory (STAI/STAIT), ii) Beck Depression Inventory (BDI), iii) Body Sensations Questionnaire assessing fear of physical sensations (BSQ), iv) Agoraphobic Cognitions Questionnaire (ACQ), v) Mobility Inventory (MI) assessing agoraphobic avoidance, and vi) Panic Attack Scale (PAS) assessing panic frequency (Beck, Steer, & Brown, 1996; Chambless, Caputo, Bright, & Gallagher, 1984; Chambless, Caputo, Jasin, Gracely, & Williams, 1985; Clark et al., 1994; Spielberger, 1983). The clinician-administered Panic Disorder Severity Scale (PDSS) was used at baseline and follow-up appointments (Shear et al., 2001). To establish in-vivo stress reactivity over and above retrospective self-report, anxiety was also measured during a 5min uninstructed encounter of an individually relevant agoraphobic situation, before and after the day of treatment and at follow-ups (Reinecke et al., 2013; Salkovskis et al., 1999), where the use of safety strategies was not explicitly discouraged. This involved being locked in an enclosed walk-in closet (17 d-cycloserine/14 placebo), or a bus or car drive (0 d-cycloserine/2 placebo). Immediately after

the test, participants rated their level of anxiety experienced during the test (before, after 1min, after 3min, end of test), using 0-100 visual analogue scales. Baseline ratings were acquired during an earlier part of the sessions.

Demand and Side Effects and Concomitant Treatment

To capture any acute changes, blood pressure and heart rate were measured before and 2hrs after drug administration (expected peak level), and participants completed visual analogue scales rating their mood and physiological symptoms. At the end of the intervention day, participants and experimenter also guessed whether the active capsule had been administered. At follow-ups, we assessed whether participants had carried out exposure experiments on their own and whether they had accessed additional formal treatment since the study intervention, to ensure that exposure received in addition to the study intervention was equivalent across the two groups.

Statistical Analysis

Sample Size. We predicted that the placebo group would show next-day fear bias similar to that seen after single-session CBT ($M=5$, $SD=25$), and that the d-cycloserine group would show next-day fear bias similar to that seen in healthy volunteers ($M=-15$, $SD=25$) in our previous work (Reinecke et al., 2013). With an effect size of $d=0.8$ and an α -level of 0.05, 16 participants per group would be needed to achieve 70% power. We originally aimed to recruit 50 patients for this study but this was adjusted to 32 based on the above.

Overall Statistical Approach. Data analyses included all randomised subjects and were performed on the intention-to-treat basis (16 placebo, 17 d-cycloserine), except for MRI measures which were analysed per protocol (14 placebo, 13 d-cycloserine). No data sets were excluded from analysis. To account for participants lost to follow-up (Figure 1), missing data were imputed, assuming data missing at random, by means of multiple imputation by chained

equations (incomplete cases: 1-month follow-up 6% placebo/ 6% d-cycloserine, 6-months follow-up: 19% placebo/ 18% d-cycloserine). Twenty complete data sets were generated using the statistical computing software R (R Core Team, 2018), with 20 iterations per imputation (van Buuren & Groothuis-Oudshoorn, 2011). Predictors were sociodemographic and clinical baseline characteristics, and baseline and next-day clinical outcome measures; imputed variables were clinical outcomes at follow-ups. Statistical analyses were run in R using the packages nlme (for linear mixed effects models) and miceadds (additional statistics for multiple imputation). Repeated measures from the same participant were accounted for by means of random effects. In all analyses, the stratification variables gender and primary diagnosis were used as covariates. Statistical tests were two-tailed and based on an alpha-level of significance of 0.05. Treatment effect sizes are reported as Cohen's *d*.

Cognitive Biomarker Measures. In the FDOT, individual reaction times (RT) below 200 ms and above 2000 ms, or above 2 SDs above the mean, were excluded (Ratcliff, 1993; Reinecke et al., 2013). Bias scores were calculated by subtracting the median RT in congruent trials from the median RT in incongruent trials. Group differences were assessed using linear mixed-effects models, including the fixed factors group and condition (happy, fear). MRI data were analysed using FSL FEAT 6.0 (FMRIB Software Library; www.fmrib.ox.ac.uk/fsl), using cluster-wise correction with $Z > 2.3$ and $p < 0.05$. Extracted ROI BOLD % signal changes were entered into linear mixed-effects models with the factors group and condition (Maintain, Reappraisal).

Clinical Symptom Measures. Group differences on the validated clinical outcome measures and stress test ratings were assessed using linear mixed-effects models, including the factors group and time (next day, 1-month, 6-months) and their interaction, and controlling for pre-treatment scores. In line with recent work, recovery was defined as agoraphobia scores (MI) falling within the range reported for healthy subjects, as this measure most reliably reflects the impact of the disorder on daily functioning (Chambless et al., 1985; Reinecke et al.,

2013). Following established approaches, we also ran eight separate multiple linear regression analyses to predict whether i) BOLD % amygdala signal change (Maintain block) and ii) threat bias (FDOT) on the day after treatment predicted symptom changes at 1-month follow-up on the MI, BSQ, ACQ, or PDSS (Kraemer, Wilson, Fairburn, & Agras, 2002; Reinecke et al., 2013). Next-day symptom severity (baseline for PDSS) on that measure was entered as predictor of no interest to control for its potential influence on the outcome at 1 month. Group, bias, and the group- bias interaction term were entered as predictors of interest.

Results

Primary Outcome

Next-day threat bias for fearful faces was significantly lower in the d-cycloserine compared to the placebo group ($p=0.042$, $d=0.77$) (Table 2).

Secondary Outcomes

MRI. Right amygdala activation in maintain blocks was lower following D-cycloserine versus placebo ($p=0.023$) No drug effects were found in the left amygdala ($p=0.54$) (Table 2; Figure 3 C). A whole-brain analysis identified significant group by task effects in two clusters, including the left frontal orbital (OFC; 499 voxels, MNI -28,12,-14, $Z=3.40$, $p=0.003$) and right dorsolateral prefrontal cortex (DLPFC; 379 voxels, MNI 36,36,30, $Z=4.06$, $p=0.017$)(Figure 3 A/B).

Clinical Symptom Measures. Recovery rates were still low and similar between groups on the day after treatment (placebo 4/16 (25%), d-cycloserine 5/17 (29.4%), RR 1.06, CI 0.70-1.61, $p=0.98$). At 1-month follow-up, the d-cycloserine group showed significantly greater treatment response than the placebo group (placebo 4/16 (25.0%), d-cycloserine 12/17 (70.6%), RR 2.55, CI 1.16-5.61, $p=0.015$). At 6-months follow-up, the placebo group showed improved clinical gains, closer to those of the d-cycloserine group (placebo 7/16 (43.8.0%), d-

cycloserine 12/17 (70.6%), RR 1.91, CI 0.81-4.49, $p=0.17$). Regarding continuous outcome measures, there were no significant group differences in panic-specific outcome measures on the day after treatment or at follow-ups, including fear of physical symptoms (BSQ), agoraphobia severity (MI), agoraphobic cognitions (ACQ), panic attack frequency (PAS) or panic severity (PDSS) (all $p>0.095$). However, d-cycloserine compared to placebo had augmentative effects on more global outcomes, including state-trait anxiety and depression questionnaire scores, as well as anxiety ratings in response to the behavioural stress task (Table 2; Figure 4).

Prediction of Clinical Symptom Changes

FDOT threat bias was not predictive of clinical change (all $R^2<0.20$, all $p>0.25$). Amygdala responsivity on the day after treatment predicted 1-month follow-up changes in agoraphobia severity (MI) across groups ($R^2=0.48$; main effect bias: $p=0.0070$; other outcomes: all $R^2<0.38$, all $p>0.11$), with patients showing the lowest amygdala response achieving greater reduction in avoidance during follow-up (Figure 3D).

Demand and Side Effects and Concomitant Treatment

No serious adverse events were reported. D-cycloserine caused no acute differential changes in blood pressure, heart rate and mood (Table 3). Neither experimenter nor participants were able to correctly guess group allocation (d-cycloserine guesses; experimenter placebo 44%, d-cycloserine 41%, $p=0.88$; participant placebo 25%, d-cycloserine 53% $p=0.10$), suggesting that double-blindness was maintained. Six participants carried out planned exposure experiments on their own (placebo: $N=4$; d-cycloserine: $N=2$; $p=0.40$) and two participants accessed additional CBT during 6-month follow-up (placebo: $N=2$; d-cycloserine: $N=0$; $p=0.23$). Results reported above do not change when controlling for this additional exposure to self- or therapist-lead treatment.

Discussion

Threat bias for fearful faces (FDOT) and amygdala response to aversive images were significantly lower in the d-cycloserine compared to the placebo group on the day after treatment. Lower amygdala threat response the day after treatment was associated with greater improvement in agoraphobic avoidance during 1-month follow-up. D-cycloserine led to significantly greater clinical recovery at 1-month follow-up than placebo (71% vs 25%), but recovery rates were not statistically different at 6-months follow-up (71% vs 44%). There were no group differences on clinical measures specific to panic disorder, but the drug improved response to an in-vivo stress test and outcome on more global measures of mental health, including state-trait anxiety and depression, with medium to large effects. Along with a lower amygdala threat response after d-cycloserine, the drug was also associated with reduced activation in prefrontal-cortical areas linked to fear inhibition in Maintain blocks. As our previous work had linked increased amygdala activation in the Maintain condition to panic disorder and impaired response to CBT (Reinecke et al., 2015; Reinecke et al., in press), our findings may indicate a diminished need for inhibitory strategies during involuntary emotion regulation after d-cycloserine augmented CBT. In addition, we observed higher activation in these prefrontal areas in the drug group during Reappraisal blocks, possibly indicating an increased readiness to use regulatory strategies when explicitly instructed.

These findings highlight a possible neuropsychological process involved in the effects of exposure-based CBT that might also be a crucial interface for d-cycloserine action. Across participants, lower amygdala response on the day after treatment predicted lower symptom severity one month later, suggesting that this neural effect might be a key mechanism by which exposure therapy exerts its clinical effects. The amygdala is thought to be crucial in threat processing, and increased responsivity is characteristic of anxiety disorders (Reinecke & Harmer, 2015). In contrast, a reduction in amygdala hypersensitivity is seen after exposure therapy, where threat stimuli show reduced salience or the ability to automatically signal

danger (Phelps, Delgado, Nearing, & LeDoux, 2004; Reinecke & Harmer, 2015). Our results suggest that this change in amygdala function may occur very rapidly during CBT, after only one session. Over time and in interaction with everyday challenges, such a reduced threat sensitivity presumably translates into more distinct symptom improvement. These findings add to our previous work showing that attentional bias for fearful faces mediates clinical outcome (Reinecke et al., 2013).

Even though this study identified no specific potential neuropsychological mechanism of d-cycloserine action, the drug significantly reduced attention bias and amygdala threat responsivity within one day of treatment. These findings corroborate the idea that threat processing might be a landmark parameter for treatment enhancement with d-cycloserine. The drug is thought to enhance NMDA receptor functioning and neuroplasticity in the amygdala and hippocampus, areas relevant to fear extinction and threat processing (Thompson, Moskal, & Disterhoft, 1992). It is possible that d-cycloserine exerts its augmentative clinical effects by further amplifying changes in amygdala sensitivity taking place during exposure. As our results show, these changes occur early during treatment, providing a rationale as to why d-cycloserine has particularly beneficial effects on clinical outcome when applied early in the therapeutic process and in combination with a minimal number of sessions.

Although our clinical results remain to be replicated in a large-scale clinical trial, this study also replicates earlier findings showing that 25% of patients reach recovery one month after single-session CBT (Reinecke et al., 2013). The present results add to this observation, indicating that these clinical effects are not only stable during a follow-up of 6 months, but that additional clinical gains may be achieved during this time-frame. This study also provides preliminary evidence that d-cycloserine may improve clinical response to single-session CBT. 71% of participants in the d-cycloserine group fulfilled criteria for recovery at 1- and 6-month follow-up, a rate comparable to standard longer-term CBT courses (Barlow, Gorman, Shear, & Woods, 2000). These findings point to the possibility that if used in an optimal way,

targeting very early therapeutic learning and minimal CBT protocols, d-cycloserine may lead to substantially improved clinical effects (see also Rodebaugh, Levinson, & Lenze, 2013). However, although there was an overall difference in recovery rates between the two groups when using a binary analysis approach, no significant differences emerged when looking at the data in a continuous manner. This suggests that this effect may likely to be small and dependent on method of analysis. Recent work has suggested that d-cycloserine might only have an augmentative effect on clinical outcome when administered in the context of successful exposures, while having no or even harmful effects if exposure remains unsuccessful (Smits, Rosenfield, Otto et al., 2013). While exposure appeared to be effective for all patients in this study, future studies should explore in more detail to what degree level of exposure success might have affected the impact of the drug on outcome.

While these results are promising for the development of more compact psychological-pharmacological combination treatments, there are limitations. While we previously found that FDOT threat bias one day after single-session CBT predicted clinical improvement, this observation was not replicated in this study. Recent work into the psychometric properties of the dot probe task suggests weak test-retest reliability, indicating that alternative measures of threat bias might be preferable (Chapman, Devue, & Grimshaw, 2017). In line with this recommendation, we found that amygdala threat response was more sensitive to brief treatment than the behavioural measures used, leading to large effect sizes of $d > 1$ and predicting clinical recovery. However, MRI and threat bias measures were only applied after but not before treatment, limiting our ability to evaluate to what degree observed between-group differences on the day after treatment relate to within-subject change. Furthermore, bias might have been introduced by the researcher carrying out all treatments – even though they remained blind to drug-allocation – also carrying out all clinician-administered panic-ratings before and after treatment. While this set-up should only potentially lead to a linear shifting of scores one way or another in general rather than

contribute to group-effects, interpretability of results could be improved by introducing fully independent ratings. Also this study was designed and powered to detect neurocognitive effects of d-cycloserine. However, the generalisability of clinical findings is restricted due to the small sample size. Even though our clinical observations tentatively indicate efficaciousness of single-session CBT with d-cycloserine, these findings need to be validated in a large-scale trial to reliably evaluate clinical efficacy of this combination intervention. While our participants are representative of those seen in routine clinical care regarding symptom severity and sociodemographic markers, they were recruited from the community rather than being treatment-seekers, and they were unmedicated (Grey, Salkovskis, Quigley, Clark, & Ehlers, 2008). Future trials will have to investigate whether the facilitative effects of d-cycloserine on single-session CBT found here translate to these cases.

Taken together, this is the first study to identify a potential neurocognitive mechanism by which d-cycloserine may deploy its augmentative effects on clinical outcomes during exposure therapy for anxiety. The drug was associated with reduced amygdala threat reactivity taking place early in psychological treatment, and the magnitude of this response predicts clinical improvement across time. Our findings suggest that threat processing might be a landmark parameter for treatment enhancement with d-cycloserine, and they provide a possible explanation as to why d-cycloserine particularly affects clinical outcome when applied early in treatment.

Funding

This research was funded by a Medical Research Council Experimental Medicine grant awarded to CH and AR (MR/J011878/1) and by a John Fell Oxford University Press (OUP) research grant awarded to AR (123/807), and it was supported by the NIHR Oxford Health Biomedical Research Centre. AR is supported by a fellowship from MQ: Transforming

Mental Health. MB is supported by a fellowship from the MRC. The funders had no role in the design of the study, data collection or interpretation of results.

References

- Barlow, D. H., Gorman, J. M., Shear, M. K., & Woods, S. W. (2000). Cognitive-behavioral therapy, imipramine, or their combination for panic disorder: A randomized controlled trial. *Jama*, 283(19), 2529-2536.
- Beck, A. T., Steer, R. A., & Brown, G. K. (1996). *Manual for the Beck Depression Inventory-II*. San Antonio, TX: Psychological Corporation.
- Chambless, D. L., Caputo, G. C., Bright, P., & Gallagher, R. (1984). Assessment of fear of fear in agoraphobics - the Body Sensations Questionnaire and the Agoraphobic Cognitions Questionnaire. *J Consult Clin Psychol*, 52(6), 1090-1097.
- Chambless, D. L., Caputo, G. C., Jasin, S. E., Gracely, E. J., & Williams, C. (1985). The Mobility Inventory for Agoraphobia. *Behav Res Ther*, 23(1), 35-44.
- Chapman, A., Devue, C., & Grimshaw, G. M. (2017). Fleeting reliability in the dot-probe task. *Psychol Res*. doi:10.1007/s00426-017-0947-6
- Clark, D. M. (1989). Anxiety states—panic and generalized anxiety. In K. Hawton, P. M. Salkovskis, J. Kirk, & D. M. Clark (Eds.), *Cognitive Therapy for Psychiatric Problems: A Practical Guide* (pp. 52-96). Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Clark, D. M., Salkovskis, P. M., Hackmann, A., Middleton, H., Anastasiades, P., & Gelder, M. (1994). A comparison of cognitive therapy, applied relaxation and imipramine in the treatment of panic disorder. *Br J Psychiatry*, 164(6), 759-769.
- Clark, D. M., Salkovskis, P. M., Ost, L. G., Breitholtz, E., Koehler, K. A., Westling, B. E., . . . Gelder, M. (1997). Misinterpretation of body sensations in panic disorder. *J Consult Clin Psychol*, 65(2), 203-213.
- First, M. B., Spitzer, R. L., Gibbon, M., & Williams, J. B. W. (1996). *Structured clinical interview for DSM-IV axis I disorders, Clinician Version (SCID-CV)*. Washington, DC: American Psychiatry Press, Inc.
- Grey, N., Salkovskis, P., Quigley, A., Clark, D. M., & Ehlers, A. (2008). Dissemination of Cognitive Therapy for Panic Disorder in Primary Care. *Behav Cogn Psychother*, 36(5), 509-520. doi:10.1017/s1352465808004694
- Hofmann, S. G., Smits, J. A., Rosenfield, D., Simon, N., Otto, M. W., Meuret, A. E., Marques L, Fang A, Tart C, Pollack, M. H. (2013). D-cycloserine as an augmentation strategy with cognitive-behavioral therapy for social anxiety disorder. *American Journal of Psychiatry*, 170(7), 751-758.
- Kraemer, H. C., Wilson, G. T., Fairburn, C. G., & Agras, W. S. (2002). Mediators and moderators of treatment effects in randomized clinical trials. *Arch Gen Psychiatry*, 59(10), 877-883.
- Lang, P. J., Bradley, M. M., & Cuthbert, B. N. (1997). *International affective picture system (IAPS): Technical manual and affective ratings*. Gainesville, FL: National Institute of Mental Health Centre for the Study of Emotion and Attention.
- Mataix-Cols, D., de la Cruz, L. F., Monzani, B., Rosenfield, D., Andersson, E., Perez-Vigil, A., . . . Consortium, D. C. S. A. (2017). D-Cycloserine Augmentation of Exposure-Based Cognitive Behavior Therapy for Anxiety, Obsessive-Compulsive, and Posttraumatic Stress Disorders A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis of Individual Participant Data. *Jama Psychiatry*, 74(5), 501-510. doi:10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2016.3955
- Matsumoto, D., & Ekman, P. (1988). *Japanese and Caucasian Facial Expressions of Emotion (JACFEE)*. San Francisco: Intercultural and Emotion Research Laboratory, Department of Psychology, San Francisco State University.
- McGuire, J. F., Wu, M. S., Piacentini, J., McCracken, J. T., & Storch, E. A. (2017). A Meta-Analysis of D-Cycloserine in Exposure-Based Treatment: Moderators of Treatment

- Efficacy, Response, and Diagnostic Remission. *J Clin Psychiatry*, 78(2), 196-206. doi:10.4088/JCP.15r10334
- Nelson, H. E. (1982). *National adult reading test (NART): Test manual*. Windsor: NFER-Nelson.
- Onur, O. A., Schlaepfer, T. E., Kukolja, J., Bauer, A., Jeung, H., Patin, A., . . . Hurlemann, R. (2010). The N-Methyl-D-Aspartate Receptor Co-agonist D-Cycloserine Facilitates Declarative Learning and Hippocampal Activity in Humans. *Biol Psychiatry*, 67(12), 1205-1211.
- Otto, M. W., Pollack, M. H., Dowd, S. M., Hofmann, S. G., Pearlson, G., Szuhany, K. L., Krystal, J.H., Simon, N.M., Tolin, D. F. (2016). Randomized trial of d-cycloserine enhancement of cognitive-behavioural therapy for panic disorder. *Depression and Anxiety*, 33(8), 737-745.
- Phan, K. L., Fitzgerald, D., Nathan, P.J., Moore, G.J., Uhde, T.W., & Tancer, M.E. (2005). Neural substrates for voluntary suppression of negative affect: a functional magnetic resonance imaging study. *Biological Psychiatry* 57, 210–219.
- Phelps, E. A., Delgado, M. R., Nearing, K. I., & LeDoux, J. E. (2004). Extinction learning in humans: Role of the amygdala and vmPFC. *Neuron*, 43(6), 897-905.
- Ratcliff, R. (1993). Methods for dealing with reaction time outliers. *Psychol Bull*, 114(3), 510-532.
- Reinecke, A., Filippini, N., Berna, C., Western, D. G., Hanson, B., Cooper, M. J., . . . Harmer, C. J. (2015). Effective emotion regulation strategies improve fMRI and ECG markers of psychopathology in panic disorder: implications for psychological treatment action. *Translational psychiatry*, 5, e673. doi:10.1038/tp.2015.160
- Reinecke, A., & Harmer, C. (2015). A cognitive-neuropsychological account of treatment action in anxiety: can we augment clinical efficacy? *Psychopathology Review*, 3(1), 77-109.
- Reinecke, A., Thilo, K., Croft, A., & Harmer, C. (in press). Early effects of exposure-based cognitive behavior therapy on the neural correlates of anxiety. *Translational psychiatry*.
- Reinecke, A., Waldenmaier, L., Cooper, M. J., & Harmer, C. J. (2013). Changes in automatic threat processing precede and predict clinical changes with exposure-based cognitive-behavior therapy for panic disorder. *Biol Psychiatry*, 73(11), 1064-1070.
- Ressler, K. J., Rothbaum, B. O., Tannenbaum, L., Anderson, P., Graap, K., Zimand, E., . . . Davis, M. (2004). Cognitive enhancers as adjuncts to psychotherapy: use of D-cycloserine in phobic individuals to facilitate extinction of fear. *Arch Gen Psychiatry*, 61(11), 1136-1144.
- Rodebaugh, T. L., Levinson, C. A., & Lenze, E. J. (2013). A high-throughput clinical assay for testing drug facilitation of exposure therapy. *Depress Anxiety*, 30(7), 631-637. doi:10.1002/da.22047
- Salkovskis, P. M., Clark, D. M., Hackmann, A., Wells, A., & Gelder, M. G. (1999). An experimental investigation of the role of safety-seeking behaviours in the maintenance of panic disorder with agoraphobia. *Behav Res Ther*, 37(6), 559-574.
- Shear, M. K., Rucci, P., Williams, J., Frank, E., Grochocinski, V., Vander Bilt, J., . . . Wang, T. (2001). Reliability and validity of the Panic Disorder Severity Scale: replication and extension. *J Psychiatr Res*, 35(5), 293-296.
- Smits, J. A., Rosenfield, D., Otto, M. W., Powers, M. B., Hofmann, S. G., Telch, M. J., . . . Tart, C. D. (2013). D-cycloserine enhancement of fear extinction is specific to successful exposure sessions: evidence from the treatment of height phobia. *Biol Psychiatry*, 73(11), 1054-1058.
- Spielberger, C. D., Gorsuch, R.L., Lushene, R., Vagg, P.R., & Jacobs, G.A. (1983). *Manual for State-Trait Anxiety Inventory*. Palo Alto, CA: Consulting Psychologists Press.

- Thompson, L. T., Moskal, J. R., & Disterhoft, J. F. (1992). Hippocampus-dependent learning facilitated by a monoclonal-antibody or d-cycloserine. *Nature*, 359(6396), 638-641.
- van Buuren, S., & Groothuis-Oudshoorn, K. (2011). mice: Multivariate Imputation by Chained Equations in R. *Journal of Statistical Software*, 45(3), 1-67.

Figure 1. Consort flow diagram showing progress in enrolment, group allocation, follow-up assessment and data analysis in the d-cycloserine (CYC) versus placebo (PLAC) group.




Figure 2. Overview of study visits and assessments throughout the trial.




Figure 3. A./B. Whole-brain analysis Group x Task interaction: Compared to placebo, d-cycloserine-augmented single-session CBT led to significantly stronger activation in areas of cognitive control during Reappraise versus Maintain on the day after treatment. **C.** Region-of-interest analysis Group x Task interaction: Compared to placebo, cycloserine lead to reduced activation of the right amygdala during Maintain block. **D.** The relationship between amygdala responsivity on the day after single-session CBT and symptom improvement at 1-month follow-up: lower amygdala responsivity on the day after treatment predicts stronger reduction in agoraphobia severity as measured using the self-report Mobility Inventory (MI) across groups. Images thresholded at $Z > 2.3$, $p < 0.05$, corrected. Note: Error bars show SEM. An asterisk indicates an alpha-level of significance $p < 0.05$.




Figure 4. Self-report and clinician-rated symptom scores for the two groups at baseline, on the day after treatment and at follow-ups, after multiple imputation of missing data (error bars show SEM). D-cycloserine lead to stronger improvements in state and trait anxiety (STAIS, STAIT), depressivity (BDI) and agoraphobic cognitions (ACQ) on the day after treatment, with group differences remaining significant throughout follow-ups. Note: Error bars show SEM. An asterisk indicates an alpha-level of significance $p < 0.05$.




Table 1. Demographic and Clinical Key Data in placebo versus d-cycloserine group.

		<i>Placebo</i>		<i>D-cycloserine</i>	
		<i>N=16</i>		<i>N=17</i>	
		<i>M</i>	<i>SD</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>SD</i>
<i>Sociodemographic Data</i>					
Sex	- Female	13 (81%)		12 (71%)	
	- Male	3 (19%)		5 (29%)	
Age		41.9	13.7	42.1	16.7
Years of education		15.7	3.0	15.5	2.4
Verbal IQ (NART)		112.9	5.9	112.9	6.8
<i>Clinical Key Data</i>					
Panic Duration in years		10.3	12.8	9.8	11.7
Primary Diagnosis	- PD without agoraphobia	6 (38%)		6 (35%)	
	- PD with agoraphobia	10 (62%)		11 (65%)	
Comorbid social phobia		1		3	
Comorbid specific phobia		1		4	
Comorbid OCD		1		0	

Note: NART = National adult reading test; PD = panic disorder without agoraphobia; PDA = panic disorder with agoraphobia

Table 2. Primary and secondary outcomes at baseline, on the day after treatment, and at 1-month and 6-months follow-ups.

		PLACEBO	D-CYCLOSERINE	Adjusted mean diff (SE)	95% CI, p-value, Cohen's d
FDOT (fear)	Next-Day	21.3 (30.8)	2.1 (17.2)	-19.0 (9.3)	-38.04 to 0.14; p=0.042 ; d=0.77
Right Amygdala	Next-Day	0.25 (0.27)	-0.08 (0.35)	-0.32 (0.14)	-0.61 to -0.03; p=0.023 ; d=1.06
Left Amygdala	Next-Day	0.17 (0.33)	0.05 (0.68)	-0.12 (0.19)	-0.50 to 0.27; p=0.54; d=0.22
STAI-S (20-80)	Baseline	42.8 (7.3)	43.9 (12.5)
	Next-Day	44.9 (8.8)	34.6 (11.0)	-10.67 (3.57)	-17.83 to -3.51; p=0.0041 ; d=1.01
	1-month	39.7 (9.2)	32.2 (10.9)	-7.92 (3.71)	-15.30 to -0.54; p=0.036 ; d=0.74
	6-months	38.9 (12.8)	31.4 (9.7)	-8.05 (3.95)	-15.94 to -0.16; p=.046 ; d=0.66
STAI-T (20-80)	Baseline	52.2 (6.7)	52.4 (9.4)
	Next-Day	50.8 (8.3)	47.1 (10.7)	-3.53 (3.60)	-10.74 to 3.68; p=0.33; d=0.39
	1-month	46.3 (11.8)	38.2 (12.6)	-7.99 (3.74)	-15.44 to -0.54; p=0.036 ; d=0.66
	6-months	44.4 (12.8)	35.2 (10.3)	-9.20 (3.89)	-16.96 to -1.44; p=0.021 ; d=0.79
BDI (0-63)	Baseline	16.8 (9.4)	17.3 (11.7)
	Next-Day	18.3 (10.1)	13.2 (10.0)	-5.26 (2.46)	-10.19 to -0.33; p=0.037 ; d=0.51
	1-month	12.5 (8.0)	11.1 (10.4)	-1.57 (2.56)	-6.66 to 3.53; p=0.54; d=0.15
	6-months	12.0 (10.1)	6.3 (6.6)	-5.86 (2.72)	-11.29 to -0.42; p=0.035 ; d=0.67
ACQ (1.0-5.0)	Baseline	2.5 (0.5)	2.4 (0.7)
	Next-Day	2.6 (0.5)	2.3 (0.6)	-0.21 (0.19)	-0.60 to 0.17; p=0.27; d=0.30
	1-month	2.2 (0.7)	1.9 (0.7)	-0.29 (0.20)	-0.69 to 0.11; p=0.16; d=0.43
	6-months	2.0 (0.7)	1.6 (0.6)	-0.37 (0.22)	-0.81 to 0.07; p=0.095; d=0.61
BSQ (1.0-5.0)	Baseline	3.2 (0.8)	3.2 (0.7)
	Next-Day	3.2 (0.8)	3.0 (0.8)	-0.12 (0.27)	-0.66 to 0.41; p=0.65; d=0.14
	1-month	2.6 (0.8)	2.5 (0.8)	-0.06 (0.27)	-0.60 to 0.48; p=0.82; d=0.13
	6-months	2.4 (1.0)	2.2 (1.0)	-0.12 (0.29)	-0.71 to 0.47; p=0.68; d=0.20
MI (1.0-5.0)	Baseline	2.3 (0.7)	2.1 (0.9)
	Next-Day	2.2 (0.5)	2.1 (0.8)	-0.038 (0.16)	-0.35 to 0.27; p=0.81; d=0.15
	1-month	1.9 (0.6)	1.7 (0.8)	-0.12 (0.16)	-0.43 to 0.20; p=0.46; d=0.28
	6-months	1.8 (0.5)	1.5 (0.6)	-0.18 (0.18)	-0.54 to 0.19; p=0.34; d=0.54
PAS (0-4)	Baseline	1.8 (1.1)	1.7 (1.2)
	Next-Day	1.8 (1.0)	1.5 (1.0)	-0.26 (0.27)	-0.79 to 0.27; p=0.33; d=0.30
	1-month	0.7 (0.8)	0.5 (1.0)	-0.22 (0.30)	-0.81 to 0.37; p=0.46; d=0.22
	6-months	0.5 (0.8)	0.3 (0.7)	-0.19 (0.30)	-0.79 to 0.40; p=0.52; d=0.27
PDSS (0-28)	Baseline	11.8 (4.0)	11.6 (4.6)
	1-month	6.1 (4.4)	4.8 (5.3)	-1.31 (1.39)	-4.11 to 1.48, p=0.35, d=0.27
	6-months	4.6 (3.8)	3.4 (3.8)	-1.19 (1.45)	-4.12 to 1.73, p=0.41; d=0.24
Stress (0-100)	Baseline	41.1 (25.9)	43.4 (24.7)
	Next-Day	29.2 (21.5)	17.8 (14.6)	-14.09 (4.55)	-23.0 to -5.1; p=0.0021 ; d=0.62
	1-month	19.1 (17.3)	13.0 (15.2)	-8.84 (4.63)	-17.9 to 0.3; p=0.057; d=0.37
	6-months	20.0 (22.0)	11.5 (15.6)	-11.20 (4.67)	-20.4 to -2.0; p=0.017 ; d=0.45

Note: FDOT = Faces Dot Probe Task; IAT = Implicit Association Task; STAI-S/ STAI-T = state trait anxiety inventory; BDI = Beck Depression Inventory; ACQ = Agoraphobic Cognitions Questionnaire; BSQ = Body Sensations Questionnaire; MI = Mobility Inventory; PAS = Panic Attack Scale; PDSS = Panic Disorder Severity Scale.

Table 3. Physiological measures and visual analogue scale ratings in the two groups before drug intake and post drug (M, SD). Cycloserine compared to placebo caused no acute differential changes in blood pressure, heart rate or mood: Time (baseline, drug peak) by Group repeated measures ANOVAs run for heart rate, blood pressure scores, and each of the VAS items indicated no significant main effects of group and no significant Group x Time interaction effects for blood pressure, heart rate, and most of the VAS items (all $F(1,31) < 2.5$, all $p > 0.13$). For the item 'nauseous', there was a significant Group x Time interaction ($F(1,31) = 5.4$, $p = 0.027$), driven by the placebo group feeling less nauseous at drug peak level compared to baseline (cycloserine: $t(16) = 0.4$, $p = 0.68$; placebo: $t(15) = 3.1$, $p = 0.007$; between-group t-tests for baseline and drug peak both $t(31) < 1.35$, both $p > 0.19$).

	Placebo N=16		D-Cycloserine N=17	
	M	SD	M	SD
BASELINE				
Physiological Measures				
Heart rate	70.5	10.0	69.0	11.5
Blood pressure - systolic	124.9	19.5	132.5	29.6
Blood pressure – diastolic	80.3	13.2	81.7	20.5
Visual Analogue Ratings VAS				
Anxious	43.3	19.0	36.6	22.6
Tearful	7.3	9.2	6.7	10.8
Hopeless	19.4	18.4	14.5	21.6
Sad	19.2	14.4	15.9	23.0
Depressed	21.2	21.2	17.3	18.5
Sleepy	42.1	23.8	41.7	27.3
Nauseous	20.2	21.2	10.9	18.1
Dizzy	11.9	10.9	15.4	22.3
Heart racing	6.7	5.9	14.1	20.3
Alert	52.6	17.2	47.5	21.6
POST DRUG				
Physiological Measures				
Heart rate	70.9	9.5	64.3	9.2
Blood pressure - systolic	125.0	18.6	135.9	32.8
Blood pressure – diastolic	77.2	8.5	82.8	20.6
Visual Analogue Ratings VAS				
Anxious	18.1	11.2	13.2	16.6
Tearful	5.1	5.5	4.2	8.7
Hopeless	6.3	6.3	8.7	13.9
Sad	8.0	14.0	8.0	14.0
Depressed	12.6	14.2	8.4	15.1
Sleepy	46.3	27.3	35.6	30.4
Nauseous	8.9	8.2	9.9	14.0
Dizzy	7.3	7.5	14.9	19.6
Heart racing	7.3	9.2	11.5	16.1
Alert	48.8	21.0	48.1	22.0